

The Social Amplification of Risk

The management of and communication about risks has become a major question of public policy and intellectual debate in the modern world. The social amplification of risk framework describes how both social and individual factors act to amplify or dampen perceptions of risk and through this create secondary effects such as stigmatization of technologies, economic losses, or regulatory impacts. This volume, edited by three of the world's leading analysts of risk and its communication, brings together contributions from a group of international experts working in the field of risk perception and risk communication. Key conceptual issues are discussed as well as a range of recent case studies (spanning BSE and food safety, AIDS/HIV, nuclear power, child protection, Y2K, electromagnetic fields, and waste incineration) that take forward the state-of-the-art in risk amplification theory. The volume also draws attention to lessons for public policy, risk management, and risk communication practice.

Nick Pidgeon is Professor of Environmental Sciences at the University of East Anglia, and Director of the Centre for Environmental Risk. He has written extensively on risk communication and perception issues, including a major contribution to the UK Royal Society Report on Risk (1992), as well as on causes of organizational accidents. He is the author of *Man-Made Disasters* (with B. A. Turner, 1997).

Roger E. Kasperson is Director of the Stockholm Environment Institute. He has been one of the leading geographers in the field of risk and risk communication for the last thirty years. His publications include Global Environmental Risks (with J. X. Kasperson, 2001), Communicating Risks to the Public (with J. Stallen, 1991), Nuclear Risk Analysis in Comparative Perspective (1987), Acceptable Evidence: Science and Values in Hazard Management (with J. X. Kasperson, 1991), and Regions at Risk (with J. X. Kasperson and B. L. Turner, 1995).

Paul Slovic is President of Decision Research and Professor of Psychology at the University of Oregon. He has been one of the leading psychologists in the field of risk perception and behavioral decision making for the past thirty years. His publications include Acceptable Risk (with B. Fischhoff, S. Lichtenstein, R. Keeney, and P. Derby, Cambridge, 1981), Judgement Under Uncertainty (with D. Kahneman and A. Tversky, Cambridge, 1982), The Perception of Risk (2000), and Risk, Media and Stigma (with J. Flynn and H. Kunreuther 2001).



The Social Amplification of Risk

Edited by

Nick Pidgeon

University of East Anglia

Roger E. Kasperson

Stockholm Environment Institute

and

Paul Slovic

Decision Research and University of Oregon





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521520447

© Cambridge University Press 2003

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2003

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-81728-8 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-52044-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables, and other factual information given in this work are correct at the time of first printing but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.



Dedicated to the memory of Jeanne X. Kasperson



Contents

	List of figures List of tables	page x xii
	List of contributors	xiii
	Acknowledgments	xv
	Introduction NICK PIDGEON, ROGER E. KASPERSON, AND PAUL SLOVIC	1
Part I	Conceptual foundations	
1	The social amplification of risk: assessing fifteen years of research and theory	13
	JEANNE X. KASPERSON, ROGER E. KASPERSON, NICK PIDGEON, AND PAUL SLOVIC	
2	The logical structure of the social amplification of risk framework (SARF): <i>Meta</i> theoretical foundations and	
	policy implications EUGENE A. ROSA	47
3	Social amplification of risk and the layering method GLYNIS M. BREAKWELL AND JULIE BARNETT	80
4	Institutional failure and the organizational amplification of risks: the need for a closer look WILLIAM R. FREUDENBURG	102
Part II	Risk signals and the mass media	
5	Trust, transparency, and social context: implications for social amplification of risk LYNN J. FREWER	123
		vii



viii	Contents	
6	Risk and relativity: BSE and the British media JOHN ELDRIDGE AND JACQUIE REILLY	138
7	After amplification: rethinking the role of the media in risk communication GRAHAM MURDOCK, JUDITH PETTS, AND TOM HORLICK-JONES	156
8	Plague and arsenic: assignment of blame in the mass media and the social amplification and attenuation of risk ARVIND SUSARLA	179
Part I	II Public perceptions and social controversy	
9	The dynamics of risk amplification and attenuation in context: a French case study MARC POUMADÈRE AND CLAIRE MAYS	209
10	Public response to Y2K: social amplification and risk adaptation: or, "how I learned to stop worrying and love Y2K" DONALD G. MACGREGOR	243
11	The social dynamics of environmental risk perception: implications for risk communication research and practice TOM HORLICK-JONES, JONATHAN SIME, AND NICK PIDGEON	262
12	Understanding amplification of complex risk issues: the risk story model applied to the EMF case PETER M. WIEDEMANN, MARTIN CLAUBERG, AND HOLGER SCHÜTZ	286
Part I	V Risk ripples and stigma effects	
13	Integrating politics with the social amplification of risk framework: insights from an exploration in the criminal justice context M. V. RAJEEV GOWDA	305
14	Nuclear stigma	326



	Contents	ix
Part V	Policy and management	
15	Searching for the public policy relevance of the risk amplification framework WILLIAM LEISS	355
16	Social amplification of risk in participation: two case studies ORTWIN RENN	374
	Bibliography Index	402 436



Figures

1.1	The social amplification of risk framework	page	14
1.2	Risk amplification and stigmatization		30
2.1	Risk: defining dimensions		58
2.2	Dimensions of risk		59
3.1	BSE secondary data		86
3.2	British newspaper coverage of salmonella and		
	BSE 1988–96		88
3.3	Percentage claiming to be very/quite concerned about		
	food issues		89
3.4	MAFF spending on BSE		91
3.5	Department of Health funding for the CJDSU, and TSE		
	funding outside of the CJDSU		92
3.6	Expenditure on HIV/AIDS health education (and Nation	al	
	Helpline) and voluntary sector		95
3.7	Incidence of HIV, AIDS, and number of deaths from		
	AIDS 1984–99		95
3.8	Non-news TV programs on HIV/AIDS 1983-90		96
3.9	Number of AIDS/HIV reports, features, editorials per		
	quarter: UK national, daily, and Sunday press		97
3.10	HIV tests according to exposure category June 1989-June		
	1997		97
5.1	Media coverage of Chernobyl and BSE	1	34
5.2	The relationship between age and attitudes	1	36
6.1	BSE: number of cases of infection in the UK against the		
	number of articles in UK national newspapers (1986-96)	1	42
7.1	The field of mediated risk communication	1	61
8.1	Map of India	1	82
8.2	Flow of blame signals in arsenic hazard	1	88
8.3	Flow of blame signals in plague hazard	1	89
9.1	Organizational structure of risk communication	2	29
9.2	Double loop of risk communication in Moirans		30
12.1	Risk problems from the perspective of experts	2	87



	List of figures	xi
12.2	Types of risk from the perspective of experts	288
12.3	Interpreting lay views on risk	290
12.4	Juxtaposing risk perspectives of experts and laypersons	293
12.5	Amplification of perceived risk in a risk story context	295
12.6	Social amplification and risk stories	299
12.7	Risk description	300
14.1	Major components of US nuclear industrialization	328
15.1	The risk amplification process	367
16.1	Overall involvement scheme for the waste project:	
	(macro-structure)	379
16.2	Applied methodologies adopted in the project:	
	(micro-structure)	381



Tables

1.1	Risk events with potentially high signal value	page 17
1.2	Images associated with an "underground nuclear waste	
	storage facility"	28
1.3	The facility siting credo	43
7.1	Principal prompts for risk items (UK stories only)	168
8.1	Similarities and differences in the identified signals	187
8.2	Multiple dimensions of blame	194
8.3	Qualitative assessment of the hazard events	195
9.1	Contextual factors and social amplification of risk in the	
	Moirans case	227
10.1	Perceived seriousness of Y2K-related problems	246
10.2	Degree of concern about Y2K	247
10.3	Perceived duration of Y2K-related problems	247
10.4	Percentage of individuals reporting "probably will"	
	undertake specific Y2K-related protections	248
10.5	Percentage of individuals reporting awareness of potential	
	disruptions due to Y2K	249
10.6	Y2K preparedness measures and associated risks	252
10.7	Percentage of individuals reporting "probably will"	
	undertake specific Y2K-related protections according to	
	importance of religion	257
11.1	Focus group details	274
15.1	Contrast between risk management and risk issue	
	management	360

xii



Contributors

- JULIE BARNETT Department of Psychology, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK
- GLYNIS M. BREAKWELL University of Bath, Bath, UK
- MARTIN CLAUBERG Research Centre Jülich, Germany
- JOHN ELDRIDGE Department of Sociology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- JAMES FLYNN Decision Research, Eugene, Oregon, USA
- WILLIAM R. FREUDENBURG Environmental Studies Program, University of California-Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA
- LYNN J. FREWER Department of Marketing and Consumer Behavior, University of Wageningen, The Netherlands
- M. V. RAJEEV GOWDA Economics and Social Sciences, Indian Institute of Management Bangalore, Bangalore, India
- TOM HORLICK-JONES School of Social Sciences, University of Wales Cardiff, Cardiff, UK
- JEANNE X. KASPERSON Stockholm Environment Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
- ROGER E. KASPERSON Stockholm Environment Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
- WILLIAM LEISS Faculty of Management, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada
- DONALD G. MACGREGOR Decision Research, Eugene, Oregon, USA
- CLAIRE MAYS Institut Symlog, Cachan, France
- GRAHAM MURDOCK Department of Social Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

xiii



xiv List of contributors

JUDITH PETTS School of Geography and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

NICK PIDGEON School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

MARC POUMADÈRE Ecole Normale Supérieure, Cachan, France

JACQUIE REILLY Department of Sociology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

ORTWIN RENN Center of Technology Assessment, Stuttgart, Germany

EUGENE A. ROSA Department of Sociology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, USA

HOLGER SCHÜTZ Research Centre Jülich, Germany

JONATHAN SIME University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, and Jonathan Sime Associates, Godalming, UK

PAUL SLOVIC Decision Research and University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, USA

ARVIND SUSARLA School of Geography, Clark University, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

PETER M. WIEDEMANN Research Centre Jülich, Germany



Acknowledgments

This book and the work it describes are part of a set of research projects funded by the United Kingdom Cabinet Office, Civil Aviation Authority, Economic and Social Research Council, Environment Agency, Food Standards Agency, Department of Health, Health and Safety Executive and the Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland. The editors wish to thank the programme steering committee, drawn from various of these sponsoring Departments, and in particular Jean Le Guen and David Rickwood of HSE's Risk Policy Unit, for their consistent help and encouragement throughout the project. We would also like to thank the staff of the St. Catharine's Foundation at Cumberland Lodge for generating such an unique workshop environment, and Dr. Jörg Niewöhner for assisting during the workshop. Above all, the book project would not have been completed without the considerable efforts of Sarah Pearce and Aleks Lopata, who expertly brought the whole manuscript up to a publishable standard, and of Natalia Jones who consolidated the bibliography. We also thank Faye Auty, Nicola Baker, Susan Beer, Peter Bennett, Colleen Bowen, Sarah Caro, David Coles, Gillian Dadd, Neil de Cort, Claire Marris, Jim McQuaid, Adrian Sayce, Peter Simmons, Caroline Tahourdin, Claire Twigger-Ross, and Brian Wynne. The final stages of editing were supported in part by the Leverhulme Trust through the programme grant on Understanding Risk to the University of East Anglia. Individual contributors' acknowledgments appear as footnotes at the beginning of the chapters. Of course, the opinions in this book remain those of the authors alone, and do not represent the views of any government department.