

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

What are species? What are the factors involved in their evolution? Dr Max King presents an up-to-date synthesis of theoretical, experimental and descriptive perspectives on speciation in higher organisms. The book provides a fresh insight into the processes involved in speciation utilizing the multi-dimensional databases now available. The author clearly and concisely analyses the most recent research in plant and animal populations, concentrating on the evolutionary processes, the role of chromosomes and the genetic mechanisms involved in speciation.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Species Evolution

the role of chromosome change

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Species

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Evolution

the role of chromosome change

MAX KING

*Honorary Senior Research Fellow,
School of Genetics and Human Variation,
La Trobe University, Victoria, Australia*



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1993

First published 1993

Reprinted 1994

First paperback edition 1995

Printed in Great Britain at
the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

King, Max.

Species Evolution : the role of chromosome change / by Max King.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references (p.) and index.

ISBN 0-521-35308-4 (hc)

1. Species. 2. Variation (Biology) 3. Mutation (Biology)

I. Title

QH380.K56 1993

575.2-dc20 92-33870 CIP

ISBN 0 521 353084 hardback

ISBN 0 521 48454 5 paperback

RL

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-48454-1 - Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change

Max King

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

For Pamela

Contents

Preface	xv
Copyright acknowledgements	xix
1 Introduction: genes, dreams and structural rearrangements	1
2 The species – what’s in a name?	7
2.1 The Linnaean species, morphology and systematics	8
2.2 Biological species concepts	10
2.2.1 The biological species concept (BSC) (Mayr, 1942, 1963)	10
2.2.2 The biological species concept mark II (Mayr, 1982a)	13
2.2.3 The recognition species concept (Paterson, 1978, 1985)	14
2.2.4 The cohesion species concept (Templeton, 1989)	16
2.3 Evolutionary species concepts	19
2.3.1 Simpson’s (1961) evolutionary species concept	19
2.3.2 Wiley’s (1978) evolutionary species concept	21
2.3.3 The ecological species concept (Van Valen, 1976)	23
2.3.4 The phylogenetic species concept (Cracraft, 1983)	25
2.4 Concluding remarks	27
3 Genic differentiation, reproductive isolation and speciation in allopatric populations	31
3.1 Reproductive isolation: dichotomous views	31
3.2 Genic variation	34
3.3 Differentiation of central and peripheral populations: inversion polymorphism and genic effects	37
3.4 Stages of genic differentiation during allopatric speciation	40
3.4.1 Lewontin’s approach (1974)	40
3.4.2 Ayala’s view (1974, 1975)	42
3.4.3 The dichotomy	43

3.4.4	Species hybrids, genic differentiation and reproductive isolation	45
3.4.5	Another view	48
3.4.6	Rapid reproductive isolation in some flowering plants	49
3.5	Concluding remarks	51
4	Genetic revolution or gradual reform? Expectations of the founder effect	54
4.1	The shifting balance theory (Wright, 1932, 1982a, b)	54
4.2	The founder effect (Mayr, 1954, 1982b)	57
4.3	The flush–crash–founder cycle (Carson, 1975, 1982a)	60
4.4	The founder–flush model (Carson, 1968; Carson and Templeton 1984)	61
4.5	The organizational theory of speciation (Carson, 1982a, 1985)	62
4.6	Genetic transilience (Templeton, 1980, 1982)	63
4.7	The dilemma: is it shifting its balance or foundering?	65
4.8	Genetic variability and the founder effect	68
5	Chromosomal rearrangements as post-mating isolating mechanisms	72
5.1	Types of structural rearrangements implicated in reproductive isolation	73
5.1.1	Potentially negatively heterotic changes	73
5.1.1.1	<i>Tandem fusions</i>	74
5.1.1.2	<i>Robertsonian fusions and reciprocal translocations</i>	75
5.1.1.3	<i>X-chromosome effects – a special case?</i>	79
5.1.1.4	<i>Inversions</i>	80
5.1.2	Neutral or adaptive changes	84
5.1.2.1	<i>Heterochromatin addition</i>	84
5.1.2.2	<i>Chromosomal polymorphism</i>	86
5.2	Chromosome change and speciation: the theoretical approach	87
5.3	Concluding remarks	90

CONTENTS

xi

6	The fixation of chromosomal rearrangements in isolated populations	92
6.1	Chromosomal mutation rate	93
6.2	Random or non-random chromosomal rearrangements	95
6.3	Simultaneous multiple chromosome rearrangements	102
6.4	Meiotic drive	104
6.4.1	Sex chromosome drive	105
6.4.2	Supernumerary chromosome drive	108
6.4.3	Meiotic drive for autosomal rearrangements: examples from animals and plants	109
6.4.3.1	<i>Segregation distortion in Drosophila melanogaster</i>	109
6.4.3.2	<i>Grasshoppers</i>	110
6.4.3.3	<i>The sheep blowfly (Lucilia cuprina)</i>	111
6.4.3.4	<i>The common shrew (Sorex araneus)</i>	111
6.4.3.5	<i>Blue foxes (Alopex lagopus)</i>	112
6.4.3.6	<i>Mus domesticus</i>	112
6.4.3.7	<i>t-haplotype in Mus</i>	113
6.4.3.8	<i>Flowering plants</i>	114
6.5	Theoretical perspectives on fixing deleterious structural rearrangements in founding populations	117
6.6	Concluding remarks	122
7	The impact of structural hybridity on fertility and viability	126
7.1	Fertility effects induced by chromosome changes	126
7.2	Spontaneous mutations	128
7.2.1	Pigs (<i>Sus scrofa</i>)	129
7.2.2	Cattle (<i>Bos taurus</i>)	130
7.2.3	Sheep (<i>Ovis</i> species)	132
7.2.4	Domestic fowl (<i>Gallus domesticus</i>)	133
7.2.5	The importance of investigation into spontaneous mutations	133
7.3	Hybridization studies: simple and complex systems	135
7.3.1	Fertility effects of fusion heterozygosity in <i>Mus domesticus</i>	135
7.3.1.1	<i>Single Robertsonian fusions</i>	139
7.3.1.2	<i>Multiple independent Robertsonian fusions</i>	141
7.3.1.3	<i>Multiple Robertsonian fusions with monobrachial homologies</i>	142
7.3.1.4	<i>Reciprocal translocation in Mus</i>	144
7.3.1.5	<i>Genic variation in Mus</i>	146
7.3.2	<i>Rattus sordidus</i> complex	148

7.3.3	Muntjac deer	149
7.3.4	Horses (<i>Equus</i> species)	151
7.3.5	Interspecific hybridization in the Bovidae	153
7.3.5.1	<i>Dik-diks</i>	153
7.3.5.2	<i>Bos hybrids</i>	154
7.3.6	Lemurs	156
7.3.7	Rock wallabies	159
7.3.8	<i>Caledia captiva</i>	161
7.4	An overview	164
7.4.1	Chromosomally induced hybrid infertility	164
7.4.2	Genic effects on fertility: more apparent than real?	168
8	Genic change and chromosomal speciation	171
8.1	Chromosomal and genetic differentiation: the relationship	171
8.2	Genic changes in speciating complexes distinguished by fusions, fissions and rearrangements sharing brachial homologies	174
8.2.1	Class 3. The <i>Rhogeessa tumida-parvula</i> complex	175
8.2.2	Class 3. The <i>Proechimys guairae</i> species group	176
8.2.3	The genus <i>Rattus</i>	176
8.2.3.1	Class 3. The <i>Rattus rattus</i> complex	177
8.2.4	Class 3. The <i>Gerbillus pyramidum</i> complex	179
8.2.5	Class 4. The <i>Spalax ehrenbergi</i> complex	180
8.2.6	Class 3. The <i>Acomys cahirinus</i> complex	183
8.2.7	Class 3. The <i>Gehyra variegata-punctata</i> complex	185
8.2.8	Class 3. The <i>Sceloporus grammicus</i> complex	187
8.2.9	Class 3. The <i>Phyllodactylus marmoratus</i> complex	189
8.3	Genic changes in speciating complexes characterized by both neutral chromosomal changes and also by negatively heterotic rearrangements	191
8.3.1	The genus <i>Thomomys</i>	191
8.3.2	The <i>Peromyscus maniculatus</i> complex	194
8.3.3	The <i>Sorex araneus</i> complex	198
8.4	Concluding remarks	200
8.4.1	Genic expectations in chromosomally speciating populations	200
8.4.2	The primacy of chromosome change in speciation	202

CONTENTS

xiii

9 Chromosomal speciation	208
9.1 Internal modes of chromosomal speciation	210
9.1.1 The triad hypothesis (Wallace, 1953)	211
9.1.2 Stasipatric speciation (White <i>et al.</i> , 1967; White, 1968, 1978a, b)	213
9.1.3 Chain processes in speciation (White, 1978b)	216
9.2 External models for chromosomal speciation	220
9.2.1 Saltational speciation (Lewis, 1966)	222
9.2.2 Quantum speciation (Grant, 1971)	224
9.2.3 Parapatric speciation and model 1B: speciation by the founder effect (Bush, 1975)	226
9.2.3.1 <i>Speciation by the founder effect: type 1B</i>	226
9.2.3.2 <i>Parapatric speciation</i>	227
9.2.4 Alloparapatric speciation (Key, 1968, 1974, 1981)	229
9.2.5 Chromosomal transience (Templeton, 1981)	231
9.2.6 Primary chromosomal allopatry (King, 1981, 1984)	232
9.2.7 Speciation by multiple centric fusions which share monobrachial homologies	234
9.2.8 A dual-level model for speciation by pericentric inversion (King, 1991)	238
9.3 Speciation by hybridization	240
9.3.1 Hybrid recombination (Templeton, 1981)	240
9.3.2 Polyploidy, parthenogenesis and hybridogenesis	241
9.4 Concluding remarks	243
10 Molecular mechanisms and modes of speciation	245
10.1 Concerted evolution: the pattern	246
10.1.1 Molecular drive: the process	249
10.1.1.1 <i>Unequal chromatid exchange</i>	250
10.1.1.2 <i>Gene conversion</i>	251
10.1.1.3 <i>Transposition</i>	251
10.1.1.4 <i>Replication slippage</i>	252
10.1.1.5 <i>RNA-mediated transfer of genetic information</i>	252
10.1.2 Molecular drive as a mode of speciation	254
10.2 Genomic transposition	255
10.2.1 Selfish DNA	255
10.2.2 Hybrid dysgenesis	256
10.2.3 Do transposable elements induce speciation?	258

10.2.4	The induction of chromosomal rearrangements by transposable elements	260
10.3	Does repetitive DNA have a regulatory function?	264
10.4	Concluding remarks	265
10.4.1	Can molecular turnover mechanisms provide a means of establishing post-mating isolating mechanisms in undifferentiated populations powerful enough to enable speciation?	265
10.4.2	Can molecular mechanisms enhance the formation and fixation of chromosomal rearrangements or genetic divergence and thus support existing mechanisms for speciation?	267
11	Conclusions and perspectives	269
11.1	An overview	269
11.2	A jaundiced view	276
11.2.1	Chromosomal hybrid zones and gene flow	276
11.2.2	Are present-day geographic distributions a valid tool for determining past evolutionary events?	277
11.2.3	Chromosomal or genetic reproductive isolation?	279
11.2.4	The relationship between chromosomal change and morphological change	280
11.3	Hybrid zones	280
11.4	Punctuated equilibrium: a speciationist's view of evolution	285
11.5	End view	289
	References	291
	Name index	319
	Subject index	322

Preface

For me, the late 1970s and early 1980s provided one of the great insights into the scientific approach. After gaining my Ph.D. in the Genetics department at the University of Adelaide in 1976, I worked with Bernard John in the Department of Population Biology at the Research School of Biological Sciences (Australian National University), for some nine and a half years. Here, most of my research was on the population cytogenetics of a number of Australian grasshopper species, and a continuation of my studies on the structural and population cytogenetics of Australian amphibians and reptiles.

At that time, Australia was one of the great centres for cytogenetic research, and the Department of Population Biology boasted the presence of both Bernard John (the chair and later Director of the Research School of Biological Sciences) and Michael White (who had retired from the chair of Genetics at Melbourne University and continued his research at the Department of Population Biology). Since both of these strong-minded individuals had very different views about most things, debate and discussion on the pros and cons of any evolutionary issue or cytogenetic principle were possible at any time. The atmosphere created for research was inescapably productive. On more than one occasion, determined discussion during or after the weekly seminar resulted in the publication of a paper on some aspect or interpretation of evolutionary theory.

For me, the learning experience at ANU, and in other spheres too, included the appreciation that some scientists would simply not accept the possibility that a particular theory was either correct or incorrect, despite incontrovertible evidence to support or refute it. For example, some had established their own view on speciation and simply stood their ground. Presumably this was because they had published extensively supporting one concept and either felt that they would look silly, or – don't mention the thought – might be wrong, if they did otherwise. Virtually any evolutionary or cytogenetic issue can be debated, and if one has a pre-ordained position there seems to be little value in pursuing the matter. Unfortunately, speciation research appears to have attracted its fair share of dogmatists in the past and will undoubtedly attract them in the future. My own view is that intransigence is not what science is about. A theory is only as good as the evidence which supports it, and if the evidence is starting to go the other way,

you look for a new theory. In this regard, the purpose of my using two quotations by Ernst Mayr, drawn from 1963 and 1982 publications, to introduce Chapter 9, was not to show that he was wrong in his earlier conclusion, but that he had the integrity to change his mind from the earlier to the latter. It would be nice to think that this was a more widespread trait.

One might simply ask, what hope do we have of producing a unified perspective on the modes of speciation when we start from a position of polarization on every single issue? It is not even possible to satisfy people with a definition of what a species is, let alone explain how it evolved from its congener. In writing this book, I have taken the approach that basic concepts must be explained in terms of their weaknesses and strengths, acknowledging the fact that the possibility for disagreement is endless.

Because of the vast body of published information, I have had to be particularly ruthless in my culling of the literature. In this regard, it is more important to provide the most comprehensive account of the action of, and evidence for, intricate mechanisms involved in speciation processes, rather than provide yet another loose overview. Although continuity and background information have also necessitated a broad coverage, such areas have been deliberately minimized. Thus, while a number of models for chromosomal speciation are undoubtedly sympatric in their origin and are considered in detail, sympatric speciation is not dealt with here in any detail. Equally, modes of speciation in microorganisms and asexual species are not considered. The literature on polyploidy and parthenogenesis is extensive and there are a number of excellent volumes which deal with these subjects satisfactorily. Chromosomal divergence is only one aspect of these processes and so, while not being irrelevant to the areas considered here, they are only briefly discussed. This book has been written about the two most common forms of speciation in bisexual plants and animals, the non-chromosomal forms of allopatric speciation and the processes of chromosomal speciation. But here again, while certain aspects of allopatric speciation are examined, emphasis is concentrated on chromosomal speciation and the processes which have enabled this to occur. I have had to be selective in the examples I have used and may no doubt be criticized on the use of too many to drive home a point; too few for clarity; or the use of those examples which fail to show the alternative view. In the case of the latter, I have tried at all times to present a balanced interpretation of the available data and to provide a series of possible conclusions where the data suggest that this was the case.

I recently read a book review in which a volume on meiosis was attacked for being written with a turgid style and for being far too sophisticated for the non-specialist reader. The book was the most comprehensive analysis of meiosis yet made. To me, there is no escape from this issue; the subject at hand is complex

and to be dealt with fairly the complexity must be presented. This book is aimed at advanced students and evolutionary biologists. Even so, it is pretty difficult to present a theoretical perspective on the fixation of negatively heterotic rearrangements in founding populations as snappy reading. The fact of the matter is that repetitious examples are boring, but in some cases they are necessary. My only suggestion to the disillusioned reader is a detour into *le Carré* or *Ludlum*. I don't expect to be rushed for the film rights. However, I also think that the conclusions reached are compelling and are supported by an overwhelming amount of evidence.

I must acknowledge the assistance of Professor Peter Parsons who, when in the chair at La Trobe University, not only fostered my career in science, but suggested that I write this book. Many scientists have commented on various chapters of the manuscript and to them I am most grateful. Apart from Peter Parsons, Drs Graham Flannery and Neville White from La Trobe University and Dr Les Christidis from the Museum of Victoria were most helpful. My support at the Northern Territory Museum has been outstanding and in this regard I thank the Director Dr Colin Jack-Hinton.

Paul Horner, my technical assistant, has given me tireless help and I thank him for his efforts. I also thank Margaret Meshcherskij and Lorna Graverner for typing and Usha Dasari and Kim Cottee for their assistance in the library.

Max King

Copyright acknowledgements

In addition to those citations appearing in the text of this book, I further acknowledge the following individuals and organizations who have given specific permission for the use of copyright material:

Fig. 2.1 reproduced with permission from Sinauer Associates Inc., and A. R. Templeton, from *Speciation and its Consequences* 1989 ed. D. Otte and J. A. Endler.

Fig. 3.1 reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, 13, 389–404, 1959.

Fig. 3.2 reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, 40, 1171–84, 1986.

Fig. 3.3 reproduced with permission from Sinauer Associates Inc., and S. C. H. Barrett, from *Speciation and its Consequences* 1989 ed. D. Otte and J. A. Endler.

Fig. 4.1 reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, 36, 427–43, 1982.

Fig. 4.2 reproduced with permission of Routledge, Chapman and Hall Ltd, and E. Mayr, from *Evolution as a Process* 1954 ed. J. S. Huxley, A. C. Hardy and E. B. Ford.

Fig. 4.3 reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from the *American Naturalist*, 109, 83–92, 1975.

Fig. 4.4 reproduced with permission of Oxford University Press, from *Genetics, Speciation and the Founder Principle* 1989 ed. L. V. Giddings, K. Y. Kaneshiro and W. W. Anderson. Also the Genetics Society of America from *Genetics*, 103, 465–82, 1983.

Fig. 5.2 reproduced with permission of Springer Verlag, and B. John, from *Chromosoma* (Berl.), 52, 123–36, 1975.

Fig. 5.3 reproduced with permission of Hodder and Stoughton Ltd, and B. John, from *Population Cytogenetics Studies in Biology*, 70, 1976.

- Fig. 5.4** reproduced with permission of the Indian Academy of Sciences, from *Journal of Genetics*, **24**, 405–74, 1931.
- Fig. 5.5** reproduced with permission of Cambridge University Press, from *Animal Cytology and Evolution* 1973.
- Fig. 5.6** reproduced with permission of Springer Verlag, from *Chromosoma* (Berl.), **88**, 57–68, 1983.
- Fig. 6.2** reproduced with permission of Birkhäuser Verlag AG, from *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **3**, 133–37, 1990.
- Fig. 6.3** reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from *The American Naturalist*, **137**, 379–91, 1991.
- Fig. 6.4** reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from *The American Naturalist*, **137**, 430–42, 1991.
- Fig. 6.5** reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from *The American Naturalist*, **137**, 287–331, 1991.
- Fig. 6.6** reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from *The American Naturalist*, **137**, 349–58, 1991.
- Fig. 7.1** reproduced with permission of the Institute of Genetics Lund, and I. Gustavsson, from *Hereditas*, **109**, 169–84, 1988.
- Fig. 7.2** reproduced with permission of Academic Press, and C. A. Redi, from *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **41**, 235–55, 1990.
- Fig. 7.5** reproduced with permission of Springer Verlag, and Y. Rumppler, from *Chromosoma* (Berl.), **98**, 330–34, 1989.
- Fig. 7.9** reproduced with permission of S. Karger AG, from *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics*, **48**, 228–32, 1988.
- Fig. 8.2** reproduced with permission of the Genetics Society of America, from *Genetics*, **105**, 969–83, 1983.
- Fig. 8.3** reproduced with permission of J. Wahrman, from *Chromosomes Today*, **4**, 399–424, 1973.
- Fig. 8.4** reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, **30**, 831–40, 1976.
- Fig. 8.5** reproduced with permission of E. Nevo, from *Acta Zoologica Fennica*, **170**, 131–36, 1985.
- Fig. 8.7** reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, **43**, 296–317, 1989.

Fig. 8.9 reproduced with permission of the Society of Systematic Biologists, from *Systematic Zoology*, **36**, 18–34, 1987.

Fig. 8.10 reproduced with permission of the Kew Conference Committee and J. B. Searle, from *Kew Chromosome Conference III* 1988 ed. P. E. Brandham.

Fig. 9.2 reproduced with permission of the University of Chicago Press, from *The American Naturalist*, **87**, 343–58, 1953.

Figs. 9.3 and 9.4 reproduced with permission of the Society of Systematics Biologists, from *Systematic Zoology*, **27**, 285–98, 1978.

Fig. 9.5 reproduced with permission of Allen Press, from *Evolution*, **10**, 126–38, 1956.

Fig. 10.1 reproduced with permission of Springer Verlag, and B. John, from *Chromosoma* (Berl.), **94**, 45–58, 1986.

Fig. 10.2 reproduced with permission of Routledge, Chapman and Hall Ltd, and B. John and G. Miklos, from *The Eukaryote Genome in Development and Evolution* 1988. Also with permission from Macmillan Magazines Ltd, from *Nature*, **284**, 426–30.

Fig. 10.3 reproduced with permission of Routledge, Chapman and Hall Ltd, and B. John and G. Miklos, from *The Eukaryote Genome in Development and Evolution* 1988.

Fig. 10.7 reproduced with permission of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and D. D. Shaw, from *Science*, **220**, 1165–67, 1983.

Fig. 10.8 reproduced with permission of Routledge, Chapman and Hall Ltd, and B. John and G. Miklos, from *The Eukaryote Genome in Development and Evolution*, 1988.