Genetic Suspects

Global Governance of Forensic DNA Profiling and Databasing

As DNA forensic profiling and databasing become established as key technologies in the toolbox of the forensic sciences, their expanding use raises important issues that promise to touch everyone's lives. In an authoritative global investigation of a diversity of countries, including those at the forefront of these technologies' development and use, this book identifies and provides critical reflection upon the many issues of privacy; distributive justice; who shapes and governs DNA information systems; biosurveillance; function creep; the reliability of collection, storage and analysis of DNA profiles; the possibility of transferring medical DNA information to forensics databases; and democratic involvement and transparency in governance, an emergent key issue. This book is timely and significant in providing the essential background and discussion of the ethical, legal and societal dimensions for academics, practitioners, public interest and criminal justice organisations, and students of the life sciences, law, politics and sociology.

RICHARD HINDMARSH is Associate Professor at Griffith School of Environment, and Centre for Governance and Public Policy, Griffith University, Australia. He specialises in co-produced sociotechnical systems analysis informed by science, technology and society (STS) studies; governance and regulation studies; environmental policy; and the politics and sociology of green biotechnology and forensic DNA technologies. Professor Hindmarsh is also an international expert reviewer for both the Australian Research Council and the UK Economic and Social Research Council and invited International Consultative Group member of the (US) Council for Responsible Genetics. Currently, as its co-founder, he is further establishing the Asia–Pacific STS Network, a new regional research community spanning Australasia, East and Southeast Asia and Oceania, as its convenor for 2010–2011.

> BARBARA PRAINSACK is Reader at the Centre for Biomedicine & Society (CBAS) at King's College London, UK. A political scientist by training, her research focuses on how politics, bioscience, religion and 'culture' mutually shape each other, and how they interact with how we understand ourselves as human beings, individuals and citizens. Her research on regulatory and societal aspects of human cloning, stem cell research and DNA testing (both medical and forensic) has featured in national and international media such as BBC News, ABC National Radio (Australia), and *Die Zeit*. She is a member of the Editorial Advisory Boards of *Science as Culture* and *Personalized Medicine*, and a member of the National Bioethics Commission in Austria.

Genetic Suspects

Global Governance of Forensic DNA Profiling and Databasing

Edited by **RICHARD HINDMARSH** Griffith University, Australia

BARBARA PRAINSACK King's College London



> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

> > Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521519434

© Cambridge University Press 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Genetic suspects : global governance of forensic DNA profiling and databasing / edited by Richard Hindmarsh, Barbara Prainsack.

p. cm. Summary: "The introduction of DNA profiling and databasing into the criminal justice system, which began in 1988, when English baker Colin Pitchfork was the first person convicted through the use of DNA evidence (Sanders 2000C001-001)" – Provided by publisher. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-51943-4 1. DNA fingerprinting. I. Hindmarsh, R. A. (Richard A.) II. Prainsack, Barbara. III. Title. RA1057.55.G46 2010 614'.1-dc22 2010011237

ISBN 978-0-521-51943-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

| | About the contributors Foreword | viii xi xix |
|---|--|-------------------|
| | SHEILA JASANOFF Acknowledgements | xxv |
| 1 | Introducing Genetic Suspects RICHARD HINDMARSH AND BARBARA PRAINSACK | 1 |
| | Section 1 Key areas in DNA profiling and databasing | 13 |
| 2 | Key issues in DNA profiling and databasing: implications for governance BARBARA PRAINSACK | 15 |
| 3 | Forensic utilization of voluntarily collected DNA samples: law enforcement versus human rights ELAZAR ZADOK, GALI BEN-OR AND GABRIELA FISMAN | 40 |
| 4 | Base assumptions? Racial aspects of US DNA forensics HARRIET A. WASHINGTON | 63 |
| 5 | Health and wealth, law and order: banking DNA against disease and crime RICHARD TUTTON AND MAIRI LEVITT | 85 |

vi

Contents

| Cambridge University Press |
|---|
| 978-0-521-51943-4 - Genetic Suspects: Global Governance of Forensic DNA Profiling and |
| Databasing |
| Edited by Richard Hindmarsh and Barbara Prainsack |
| Frontmatter |
| More information |
| |

| 6 | DNA profiling versus fingerprint evidence: more of the same? SIMON A. COLE AND MICHAEL LYNCH | 105 |
|----|---|-----|
| | Section 2 National contexts of forensic DNA technologies and key issues | 129 |
| 7 | DNA databases and the forensic imaginary ROBIN WILLIAMS | 131 |
| 8 | Partners in crime: the use of forensic DNA technologies in Austria BARBARA PRAINSACK | 153 |
| 9 | Inquisitorial forensic DNA profiling in the Netherlands and the expansion of the forensic genetic body VICTOR TOOM | 175 |
| 10 | DNA the Nor-way: black-boxing the evidence and monopolising the key JOHANNE YTTRI DAHL | 197 |
| 11 | Portuguese forensic DNA database: political enthusiasm, public trust and probable issues in future practice HELENA MACHADO AND SUSANA SILVA | 218 |
| 12 | On trial! Governing forensic DNA technologies in the USA JAY D. ARONSON | 240 |
| 13 | Biosurveillance and biocivic concerns, from 'truth' to 'trust': the Australian forensic DNA terrain RICHARD HINDMARSH | 262 |
| 14 | Finding the balance: forensic DNA profiling in New Zealand JOHANNA S. VETH AND GERALD MIDGLEY | 288 |

| | | Contents | vii |
|----|--|----------|-----|
| 15 | Forensic DNA profiling and databasing: the Philippine | | |
| | experience | 309 | |
| | MARIA CORAZON DE UNGRIA AND JOSE MANGUERA JOSE | | |
| | | | |
| | Section 3 | | |
| | Conclusions | 331 | |
| | | | |
| 16 | Beyond borders: trends and challenges in global forensi- | С | |
| | profiling and databasing | 333 | |
| | BARBARA PRAINSACK AND RICHARD HINDMARSH | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Index

342

Contributors

Jay D. Aronson

Department of History, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Gali Ben-Or

Legal Counsel and Legislation Department, Israeli Ministry of Justice, Israel

Simon A. Cole

Department of Criminology, Law & Society, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

Johanne Yttri Dahl

Department of Sociology and Political Science, NTNU Social Research, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Maria Corazon A. De Ungria

DNA Analysis Laboratory, Natural Sciences Research Institute, University of the Philippines, Quezon City, Philippines

Gabriela Fisman

Legal Counsel and Legislation Department, Israeli Ministry of Justice, Israel

Richard Hindmarsh

Griffith School of Environment and Centre for Governance and Public Policy, Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia

Sheila Jasanoff

John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

viii

List of contributors ix

Jose Manguera Jose

Legal Consultant, DNA Analysis Laboratory, Natural Sciences Research Institute, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

Mairi Levitt

Department of Philosophy, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Michael Lynch

Department of Science & Technology Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Helena Machado

Department of Sociology, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

Gerald Midgley

Institute of Environmental Science & Research, Christchurch, New Zealand

Barbara Prainsack

Centre for Biomedicine & Society, King's College London, London, UK

Susana Silva

Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Porto Medical School, Porto, Portugal

Victor Toom

Amsterdam School for Social Science Research, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Richard Tutton

ESRC Centre for the Economic and Social Aspects of Genomics (Cesagen), Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Johanna S. Veth Institute of Environmental Science & Research, Christchurch, New Zealand

Harriet A. Washington

Independent researcher, USA

x List of contributors

Robin Williams

School of Applied Social Sciences, University of Durham, Durham, UK

Elazar Zadok

Independent researcher and consultant in forensic science and management (former Director of the Division of Identification and Forensic Science (DIFS) of the Israel Police)

About the contributors

Jay D. Aronson is an Associate Professor of Science, Technology, and Society at Carnegie Mellon University. His research and teaching focus is on the interactions of science, technology, law and human rights in a variety of contexts. His first book, *Genetic Witness: Science, Law, and Controversy in the Making of DNA Profiling* (Rutgers University Press, 2007), examines the development of forensic DNA analysis in the American legal system. He is currently engaged in a long-term study of the ethical, political and social dimensions of post-conflict and post-disaster DNA identification of the missing and disappeared. He received his PhD in History of Science and Technology from the University of Minnesota and was both a pre- and postdoctoral fellow at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government.

Gali Ben-Or did her law degree at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem in 1992, and was admitted to the Israeli Bar in 1993. Since 1995, she has worked in the Legal Counsel and Legislation Department of the Israeli Ministry of Justice. She coordinated the work of the governmental team that prepared the National Forensic Databases Bill, which established the DNA database in Israel. She is also in charge of legal advice and legislation in the fields of judicial review, administrative courts, surrogacy, cloning, genetics and bioethics, and she participates and represents the government during the legislation process in the Israeli Parliament committees. Gali also lectures in different forums in Israel regarding issues relating to her work.

Simon A. Cole is an Associate Professor and Chair of the Department of Criminology, Law and Society at the University of California, Irvine. He took his first degree in History at

xii About the contributors

Princeton University and a PhD in Science and Technology Studies at Cornell University. His most recent book is *Truth Machine: The Contentious History of DNA Fingerprinting* (with Michael Lynch, Ruth McNally & Kathleen Jordan; University of Chicago Press, 2008). He is a member of the American Judicature Society Commission on Forensic Science & Public Policy. His current interests are the sociology of forensic science and the development of criminal identification databases and biometric technologies.

Johanne Yttri Dahl is a Lecturer at the Norwegian Police University College. She has submitted her doctoral thesis in sociology about the use of DNA evidence in courts and forensic DNA databases at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. Previously Johanne worked as a research assistant on the project UrbanEye: On the Threshold to Urban Panopticon. Her research interests lie within the fields of security, surveillance and gender.

Maria Corazon A. De Ungria is the Head of the DNA Analysis Laboratory, Natural Sciences Research Institute at the University of the Philippines. She obtained her PhD in Microbiology at the University of New South Wales in Australia. She has written numerous papers on the forensic use of DNA and has been recognised as an expert witness by the Philippine Supreme Court. She was awarded as one of the 2007 Ten Outstanding Women in the Nations Service (TOWNS) and one of the 2003 Ten Outstanding Young Scientists by the National Academy of Science and Technology. In 2007, she was appointed as one of five regional Affiliate Fellows for East and Southeast Asia by the Academy of Sciences for the Developing World (TWAS).

Gabriela Fisman obtained her LLB (2000) and MA in political Science (2002) at Tel Aviv University, Israel. Gabriela was admitted to the Israeli Bar in 2001. Between 1995 and 2001, Gabriela held different positions as an academic assistant at Tel-Aviv University and the Israeli branch of Manchester University. In 2002, Gabriela joined the Legal Counsel and Legislation Department of the Israeli Ministry of Justice and has since represented the government in the legislating processes relating to criminal law, with emphasis on inspection and enforcement powers (investigation, detention and arrest, search and body

About the contributors xiii

cavity search), suspects' rights, criminal record and police operation. Gabriela participated in the legislation process regulating the establishment and management of Israel's National Forensic DNA Database.

Richard Hindmarsh is an Associate Professor at the Centre for Governance and Public Policy, Griffith University, and the Griffith School of Environment, Brisbane, Australia. In playing a major role in the rise of Australasian biotechnology and society studies, he has drawn together cutting-edge anthologies and journal issues in new genetics, including New Genetics and Society and Science as Culture. His monograph Edging Towards BioUtopia: A New Politics of Life and the Democratic Challenge (University of Western Australia Press, 2008) is a foundational social history of green biotechnology in Australia, highlighting particularly the systemic failure of regulatory frameworks either to deal with genetically modified organisms released into the environment or to adequately reflect public concern. His engagement in forensic DNA technologies was especially stimulated through the international collaborative research project Genes Without Borders - Towards Global Genomic Governance (2006-2008). He is currently establishing a new regional research community called the Asia-Pacific Science, Technology and Society (STS) Network.

Sheila Jasanoff is Pforzheimer Professor of Science and Technology Studies at Harvard University's John F. Kennedy School of Government. She is affiliated with the Department of the History of Science and the program in Environmental Science and Public Policy; she holds a visiting appointment at Harvard Law School. She has held academic appointments at Cornell, Yale, Cambridge, Oxford, MIT, and Kyoto. At Cornell, she founded and chaired the Department of Science and Technology Studies. She has been Leverhulme Visiting Professor at Cambridge, Karl Deutsch Professor at the Science Center Berlin and Fellow at the Berlin Institute for Advanced Study (Wissenschaftskolleg). She has done pioneering research on the relationship of science and technology with law, politics and policy in modern democratic societies, looking particularly at the role of science in cultures of public participation and public reasoning. She has written and lectured widely on environmental regulation, risk management, the politics of the life sciences and the governance of science and

xiv About the contributors

technology in the USA, Europe and India. Her books on these topics include *Controlling Chemicals* (with Ronald Brickman and Thomas Ilgen; Cornell University Press, 1985), *The Fifth Branch* (Harvard University Press, 1990), *Science at the Bar* (Harvard University Press, 1995) and *Designs on Nature* (Princeton University Press, 2005).

Jose Manguera Jose is the Secretary of the Integrated Bar of the Philippines (IBP) Makati Chapter, a professional organisation of lawyers, and is active in its legal aid programme. In 1999 and 2001, he was named the Most Outstanding Legal Aide Lawyer by the IBP. He obtained his law degree from the University of the Philippines College of Law in 1988 and was the 15th placer in that year's bar examination. He has given lectures on expert witness testimony, collection of crime scene DNA evidence and the rule on DNA evidence promulgated by the Philippine Supreme Court. He is a practising litigation lawyer and is currently working on a book on the legal issues concerning the use of DNA evidence.

Mairi Levitt is a Senior Lecturer and Head of the Philosophy Department at Lancaster University. She has a social science background and a PhD; since 1993, she has engaged in multidisciplinary research on the ethical and social implications of genetics and biotechnology. Her research projects in different substantive areas have involved engagement work with the general public, young people and stakeholders. Her research on genetic databases includes exploring issues of trust in relation to the UK Biobank, a project on children's and parent's attitudes to the National DNA database and the Criminal Genes and Public Policy project, which examined the possible legal, social and political issues raised by current research in behavioural genetics through discussions with relevant researchers and professional groups.

Michael Lynch is a Professor in the Department of Science & Technology Studies at Cornell University. His research is on discourse, visual representation and practical action in research laboratories, clinical settings and legal tribunals. His most recent book, *Truth Machine: The Contentious History of DNA Fingerprinting* (with Simon Cole, Ruth McNally and Kathleen Jordan; University of Chicago Press, 2008) examines the interplay between law and science in criminal cases involving DNA evidence. He is Editor of the journal *Social Studies of Science* and served as President of the Society for Social Studies of Science from 2007 to 2009.

About the contributors xv

Helena Machado is an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology and Senior Researcher at the Research Centre for the Social Sciences, University of Minho, Portugal. She has a Ph.D. in sociology and has written books and papers in a wide range of topics related to justice system and uses of DNA technology. Her research interests are primarily in the fields of forensic genetics, press representations of genetics and interfaces between the criminal justice system and the mass media. She has recently published in *Crime, Media and Culture* and *Public Understanding of Science*.

Gerald Midgley is a Senior Science Leader in the Institute of Environmental Science and Research, New Zealand. He has Visiting Professorships at the University of Hull (UK), the University of Queensland (Australia), the University of Canterbury (New Zealand) and Victoria University of Wellington (New Zealand). He is the author of *Systemic Intervention: Philosophy, Methodology, and Practice* (Kluwer/Plenum, 2000); the editor of *Systems Thinking* (Sage, 2003); and the co-editor of Community Operational Research: OR and Systems Thinking for Community Development (with Alejandro Ochoa-Arias; Kluwer/Plenum, 2004).

Barbara Prainsack is Reader at the Centre for Biomedicine & Society (CBAS) at King's College London, UK. Prior to joining King's in 2007, she worked at the Department of Political Science and the Life Science Governance Platform at the University of Vienna, Austria, where she led the international collaborative project Genes Without Borders – Towards Global Genomic Governance (2006–2008), out of which this volume developed. Her research focuses on how politics, bioscience, religion and 'culture' mutually constitute each other, and how they interact with how we understand ourselves as human beings, individuals and citizens. She is a member of the Editorial Advisory Boards of *Science as Culture* and *Personalized Medicine*, and of the National Bioethics Commission in Austria.

Susana Silva has a PhD in sociology and worked as a postdoctoral researcher and lecturer at the Research Centre for the Social Sciences, Department of Sociology, University of Minho, before moving to Cardiovascular Research and Development Unit, Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Porto Medical School, Portugal, where she works as a senior researcher.

xvi About the contributors

Her main research interests are the processes of the mutual shaping of science, technology, law and gender within the medically assisted reproduction in Portugal, with a primary focus on interactions between expert and lay knowledge, informed consent and rhetoric related to donation of embryos, eggs and sperm. She has recently published in *Health, Risk and Society*, and *New Genetics and Society*.

Victor Toom has submitted his PhD on forensic genetic practices in the Netherlands. He considers himself a scholar of science and technology studies and is a member of the Amsterdam School for Social Science Analysis, a research institute at the University of Amsterdam. He teaches several courses at the university, among them 'Our Genetic Identity' at the Institute of Interdisciplinary Studies, and 'Policy, Ethics and Media' for a masters of science course. His research interests include genetics and society, (international) law and biomedical practices.

Richard Tutton is a Senior Lecturer at the Centre for Economic and Social Aspects of Genomics (Cesagen) at Lancaster University, UK. He works at the intersections of the social studies of science and the sociology of health and illness. His research interests are in the social and technical aspects of biobanking for biomedical research and the implications of developments in science, technology and medicine for cultural and social identities. He co-edited *Genetic Databases: Socio-ethical Issues in the Collection and Use of DNA* (with Oonagh Corrigan; Routledge, 2004).

Johanna S. Veth is a forensic scientist at the Institute of Environmental Science and Research in New Zealand. She is responsible for the analysis and interpretation of biological evidence recovered from crime scenes and regularly provides expert witness testimony. Johanna is also a doctoral candidate at the University of Canterbury and her current research interests include investigating the extent and nature of the gap between lay and professional understandings of forensic DNA technologies and identifying potential consequences for the criminal justice system.

Harriet A. Washington spent 2002–2005 as a Research Fellow in Medical Ethics at Harvard Medical School after a John S. Knight Fellowship at Stanford University and a Harvard Fellowship for Advanced Studies in Public Health. Her books include *Medical*

About the contributors xvii

Apartheid: The Dark History of Medical Experimentation on Black Americans from Colonial Times to the Present, which won the 2007 National Book Critics Circle Award. She has been a visiting faculty member at DePaul University, and the University of Chicago. Her work has appeared in Nature, the American Journal of Public Health, the New England Journal of Medicine, the New York Times, and Harper's. She co-authored a 2008 Journal of the American Medical Association paper that provided the basis for the association's apology to US black physicians.

Robin Williams is Professor Emeritus in the School of Applied Social Sciences at Durham University and a Professor in the School of Applied Sciences at Northumbria University. He coauthored a book on the growth of forensic DNA databases, *Genetic Policing: The Use of DNA in Criminal Investigations* (with Paul Johnson; Willan, 2008) and has recently co-edited a *Handbook of Forensic Science* (with Jim Fraser; Willan, 2009). He was a member of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics Working Party on the Forensic Uses of Bioinformation and is currently completing a Wellcome Trust-funded study of the growth of forensic DNA databases across the European Union. He has recently begun work on the first UK study of the use of forensic science in homicide investigations.

Elazar (Azi) Zadok obtained his PhD in organic chemistry at the Weizman Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel in 1983. He served in different senior scientific positions in the Israeli Defense Forces and the Israeli chemical industries. During 2000–2007, he was the Director of the Division of Identification and Forensic Science of the Israel National Police, ranking Brigadier General. He was deeply involved in the legislation and the establishment processes of the Israeli National Forensic DNA Database, becoming operational early in 2006. He also led the process of accreditation of the National Forensic Laboratories. He is now retired, serving as consultant for forensic services construction in developing countries, and is still active in lecturing on legislative and ethical perspectives of forensic databases.

Foreword

Without science and its muscular twin technology, contemporary societies would be reduced to chaos. We would lose much of our ability to read, write, communicate, travel, grow crops, raise animals, cook food or find clean water to sustain our lives. Commercial transaction would stop; financial institutions be crippled; emergency services incapacitated, and hospitals no longer able to provide essential treatment. In that devastated, dying world, law and order would break down, and violence would flourish. Not insignificantly, we would lose the capacity to track and prosecute lawbreakers and criminals. Today, even law enforcement has become a 'high-tech' business, and DNA profiling, the subject of this book, is the most highly valued recent addition to the toolkit of the forensic sciences. For law enforcement agencies, it is hard to imagine life before or without it.

Technology's benefits for social order are obvious, ubiquitous and unquestionable. Yet, since long before the scientific revolution, human beings have looked upon the unchecked thirst for knowledge and its applications as dangerous things. Humanity's Faustian bargain with science set us on a path of discovering more and more about the way the world works and accomplishing more impressive feats with the results of that knowledge. But around the bends of the brightly lit corridors of enlightenment lurked unintended consequences that threatened to usurp our humanity and even annihilate us physically. Advances in the life sciences and technologies have proved particularly alarming because they destabilize the worth of life itself. Biological inventiveness calls for heightened attention to ensure that important human values are not lost because no one is watching. How to cultivate that sense of social alertness - an instinct as valuable to advanced industrial civilizations as keenness of sight, smell and hearing were to our prehistoric ancestors - remains one of modernity's most pressing problems.

xx Sheila Jasanoff

This volume provides one appealing answer: a multi-sited case study of a powerful, emerging sociotechnical system that invites readers to address its benefits, its risks and its governance. The authors follow a single new technology - DNA profiling - from the whiff of promise in a UK laboratory to the reality of institutionalized law enforcement practices around the world. It is a relatively short history, but revolutionary in its implications. In 1985, the British scientist Alec Jeffreys discovered almost by chance that random variations in the structure of genes could be used as a technique for identifying individuals from samples of their DNA. Within a bare quarter century, DNA profiling became the best known and most celebrated instrument of forensic science, a virtually failsafe tool, if properly used, for linking violent crimes to the persons who perpetrated them. To prosecutors, this was fingerprinting on steroids: a technique based on the soundest of basic science and seeming to eliminate virtually all possibility of false identifications.

But DNA profiling turned out to be less than failsafe in practice and to have troubling uses beyond the identification of guilty and violent people. Compiled into databases, DNA identifiers offer a highly efficient means of storage and retrieval of personal information that can be used to track, group and classify people with or without their acquiescence. Once a person's biological identity gets locked up in a DNA database, the profiled individual has very little say in how that information will be used and managed. If mistakes were made, or inappropriate data collected, those facts remain largely outside the individual's capacity to detect or correct. Instead, the technology seems ideally suited to feeding the appetites of the all-seeing state as conceived by Michel Foucault and other students of late modernity. In the aggregate, the DNA profiles of all those 'genetic suspects' who give this book its title provide the raw material for constructing innumerable knowable and manageable populations, whose identities and traits the state can call up whenever such groupings serve its purposes of surveillance and control.

The precision of DNA profiling, the very feature that makes it miraculous in the hands of responsible law enforcement authorities, also enables its potentially grave misuse. Consider the following description by Jeffrey Rosen (2009)

In March 2003, a drunk in southern England threw a brick off a bridge late at night, striking and killing a truck driver traveling along the freeway below. Armed with DNA from the blood on the brick, the British

Foreword xxi

police searched the United Kingdom's national DNA database, which includes convicted felons and people who have been arrested, but failed to get a direct match. They then conducted a DNA dragnet, asking hundreds of young men in the area to donate a sample voluntarily, but still came up short. Without any other leads, the police decided to conduct what's called a 'familial search' of the national DNA database.

That search, when trimmed to two counties near the crime scene, produced 25 partial matches that were deemed close enough to warrant further follow-through. Police investigators interviewed the person with the largest number of shared alleles and discovered he had a brother, who was then asked to provide a sample of his DNA. This time, the match was perfect; confronted with the evidence, the brother confessed and was subsequently convicted of manslaughter.

The case presents all the features that have won the allegiance of police departments the world over. A seemingly random act that in the past might have entered the annals of unsolved tragedy now proves traceable. The proverbially long arm of the law catches up with a criminally irresponsible man who killed and ran. The accused is brought to justice; the victim is avenged. Neat, orderly, satisfying. Case closed. And yet the story has resonances that are not altogether pretty. There is first of all the 'voluntary' dragnet - one of those 'offers' an invitee cannot refuse. There is the question of what happens to all those samples collected from persons who had no business being swept into a suspect DNA database other than their random association with the time and place of a crime. Then there are issues of distributive justice. In chronically unequal societies, what will prevent the state's suspicious eye from landing more frequently on the poor and underrepresented, thereby distorting and, almost inevitably, making mistakes in the delivery of justice? What new uses will be found as the technologies of extracting information from DNA mature, and who will participate in the design of ever-expanding information systems? Overarching all, are questions deriving from the special attributes of the DNA profile, a far more comprehensive record of a person's biological characteristics, and of familial and racial relationships, than the varied footprints, fingerprints, voiceprints, teeth marks, signatures, video images, eye-witness recollections and even blood samples left behind as possible identifiers by earlier generations of suspect individuals.

Part historical, part contemporary, part case study and part comparison, this collection of essays by a distinguished array of international experts presents DNA profiling as a technology manifestly in

xxii Sheila Jasanoff

need of better governance. The editors, Richard Hindmarsh and Barbara Prainsack, have divided the collection into two richly informative sections, each of which strengthens and underlines the significance of the other. The first part introduces DNA profiling as a complex technological system, composed of heterogeneous social and material elements and practices that give rise to problems of governance at the same time that they promise to solve the 'who dunnit' question that is one of any law-abiding society's prime concerns. For example, the practice of the DNA dragnet, so effectively deployed in the UK case of the bloody brick, may through its focus on specific geographical locations lead to increased surveillance of ethnic and racial minorities. Elazar Zadok, Gali Ben-Or and Gabriela Fisman explicitly note that possibility in their chapter on forensic DNA practices in Israel - a nation in which geography and ethnicity reinforce each other with toxic effects. But concern may be warranted in much tamer political settings, as Harriet Washington and Jay Aronson suggest in their studies of the entanglement of race and policing in forensic DNA practices in the USA.

Forensic DNA profiling, moreover, sits in both historical and contemporary proximity to other techniques that illuminate and help to reinforce the governance challenges that this technology presents. As Richard Tutton and Mairi Levitt point out, forensic DNA databases coexist with medical ones, and yet the implications of the two compilations differ from the standpoint of the persons profiled in each storage system. The 'genetic suspect' who falls within the state's dragnet loses privacy, freedom and autonomy; for suspects, there is little prospect of the self-fashioning and group affiliation, or the exercise of active citizenship discussed in biomedical contexts by authors such as Shobita Parthasarathy (2007), Nikolas Rose (2006) and Paul Rabinow (1992). Mistakes, too, are graver when a suspect's life and liberty may be at stake. Here, the historical gaze imparted by Simon Cole and Michael Lynch, who draw analogies between DNA profiling and other flawed biometric technologies of the past, offers a healthy antidote to unbridled enthusiasm. The juxtaposition of historical and contemporary practices adds depth to this collection, as is well illustrated by the pairing of the Cole and Lynch chapter with the chapters by Robin Williams and Jay Aronson on the origins of forensic DNA profiling in England and Wales and in the USA, respectively.

The book's second part reviews the introduction of DNA profiling into national law enforcement systems in three largely white, industrially advanced regions of the world, the European Union, the USA and

Foreword xxiii

Australasia. These studies offer valuable insights into the conditioning of this technology by specific features of national legal culture. The contrasts reach deep down, not only into divergent histories of judging and prosecuting but also into different national expectations of how to run a responsible law enforcement system. As Victor Toom recounts in the Dutch case, for instance, a rapid and relatively uncontroversial expansion of forensic DNA tests from only violent crimes, such as murder, to routine high-volume ones, such as burglary, reflected traditions of legal centralization and the belief in the impartiality of judicially managed fact finding in the Netherlands. More generally, all of the country case authors show that prior histories of public experiences with government and law enforcement – whether relatively trusting as in Johanne Yttri Dahl's Norway or mistrustful as in the Portugal described by Helena Machado and Susana Silva – influence policies for managing DNA databases, including rules of access and accountability.

What emerges from these individual accounts is a technology in flux. DNA profiling is everywhere founded on the same secure core of biological knowledge, and it raises similar questions about human rights and liberties; however, national practices and debates differ, reflecting political tensions and concerns that vary substantially from state to state. These socially conditioned differences, emphasized in each chapter, add up to a strong argument for more explicit forms of citizen engagement in the governance of forensic DNA profiling; indeed, calls for more transparency form the book's most compelling *leitmotiv*. We are left wondering, however, about the global implications of these analyses as the technology spreads through regions with far less experience of democratic involvement.

Apart from the chapter on the Philippines by Maria Corazon De Ungria and Jose M. Jose and that on Portugal by Helena Machado and Susana Silva, describing countries that did not have operational national forensic databases as of this writing, the distribution of the country cases reflects the typical diffusion pattern of 'high-tech' innovation. Novel technologies originate where wealth and knowledge are most concentrated and are then exported to other societies and cultures with considerably different histories of technology and governance. We know from decades of work on the co-production of natural knowledge and social order that technologies are never ethically or politically neutral: they carry within them, particular, culturally conditioned imaginaries of good and evil, what (and, in the case of DNA profiles, *who*) should be encouraged and what (or who) should be suppressed (Jasanoff 2004, 2005). What moves in transfers of technology is not

xxiv Sheila Jasanoff

simply expertise or technique, it is an entire mode of knowledge and organization, in this case of the human subject in relation to institutions of power. The very fact of technologies of social control imagined and produced in the West for use in the rest of the world raises political and ethical dilemmas that the editors and authors invite readers to ponder for themselves.

These observations point to one caveat concerning this timely and thought-provoking compendium. Empirical richness, local specificity and breadth of coverage are gained to some extent at the expense of a unifying theoretical vision and deep, cross-national comparative insight. The authors offer a hugely intelligent examination of a technology of control that is still in the making, when handholds still exist in many places for intervening in its modes of governance. The book addresses only briefly in its conclusion how DNA profiling will fit into emerging structures of global governance, and it leaves unaddressed how the transitions and frictions discussed here relate to the broader repositioning of the human in the post-DNA analytics of law and political theory. Necessarily, then, this book will have to sit beside works that attempt a more ambitious social theorization of the genetic revolution in all its complexity. As a study of one key, technologically mediated component of that transformation, however, this book sets a high standard of scholarship and insight that will be hard to beat.

> Sheila Jasanoff Harvard University

REFERENCES

Jasanoff, S. (ed.) (2004). States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and Social Order. London: Routledge.

- Jasanoff, S. (2005). *Designs on Nature: Science and Democracy in Europe and the United States.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Parthasarathy, S. (2007). Building Genetic Medicine: Breast Cancer, Technology, and the Comparative Politics of Health Care. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rabinow, P. (1992). Artificiality and enlightenment: from sociobiology to biosociality. In *Incorporations, eds.* J. Crary and S. Kwinter. New York: Bradbury Tamblyn and Boorne, pp. 234–252.
- Rose, N. (2006). The Politics of Life Itself: Biomedicine, Power, and Subjectivity in the Twenty-First Century. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Rosen, J. (2009). Genetic surveillance for all. *Slate*, March 17 http://www.slate. com/id/2213958/pagenum/all/

Acknowledgements

We conceived the idea of *Genetic Suspects* at the conference *The Global Governance of Genomics: Testing Genes, Profiling DNA: Medicine, Forensics, Ethics* (Mendel Museum, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 1–3 November 2007), from which a number of the contributors were drawn. In that respect we gratefully acknowledge the support for the conference of Genomeresearch in Austria (www.genau.at) programme of the Federal Austrian Ministry of Science and Research, the Austrian Science and Research Liaison Office Brno (ASO) and the Centre for Governance and Public Policy, Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia.

We thank our contributors, who represent an important and international group of authors concerned with profound issues relating to contemporary DNA databasing and profiling. We are grateful to our language editor, Jane Neuda, for her critical reading of the manuscript, which went far beyond the call of duty. We also thank all those who supported and accompanied the genesis of this volume in different ways including David Gurwitz, Reinhard Schmid, Hendrik Wagenaar, Nikolas Rose and Herbert Gottweis.

Last, but not least, we express our appreciation to Dominic Lewis and Katrina Halliday at Cambridge University Press for their invaluable help at every stage in the process; they have well contributed to the production of this book as a very enjoyable experience.

Richard Hindmarsh and Barbara Prainsack