

---

## Index

- abstract words
  - effect of context on interpretation of 44
  - probability of non-comparable answers 44
  - see also* blab words
- abstract topics
  - and response effects 59
  - force respondents to search for interpretive clues 190
  - cause respondents to modify questions 190
  - cause respondents to be influenced by question format 190
  - definition of, in terms of specific examples 44–5
  - definition of, in terms of vignettes 44
  - non-comparability of answers 44
  - see also* interpretive clues
- acquiescent effects associated with:
  - True . . . False rating scales 167
  - Very satisfied . . . Very dissatisfied rating scales 167
  - see also* social desirability response bias
- actors' own concepts, use of in questions 41
- additional clauses, effect on interpretation of questions 48
- additional instructions, effect on interpretation of questions 48
- additional phrases, effect on interpretation of questions 48
- age questions, differences in response distributions for 6, 115, 123
- Akiyama, M.M. *et al.* 49
- Alexander, C.S. & H. Becker 44
- Allport, G. 158
- 'Allow' vs. 'Forbid', interpretations of 45
- ambivalence, need to include measure of 110
- ambivalent responses, meaning of 106–7
- Andrews, F.M. 111, 166, 167, 169
- answers, in terms of specific dimensions vs. several dimensions of the topic 29–31
- anti-priming effect 63–4
- applicability of questions

- errors when questions are not relevant to respondents 191
- need to establish that respondents have the required information 190
- 'Approve . . . Disapprove' dimension, confused with importance, centrality and sureness 159
- 'Approve' vs. 'Like', interpretations of 45–6
- Arken, R.M. & E.A. Lake 113
- asking more than one question at once, need to avoid 47
- associated cues, reference to, to facilitate recall 95–6
- assumptions held by
  - researcher/interviewer, and effect on researcher/interviewer's understanding of answers 55
- assumption that answers are not affected by research situation *per se* 53
- assumption that answers are more valid if they are not suggested to respondents 53
- assumption that answers are more valid if respondents are not told why the question is being asked 53
- assumption that process of answering *per se* does not determine answers given 53
- assumption that respondents can verbalise required information under research conditions 35–6
- attitudes
  - definition of 158–60
  - instability of 4
  - Guttman unidimensional cumulative scales, for measuring 154
  - ladder device, for measuring 153
  - Likert summated scales, for measuring 154, 168–70
  - measuring devices, reasons for lack of comparisons 155
  - open questions, for measuring 153
  - paired comparisons procedure, for measuring 154
  - Q sort technique, for measuring 154
  - ranking procedure, for measuring 154
  - Rokeach ranking procedure for measuring 154
  - techniques for measuring chapter 11, 153–80
  - thermometer device, for measuring 153
  - Thurstone differential scales, for measuring 154
- avoidance of redundancy effect 65
  - see also* anti-priming effect
- awareness, of stimuli that impinge upon behaviour 90–1
- Bachman, J.G. & P.M. O'Malley 91
- Baddeley, A. 93
- Bardo, J.W. *et al.* 156, 168
- Barton, A.H. 112, 117
- Beighley, K.C. 99
- beliefs, instability of 4
- Belson, W. ix, 2, 6, 34, 41, 43–4, 47, 48, 50–1, 52, 82, 101, 181–3, 184, 186, 187
- Belson, W. & J.A. Duncan 8, 142
- Belson, W. *et al.* 82, 187
- Bem, D.J. 63
- Bendig, A. 166
- Benney, M.D. *et al.* 74, 124
- Benson, L.E. 121
- Berg, I.A. & G.M. Rappaport 167
- bias, and the number of response options 59–60
- Bilmes, J. 69
- Bishop, G.F. 63, 101, 108–9
- Bishop, G.F. *et al.* 4, 5, 65, 101, 103, 104, 105, 107–8
- blab words 42–3
  - see also* abstract words
- Blair, E.S. *et al.* 49, 112, 114, 115–6, 117
- Blum, G.S. *et al.* 95
- Blumer, H. 19, 20
- bogus pipeline technique, for minimising effect of question threat 113

Cambridge University Press

0521467330 - Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires: Theory and Practice in Social Research

William Foddy

Index

[More information](#)

## 216 INDEX

- bounded recall, for minimising effect
  - of question threat 94
- Bradburn, N.M. 30–1, 42, 45–6
- Bradburn, N. & S. Sudman 44
- Bradburn, N. *et al.* 112, 114, 117
- Brenner, M. 13
- brevery, virtue of, in questions 48, 51
- Briggs, C.L. 3, 9, 10, 11, 54, 74, 89, 126
- Brown, J. & B.G. Gilmartin 1
- Butler, D. & U. Kitzinger 4–5
  
- Campbell, A.A. 17, 85, 135, 151, 190, 192
- Cannell, C.F. 6, 35, 48, 92, 96–7, 98
- Cannell, C.F. *et al.* 31, 42, 93, 96–7, 98, 112, 115, 117
- Cantril, H. 162
- Cantril, H. & E. Fried 6, 29, 31, 39, 134, 142, 183–4, 187
- Carver, M.E. 99
- category weights, *see* rating scale
- category weights
- central tendency effects
  - and alphabetic rating scales 167–8
  - and numeric rating scales 167–8
- central tendency error
  - associated with 5- and 7-point verbal, numeric and face rating scales 168
  - as a function of the number of rating categories 168
- centrality/perceived importance
  - as predictor of behaviour 159
  - compared with intensity as predictor of behaviour 159
  - compared with committed action as predictor of behaviour 159
  - confused with approve–disapprove dimension 159
- Chaikin, S. & A.H. Eagly 99
- Charters, W.W. & T.M. Newcomb 86–7
- Chesterton, G.K. 69
- Cicourel, A.V. 3, 10, 11, 13, 34, 41, 69, 71, 74, 124, 152
- closed questions
  - advantages and disadvantages of 127–8
  - bias associated with incomplete response option sets 142
  - coercive effect of incomplete sets of response options 56
  - developing response categories for, problems associated with 141
  - endorsement rates for yes–no responses vs. rates when response options compete with other alternatives 144
  - evaluation of assumptions
    - underlying use of 140–8
  - evaluation of assumption that answers more easily analysed 141
  - evaluation of assumption that respondents' answers are comparable 140
  - evaluation of assumption that respondents find easy to answer 140–1
  - evaluation assumption that response options should be based on answers to open questions 141–8
  - evaluation of claim that sets of response options are more complete and appropriate if based on answers to open questions 144–8
  - inappropriate response categories, problem of 143
  - interpreting answers, problems associated with 150
  - need to be asked exactly as written 148–50
  - recording answers to, problems associated with 148–50
  - repeating questions respondents have trouble with, instruction for interviewers 149–50
  - suggest answers to respondents 8, 54–8, 129
- Collins, H.M. 14–15, 16
- committed action
  - as predictor of behaviour 159

- compared with intensity as predictor of behaviour 159
  - compared with centrality as predictor of behaviour 159
  - confused with approve–disapprove dimension 159
- comparability of answers, a key issue 17
- complex questions, best mode of presentation of 98–9
- complex topics, instability of evaluations of 163
- concrete words, need for 46, 51
  - see also* abstract words
- conjunctions, variability in interpretations of 48
- consistency effects 6–7
- constructing questions, TAP paradigm for 193
- contentless forms procedure for
  - identifying response effects associated with different types of rating scales 168
- context, influences on interpretations of questions ch. 5, 52–75
- context-specific meanings of individual words 40
  - see also* cultural context; cultural relativity of meaning
- contextual clues
  - influence of, when respondents having difficulty remembering required information 59
  - use of, necessitated by abstract topic/concepts 59
  - see also* abstract words, abstract topics
- contextual sources of information that influence respondents' interpretations of questions 52–3, 54
- contingent consistency, postulate of 159
  - see also* attitudes, instability of
- contrast effects 6–7
  - see also* anti-priming effects
- Converse, J.M. 102, 105, 106, 152
- Converse, J.M. & S. Presser x, 33, 34, 43, 49, 109–8, 110, 114, 130, 135, 152, 185
- Converse, J.M. & H. Schuman 34
- Converse, P.E. 4, 102
- Corey, S.M. 99
- critiques of past question construction practices 9
- cross-cutting questions to facilitate recall, *see* associated cues
- Crutchfield, R.S. & D.A. Gordon 30, 76, 78–9, 80, 128, 150
- cultural context, impact of on interpretation of questions 9
- cultural relativity of meaning 126
- cultural vs. personal accounts 82–4
  - see also* social generality of responses
- cumulative scales, for measuring attitudes, *see* Guttman scales
- Davies, B. 13
- Dawes, R.M. & T.L. Smith 158
- dead give-away words 87–8
- defining difficult words 42–5
  - see also* definition of topic
- defining issue before formulating questions, need for 33
- defining topic properly, ch. 3, 25–37
- definition of abstract concepts in terms of empirical example 44
- definition of topic
  - in terms of a specific dimension vs. global 29–31
  - in terms of specific examples 44
  - need for interviewer and respondents to share 38–46, 190
  - using vignettes 44–5
- DeLamater, J. 87, 112
- DeMario, T.J. 185
- Deming, W.E. 2, 32, 33
- descriptive accounts
  - effect of different schema on response distributions 78–9
  - effect of different schema on interpretation of 'social change' 78
  - financial 81
  - instrumental vs. moral 79

- political vs. scientific 79
- range of 77–81
- Deutscher, I. 3, 9
- dichotomous questions, and tendency
  - to imply second option 143
  - endorsement rates for fully listed options 144–8
- differential scales, *see* Thurstone scales
- difficult questions, Belson's list of 51
- difficult vocabulary, effect on
  - interpretations of questions 41–2
- Dijkstra, W. & J. Van der Zouwen 13
- Dillman, D. xi, 191
- discounting effects 63–4
- Dohrenwend, B. 128, 130, 140, 151
- 'Don't know' response option
  - endorsement of 8
  - need for 110–11
  - provision of and validity of data collected 111
  - and question threat 120
- 'Don't know' responses
  - and difficulty of vocabulary 41, 119–20
  - associated respondent characteristics 106
  - effect on correlations 102–3
  - vs. undecided responses 106
- Doob, L.W. 158
- door in the face technique to reduce
  - effect of question threat 114
- Douglas, J.D. xiii, 3, 10, 11, 124, 126
- dual time-frame questioning technique 94
- Duffy, J.C. & J.J. Waterton 121
- dummy table, preparation of to keep
  - focus on information required 32
- Dunnette, M.D. *et al.* 101–2, 106
  
- earlier questions, effect of 6, 61–9
- editing rules, for constructing
  - questions 183–5
- Edwards, A.L. 94
- Emerson, R.M. xi, 69–70
- empirical referents, lack of and
  - variability of interpretations 42–3
- encouragements, use of to facilitate
  - respondent recall 98
- ethnographical anthropologists 126
- ethnomethodologists 126
- evaluative judgements 86
  - affected by mood 163
- evaluative standards 86–8
  - effect of asymmetric specification of anchor points 88
  - external to question 86–8
  - inadequately defined for rating scales 88
  - see also* frame of reference; reference group standards; normative standards of evaluation
- evaluative words, variability in
  - interpretations of 43
- explanations
  - factors influencing decisions to buy cosmetics 85
  - in terms of
    - focalisers vs. crystalisers vs. precipitants 84
    - push–pull factors 84
    - respondent vs. stimulus vs. environmental factors 84
  - Lehnert's five categories of 84–5
  - variations in 84–6
- explication of full range of response
  - categories, effect of on response distribution 57–8
- extreme categories, dominant
  - influence of on responses 59–60
  - see also* rating scale category weights
  
- factual questions, invalid answers to 2
- fear of political and economic
  - sanctions, reduction of 122
- fear of social rejection, reduction of
  - 121–2
- Fee, J.F. 42
- Feldman, S. 66
- Ferber, R. 8, 121
- Festinger, L. 121
- fictitious items
  - basis of responses to 105
  - substantive responses to 104

- see also* filter; non-substantive responses
- Fidler, D. & R. Kleinknecht 113, 117, 121
- filter
- definition of 101
  - effect of position on interpretation of 108–9
  - effect of strength of on endorsement rate 107–8
  - need for ch. 7, 101–11
  - use of vs. forced response 110
  - use of and validity of data 111
- Finn, R.H. 166
- Fishbein, M. 159
- floater 102–3
- focus on information required, techniques for keeping 32
- Foddy, M. 20
- forbid vs. allow, interpretations of 45
- forced responses vs. use of filters 110
- forgetting 35
- competing explanations of 95
  - fading traces hypothesis 95
  - failure of associated cues hypothesis 95
  - rate of over time 91–2
  - retroactive interference and 93
- form resistant correlation hypothesis 103
- format, effect of 7
- formulating intelligible requests for information ch. 4
- Fowler, F.J. 36
- Fowler, F.J. & T.W. Mangione xi, 36, 47–8, 71, 112, 130, 135–7, 142, 143, 148–50, 182, 185–6, 187
- framework of associations, to facilitate recall, *see* associated cues
- frame of reference 89
- Francis, J.D. & L. Busch 105
- Freed, M.N. 31
- frequency, in terms of specified time periods 44
- lack of empirical referents for categories 43
  - use of open questions, to gauge 122
- Frisbie, D.A. & D.C. Brandenburg 166, 167
- funnel sequence, for ordering questions 62
- Gallup, G. ix, x, 8–9, 61, 126, 152
- Garfinkel, H. 126
- Geertz, C. 126
- Gilbert, G.N. & M. Mulkey 79, 80
- Godden, D.R. & A.D. Baddeley 95
- Gordon, V.V. 96
- Gordon, W.D. 71, 187
- grammatical complexities, effect on interpretation of questions 47, 51
- Green, P.E. & V.R. Rao 166
- Grice, H.P. 65
- Gritching, W. 4, 69
- Gross, E.J. 69
- guess the right answer technique, for identifying format effects 167–8
- Guttman scales, for measuring attitudes 154–5
- ability to predict behaviour compared with Likert scales 159
- habits, instability in reports of 4
- Hampleman, R.S. 99
- Hartley, E. 105
- Haugh, O.M. 99
- Henry, H. 90, 91, 133
- hidden questions, need to avoid 47
- Hiller, P. 54
- Hippler, H.J. & G. Hippler 114
- Hippler, H.J. & N. Schwarz 45, 49, 108, 111, 122, 166–7
- Holdaway, E.A. 55, 100, 160–1
- Hovde, H.T. 2
- Hovland, C.I. & M.J. Rosenberg 66
- Hunt, S.D. *et al.* 122
- Hunt, W.H. *et al.* 6, 184, 187, 188
- hypothetical questions 33–4, 51
- and need to include questions about actual experience 34
- impersonal vs. personal responses 82
- see also* social generality of responses; ‘you’, interpretations of

Cambridge University Press

0521467330 - Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires: Theory and Practice in Social Research

William Foddy

Index

[More information](#)

## 220 INDEX

- in-depth question testing 46
- information required  
 need to have clear idea of 31–3  
*see also* definition of abstract  
 concepts; definition of topic
- intensity as a predictor of behaviour  
 159  
 compared with centrality and  
 committed action 159  
 comparison of measures of 110  
 need to include in attitude measures  
 159  
 use of measures of 109
- interests, instability in reports of 4
- interpretive clues 54–66  
 provided by fact question is asked  
 53  
 contained in the question *per se* 53–4  
 suggested by prior questions 61–6  
 suggested by response options 55–8  
 suggested by range response options  
 cover 58  
*see also* abstract words; abstract  
 topics
- interpretation of questions, and words  
 stressed 41
- interviewer–respondent joint struggle  
 to disambiguate questions 18
- interviewer total control, impossibility  
 of 18–19
- Jabine, T.B. *et al.* 187
- Jones, E.E. & H. Sigall 113, 117
- Jordan, N. 4–5, 162
- Joshi, A.K. 54
- Kahn, R.L. & C.F. Cannell 62, 66, 76,  
 77, 78, 81, 86, 111, 152, 163
- Kalton, G. 57, 110
- Kalton, G. *et al.* 57, 63–4, 103, 110
- Katz, D. 34–5, 110, 122, 153, 159
- Katz, J. 16
- Kearsley, G.P. 50
- Kibler, R.J. 99
- Kidder, L.H. 32, 33
- Kidder, L.H. & C.M. Judd 41–2, 48,  
 151, 154, 155
- Kinsey technique, for reducing effect  
 of question threat 113
- knowledge of topic  
 need to measure respondents' level  
 of 34  
 and respondents' willingness to  
 answer 8, 101, 104  
 and non-substantive responses 106–7
- Kornhauser, A. & P.B. Sheatsley 62
- Krosnick, J.A. & D.F. Alwin 7, 59
- ladder rating device, for measuring  
 attitudes 153
- Laing, A.M. 30
- Laird, D.A. 90
- landmark events, use of to control  
 telescoping 94
- LaPier, R.T. 3
- Laurent, A. 48–9
- Lazarsfeld, P.F. 41, 69, 76, 84, 126,  
 127, 130, 132, 141–2, 152
- Lazarsfeld, P.F. & A.H. Barton 85
- leading questions  
 effect on responses 54–5  
 need to avoid 93
- Lee, R.M. & C.M. Renzetti 118
- Lehnert, W.G. 84–5
- Lemkau, P.V. & G.M. Crocetti 44
- leniency error, associated with line and  
 face rating scales 168  
*see also* acquiescent effect
- Lenski, G. 144
- Levine, I.P. *et al.* 167
- 'Like' vs. 'Approve', interpretations of  
 45–6
- Likert scales 154  
 category weights vary with topic 168  
 compared with magnitude scales  
 174–5  
 for measuring attitudes 154  
 jamming of response in extreme  
 categories 168–9  
 power to predict behaviour  
 compared with Thurstone and  
 Guttman scales 159  
 problems associated with 168

- respondent-centred responses vs. stimulus-centred responses 169
  - suggestions for improving 170
  - validity and number of rating categories 169
- Link, H.C. 31, 128, 137
- Locander, W.B. & J.P. Burton 7, 117
- Locander, W.B. *et al.* 112
- Lodge, M. 175, 176, 177
- Lodge, M. & B. Tursky 168–9, 171, 172, 174, 178
- Lodge, M. *et al.* 179
- Loftus, E.F. 87, 88, 95, 140–1
- Loftus, E.F. & W. Marburger 94
- Loftus, E.F. *et al.* 94
- long questions, use of to reduce question threat 49
- long-term memory 91–8
- Lyn, J. & A. Jay 66–7
- McClendon, M.J. & D.J. O'Brien 7, 63, 190
- McFarland, S.G. 7, 63
- McQuire, W.J. 67–8
- McQuire, W.J. & C.V. McQuire 131
- magic number seven 164–5
  - see also* response categories, optimal number of
- magnitude scaling 171–180
  - of adjectival categories of support 175–8
  - as way of avoiding problems associated with Likert scales 174–5
  - demonstration of use for measuring attitudes 175
- Manning, P.K. 33, 122
- Marquis, K.H. 3, 96, 98
- Martin, E. 36
- Matell, M.S. & J. Jacoby 166
- Mead, G.H. 19
- Mellinger, G.D. *et al.* 44–5
- memory ch. 7, 90–100, 191
  - changes in at time of retrieval 95
  - facilitated by associated cues 94–5
  - facilitated by bounded recall procedures 94
  - facilitated by use of cross-cutting questions 97
  - facilitated by encouragements 98
  - facilitated by working chronologically backwards 96
  - as a function of salience 93
  - for intentional vs. non-intentional behaviour 91
  - for mundane events 97
  - for pleasant vs. unpleasant events 94
  - for rare events 97
  - as a reconstructive activity 93
  - and retroactive interference 93
  - and telescoping 93–4
  - three stage model of 95
  - see also* recall
- memory failure 91–2
  - and fading traces hypothesis 95
  - and failure of associated cues hypothesis 95
  - as a function of elapsed time 91–2
  - for hospitalisation events 91–2
  - for victimisation events 91–2
- memory cues, provided by pre-set response options 58–9
  - see also* associated cues
- Menzel, H. 84, 134
- Merton, R.K. 15
- middle alternatives 103, 109–10
  - argument for dropping and measuring intensity 109–10
  - need for 'Neutral', 'Undecided', and 'Ambivalent' response options 111
  - use and validity of data 111
  - see also* don't know; filters
- Miller, G.A. 164
- Mishler, E.G. 10, 17–18, 73
- misinterpretation of questions 6
- Molenaar, N.J. 47, 56, 57, 58, 144, 164
- Monson, T.C. & M. Snyder 134
- Montgomery, A.C. & K.S. Crittendon 138
- Morris, P. 91, 95
- Mostyn, B. 138
- Mulkay, M. 80–1
- multiple frames of reference, to facilitate recall, *see* associated cues



Cambridge University Press

0521467330 - Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires: Theory and Practice in Social Research

William Foddy

Index

[More information](#)

## 222 INDEX

- multiple meanings, need to avoid
  - words with 43
- mundane events, frequency of
  - difficulty recalling 59
  - tendency to over-estimate 97
  - see also* rare events
- Murrey, J. *et al.* 45–6
- negatives 49–50
  - and implication of expected answers 50
  - double, need to avoid 49
  - single, need to avoid 50
- Nisbett, R.E. & T.D. Wilson 90, 91
- Noelle-Neumann, E. 6
- non-attitudes 102–5
  - effect on correlations 103
- non-comparability of answers and lack of empirical referents 44
- non-substantive response options
  - effect of incomplete array of 107
  - effect of poor definition of 107
- non-substantive responses
  - and correlations with education and involvement 105
  - interpretation of 104
  - meaning of 106
  - see also* ‘Don’t know’; middle alternatives
- ‘No opinion’ response option, need for 110–11
  - see also* ‘Don’t know’; middle alternatives
- Norman, D.A. 95
- normative standards of evaluation
  - suggested by range response options cover 59, 87
  - see also* evaluative standards
- Nuckols, R.C. 6, 181, 186
- Oakley, A. 4, 13
- occupational status, questions to establish 27–9
- open vs. closed questions 126
  - relative merits of 151–2
- open questions, claimed advantages and disadvantages of 127–8
- claim answers indicate level of knowledge 129–30
- claim allow expression of fine shades meaning 151
- claim allow identification of motivations 132–4
- claim answers indicate frames of reference used 134
- claim answers indicate salience of topic 130–1
- claim avoid format effects 131
- claim do not suggest answers to respondents 129
- claim useful when number of response options is large 151
- claim useful when no time to develop categories 151
- comparability of answers given by different respondents 76
- interviewers’ need to know what information is required 134–5
- for measuring attitudes 153
- need for answers to contain required information 138
- probes recommended by Fowler and Mangione 135
- problem that probes suggest answers 135–7
- problems associated with coding answers 138
- problems associated with probing inadequate answers 134
- and question threat 49, 59–60, 114
- use to ascertain frequency of deviant behaviours 59
- variability of answers 7, 134, 137–8
- opinion change, and changes in questions used 5
- opinions, instability of 4
  - assumption that respondents have 33
- order effects
  - and presentation of response options 60–1
  - and sequencing of questions 61–8
- Ottati, V.G. *et al.* 65

- pace of interview
  - effect on recall 98
  - effect on respondents' definition of task 36
  - tendency of novice interviewers to work too quickly 35-6
- paired comparisons procedure, for measuring attitudes 154
- Palmer, G.L. 2
- paradigm for constructing questions 193
- Parry, H.J. & H.M. Crossley 2
- Pawson, R. 10, 13-14, 15, 70, 71, 73, 143
- Payne, S.L. x, 31, 32-3, 41-2, 43, 44, 46-7, 48, 54-5, 56-7, 78, 82, 83, 87-8, 120, 143-4, 182, 190
- perceived importance, as predictor of behaviour 159
  - see also* intensity; centrality; committed action
- perspective 76
  - need for the researcher to specify 190-2
  - personal vs. cultural 83
  - personalised vs. impersonalised responses 82
- Petersen, K.K. & J. Dutton 158
- Peterson, R.A. 6, 115
- phenomenological approaches to verbal data 14
  - see also* qualitative approach to data
- Phillips, D.L. 3, 9, 11
- piloting questions to identify
  - interviewer difficulties 185-6
  - use of tape recorder when 185-6
  - use of two interviewers for 185
- Potter, J. & M. Mulkay 80, 81, 134
- preceding questions, effect of on answers 6, 61-9
- Prendergast, S. & A. Prout 83
- presentation of questions to respondents, most effective mode of 98-9
- prestige names, influence of on responses to questions 55
- primacy response bias 60
  - see also* recency response bias
- priming effects 61-2, 63
  - see also* anti-priming; discounting effects
- purpose, need to write purpose beside draft question 33
- Q sort technique, for measuring attitudes 154
- qualitative researchers' approach to question wording 14-15
- quantitative (survey) researchers' approach to question wording 13-14
- question-answer sequence, basic model 17
- question construction, lack of attention to theory in past x
- question editing, rules for 183-5
- question failure, principal causes of in surveys 2
- question length and response effects 47
  - and increased recall 48
  - and order effects 47
- question methodology, standard suggestions for constructing questions 10
  - and symbolic interactionism 19-22
- question piloting procedures, *see* piloting procedures
- question testing procedure 186-8
  - double interview procedure 187
  - double interview and think aloud procedures compared 188
  - need for use of 188
  - rephrasing questions in own words procedure 186
  - think aloud procedure 187
- question threat ch. 9, 112-25
  - and characteristics of questions *per se* 119
  - definition of 117-22
  - and difficulty of vocabulary 41
  - and fear of either political or economic sanctions 122
  - and fear of social rejection 121-2

Cambridge University Press

0521467330 - Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires: Theory and Practice in Social Research

William Foddy

Index

[More information](#)

## 224 INDEX

- and idiosyncratic respondent factors 119
  - and nature of
    - interviewer–respondent relationship 120–2
  - and perceived attacks on competency 119–20
  - and the problem that there are different types of threat 123–4
  - and recency effects 120
  - and social desirability response bias 117–19
  - reduction of ch. 9, 112–25
  - and trust 124
  - types of, paired with threat
    - reduction techniques 125
  - use of rating scale to measure 114
  - and willingness to respond 112
  - and words with negative stereotypes 120
- questions, role of social factors in lack of validity of 3
- quintamensional plan for asking questions 61–2
- ranking procedures, for measuring attitudes 154
- rankings vs. ratings, kind of information generated 155–6
- randomised response technique 121
  - to reduce effects of question threat 113
- rare events, frequency of, tendency to overestimate 97  
*see also* mundane events
- rating scales
  - anchoring effects of category labels 166
  - bias towards largest numeric anchor 166
  - bias toward positive anchor 166–7
  - common formats 157
  - effect of labelling all categories 167
  - influence of intermediate categories 167
  - need to incorporate filters 160–1
  - need to specify required generality of responses 161
  - number of categories and reliability 166
  - number of categories and uncertainty responses 166
  - number of categories and validity 166
  - optimal number of categories 164–6
  - popularity of 155
  - and respondent centred effects 167–8
  - specificity of items should match
    - specificity of behaviour 161
  - standards of comparison, poor specification of 162–4
  - and stimulus-centred effects 164–7
  - topic to be rated, need to define properly 158–60
  - use of to measure attitudes 153
- rating scale category weights 162
  - weight of intermediate categories 167
  - weight of negative vs. positive categories 162
- Ray, J.J. 55
- recall
  - accuracy, increased by use of dual time-frame procedure 94
  - appropriate time-frame for 98
  - associated cues, reference to facilitate 94–5
  - bounded recall procedure, use of to increase accuracy of 94
  - changes in, at retrieval stage 95
  - cross-cutting questions, use of to increase accuracy of 97
  - dual time-frame questions, use of to increase accuracy of 94
  - encouragement, use of to increase level of 98
  - of hospitalisation events 91–2
  - of intentional vs. non-intentional behaviour 91
  - interviewer–respondent contract, use of to increase accuracy of 98

- and multiple frames of reference, to facilitate 96
- of pleasant vs. unpleasant events 94
- as a reconstruction activity 93
- and retroactive interference 93
- as a function of salience 93
- and telescoping 93–4
- of victimisation events 92–3
- redundancy, use of to facilitate 48
- working chronologically backward, to facilitate accuracy of 95
- recency response bias 60, 190
  - and difficulty of vocabulary 41
- redundancy
  - alternative explanations of effect on recall level 115
  - and increased recall 48
  - and associated danger of changing question 117
  - avoidance of when answering 60–1
- reference group standards 86–8
  - Catholic vs. non-Catholic 87
- relevance of questions, *see* applicability of questions
- relevance of topic to respondent, need to establish 33–5, ch. 8, 101–11
- respondent-centred effects associated with rating scales 167
- respondent-centred vs.
  - stimulus-centred responses to rating scales 160
- respondent–interviewer joint struggle to disambiguate question 18
- respondents exercising total control, impossibility of 18–19
- respondents, treated as peers 60, 192
- respondents' interpretations, contextual influence on ch. 5, 52–75
- response bias and number of response options 59–60
- response categories
  - optimal number of 166
  - range of, and question threat 122
- response distribution, effect of order of presentation of response options 7
- response distribution for
  - 'Very good . . . Very bad' ratings 5
  - 'Approve . . . Disapprove' ratings 5
  - would make the best prime minister ratings 5
  - categories in ascending vs. descending order 7
  - response effects
    - and recalling frequencies of mundane behaviours 59
    - and recalling topics lacking empirical referents 59
  - response options
    - as clues to normative standards 59, 87
    - effect of failure to define properly on non-substantive responses 107
    - effect of full listing of on endorsement rates 57
    - effect of imbalance of on response distribution 56–61
    - effect of implication of options 56
    - explicit listing of and probability of endorsement 8
    - as memory cues 58–9
    - and the suggestion of responses 8, 54–8, 129
- Rich, M.C. 91
- Richardson, S.A. 122
- Riesman, D. & N. Glazer 34, 35
- Rokeach ranking procedure for measuring attitudes 154
- Roslow, S. *et al.* 29
- Rugg, D. 45
- Rugg, D. & H. Cantril 6, 43, 45, 56
- salience of topic
  - defined 130
  - dimensions of 93
  - and recall 93
- secret ballot technique for minimising effect of question threat 121
- Schuman, H. 69
- Schuman, H. & O.D. Duncan 165
- Schuman, H. & M.P. Johnson 30
- Schuman, H. & J. Ludwig 68
- Schuman, H. & S. Presser 8, 17, 45, 101, 102, 103, 105, 110, 127–8, 128,

## 226 INDEX

- 129, 130, 134, 142, 144–8, 151, 159, 159–60, 190, 192
- Schuman, H. *et al.* 64, 65, 68
- Schwarz, N. 59
- Schwarz, N. & G. Clore 162–3
- Schwarz, N. & H.J. Hippler 59, 87, 130, 132, 190
- Schwarz, N. *et al.* 59, 190
- scope of question, tendency for respondents to change 44  
*see also* abstract words; abstract topics
- Selltiz, C. *et al.* 62, 154, 155, 163, 184
- shared understanding of topic, need for ch. 3, 25–37  
approaches for ensuring 36–7
- short-term memory 98–9
- show cards, way of eliminating need for 99
- Silvey, J. 138
- Skelton, V.C. 112
- Smith, E.R. & F.D. Miller 91
- Smith, E.R.A.N. & P. Squire 55
- Smith, H.L. & H. Hyman 137
- Smith, T.W. xi, 8, 9, 31, 34, 104, 105, 105–6, 107
- social comparison processes, and question threat 121
- social desirability response bias and question threat 117–19  
for open questions vs. closed questions 131–2
- social generality of responses, need to specify 82–6
- Sorensen, R.C. 40
- sources of response variability 21–2
- specific meaning, need to use words with 46
- stereotypes, negative, and question threat 120
- stimulus-centred response associated with rating scales 164–7
- stimulus-response survey model 12
- Strack, F. & L.L. Martin 52, 65–6, 101, 190
- strength of feeling, as a predictor of behaviour, *see* intensity
- stress, on different words, and effect of question interpretation 41
- Strube, G. 97
- subjectivist approach to verbal data, *see* qualitative researchers' approach
- Sudman, S. & N.M. Bradburn 11, 27–9, 32, 33, 41, 49, 66, 93, 93–4, 96, 111, 112, 114, 117–18, 119, 124, 153
- summated scales, *see* Likert scales
- sureness of ratings compared with intensity as a predictor of behaviour 159
- survey questions, impact of social factors 10
- survey research, underlying assumptions 13
- symbolic interactionist model of question-answer behaviour 22
- symbolic interaction theory 19–20  
implications for social research 20–3  
tenets of 19–20
- TAP paradigm for constructing questions 193
- telescoping 93–4
- Thomas, W.I. 15, 126
- Thomas, D.L. *et al.* 20
- Thompson, D.M. & E. Tulving 36, 95
- Tittle, C.R. & R.T. Hill 159
- thermometer rating device for measuring attitudes 153
- threat reduction ch. 9, 112–25  
conflict between different techniques 114  
lack of guidelines for choice of 114  
past advice 112–14
- Thurstone scales 154  
based on implicit internal standards of comparison 163  
for measuring attitudes 154  
power to predict behaviour compared with Likert and Guttman scales 159
- topic, effect of inadequate definition of on response distribution 30–1
- Tourangeau, R. & K. Rasinski 64–5

Cambridge University Press

0521467330 - Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires: Theory and Practice in Social Research

William Foddy

Index

[More information](#)

- Tulving, E. 36, 95  
 Turnbull, W. 121  
 Tversky, A. & D. Kahneman 166  
 twenty statements test 130–1
- undecided category, influence of  
 position on interpretation of 56  
 undecided responses  
 meaning of 101–2  
 vs. ‘Don’t know’ responses 106  
*see also* non-substantive responses  
 ‘Usually’, interpretations of 43
- Van der Zouwen, J. 13  
 Van der Zouwen, J. & W. Dijkstra 11,  
 114  
 variability in interpretation of  
 questions  
 about poorly defined topics 190–1  
 calling for mental calculations 59  
 incorporating words that lack  
 empirical referents 42  
 that do not apply to respondents  
 190  
 verbal data, approaches to collection  
 of 12  
 vignettes, use of to define complex  
 topics 44
- vocabulary, difficulty of and question  
 threat 119–20
- Ward, C.D. 99  
 Warner, L.G. 117  
 Warner, L.G. & M.L. DeFleur 159  
 Weber, M. 126  
 Weigel, R.H. & L.S. Newman 3, 161  
 Weis, C.H. 117  
 White, P. 91  
 Whitten II, W.B. & J. Leonard 96  
 ‘who’, different interpretations of 83  
 Wieder, D.L. 124  
 Wildt, A.R. & M.B. Mazis 167  
 Williams, D.C. *et al.* 99  
 Williams, J.A. 112, 117, 122  
 Wilson, G.D. & D.K.B. Nias 167  
 Wilson, T.D. & R.E. Nisbet 90  
 words with multiple meanings, need to  
 avoid 43
- yea saying, *see* acquiescent effects  
 ‘you’, personal vs. impersonal  
 interpretations of 82  
 Zdep, S.M. & T. Rhodes 113, 121  
 Zeisel, H. 84, 122, 130, 135  
 Zuckerman, H. 122  
 Zurcher, L.A. 130