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This commentary has been a remarkably long time in gestation and numerous debts have been incurred along the way, which it is a great pleasure to acknowledge.

In Bristol Christopher Rowe first prompted me to consider writing a commentary on the Letters (though the seeds were surely sown in Cambridge undergraduate supervisions with that doyen of Green & Yellow commentators, Neil Hopkinson). Other Bristol colleagues, Duncan Kennedy, Charles Martindale and the late Thomas Wiedemann, offered important advice at an early stage, as did series editor Ted Kenney. In more recent years, the support of Shadi Bartsch, Mary Beard, Susanna Morton Braund, all, in their different ways, exemplary models of scholarship, has been invaluable. Mike Trapp very kindly offered detail comments in relation to Letter 90 and I am most grateful for his philosophical expertise. I have also benefitted immensely from exchanges (sometimes themselves epistolary) with a number of other distinguished Latinists, Mireille Armisen-Marchetti, Francesca Romana Berno, Rita Degl’Innocenti Pierini, Barbara Del Giovane, Alex Dressler, William Fitzgerald, James Ker, Roland Mayer, Janja Soldo, several of whom have generously shared their work in advance of publication. Chris Whitten kindly offered patient guidance on the intricacies of Latin prose rhythm. Rebecca Langlands and Marden Nichols were kind enough to let me read preliminary versions of their splendid books. I owe much to Emily Gowers (my friend since the days of those undergraduate supervisions), herself a model commentator.

As series editors, Stephen Oakley and Philip Hardie have been unfailingly patient and exceptionally generous with their time and their phenomenal expertise. I am hugely grateful to them for spurring me to think further about a whole range of issues and to strive for the kind of precision a commentary owes its readers. Michael Sharp, Classics Editor at CUP, and Lisa Sinclair, Senior Content Manager, have provided much help along the way, while Iveta Adams has been an eagle-eyed and judicious copy editor. Naturally all remaining errors are my own.

The department of History, Classics and Archaeology at Birkbeck has been a hugely stimulating and supportive environment in which to work (my friends and colleagues Christy Constantakopoulou, Serafina Cuomo and Jen Baird deserve particular mention). The Institute of Classical Studies/Hellenic and Roman library, with its supremely helpful and expert librarians – and virtually all its books on open shelves – is the commentator’s dream. Some crucial work was also done in the wonderful surroundings of the British School at Rome. I was very fortunate to be
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awarded a Leverhulme Research Fellowship for 2015–16, which played a critical role in enabling me to bring this project to a conclusion.

This book is dedicated to my magnificent and much loved daughters, Isabel and Miranda, who, having refused to study Latin beyond GCSE, will probably never read it.
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In addition, the following abbreviations are used for works of Seneca (S):

Brev.  De breuitate uitae
Clem.  De clementia
EM  Epistulae morales
Marc.  Consolatio ad Marciam
Polyb.  Consolatio ad Polybium
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