

Contents

	Table of Cases	page xi
	Table of Statutes	xviii
1	Introduction	1
	Introduction	1
	Basic propositions	1
	Summary of the book	3
	Why the power to remove charities from the register is important	4
	The importance of the charity sector in the delivery of public	
	services	4
	Tax reliefs	6
	Donors	6
	Law reform	7
	Introduction to the general law	8
	The register of charities	8
	The Commission's powers of removal	8
	General approach to writing the book	10
2	Essential indicia of charitable status	12
	Introduction	12
	A legal obligation to carry out the charitable purposes	14
	One or more of the three certainties is lacking	18
	Certainty of words	18
	Certainty of subject matter	19
	Certainty of objects	19
	Express clauses in a governing instrument which prevent	
	charitable status	20
	The charitable objects are too widely drafted	22
	Jurisdiction	23
	Charitable purposes	23
	What are charitable purposes?	24
	The Commission's dilemma	26
	Objects or activities?	27



Contents

	The objects are ambiguous	28
	There is a doubt about whether the objects are charitable	29
	The objects do not pass the public benefit test	30
	Sham charities	31
	Objects or activities: Conclusion	31
	Fine distinctions	32
	Public benefit	34
	Introduction	34
	What is public benefit?	34
	Why is public benefit important to the question of removal now?	34
	Problem areas in the law of public benefit	35
	Little analysis by the court	35
	Public benefit differs from one head of charity to another	36
	The balance between public and private benefit	37
	Harm or benefit?	37
	Emphasis on form over substance	38
	Anomalous decisions	41
	The concept of public benefit changes with time	41
	Public benefit conclusion	42
	Viability	42
	Independence from the state	43
	Conclusion	43
3	The nowers of the Commission to remove charities	
3	The powers of the Commission to remove charities	45
3	from the register	45 45
3	from the register Introduction	45
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity'	45 45
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist'	45 45 50
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate'	45 45 50 50
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification	45 45 50 50 52
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary	45 45 50 50 52 52
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity'	45 45 50 50 52 52 52
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 52 53
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court Removal on a different ground	45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60 65
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court Removal on a different ground Making law	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court Removal on a different ground Making law Cy-pres application of property where the institution	45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60 65
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court Removal on a different ground Making law Cy-pres application of property where the institution was never a charity	45 45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60 65 65
3	from the register Introduction 'No longer considers is a charity' 'Ceased to exist' 'Does not operate' Rectification Summary 'No Longer considers is a charity' Never a charity Never had the essential indicia of charitable status Sham charities Change in the law Mistaken understanding of the law Distinguishing decisions of the court Removal on a different ground Making law Cy-pres application of property where the institution	45 50 50 52 52 52 53 53 54 57 59 60 65

vi



	Content	Contents	
	An institution was a charity but is no longer charitable	71	
	Introduction	71	
	Cy-pres where the institution was a charity but is no longer		
	charitable	71	
	'Any change in its trusts'	73	
	'Ceased to exist'	75	
	Trusts	76	
	Trusts without permanent endowment	76	
	Trusts with permanent endowment	77	
	Unincorporated associations	80	
	Companies limited by guarantee	80	
	Striking off	81	
	Petitions to wind up a company	81	
	The survival of charity following dissolution	81	
	Restoration to the register	82	
	Bona vacantia property applied cy-pres by the Crown	82	
	Special trusts	83	
	The survival of charity following dissolution – Conclusion	83	
	'Does not operate'	83	
	Conclusion	84	
4	Limits on the Commission's powers to remove controversial		
	charities from the register	85	
	Introduction	85	
	Charities connected to the state	85	
	Why are charities connected to the state controversial?	86	
	Possible grounds for removal	86	
	Critical analysis of the possible grounds for removal	87	
	How the critical analysis supports the basic propositions	89	
	Charitable schools which charge fees	89	
	Why charitable schools which charge fees are controversial	89	
	Possible grounds for removal	90	
	Critical analysis of the possible grounds for removal	91	
	How the critical analysis supports the basic propositions	93	
	New religious movement charities	94	
	Why new religious movement charities are controversial	94	
	Possible grounds for removal	94	
	Failure to demonstrate public benefit	94	
	Not promoting a religion for the purposes of charity law	95	
	Critical analysis of the possible grounds for removal	97	
	Failure to demonstrate public benefit	97	
	Not promoting a 'Religion' for the purpose of charity law	99	
	How the critical analysis supports the basic propositions	102	
	Conclusion	102	
		vii	



Contents

Property	103
<u> </u>	103
	103
<u>-</u>	104
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	105
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100
•	108
	100
	112
Conclusion	113
The Commission's powers of investigation and the use	
-	
8	114
	114
	114
1 1	115
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	116
	116
**	117
· ·	118
	119
	120
	120
· · ·	121
*	121
	123
	123
	124
Conclusion	124
How the Commission's powers to remove charities	
	126
	126
	126
	128
	129
* ***	120
•	130
	132
	133
•	133
Necessary in a democratic society	136
	The Commission's powers of investigation and the use of those powers to remove charities from the register Introduction Powers of investigation and protection Sections 18(1) and 19 protective powers Section 18(2) protective powers Appointment of additional trustees Appointment of an interim manager Making schemes Removal of trustees The institution of the inquiry leads the trustees to conclude that they should dissolve the charity New powers under the Charities Act 2006 Documentary analysis Does not operate Ceased to exist Change in trusts No longer considers is a charity Conclusion

viii



Contents

General and particular Convention rights and the Commission's power		
to remove charities from the register	136	
Article 1, Protocol 1: Right to property		
The peaceful enjoyment of property	137	
Deprivation of possessions	138	
The state is entitled to control the use of property		
in accordance with the general interest by enforcing		
such laws as it deems necessary for that purpose	138	
Limits on Article 1, Protocol 1	138	
The public or general interest	138	
Proportionality	139	
Subject to conditions provided by law	139	
Article 14: Freedom from discrimination	140	
Do the facts fall within the ambit of one or more		
of the substantive Convention rights?	141	
Different treatment	141	
Comparable situation	143	
Justification	144	
Legitimate aim	144	
Proportionality	144	
Margin of appreciation	145	
Article 9: Freedom of thought, conscience and religion	145	
Religion or belief	146	
Limits on Article 9	149	
Prescribed by law	151	
Necessary in a democratic society	151	
For the protection of the rights and freedoms of others	151	
For the protection of health and morals	151	
Article 2, Protocol 1: Right to education		
Article 2, Protocol 1 and Article 14 together	155	
Respect for the religious and philosophical convictions of parents	156	
Limits on Article 2, Protocol 1	157	
Article 10: Freedom of expression	157	
Think tanks	160	
Limits to Article 10: Freedom of expression	162	
Prescribed by law	162	
Legitimate aims	163	
For the protection of the rights of others	164	
Maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary	164	
Necessary in a democratic society	165	
Article 10 and Article 14 together	165	
Reinterpretation of the rule against political objects	166 167	
The Charities Act 2006		
Conclusion		

ix



Contents

8	Grounds for appeal	171
-	Introduction	171
	Appealing against direct removal under section 3(4) Charities	
	Act 1993	174
	Appealing against indirect removal	175
	Direct and indirect removal, judicial review and common-law action	177
	Direct removal, judicial review and common-law action	179
	The right to be heard and the Human Rights Act 1998:	
	Article 6: A right to a fair trial	180
	Legitimate expectation	180
	Estoppel	182
	Negligent mis-statement	183
	Indirect removal, judicial review and common-law action	184
	Ultra vires, Wednesbury unreasonableness and bias	184
	The right to be heard and the Human Rights Act 1998:	
	Article 6: Right to a fair trial	185
	Natural justice	186
	Estoppel and negligent mis-statement	187
	Conclusion	187
	Costs	188
	Alternatives to an appeal to the court	191
	The Commission's internal review procedure	191
	The Commission's complaints procedure	192
	The Ombudsman	192
	Conclusion	193
9	Conclusion	194
	Introduction	194
	Law reform	194
	The Commission's powers of removal are limited	194
	No powers of removal	195
	Greater powers of removal	196
	Powers to protect the property of removed institutions	197
	The Commission's powers of removal should be further restricted	198
	Conclusion: More or less removal?	199
	There is a distinction between a governing instrument of a charity	
	and its property	199
	The problem of legality	200
	The need for clarification	200
	Access to justice	200
	Overall conclusion	202
	Bibliography	203
	Index	208