

1

Analytic Preparations

We review here some of the analytic concepts and facts that will be used in later chapters. Most of this material forms part of the standard textbook literature on real analysis or functional analysis and it is not necessary to repeat here the pertinent proofs. However, a few facts of a more special character and not generally known will be formulated as lemmas and proved.

Throughout this book we let \mathbf{E}^d denote the Euclidean d -dimensional space. If x is a point of \mathbf{E}^d the coordinates of x will be denoted by x_i ; hence, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. The letter o denotes the origin $(0, \dots, 0)$ of \mathbf{E}^d . If $u, v \in \mathbf{E}^d$ we let $u \cdot v$ denote the inner product, and $|u|$ the Euclidean norm. Of course, for points in \mathbf{E}^1 , that is, for real numbers, $|\cdot|$ is the ordinary absolute value. The Lebesgue measure of a subset S of \mathbf{E}^d will usually be called the *volume* of S and denoted by $v(S)$. We write $B^d(p, r)$ for the closed ball in \mathbf{E}^d of radius r centered at p , and $B^d = B^d(o, 1)$ for the closed unit ball in \mathbf{E}^d centered at o . Furthermore, we let S^{d-1} denote the boundary of B^d , that is, the unit sphere in \mathbf{E}^d . The spherical Lebesgue measure on S^{d-1} will be denoted by σ , the volume of B^d by κ_d , and the surface area of B^d by σ_d .

1.1. Inner Product, Norm, and Orthogonality of Functions

We let $L(S^{d-1})$ denote the class of integrable functions on S^{d-1} , and $L_2(S^{d-1})$ the class of square integrable functions on S^{d-1} . Thus, $L_2(S^{d-1})$ consists of all real valued Lebesgue integrable functions F on S^{d-1} with the property that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} F(u)^2 d\sigma(u) < \infty.$$

Of course in such definitions the underlying measure space is always $(S^{d-1}, \mathcal{M}, \sigma)$ with \mathcal{M} denoting the class of subsets of S^{d-1} that are measurable with respect to

the spherical Lebesgue measure σ . If $F, G \in L(S^{d-1})$ the *inner product* $\langle F, G \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{S^{d-1}} F(u)G(u)d\sigma(u)$$

(provided that this integral exists). We let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm derived from this inner product. Hence, $\|F\| = \langle F, F \rangle^{1/2}$, and if $F, G \in L_2(S^{d-1})$, then the triangle inequality $\|F + G\| \leq \|F\| + \|G\|$ and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality $\langle F, G \rangle \leq \|F\|\|G\|$ are valid. It is well-known that with respect to ordinary addition and scalar multiplication, and with the usual identification of functions that are equal almost everywhere, $L_2(S^{d-1})$ is a Hilbert space. More generally, if Φ is a function on S^{d-1} with values in \mathbb{E}^d then $\|\Phi\|$ is defined as the functional norm of the Euclidean norm of Φ . In other words,

$$\|\Phi\| = \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |\Phi(u)|^2 d\sigma(u) \right)^{1/2}.$$

If $F, F_i \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots$) and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F_i - F\| = 0$$

the sequence F_0, F_1, \dots will be said to *converge in mean* to F . Of course, an infinite series of functions from $L_2(S^{d-1})$ is said to converge in mean to some function $G \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ if the sequence of the corresponding partial sums converges in mean to G . Of particular importance in this connection is the fact that $L_2(S^{d-1})$, being a Hilbert space, is complete in the sense that every Cauchy sequence (with respect to the norm in $L_2(S^{d-1})$) is (in mean) convergent.

Two functions F, G from $L_2(S^{d-1})$ are said to be *orthogonal* if $\langle F, G \rangle = 0$. A sequence H_0, H_1, \dots with $H_i \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and $\|H_i\| \neq 0$ (for all i) will be called an *orthogonal sequence* if $\langle H_i, H_j \rangle = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. It is called an *orthonormal sequence* if $\langle H_i, H_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where, as usual,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

If $F \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and H_0, H_1, \dots is a given orthogonal sequence, then the numbers

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\langle F, H_i \rangle}{\|H_i\|^2}$$

are called the *Fourier coefficients* of F (with respect to the given orthogonal sequence), and the series

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$$

is called the *Fourier series* of F (with respect to the sequence H_0, H_1, \dots). To indicate that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$ is the Fourier series of a given function F we write

$$F \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i. \tag{1.1.1}$$

If a and b are real numbers, and if, in addition to (1.1.1), $G \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and

$$G \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i H_i,$$

then

$$aF + bG \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_i H_i + \beta_i G_i).$$

From the definition of the Fourier coefficients it follows immediately that

$$\left\| F - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i \right\|^2 = \|F\|^2 - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i^2 \|H_i\|^2. \tag{1.1.2}$$

An obvious consequence of this is *Bessel's inequality*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 \|H_i\|^2 \leq \|F\|^2.$$

Another consequence of (1.1.2) is the fact that the equality

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| F - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i \right\| = 0 \tag{1.1.3}$$

holds if and only if

$$\|F\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 \|H_i\|^2. \tag{1.1.4}$$

The latter relation is called *Parseval's equation*. Thus one can state that the Fourier series of a function $F \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ with respect to a given orthogonal sequence H_0, H_1, \dots of functions from $L_2(S^{d-1})$ converges in mean to F if and only if Parseval's equation (1.1.4) holds. Furthermore, it is not difficult to prove that (1.1.3) (or, equivalently, (1.1.4)) holds for all $F \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ if and only if the given orthogonal sequence H_0, H_1, \dots has the following property: Whenever $G \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and $\langle G, H_i \rangle = 0$ (for all i), then $G = 0$ almost everywhere. An orthogonal sequence H_1, H_2, \dots with the property that for every $F \in L_2(S^{d-1})$

the relation (1.1.3) holds is said to be *complete* or (to avoid confusion with the completeness of $L_2(S^{d-1})$) *total*. If a sequence H_0, H_1, \dots is complete and $F \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i, G \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i G_i$ it follows from (1.1.4) applied to F, G , and $F + G$, that

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \beta_i \|H_i\|^2. \tag{1.1.5}$$

This relation is called the *generalized Parseval's equation*. An immediate consequence of Parseval's equation (1.1.4), applied to $F - G$, is that the assumptions $F, G \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and

$$F \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i, \quad G \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$$

imply

$$F = G \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(As usual, the abbreviation "a.e." means "almost everywhere.") Another consequence worth mentioning is the fact that if, for some integer m ,

$$F \sim \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i,$$

then

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We finally state a lemma that expresses a well-known approximation property of the Fourier coefficients.

Lemma 1.1.1. *Let F, F_1, \dots, F_n be mutually orthogonal functions belonging to $L_2(S^{d-1})$ and assume that $\|F_i\| \neq 0$ (for all i). Then $\|F - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i F_i\|$, considered as a function of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, is minimal if and only if $\gamma_i = \langle F, F_i \rangle / \|F_i\|^2$.*

Proof. This lemma follows immediately from the easily proved relation

$$\left\| F - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i F_i \right\|^2 = \left\| F - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i F_i \right\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \gamma_i)^2 \|F_i\|^2,$$

where $\alpha_i = \langle F, F_i \rangle / \|F_i\|^2$. □

Occasionally it will be convenient to use the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on S^{d-1} . If F is a real valued continuous function on S^{d-1} , or a continuous function mapping S^{d-1} into \mathbf{E}^d , it can be defined by

$$\|F\|_\infty = \max\{|F(u)| : u \in S^{d-1}\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes, respectively, either the absolute value or the Euclidean norm.

Remarks and References. The concepts of inner product, norm, orthogonality, etc., that are based on the Hilbert space structure of $L_2(S^{d-1})$ are developed (often in a much more general setting) in most textbooks of real analysis or functional analysis, for example, in RUDIN (1974) or ROYDEN (1988). In Section 3.3, we introduce a slightly modified concept of orthogonality (on $[-1, 1]$) with respect to a weight function, but in the present book such variants will play only a minor and rather isolated role.

1.2. The Gradient and Beltrami Operator

If f is a function whose domain is a subset of \mathbf{E}^d that contains S^{d-1} and whose range is in the set of real numbers or in \mathbf{E}^d , we write \hat{f} or f^\wedge for the restriction of f to S^{d-1} . If, on the other hand, F is defined on S^{d-1} we let \check{F} or F^\vee denote the *radial extension* of F to $\mathbf{E}^d \setminus \{o\}$. This means that

$$\check{F}(x) = F(x/|x|).$$

Note that always $(F^\vee)^\wedge = F$ whereas in general $(f^\wedge)^\vee \neq f$.

A function F on S^{d-1} will be said to be n times differentiable (or n times continuously differentiable) if the partial derivatives of \check{F} of order n exist (or exist and are continuous). For geometric applications of spherical harmonics one frequently has to work with the following second order differential operators. The Laplace operator Δ and the gradient ∇ are defined, respectively, by

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}$$

and

$$\nabla = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + e_d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d},$$

where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{E}^d$ with 1 occurring at the i th position. Both Δ and ∇ operate on any sufficiently smooth function defined on an open subset of \mathbf{E}^d .

Using the above extension procedure one can transfer both the Laplace operator and the gradient to operators acting on functions on S^{d-1} . These operators will be denoted, respectively, by ∇_o and Δ_o and are defined by

$$\Delta_o F = (\Delta \check{F})^\wedge$$

and

$$\nabla_o F = (\nabla \check{F})^\wedge$$

So $\Delta_o F$ and $\nabla_o F$ exist if F is, respectively, twice or once differentiable. The operator Δ_o is usually called the *Laplace–Beltrami operator* or simply the *Beltrami operator*, whereas ∇_o is again referred to as the *gradient*.

On several occasions we will need *Green’s formula*. If Q is the closure of an open set in \mathbf{E}^d with sufficiently smooth boundary and if f and g are twice continuously differentiable functions on an open set containing Q , then this formula can be stated as

$$\int_{\partial Q} f D_q g ds = \int_Q ((\nabla f) \cdot (\nabla g) + f \Delta g) dv, \tag{1.2.1}$$

where dv denotes the volume differential, ds the surface area differential, and D_q the directional derivative in the outer normal direction q of ∂Q . Actually only the special cases when Q is a ball or the set between two concentric balls will be needed. In particular, if $Q = B^d$ (1.2.1) can be written in the form

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} f D_q g d\sigma = \int_{B^d} ((\nabla f) \cdot (\nabla g) + f \Delta g) dv. \tag{1.2.2}$$

Interchanging f and g in (1.2.2) and forming the difference one obtains the following relation, which is also often referred to as Green’s formula:

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} (f D_q g - g D_q f) d\sigma = \int_{B^d} (f \Delta g - g \Delta f) dv. \tag{1.2.3}$$

As another special case of (1.2.1) consider two twice continuously differentiable functions F, G on S^{d-1} , and let $f = \check{F}, g = \check{G}$. If $r_o \in (0, 1)$ and Q is the closure of $B^d(o, r) \setminus B^d(o, r_o)$, where $r > r_o$, then, noting that f and g are constant in the radial direction, we have $D_q f = D_q g = 0$ and it follows from (1.2.1) that

$$\int_{r_o}^r \left(\int_{\partial B^d(o, \rho)} ((\nabla f(x)) \cdot (\nabla g(x)) + f(x) \Delta g(x)) d\sigma(\rho, x) \right) d\rho = 0,$$

where $d\sigma(\rho, x)$ denotes the surface area differential on $\partial B^d(o, \rho)$. Differentiating with respect to r and subsequently letting $r = 1$ one deduces that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} ((\nabla f(x)) \cdot (\nabla g(x)) + f(x) \Delta g(x)) d\sigma(x) = 0.$$

In view of the respective definitions of f , g , Δ_o , and ∇_o this can be written in the form

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} F \Delta_o G d\sigma = - \int_{S^{d-1}} (\nabla_o F) \cdot (\nabla_o G) d\sigma. \tag{1.2.4}$$

As an immediate consequence of (1.2.4) one can state that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} F \Delta_o G d\sigma = \int_{S^{d-1}} G \Delta_o F d\sigma \tag{1.2.5}$$

and, if $F = G$,

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} F \Delta_o F d\sigma = - \int_{S^{d-1}} |\nabla_o F|^2 d\sigma. \tag{1.2.6}$$

The equalities (1.2.5) and (1.2.6) can be formulated more concisely as

$$\langle F, \Delta_o G \rangle = \langle \Delta_o F, G \rangle \tag{1.2.7}$$

and

$$\langle F, \Delta_o F \rangle = -\|\nabla_o F\|^2. \tag{1.2.8}$$

The fact that (1.2.7) holds can also be expressed by stating that Δ_o is a self-adjoint operator.

Another important relation is obtained by assuming that f is a twice differentiable function on $\mathbf{E}^d \setminus \{o\}$ that is positively homogeneous of degree m (that means, if $t > 0$, then $f(tx) = t^m f(x)$). Using Euler's relation $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} = m f(x)$ one finds after a straightforward calculation that $\Delta f(x/|x|) = \Delta(f(x)|x|^{-m}) = |x|^{-m} \Delta f(x) - m(m+d-2)f(x)$. Hence, if $u \in S^{d-1}$, then

$$(\Delta_o \hat{f})(u) = (\Delta f)(u) - m(m+d-2)f(u). \tag{1.2.9}$$

A similar, but even easier calculation shows that for any function f on $\mathbf{E}^d \setminus \{o\}$ that is differentiable and positively homogeneous of degree 1 we have

$$(\nabla_o \hat{f})(u) = (\nabla f)(u) - f(u)u. \tag{1.2.10}$$

In the case $d = 2$ it is often advantageous to work with polar coordinates. If G is a differentiable function on S^1 and $x_1 = r \cos \omega$, $x_2 = r \sin \omega$, then G can be viewed as a function of ω and $\check{G}(x_1, x_2)$ does not depend on r . Hence $\check{G}(x_1, x_2) = G(\omega)$ and $\partial \check{G} / \partial r = 0$. It follows that $dG/d\omega$ exists and

$$\frac{\partial \check{G}}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{dG}{d\omega} \sin \omega, \quad \frac{\partial \check{G}}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{dG}{d\omega} \cos \omega.$$

Consequently

$$\nabla_o G = \frac{dG}{d\omega} (-\sin \omega, \cos \omega) \quad (1.2.11)$$

and

$$\frac{dG}{d\omega} = (\nabla_o G) \cdot (-\sin \omega, \cos \omega). \quad (1.2.12)$$

An immediate consequence of this relation is that

$$|\nabla_o G| = \left| \frac{dG}{d\omega} \right| \quad (1.2.13)$$

(with the Euclidean norm on the left-hand side and the absolute value on the right-hand side). Concerning the Beltrami operator it is easily shown that if $G(x_1, x_2)$ is twice differentiable, then $d^2G/d\omega^2$ exists, and one can use the two-dimensional Laplace operator in polar coordinates, namely

$$\Delta \check{G} = \frac{\partial^2 \check{G}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \check{G}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \check{G}}{\partial \omega^2},$$

and the fact that $\partial \check{G} / \partial r = \partial^2 \check{G} / \partial r^2 = 0$ to conclude that

$$\Delta_o G = \frac{d^2 G}{d\omega^2}.$$

Remarks and References. The Laplace–Beltrami operator is an often used concept in differential geometry. It can be defined for more general manifolds than spheres. However such generalizations, which are of importance in differential geometry, are irrelevant for our applications. The above introduction of this operator follows essentially that of SEELEY (1966). For deeper and more extensive studies of the Laplace–Beltrami operator see BERG (1969), HELGASON (1984), and FALLERT, GOODEY, and WEIL (in press).

1.3. Spherical Integration and Orthogonal Transformations

We first prove several auxiliary results regarding the integration of functions on S^{d-1} . It is interesting (although for our purpose not particularly important) that in most cases of spherical integration the pertinent statements remain true in the degenerate case when the integration is to be carried out over S^0 . The integral is then to be interpreted as the sum of the function values of the two points of S^0 .

Our first lemma relates integration over S^{d-1} to a particular integration over $[-1, 1]$. In this lemma, as well as in other formulas in this book, there appears a

constant ϑ which is always defined by

$$\vartheta = \frac{d - 3}{2}.$$

Lemma 1.3.1.

- (i) If N is a null set in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{E}^1 , then for every fixed $p \in S^{d-1}$ the set $U = \{u : u \in S^{d-1}, u \cdot p \in N\}$ is a null set with respect to Lebesgue measure σ on S^{d-1} .
- (ii) If Φ is a bounded Lebesgue integrable function on $[-1, 1]$, and if p is a given point on S^{d-1} , then $\Phi(u \cdot p)$, considered as a function of u on S^{d-1} , is σ -integrable and

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \Phi(u \cdot p) d\sigma(u) = \sigma_{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 \Phi(\zeta)(1 - \zeta^2)^\vartheta d\zeta. \tag{1.3.1}$$

Proof. Let I_X denote the characteristic function of a set X ; that means $I_X(x)$ equals 1 or 0 depending on whether $x \in X$ or $x \notin X$. If Φ is the characteristic function of a subinterval of $[-1, 1]$, then (1.3.1) is obtained by a calculus type computation of the area of a spherical zone on S^{d-1} . Summation and well-known properties of the Lebesgue integral then yield the validity of (1.3.1) for characteristic functions of unions of countably many disjoint intervals, in particular for step functions and characteristic functions of open sets.

To prove (i) let G_n be an open subset of \mathbf{E}^1 such that $N \subset G_n$ and the Lebesgue measure of G_n is less than $1/n$. Let U_n denote the open set $\{u : u \in S^{d-1}, u \cdot p \in G_n\}$. As already remarked, (1.3.1) is true for $\Phi = I_{G_n}$ and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(U_n) &= \int_{S^{d-1}} I_{G_n}(u \cdot p) d\sigma(u) = \sigma_{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 I_{G_n}(t)(1 - t^2)^\vartheta dt \\ &= \sigma_{d-1} \int_{G_n} (1 - t^2)^\vartheta dt. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $(1 - t^2)^\vartheta$ is an integrable function one finds that the last integral in this chain of inequalities will be less than any given $\epsilon > 0$ if n is large enough. Since $U \subset U_n$ (for all n), this implies that U is a null set.

To prove part (ii) we use the known fact that there are a uniformly bounded sequence of step functions g_i and a null set N in \mathbf{E}^1 such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(x) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if } x \notin N.$$

(In most textbooks, for example in RUDIN (1974), p. 57, this is proved for continuous functions rather than step functions; but it is also true for step functions since continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ can be uniformly approximated by step functions.) If U is the set corresponding to N as stated in part (i) of the Lemma, it follows

that for fixed p

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(u \cdot p) = \Phi(u \cdot p) \quad \text{if } u \notin U,$$

and that the limit on the left-hand side is measurable and bounded. Hence, the function $u \rightarrow \Phi(u \cdot p)$ is an integrable function on S^{d-1} . Using the fact that (1.3.1) holds for step functions one can extend the validity of this relation from g_i to Φ by an obvious application of the dominated convergence theorem. (Note that the integrand on the right-hand side of (1.3.1) is not bounded if $d = 2$ but it is clearly dominated by an integrable function.) \square

Our next lemma involves integrals over certain lower dimensional subspheres of S^{d-1} . If $p \in S^{d-1}$ and H is the hyperplane through o orthogonal to p we call the $(d - 2)$ -dimensional unit sphere $S(p) = S^{d-1} \cap H$ a *maximal subsphere* of S^{d-1} with pole p . (If $d = 3$ it is usually called a *great circle* with pole p .) The Lebesgue measure on $S(p)$ will be denoted by σ^p . It will frequently be necessary to relate the integral over S^{d-1} of a given function to integrals of the same function over maximal subspheres of S^{d-1} . The following lemma is useful for that purpose.

Lemma 1.3.2. *Let F be a continuous function on S^{d-1} and let e_ϵ be the function*

$$e_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq \epsilon \\ 0 & |x| > \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then, uniformly in p ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(p)} F(u) d\sigma^p(u) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{|w \cdot p| \leq \epsilon} F(w) d\sigma(w) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{S^{d-1}} F(w) e_\epsilon(w \cdot p) d\sigma(w). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3.2)$$

Proof. For a given $p \in S^{d-1}$ and $t \in (-1, 1)$ let $S(p, t)$ denote the $(d - 2)$ -dimensional sphere

$$S(p, t) = \{u : u \cdot p = t\}$$

and let $\Psi(p, t)$ be defined by

$$\Psi(p, t) = \int_{S(p,t)} F(u) d\sigma_t^p(u), \quad (1.3.3)$$

where σ_t^p denotes the Lebesgue measure on $S(p, t)$. Furthermore, let $\tau \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ be such that

$$\sin \tau = t,$$