

Interdisciplinary perspectives on modern history

Editors
Robert Fogel and Stephan Thernstrom

Police in urban America, 1860-1920



Other books in this series

Mary P. Ryan: Cradle of the Middle Class: The Family in Oneida County, New York, 1790–1865

Tamara Hareven: Family Time and

Industrial Time



Frontispiece reproduced from *Harper's Weekly*, January 5, 1895.





Police headquarters — lost children waiting for parents



Police in urban America 1860 – 1920

ERIC H. MONKKONEN

Department of History University of California, Los Angeles

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge London New York New Rochelle Melbourne Sydney



> PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011-4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1981

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1981 First paperback edition 2004

Typeset by David E. Seham Assoc., Inc.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data Monkkonen, Eric H 1942 -Police in urban America, 1860 – 1920. (Interdisciplinary perspectives on modern history) Includes bibliographical references and index. 1. Police-United States-History. I. Title.

II. Series.

HV8138.M65 363.2'0973 80-16762

ISBN 0 521 23454 9 hardback ISBN 052153125 X paperback



> to Pentti and Paavo



Contents

List of tables and figures Preface		page xi xiii
Intr	oduction The police in the context of urban history Issues in studying crime and the police in the past	1 1 13
1 7	The historical development of the police The urban locus of policing English origins The American adoption of the London model	30 30 31 41
	The spread of uniformed police forces across the United States	49
2 <i>A</i>	Arrest trends, 1860 – 1920 Previous studies of arrest and crime trends New data from old sources Trends in urban arrest rates	65 65 69 71
3 7	Tramps and children: the decline of police welfare Overnight lodging in police stations The police care of lost children	86 86 109
4 /	A narrowing of function Police and crime Old world, new world Two city types A slice in time Bureaucrats Summary	129 129 133 136 138 143

ix



x Contents

Conclusion	
Two periods of urban change	
Police forces and urban order	
Crime control and intercity relationships	156
Appendixes	
A Police uniform adoption dates	162
B Arrest data sources	169
C Multiple regression tables and correlation	
matrices	170
D Lost children, rank order correlations, individual	
city data, and a comparison of New York police/	
NÝSPCC data	176
E Synopsis of crime rates from previous studies	180
F Lagged police correlated with criminal arrests, by city	182
Notes	183
Index	215



Tables and figures

Tables 1

1	The obligation of victims of injustice to comply	
	with criminal laws	page 28
2	Annual rates of tramps per 1,000 city population	95
3	Lodging influence on police arrest behavior	105
4	Percentage of lost children who were black	
	compared to percentage of total population that	
	was black, New York, 1864 – 1900	113
5	Ethnicity of lost children, New York, 1864	114
6	Percentage of native-born (all races) lost children	
	compared to percentage of native-born	
	population, Pittsburgh, 1890-9	115
7	Percentage of persons picking up lost children at	
	police station, Pittsburgh, 1890 – 9	116
8	Lost children regression for 1880	125
9	Cross-sectional regressions on arrests and	
	lodgers, United States, 1880, 1890, 1903	140
A .1	Police uniform adoption dates	164
B . 1	Arrest data sources	169
C.1	Total arrests as dependent variable (based on	
	first differences)	170
C.2	Initiative arrests as dependent variable (based on	
	first differences)	171
C.3	"Crime" arrests as dependent variable (based on	
	first differences)	17 1
C.4	Lodgers as dependent variable (based on first	
	differences)	172
C.5	Correlation matrices (based on first differences)	172
C.6	Regressions with additional variable of unskilled	
	real urban wages (first differences on all	
	variables)	175
D.1	Slopes and annual rates of lost children by city	176
D.2	Annual rates of lost children per 1,000 city	
	population (for earliest fifteen years of data	
	series)	177

χi



xii	Tables and figures	
D.3	Rank order correlations of lost children by region, 1880	179
D.4	parents by the NYSPCC compared to those	
	returned by the New York police	179
E.1 F.1	Synopsis of crime rates from previous studies Lagged police correlated with criminal arrests, by	180
	city	182
Figu	ires	
Pol	ice headquarters - lost children waiting for parents	frontispiece
1	Cumulative number of cities adopting uniformed	, ,
	police, 1850 – 1900	page 54
2	Rank order of cities adopting uniforms, 1850-	
	1900	57
3	Total arrests per 1,000 city population; initiative	
	arrests per 1,000 city population; "crime" arrests	
	per 1,000 city population	73
4	Homicide arrests per 100,000 city population	77
5	Civil War arrest rate peaks and lows	79
6	Station house lodgers per 1,000 city population	93
7	Lost children return rates for three cities	117
8	Seasonal variation in lost children, Brooklyn, 1874	118
9	Hypothetical curve of annual rates of lost children returned by police	121
10	Total police personnel per 1,000 city population	144
11	Percentage of police force on patrol	145

144

145



Preface

This is a social history of policing. It examines the function and social effects of modern uniformed police in American cities. It explores social history by examining the behavior of a governmental agency and by tracing changes in this behavior over time. It then uses this behavior to construct an analysis of the social role of the police agency. Those concerned with the role of police, with trends in crime, with social welfare services to the indigent, and with the lives of urban children will find new information and topics of interest to them in the following pages. In dealing with these subjects, the book touches upon many problems of importance to social historians, but my hope is that it synthesizes these problems and thereby creates new sets of problems.

For the nonhistorian, this book explains the development of a now-ubiquitous urban institution, and in so doing has policy implications. Perhaps the most important policy implication is that, although we have in the police a municipal agency capable of both social service and disservice, the best and most positive role that they can play is not clear. In the nineteenth century, the police took care of the homeless and even had soup kitchens, but we cannot easily return to that century's welfare-oriented policing. If we did, this study shows that we would have to be prepared to accept the consequences of even greater class and racial bias than we now have. On the other hand, if we choose to continue with the current model of policing, which emerged between 1890 and World War I with an emphasis on crime prevention, we must accept the increasing separation of the police from the policed, a division that opened dramatically with the decline of the welfare-oriented police after 1890. Although a middle route between the two models of policing that this book delineates may be possible, we should realize it is a less consistent approach to crime, social disorder, and poverty than that of the previous two models.

Many individuals and institutions have lent me their assistance in researching and writing this book, and I wish to thank them. I am not able to individually thank the approximately 200 librarians and archivists who responded to my queries concerning police uni-

xiii



xiv Preface

forms and arrest records, and lost children. Many were unable to locate any information for me, but their efforts and the efforts of those who did find information reaffirmed my faith in the quality and dedication of librarians across the country, who seem to work as well as ever in spite of shrinking budgets.

Several organizations have provided me with monetary assistance, and it is pleasing to be able to acknowledge this, although the conclusions of this study are my responsibility. The University of North Carolina at Charlotte supported this study with a summer research grant. The University of Minnesota Computing Facility and the University of California, Los Angeles, Academic Computing Service both supported this project with computer time. UCLA also supported this work more directly with Academic Senate Research Grants and two Regents' Fellowships. At a critical early stage, the American Philosophical Society awarded me a research grant that supported my first data-gathering foray. And the Social Science Research Council's award of a Research Training Fellowship gave me the opportunity to study criminology at the Institute of Criminology, Cambridge University.

Several people assisted my research at various stages, particularly Noel Diaz, Bradley Johnson, Judith Monkkonen, Beth Williamson, and David Waterhouse, whose work was invaluable.

Many colleagues and scholars have shared their ideas, data, and critical comments with me. I have tried to answer their criticisms and follow their suggestions, and am grateful for the time they shared with me. These people include: Donald Black, Jon Butler, Edwin R. Coover, Gestur B. Davidson, Lance Davis, Ellen Dwyer, George D. Green, Mark Haller, Barbara Hanawalt, Michael Hindus, Michael Katz, Roger Lane, Colin Loftin, John Modell, Paul Murphy, Gary Nash, Forest Nelson, Harold Pepinsky, Michael Polen, John Schneider, Charles Tilly, Maris Vinovskis, Nigel Walker, Eugene Watts, and M. Norton Wise. Terence McDonald gave the manuscript an extremely close and useful reading at a final stage, for which I wish specially to thank him.

I have also benefited from seminar discussions of portions of this book at the Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, at the Newberry Library Community and Family History seminar, and at the Social Science seminar at the California Institute of Technology. Parts of Chapter 2 were presented as a paper at the annual meeting of the Organization of American Historians in St. Louis in 1976; parts of Chapter 3 were read at the International Economic History Congress in Edinburgh



Preface xv

in 1978; and parts of the Conclusion were read at the Social Science History Association's annual meeting in Ann Arbor, Michigan, in 1977.

The original data series on which this study is based is available through the Criminal Justice Archive and Information Network, University of Michigan, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

Los Angeles October 1980 Eric H. Monkkonen