

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 98

Cambridge University Press
 978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98
 Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Volumes published under the title:

**ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
 OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22) | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, K.C.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24) | |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26) | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, C.B.E., LL.D.,
and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28) | |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30) | |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32) | |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34) | |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37) | |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40) | |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) | |
| Vol. 11 (1919-42) | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D., F.B.A. |
| Vol. 12 (1943-45) | |
| Vol. 13 (1946) | |
| Vol. 14 (1947) | |
| Vol. 15 (1948) | |
| Vol. 16 (1949) | |

Volumes published under the title:

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

- | | |
|--|--|
| Vol. 17 (1950) | |
| Vol. 18 (1951) | |
| Vol. 19 (1952) | |
| Vol. 20 (1953) | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A. |
| Vol. 21 (1954) | |
| Vol. 22 (1955) | |
| Vol. 23 (1956) | |
| Vol. 24 (1957) | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,
F.B.A., and E. Lauterpacht |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I) | |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II) | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C. |
| Vols. 27—68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1—35 <i>and</i> 36—45 | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C. |
| Vols. 69—98. <i>and</i> Consolidated Index <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables of Cases and
Treaties to Vols. 1—80 | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, C.B.E., Q.C.,
and C. J. Greenwood |

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98
Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

*Research Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

VOLUME
98

Edited by

E. LAUTERPACHT, CBE QC

*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

and

C. J. GREENWOOD

Fellow of Magdalene College, University of Cambridge

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS
 **CAMBRIDGE**
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

©

E. LAUTERPACHT

1994

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

International Standard Book Number: 0 521 474604

Printed in Great Britain on acid-free paper by
Gomer Press, Llandysul, Dyfed

Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne, 3166, Australia

First published 1994

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 98	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxix
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	665

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PREFACE

The present volume, the fifth to appear in 1994, again contains decisions from a wide variety of national and international courts and tribunals. Decisions of courts in England, France, the Federal Republic of Germany and Spain regarding the ratification of the Maastricht Treaty on European Union are included. Earlier decisions relating to the Maastricht Treaty may be found in volume 93. The present volume also contains several decisions relating to the death penalty. These have been taken from the jurisprudence of the Privy Council, the Canadian courts, the United Nations Human Rights Committee and the European Court of Human Rights. At the time of writing, decisions were pending in a number of other cases regarding the death penalty. These decisions will be included in subsequent volumes of the *International Law Reports* as soon as they become available.

The judgments of the courts of England, France, Germany and Spain have been contributed and summarized by Andrew Oppenheimer, Fellow of the Research Centre for International Law and Associate Editor for Civil Law Jurisdictions of these *Reports*. The English translation of the decision of the Federal Constitutional Court of Germany concerning the Maastricht Treaty was provided by the Legal Department of the British Foreign and Commonwealth Office. The English translation of the decision of the Spanish Constitutional Court concerning the same Treaty was provided by the Editors of the *Common Market Law Reports*. The other translations were prepared by Mr Oppenheimer.

The summaries of the United States cases were prepared by Mr Anthony Morgan, LL.M. Ms Rosemary Rayfuse, LL.M., Lecturer in Law at the University of New South Wales, prepared many of the human rights and Canadian decisions. Dr Alfred de Zayas, Visiting Professor at De Paul University, Chicago, wrote the summaries of some of the views of the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Dr Markus Schmidt, of the United Nations Human Rights Division in Geneva, kindly supplied the texts of several of these views and gave much helpful advice. Miss Maureen MacGlashan compiled the Index and Table of Treaties. Ms Karen Lee, MA, gave much valuable assistance in seeing the volume through the press. Mrs Marie Pepper checked the copy and proofs. Mrs Glen Howard, Mrs Jane Gilbert and Miss Rebecca Webb gave valuable secretarial assistance. To all the above we extend our warmest thanks.

We are also most grateful to the following publishers who kindly permitted us to use photographic reproductions of decisions from the

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

viii

PREFACE

reports which they publish: the West Publishing Company (*Federal Reporter* and *Federal Supplement*), the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales (*Weekly Law Reports*) and Canada Law Book Inc (*Dominion Law Reports*).

Finally we wish to thank our publishers, the Cambridge University Press, and our printers, the Gomer Press.

E. LAUTERPACHT

C. J. GREENWOOD

RESEARCH CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

September 1994

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or *ad hoc* arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of the European Communities). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Selected decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights will be printed, chosen by reference to the importance of the points at issue and their interest to public international lawyers generally. (All reports of decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights are published in an official series, the *Official Collection of Decisions of the European Commission of Human Rights*, as well as in the *European Human Rights Reports*). Decisions of national courts on the

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Pieter Sanders, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration)). But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editor is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is not part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

EDITORIAL NOTE

xi

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in this volume is of two kinds, material reproduced photographically and material which has been freshly set for this volume.

Material photographically reproduced. This consists exclusively of reports originally printed in the English language. The material can usually be recognized by the differences between its type-style and the Baskerville type otherwise used in these *Reports*. The source of the material is identified by the reference to "Report" in square brackets at the end of the case. Where more than one citation is given, the report used is the one first listed. The bold type figures in square brackets in the inner margin of each page refer to the pagination of the original report. The smaller figures in square brackets in the margins of these cases are the indicators of footnotes which have been editorially introduced.

Other material. The remaining material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. This includes all material specially translated into English for these *Reports* as well as some material in English which in its original form was not suitable for photo-reproduction. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the "Report" in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report. Small figures in square brackets within the text are indicators of footnotes which have been editorially introduced.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at page xvii.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word "note" in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

- ASEPESCO Case (Case No 64/91), 250
 Australian Government Aircraft Factories and Another *v.* Lynne and Others, 22
- Barkanic and Others *v.* CAAC, 44
- Colonial Bank *v.* Compagnie Generale Maritime et Financiere, 26
 Compania Mexicana de Aviacion SA *v.* United States District Court for the Central District of California and Others, 69
- Electoral Law Constitutionality Case (Case No 28/91), 246
- Gerding and Others *v.* Republic of France and Others, 159
 Gould Inc *v.* Mitsui Mining and Smelting Co Ltd and Others, 136
 Gould Inc *v.* Pechiney Ugine Kuhlmann and Trefimetaux, 136
 Gregorian and Another *v.* Izvestia and Others, 76
- Herbage *v.* Meese and Others, 101
 Hercaire International Inc *v.* Argentina and Another, 48
- International Housing Limited *v.* Rafidain Bank Iraq, 93
- Kindler *v.* Canada (Communication No 470/1991), 426
 Kindler *v.* Canada (Minister of Justice), 370
- Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92), 196
 Meadows and Another *v.* Dominican Republic and Another, 37
- Millen Industries Inc and Others *v.* Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs, 61
 Ministry of Supply, Cairo and Another *v.* Universe Tankships Inc, 13
- Ng *v.* Canada (Communication No 469/1991), 479
- Pratt and Another *v.* Attorney-General for Jamaica and Another, 335
 Pratt and Morgan *v.* Jamaica (Communications Nos 210/1986 and 225/1987), 322
- Re* Treaty on European Union (Case No 1236/92), 251
Re Treaty on European Union (Decision No 92-312 DC), 180
 Reference *Re* Ng (Extradition), 473
 Regina *v.* Finta, 520
 Regina *v.* Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, *ex parte* Rees-Mogg, 166
 Reid *v.* Jamaica (Communication No 250/1987), 357
 Risk *v.* Halvorsen and Others, 125
- Santos *v.* Compagnie Nationale Air France, 131
 Schoenberg and Others *v.* Exportadora de Sal SA de CV, 118
 Segni *v.* Commercial Office of Spain, 55
 Shapiro *v.* Republic of Bolivia and Others, 109
 Soering *v.* United Kingdom, 270
- United States *v.* County of Arlington and Another, 1
- Working Hours Equality Case (Case Nos 1 BvR 1025/82, 1 BvL 16/83 and 10/91), 190

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word "note" in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<p><i>European Court of Human Rights</i></p> <p>1989</p> <p>Soering <i>v.</i> United Kingdom, 270</p> <p><i>United Nations Human Rights Committee</i></p> <p>1989</p> <p>Pratt and Morgan <i>v.</i> Jamaica (Communications Nos 210/1986 and 225/1987), 322</p>	<p>1990</p> <p>Reid <i>v.</i> Jamaica (Communication No 250/1987), 357</p> <p>1993</p> <p>Kindler <i>v.</i> Canada (Communication No 470/1991), 426</p> <p>Ng <i>v.</i> Canada (Communication No 469/1991), 479</p>
---	---

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<p><i>Canada</i></p> <p>1991</p> <p>Kindler <i>v.</i> Canada (Minister of Justice), 370</p> <p>Reference <i>Re</i> Ng (Extradition), 473</p> <p>Regina <i>v.</i> Finta, 520</p> <p><i>England</i></p> <p>1993</p> <p>Regina <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, <i>ex parte</i> Rees-Mogg, 166</p> <p><i>France</i></p> <p>1992</p> <p>Re Treaty on European Union (Decision No 92-312 DC), 180</p>	<p><i>Germany, Federal Republic of</i></p> <p>1992</p> <p>Working Hours Equality Case (Case Nos 1 BvR 1025/82, 1 BvL 16/83 and 10/91), 190</p> <p>1993</p> <p>Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92), 196</p> <p><i>Jamaica</i></p> <p>1993</p> <p>Pratt and Another <i>v.</i> Attorney-General for Jamaica and Another, 335</p>
--	---

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xvi

TABLE OF CASES

<i>Spain</i>	<i>Segni v. Commercial Office of Spain</i> , 55
1991	1988
ASEPESCO Case (Case No 64/91), 250	<i>Compania Mexicana de Aviacion SA v. United States District Court for the Central District of California and Others</i> , 69
Electoral Law Constitutionality Case (Case No 28/91), 246	<i>Gould Inc v. Pechiney Ugine Kuhlmann and Trefimetaux</i> , 136
1992	<i>Millen Industries Inc and Others v. Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs</i> , 61
<i>Re Treaty on European Union</i> (Case No 1236/92), 251	1989
<i>United States</i>	<i>Gregorian and Another v. Izvestia and Others</i> , 76
1982	<i>International Housing Limited v. Rafidain Bank Iraq</i> , 93
<i>United States v. County of Arlington and Another</i> , 1	1990
1983	<i>Gould Inc v. Mitsui Mining and Smelting Co Ltd and Others</i> , 136
<i>Ministry of Supply, Cairo and Another v. Universe Tankships Inc</i> , 13	<i>Herbage v. Meese and Others</i> , 101
1984	1991
<i>Australian Government Aircraft Factories and Another v. Lynne and Others</i> , 22	<i>Gerding and Others v. Republic of France and Others</i> , 159
1986	<i>Risk v. Halvorsen and Others</i> , 125
<i>Colonial Bank v. Compagnie Generale Maritime et Financiere</i> , 26	<i>Santos v. Compagnie Nationale Air France</i> , 131
1987	<i>Schoenberg and Others v. Exportadora de Sal SA de CV</i> , 118
<i>Barkanic and Others v. CAAC</i> , 44	<i>Shapiro v. Republic of Bolivia and Others</i> , 109
<i>Hercaire International Inc v. Argentina and Another</i> , 48	
<i>Meadows and Another v. Dominican Republic and Another</i> , 37	

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. ix.)

Air	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
<i>Aliens</i>	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Arbitration	Nationality
Canals	Recognition
Claims	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Comity	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Conciliation	Rivers
<i>Consular Relations</i>	Sea
Damages	Sources of International Law
<i>Diplomatic Relations</i>	Space
Economics, Trade and Finance	<i>State Immunity</i>
Environment	State Responsibility
Expropriation	State Succession
<i>Extradition</i>	States
<i>Governments</i>	Territory
<i>Human Rights</i>	<i>Treaties</i>
International Court of Justice	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>
<i>International Organizations</i>	
<i>International Tribunals</i>	

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 98

	<i>Page</i>
Aliens	
Acquisition of citizenship — European Community — Establishment of citizenship of European Union — Effect on nationals of one Member State resident in another Member State — Entitlement of such persons to vote and stand as candidates in municipal elections — Spain, Constitutional Court <i>Re Treaty on European Union (Case No 1236/92)</i>	251
Consular Relations	
Immunity — Consular officials — Immunity from civil proceedings — Scope of immunity — Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963, Articles 5 and 43 — Immunity limited to performance of consular functions — Whether immunity covering proceedings brought against consular officials for allegedly assisting national to breach custody order of court of receiving State — Alleged actions violating criminal law of receiving State — Significance — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit) <i>Risk v. Halvorsen and Others</i>	125
Diplomatic Relations	
Immunity — Property utilized as residence for diplomatic staff — Whether subject to execution in receiving State — United States Court of Appeals (Fourth Circuit) <i>United States v. County of Arlington and Another</i>	1
Extradition	
Capital offences — Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State — Right of requested State to seek assurance that death penalty will not be imposed — Canada-United States Extradition Treaty, 1976, Article 6 — Decision by Canada to extradite fugitive without seeking assurances that death penalty would not be imposed — Whether contrary to Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms —	

	<i>Page</i>
Extradition (<i>cont.</i>)	
Whether extradition to face death penalty constituting cruel and unusual treatment — Whether violating right to liberty and security of person — Canada, Supreme Court <i>Kindler v. Canada (Minister of Justice)</i>	370
Capital offences — Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State — Right of requested State to seek assurance that death penalty will not be imposed — Canada-United States Extradition Treaty, 1976, Article 6 — Decision by Canada to extradite fugitive without seeking assurances that death penalty would not be imposed — Whether contrary to Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms — Whether extradition to face death penalty constituting cruel and unusual treatment — Whether violating right to liberty and security of person — Canada, Supreme Court <i>Reference Re Ng (Extradition)</i>	473
Capital offences — Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State — Right of requested State to seek assurance that death penalty will not be imposed — Canada-United States Extradition Treaty, 1976, Article 6 — Decision by Canada to extradite fugitive without seeking assurances that death penalty would not be imposed — Whether contrary to International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — United Nations Human Rights Committee <i>Kindler v. Canada (Communication No 470/1991)</i>	426
Capital offences — Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State — Right of requested State to seek assurance that death penalty will not be imposed — Canada-United States Extradition Treaty, 1976, Article 6 — Decision by Canada to extradite fugitive without seeking assurances that death penalty would not be imposed — Whether contrary to International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — United Nations Human Rights Committee <i>Ng v. Canada (Communication No 469/1991)</i>	479
Capital offences — Offence carrying death penalty in requesting State but not in requested State — United Kingdom-United States Extradition Treaty, 1972 — Right of requested State to seek assurance that death penalty will not be imposed — European Court of Human Rights <i>Soering v. United Kingdom</i>	270

DIGEST OF CASES

xxi

Page

Governments

Recognition — Effect of non-recognition — Taiwan — Non-recognition by United States — Taiwanese governmental instrumentality — Whether entitled to claim sovereign immunity and plead act of State defence in proceedings before United States court — Taiwan Relations Act — United States Court of Appeals (District of Columbia Circuit)

Millen Industries Inc and Others v. Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs

61

Human Rights

Fair trial — Adequate time and facilities to prepare defence — Judge's directions to the jury — Right to represent oneself — Right to appeal — Right to life — Death penalty — "Death row" phenomenon — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Articles 6, 7 and 14 — United Nations Human Rights Committee

Reid v. Jamaica (Communication No 250/1987)

357

Fair trial — Right to have witnesses examined — Conduct of trial — Delay — Appeal taking nearly two years — Delay of nearly four years between decision of the Court of Appeal and written statement of reasons for decision — Inhuman or degrading treatment — Death penalty — "Death row" phenomenon — Prolonged judicial proceedings for men under sentence of death — Whether constituting inhuman or degrading treatment — Stay of execution not notified to convicted persons until shortly before execution due to take place — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Articles 7 and 14 — United Nations Human Rights Committee

Pratt and Morgan v. Jamaica (Communications Nos 210/1986 and 225/1987)

322

Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Murder — Prolonged delay between sentence and execution — "Death row" phenomenon — Section 17(2) of Jamaican Constitution, 1962 — Whether to be construed narrowly — Recourse of applicants to available appellate procedures — Whether reasonable — Failure of Jamaican system — Whether delay amounting to inhuman or degrading punishment or other treatment contrary to Section 17(1) of Jamaican Constitution, 1962 — Jamaica, Privy Council

Pratt and Another v. Attorney-General for Jamaica and Another

335

	<i>Page</i>
Human Rights (cont.)	
Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Whether constituting inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — Concepts of fundamental justice — International human rights agreements — Whether prohibiting the death penalty — Whether international trend towards prohibition — Canada, Supreme Court <i>Kindler v. Canada (Minister of Justice)</i>	370
Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Whether constituting inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — Concepts of fundamental justice — International human rights agreements — Whether prohibiting the death penalty — Whether international trend towards prohibition — Canada, Supreme Court <i>Reference Re Ng (Extradition)</i>	473
Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Whether constituting inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Articles 2(1) and 3 — Protocol VI to the Convention — Circumstances in which penalty imposed — Delay in execution — “Death row” phenomenon — Whether constituting a violation of Article 3 — European Court of Human Rights <i>Soering v. United Kingdom</i>	270
Procedure for enforcement — Fundamental rights under Basic Law of Federal Republic of Germany — Treaty on European Union, 1992 and secondary legislation — Possible conflict with fundamental rights under Basic Law — Primary role of Court of Justice of European Community in ensuring respect for fundamental rights — Whether Federal Constitutional Court retaining ultimate right to secure compatibility of Community legislation with fundamental constitutional rights — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG) <i>Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92)</i>	196
Procedure for enforcement — Fundamental rights under Spanish Constitution, Articles 14 to 30 — EEC Treaty, 1957 and secondary legislation — Possible conflict with fundamental rights under Constitution — Whether acts of Community institutions subject to constitutional review to establish compatibility with fundamental constitutional rights — Spain, Constitutional Court <i>ASEPESCO Case (Case No 64/91)</i>	250

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxiii

Page

- Right to life — Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Whether contrary to Article 6, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Article 6(2) — Application to State which has abolished death penalty — Article 7 — Whether execution by asphyxiation in gas chamber constituting inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Ng v. Canada (Communication No 469/1991) 479
- Right to life — Inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment — Death penalty — Whether contrary to Article 6, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Article 6(2) — Application to State which has abolished death penalty — Article 7 — Whether execution by lethal injection constituting inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Kindler v. Canada (Communication No 470/1991) 426
- Scope of human rights treaty — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Extradition proceedings — Whether State responsible under Convention if it extradites fugitive for treatment in requesting State — Threat of death sentence in requesting State — Right to fair trial — European Court of Human Rights
Soering v. United Kingdom 270
- Scope of human rights treaty — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Extradition proceedings — Whether State responsible under Covenant if it extradites fugitive for treatment of fugitive in requesting State — Threat of death sentence in requesting State — Requesting State not party to the Covenant — Whether extraditing State required to seek assurances that defendant will not be executed — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Kindler v. Canada (Communication No 470/1991) 426
- Scope of human rights treaty — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Extradition proceedings — Whether State responsible under Covenant if it extradites fugitive for treatment of fugitive in requesting State — Threat of death sentence in requesting State — Requesting State not party to the Covenant — Whether extraditing State required to seek assurances that defendant will not be executed — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Ng v. Canada (Communication No 469/1991) 479

International Organizations*Page*

European Community — Institutions — Court of Justice — Powers — Treaty on European Union, Article L — Exclusion of jurisdiction of Court over certain provisions of Treaty — Whether affecting general jurisdiction of Court to interpret provisions of Community treaties — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)

Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92)

196

European Community — Institutions — European Parliament — Position within institutional structure of European Union — Role of ensuring democratic legitimacy for Union — Whether any need for enhancement of powers — Significance of method of election by citizens of Member States — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)

Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92)

196

European Community — Powers — Extension of powers by treaty — Treaty on European Union, 1992 — Legal basis for extension of powers — Whether European Community developing into political union without clearly restricted powers — Distinction between pooling of sovereignty in economic sphere and inter-governmental co-operation in other spheres — Article A of Treaty — Principle of limited specific attribution of powers to Community institutions — Article F(3) of Treaty — Whether granting Union competence to determine or extend its own powers — Effect of principle of subsidiarity — Establishment of common monetary and exchange rate policy — Whether automatically leading towards monetary union beyond control of Member States — Legal effect of target dates for achievement of monetary union — Requirement that extension of Community powers to be accompanied by enhancement of democratic foundations of Community institutions — Requirement that Union should respect national identities and existing democratic principles of Member States — Preamble and Article F(1) of Treaty — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)

Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92)

196

European Economic Community — Institutions — Court of Justice — Effect of decisions in municipal legal order of Member States — Whether automatically rendering inadmissible reference

DIGEST OF CASES

xxv

Page

- to national Constitutional Court in same matter — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)
Working Hours Equality Case (Case Nos 1 BvR 1025/82, 1 BvL 16/83 and 10/91) 190
- European Economic Community — Powers — Institutions — Extension of powers by treaty — Treaty on European Union, 1992 — Protocol on social policy — Common foreign and security policy — England, Divisional Court, Queen's Bench Division
Regina v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, ex parte Rees-Mogg 166

International Tribunals

- Competence — Procedure — United Nations Human Rights Committee — Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights — Communication alleging violation of Covenant — Exhaustion of domestic remedies — Burden of proof — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Reid v. Jamaica (Communication No 250/1987) 357
- Procedure — United Nations Human Rights Committee — Interim measures of protection — Request that execution not be carried out — Exhaustion of domestic remedies — Whether requirement to pursue appeal with little chance of success — United Nations Human Rights Committee
Pratt and Morgan v. Jamaica (Communications Nos 210/1986 and 225/1987) 322

Jurisdiction

- Civil action — Aircraft accident — Mexican passengers travelling on internal Mexican flight operated by Mexican airline — Flight crashing within Mexico and killing all passengers — Action brought in United States court on behalf of deceased passengers — Whether court having jurisdiction — Warsaw Convention, 1929, Articles 1(2) and 28 — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)
Compania Mexicana de Aviacion SA v. United States District Court for the Central District of California and Others 69
- Over foreign States — Principles on which one State may assert jurisdiction in respect of another — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Act conferring jurisdiction on United States courts over foreign States in civil proceedings — Whether Act the sole basis for exercise of jurisdiction over foreign

Jurisdiction (*cont.*)

States — Whether United States courts having jurisdiction over alleged criminal activities of foreign States — Racketeer-influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act — Requirement that racketeering activities under Act be based on commission of criminal offences — Whether State corporations subject to provisions of Act — United States Court of Appeals (Sixth Circuit)

Gould Inc v. Pechiney Ugine Kuhlmann and Trefimetaux; Gould Inc v. Mitsui Mining and Smelting Co Ltd and Others 136

Over foreign States — Principles on which one State may assert jurisdiction in respect of another — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Whether Act the sole basis for exercise of jurisdiction over foreign States — United States Court of Appeals (District of Columbia Circuit)

Millen Industries Inc and Others v. Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs 61

Universal — Crimes against international law — War crimes — Crimes against humanity — Canadian legislation extending jurisdiction of Canadian courts to war crimes committed outside Canada during World War Two — International and domestic elements of offence — Roles of judge and jury — Question whether acts or omissions concerned constituted war crimes or crimes against humanity — Whether question of law for judge or question of fact for jury — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

Regina v. Finta 520

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Act of State and justiciability — United States act of State doctrine — Applicability — United States court barred from questioning validity of act of foreign State within its own jurisdiction — Allegation that British law enforcement officers acting illegally during the course of extradition proceedings — Whether act of State doctrine applicable — United States District Court (District of Columbia)

Herbage v. Meese and Others 101

Act of State and justiciability — United States act of State doctrine — Nature of act of State doctrine in United States law — Requirement of sovereign independence of State — Judicial restraint in matters of foreign relations — Whether doctrine applicable to foreign government not recognized by the United States — Taiwan — Non-recognition by the United States —

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxvii

Page

Whether instrumentality of Taiwanese Government entitled to plead act of State defence in proceedings before United States court — Taiwan Relations Act — United States Court of Appeals (District of Columbia Circuit)

Millen Industries Inc and Others v. Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs

61

Conduct of foreign relations — Treaty-making power — Federal Republic of Germany — Constitutional review of exercise of treaty-making power — Treaty on European Union, 1992 (“Maastricht Treaty”) — Compatibility with Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)

Maastricht Treaty 1992 Constitutionality Case (Case Nos 2 BvR 2134 and 2159/92)

196

Conduct of foreign relations — Treaty-making power — France — French Constitution, Article 54 — Constitutional review of exercise of treaty-making power — Treaty on European Union, 1992 (“Maastricht Treaty”) — Compatibility with French Constitution following enactment of Constitutional Amendment Law of 25 June 1992 — Negative vote on Treaty in popular referendum in Denmark — Whether affecting ratification procedure in other signatory States — Whether Constitutional Council should obtain opinion of experts or Community institutions concerning legal position — France, Constitutional Council

Re Treaty on European Union (Decision No 92-312 DC)

180

Conduct of foreign relations — Treaty-making power — Spain — Spanish Constitution, Article 95 — Constitutional review of exercise of treaty-making power — Treaty on European Union, 1992 (“Maastricht Treaty”) — Compatibility with Spanish Constitution — Spain, Constitutional Court

Re Treaty on European Union (Case No 1236/92)

251

Conduct of foreign relations — Treaty-making power — United Kingdom — Whether exercise of treaty-making power subject to judicial review — Treaty on European Union, 1992 (“Maastricht Treaty”) — Extent to which Crown’s treaty-making power can be fettered by Parliament — European Communities Act 1972, Section 2(1) — European Parliamentary Elections Act 1978, Section 6 — European Communities (Amendment) Act 1993 — England, Divisional Court, Queen’s Bench Division

Regina v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, ex parte Rees-Mogg

166

Relationship of International Law (cont.)

Conduct of foreign relations — United States — Recognition of States and governments — Power vested in Executive — Scope — Whether limited to act of recognition — Whether including power to enter into ancillary Executive Agreement concerning diplomatic rights and privileges — United States Diplomatic Relations Act 1978 — United States Court of Appeals (Fourth Circuit)

United States v. County of Arlington and Another

Page

1

Treaties — Effect in municipal law — EEC Treaty, 1957 — Fundamental rights under national Constitution — Whether proceedings may be instituted before Constitutional Court alleging unconstitutionality of acts of European Community institutions — Spain, Constitutional Court

ASEPESCO Case (Case No 64/91)

250

Treaties — Effect in municipal law — EEC Treaty, 1957 — Supremacy of Community law — Conflict between Community legislation and subsequent national law — Whether ordinary courts under automatic duty not to apply conflicting national provision — Principle that full effectiveness of Community law must be ensured — Nature of legal order established by Treaty — Basis for binding nature of Community law — Spanish Constitution, Article 93 — Whether Community law endowed with constitutional rank and force — Spain, Constitutional Court

Electoral Law Constitutionality Case (Case No 28/91)

246

Treaties — Effect in municipal law — EEC Treaty, 1957 — Supremacy of Community law — Whether constituting an unwritten rule of Community law — Conflict between Community legislation and subsequent national law — Whether national courts under duty not to apply conflicting national provision — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Constitutional Court (BVerfG)

Working Hours Equality Case (Case Nos 1 BvR 1025/82, 1 BvL 16/83 and 10/91)

190

Treaties — Effect in municipal law — Whether treaty provision a waiver of immunity — United States District Court (Southern District, New York)

Colonial Bank v. Compagnie Generale Maritime et Financiere

26

War crimes and crimes against humanity — Trial for war crimes and crimes against humanity in Canada — Canadian legislation giving jurisdiction to Canadian courts to try defendants for acts

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

Page

or omissions occurring outside Canada — Acts or omissions deemed to have occurred within Canada, provided that they constituted war crimes or crimes against humanity — Defendant charged under Canadian Criminal Code in respect of acts and omissions occurring outside Canada during World War Two — Whether those acts or omissions constituted war crimes or crimes against humanity under international law — Whether matter for judge or jury — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

Regina v. Finta

520

State Immunity

Attachment and execution — Property of foreign State used as residence for diplomatic staff — Whether property immune from execution — Decision turning on whether use of property constituting commercial activity — Determination by Executive that property being used for sovereign purposes — Significance — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Fourth Circuit)

United States v. County of Arlington and Another

1

Attachment and execution — Waiver — Waiver of immunity by foreign State in proceedings against United States corporation — Waiver expressly including agencies of foreign State — Whether assets of State corporation having no connection with underlying legal dispute liable to execution for purposes of enforcing judgment against foreign State — Decision turning on whether corporation *alter ego* of foreign State — Presumption that State corporation having separate legal personality — Circumstances under which presumption may be rebutted — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Eleventh Circuit)

Hercaire International Inc v. Argentina and Another

48

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity — State corporation — Requirement of sufficient nexus between claim and commercial activity carried on in the United States — Whether sufficient that corporation doing business in the United States — Whether requirement that commercial activity constitute legal element of claim — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Seventh Circuit)

Santos v. Compagnie Nationale Air France

131

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — Distinction between sovereign and commercial acts — Distinction turning on nature rather than purpose of act — Official newspaper

State Immunity (cont.)

of foreign State — Publication of allegedly defamatory article in newspaper for purposes of avoiding financial obligations under contract — Whether a sovereign or commercial act — Requirement of direct effect in United States — Whether economic loss resulting from failure to honour financial obligation under contract constituting a direct effect — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Judgment in default — Grounds for setting aside judgement in default — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

Gregorian and Another v. Izvestia and Others

76

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — Foreign government agency — Claim based upon commercial activity carried on in the United States — Whether including claim for damage suffered abroad — Decision turning on whether act causing damage constituting integral part of commercial activity carried on in the United States — Requirement to look at transaction as a whole — Shipment to Egypt of wheat purchased by Egyptian government agency in the United States — Action against government agency for delaying unloading of vessel in Egypt — Whether action based upon commercial activity carried on in the United States — Counterclaim exception — Whether including crossclaims — Relationship between counterclaim and commercial activity exceptions — Whether finding by court that counterclaim exception inapplicable barring application of commercial activity exception — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Second Circuit)

Ministry of Supply, Cairo and Another v. Universe Tankships Inc

13

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — Foreign government instrumentality — Transaction containing both sovereign and commercial elements — Whether falling within commercial activity exception — Decision turning on whether cause of action based on commercial or sovereign element of transaction — Whether defence of sovereign immunity available to foreign government not recognized by the United States — Taiwan — Non-recognition by the United States — Whether instrumentality of Taiwanese Government entitled to claim sovereign immunity in proceedings before United States courts — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (District of Columbia Circuit)

Millen Industries Inc and Others v. Co-ordination Council for North American Affairs

61

DIGEST OF CASES

xxxi

Page

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — Issuance of negotiable promissory notes by foreign State — Whether constituting a commercial activity — Requirement that commercial activity have substantial contact with United States — Whether issuing notes in United States constituting a substantial contact — Waiver — Implied waiver — Submission to jurisdiction of United States courts in related proceedings — Whether constituting implied waiver of immunity — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Second Circuit)

Shapiro v. Republic of Bolivia and Others

109

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — State corporation — Action between two foreign corporations — Whether action having sufficient nexus with the United States — Requirement that commercial activity having direct effect in United States — Whether economic loss resulting from failure to honour financial obligation under contract constituting direct effect in the United States — Payment of funds into New York bank account of foreign bank for purposes of satisfying bank guarantee — Whether constituting direct effect in the United States — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Second Circuit)

International Housing Limited v. Rafidain Bank Iraq

93

Jurisdictional immunity — Commercial activity exception — State corporation — Requirement of sufficient nexus between case and commercial activity in the United States — National airline issuing tickets in the United States to passengers for internal flight in foreign State — Aircraft crashing during flight — Whether death of passengers having sufficient nexus with commercial activity in the United States — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Second Circuit)

Barkanic and Others v. CAAC

44

Jurisdictional immunity — Foreign government agencies — Commercial activity exception — Distinction between sovereign and commercial acts — Distinction based upon nature rather than purpose of act — Relevance of main rather than subsidiary terms of the contract — State agency for the promotion of Spanish exports to the United States — Contract of employment requiring employee to market Spanish wines in the United States — Provisions allowing employee option to make contributions to Spanish social security system — Whether entering into

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-47460-3 - International Law Reports, Volume 98

Edited by E. Lauterpacht and C. J. Greenwood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxii

DIGEST OF CASES

	<i>Page</i>
State Immunity (<i>cont.</i>)	
employment contract commercial act — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Seventh Circuit)	
<i>Segni v. Commercial Office of Spain</i>	55
Jurisdictional immunity — Non-commercial tort exception — Requirement that tort was not committed by foreign State in the exercise of a discretionary function — Consular officials of sending State allegedly aiding national to breach custody order of court of receiving State — Alleged assistance violating criminal law of receiving State — Whether actions of consular officials constituting exercise of discretionary function — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)	
<i>Risk v. Halvorsen and Others</i>	125
Jurisdictional immunity — Restrictive theory of immunity — Individual acting in official capacity on behalf of government — Whether entitled to sovereign immunity — Allegation that law enforcement officers of foreign State acting illegally whilst carrying out official duties — Whether depriving officials of immunity — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States District Court (District of Columbia)	
<i>Herbage v. Meese and Others</i>	101
Jurisdictional immunity — State corporation — Commercial activity exception — Distinction between sovereign and commercial acts — Distinction turning on nature rather than purpose of act — Mexican Government owning majority shareholding in Mexican corporation — Corporation providing transportation at behest of Mexican Government for university officials wishing to conduct government-sponsored research in Mexico — Whether provision of transport constituting a commercial activity — Requirement that commercial activity having substantial contact with United States — Arrangement of return journey from the United States to Mexico — Whether constituting substantial contact — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)	
<i>Schoenberg and Others v. Exportadora de Sal SA de CV</i>	118
Jurisdictional immunity — State corporation — Commercial activity exception — Requirement that activity having a direct effect in the United States — Aircraft purchased by United States corporation from foreign State-owned corporation — Aircraft crashing abroad killing its pilot — Whether suffering caused to	

DIGEST OF CASES

xxxiii

Page

family of deceased pilot in the United States a direct effect of crash — Whether financial loss incurred by owners of aircraft in the United States a direct effect of the crash — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

Australian Government Aircraft Factories and Another v. Lynne and Others

22

Jurisdictional immunity — State corporation — National airline — Passengers purchasing tickets for travel within Mexico on flight operated by Mexican national airline — Aircraft crashing in Mexico — Action for damages on behalf of deceased passengers — Whether within commercial activity exception to State immunity — Requirement of sufficient nexus between case and United States — Whether sufficient that flight ultimately terminating in United States — Whether sufficient that aircraft serviced in United States prior to crash — Requirement of direct effect in United States — United States Federal Aviation Authority issuing regulations regarding jet aircraft following crash — Whether constituting a direct effect — Waiver — Foreign carrier air permit issued by United States authorities to airline — Permit containing waiver of sovereign immunity — Whether waiver applying to cause of action arising out of plane crash in Mexico — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

Compania Mexicana de Aviacion SA v. United States District Court for the Central District of California and Others

69

Jurisdictional immunity — State corporation — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Establishment of personal jurisdiction under Act — Constitutional due process requirement of “minimum contacts” between defendant and United States — Whether contacts of State and its agencies fulfilling “minimum contacts” required on behalf of State corporation — Decision turning on whether State corporation *alter ego* of State — Whether presumption of separate legal personality — United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

Gregorian and Another v. Izvestia and Others

76

Jurisdictional immunity — State corporation — United States Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 1976 — Exceptions to immunity — Pre-existing treaty exception — Whether waiver of immunity contained in bilateral treaty pre-empting later grant of sovereign immunity under the Act — Waiver — Foreign arbitration proceedings between State corporation and third party concerning related dispute — State corporation obtaining judgment in United States court confirming arbitral award —