

The material elements of writing have long been undervalued, and have been dismissed by recent historicizing trends in criticism; but analysis of these elements – sound, signature, letters – can transform our understanding of literary texts. In *Anti-Mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock* Tom Cohen shows how, in an era of representational criticism and cultural studies, the role of close reading has been overlooked. Arguing that much recent criticism has been caught in potentially regressive models of representation, Professor Cohen undertakes to counter this by rethinking the "materiality" of the text itself. Through a series of revealing new readings of the work of writers including Plato, Bakhtin, Poe, Whitman, and Conrad, Professor Cohen exposes the limitations of new historicism and neo-pragmatism, and demonstrates how "the materiality of language" operates to undo the representational models of meaning imposed by the literary canon.



Literature, Culture, Theory 10

Anti-Mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock



Literature, Culture, Theory



General editors

RICHARD MACKSEY, The Johns Hopkins University
and MICHAEL SPRINKER, State University of New York at Stony Brook

The Cambridge Literature, Culture, Theory series is dedicated to theoretical studies in the human sciences that have literature and culture as their object of enquiry. Acknowledging the contemporary expansion of cultural studies and the redefinitions of literature that this has entailed, the series includes not only original works of literary theory but also monographs and essay collections on topics and seminal figures from the long history of theoretical speculation on the arts and human communication generally. The concept of theory embraced in the series is broad, including not only the classical disciplines of poetics and rhetoric, but also those of aesthetics, psychoanalysis, semiotics, and other cognate sciences that have inflected the systematic study of literature during the past half century.

Titles published

Return to Freud: Jacques Lacan's dislocation of psychoanalysis
SAMUEL WEBER

(translated from the German by Michael Levine)

Wordsworth, dialogics, and the practice of criticism

The subject of modernity ANTHONY J. CASCARDI

Onomatopoetics: theory of language and literature
JOSEPH GRAHAM

Parody: ancient, modern, and post-modern
MARGARET ROSE

The poetics of personification JAMES PAXSON

Possible worlds in literary theory RUTH RONEN

Critical conditions: postmodernity and the question of foundations
HORACE L. FAIRLAMB

Introduction to literary hermeneutics

PETER SZONDI

(translated from the German by Martha Woodmansee)

Anti-mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock

TOM COHEN



Anti-Mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock

TOM COHEN

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill





> Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, CB2 1RP 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

> > © Cambridge University Press 1994

First published 1994

Printed in Great Britain at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloging in publication data

Cohen, Tom, 1953-

Anti-mimesis from Plato to Hitchcock / Tom Cohen p. cm. — (Literature, culture, theory; 10) Includes index.

ISBN 0 521 46013 1 (hardback) — ISBN 0 521 46584 2 (paperback)

1. Language and languages — Philosophy. 2. Criticism. I. Title.

II. Series.

P106.C593 1994 93-43559 401 – dc20 cip

> ISBN 0 521 46013 1 hardback ISBN 0 521 46584 2 paperback



For "Mallie," goddess



"How good are the copies?"

"Almost perfect."

"Then why do you want the originals? Collector's vanity."

"Changes, Mr. Snide, can only be effected by alterations in the *original*. The only thing not prerecorded in a prerecorded universe are the prerecordings themselves. The copies can only repeat themselves word for word. A virus is a copy. You can pretty it up, cut it up, scramble it — it will reassemble in the same form. Without being an idealist, I am reluctant to see the originals in the hands of the Countess de Gulpa, the Countess de Vile and the pickle factory ..."

William Burroughs, Cities of the Red Night

How might one free oneself from the cowardliness pressing upon social convictions of the present, subjugated as they are to reactive, mimetic, and regressive posturings?

Avital Ronell, Crack Wars



Contents

<u>**********************************</u>

Ack	nowleagments	page xiii
Inti	roduction: The legs of sense	1
Par	t I Dialogue and inscription	
1.	Othello, Bakhtin and the death(s) of dialogue	11
2.	P.s.: Plato's scene of reading in the Protagoras	45
Par	t II Parables of exteriority: materiality in "classic"	"
Am	nerican texts	
3.	Too legit to quit: the dubious genealogies of	
	pragmatism	89
4.	Poe's Foot d'Or: ruinous rhyme and Nietzschean	
	recurrence (sound)	105
5.	Only the dead know Brooklyn ferry (voice)	127
6.	The letters of the law: "Bartleby" as hypogrammatic	
	romance (letters)	152
Par	t III Pre-posterous modernisms	
7.	Conrad's fault (signature)	181
8.	Miss Emily, c'est moi: the defacement of modernism	
	in Faulkner (inscription and social form)	208
9.	Hitchcock and the death of (Mr.) Memory	
	(technology of the visible)	227
Coda Post-humanist reading		260
Index		265



Acknowledgments

The volume owes a number of debts that are difficult to trace. Of the ones that are apparent, I am particularly grateful to J. Hillis Miller as a reader whose clarity and support have been essential to me. In diverse ways, I want to thank: James Thompson and Trudier Harris for their friendship and intelligence; Johanna Prins for the timely gift of her company and critical brilliance; Betsy Dillon and Sarah Pelmas for their insightful response to earlier versions of the Hitchcock chapter; Christopher Diffee for his help and critical acumen; and William Schouppe for his helpful comments. In a special category, I want to thank Barbara Herrnstein Smith, whose generous criticism was decisive to the final form of at least one chapter. I also thank Tom Hadju and Andy Milburn for the stimulus of their rare energies, Michael Sprinker for his support and advice, and Dan Myerson for being himself. Finally, I am grateful to Professor Joseph Flora of the UNC English Department, Professor Ruel Tyson, and the Institute for the Arts and Humanities at UNC Chapel Hill for securing for me leave for this work.

Three of the chapters have appeared whole or in part previously: chapter five in *Arizona Quarterly* (Summer, 1993 [copyright of the Arizona Board of Regents]), chapter seven in *Rereading the New: A Backward Glance at Modernism*, edited by Kevin Dettmar (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1992), and chapter nine in *Qui Parle* (Fall, 1993), and I gratefully acknowledge permission to reprint.