

Index

- acceptance, principle of, 290, 304, 309
 statement of, 376
- accomplishment, 19, 23, 24, 41, 52, 53,
 54–55, 58, 59–61, 63. *See also* success
- actual desire, 48–49, 56
- actual preference, 58–59
- actual value, 135
- adaptation
 and just allocation, 158–59
 sensory, 131–32, 136–38
 underestimation of, 143–45
 and welfare policy, 145–47
- adaptation level, 131, 138
- adequacy, test of, 41
- adult-equivalence scale, 13
 applications, 164–65
 and interhousehold comparisons of utility, 173–78
- adult-equivalent index, 178
- age, and allocation of medical resources,
 118–19
- aggregate wealth criterion, 202
- aggregation theorem (Harsanyi), 14,
 255–315 *passim*, 314
 with continuous orderings, 277
 Fishburn's restatement of, 266
 with independent prospects condition,
 272–73
 with nonlinear social welfare functions,
 282–89
 with semistrong Pareto condition,
 273–74
 with strong Pareto condition, 274–76
 variants of, 264–82
 weighting in, 294
 Weymark's statement of, 277
- alienation, 7
- allocation of goods
 mechanisms of, 101–6
 practical considerations, 107–9
- alternative. *See* social alternative
- Amemiya, T., 217
- Anderson, E.A., 139
- Angell, M., 121n
- anonymous function, 354
- anti-paternalism, 350–53
 weak, 258–62
- antisocial preference, 27, 28
- Archibald, G.C., 206
- Argyle, M., 141
- Arrow, K.J., 31, 43, 46, 52n, 77n, 130,
 202, 207, 213, 215–17, 221–23, 227,
 228, 264n, 276n, 281n, 313n, 331n,
 341n, 377–78. *See also* impossibility
 theorem (Arrow)
- aspiration level, 8
- assimilation effect, 139
- Atkinson, A.B., 174n, 226
- attainable utility vector, 275
- attitude, 61
- auction, 105, 149
- Aumann, R.J., 202, 203, 382
- autonomous good, 87–90
- autonomous self, 46–47
- autonomy, 7, 68
- axiological significance, 87–91
- Ayer, A.J., 204–5, 211–12
- backward-looking comparison, 109,
 111–14
- bad luck, 124–25, 126
- bare preference, 217
- bargaining, 108
- bargaining theory, 3–4, 203
- Bar-Hillel, M., 98n, 155, 227
- Barone, E., 202, 205
- Barry, B., 213
- Barten, A.P., 173n, 177n
- Barten scale. *See* Barten's model of
 commodity-specific scales

388 INDEX

- Barten's model of commodity-specific scales, 165, 174, 176–78, 181, 182–83
 advantages, 193
 comparison to ESE, 186–93
 Gorman's generalization of, 177, 186, 188, 193, 194–95
 and isomorphy, 222
 welfare-economic applications, 185–93
Bartleby the Scrivener (Melville), 37n
 basic preference, 45, 53–55, 65
 Basu, K., 302n
 Baum, A., 137
 Baum, C.S., 137
 Beach, C.M., 173n
 Becker, G.M., 217
 Becker, G.S., 223
 behaviorist approach
 to interpersonal comparisons, 214–26
 to preference ordering, 305
 Bentham, J., 127, 128
 Bergson, A., 205, 226, 276n
 Berlyne, D.E., 145
 Bernoulli, D., 127, 157
 best possible set of tastes and attitudes, 61
 betterness, order of, 74
 betterness relation, 72–74
 dated, 79–82
 Bezembinder, T., 256n
 binary interest rule, 361, 368–69
 Blackburn, S., on widening sensibility, 61–62
 Blackorby, C., 13, 164, 165, 170n, 173n, 175, 179, 184, 190n, 214, 222, 235, 282n, 300n, 303n, 322, 337n
 Blum, A., 104n
 bonus, 147
 Border, K., 266n
 Bossert, W., 170n, 172n, 302n
 Bourguignon, F., 174n
 Bovens, L., 203
 Brandt, R.B., 26n, 49
 Brickman, P., 140, 143, 146
 Brock, H.W., 202
 Broome, J., 13, 15, 38n, 71–73, 80n, 224, 298n, 347n
 Browning, M., 165, 168, 173n, 177, 179n, 187, 188n
 Bulman, R.J., 140
 Cahn, E., 101n
 Campbell, A., 141
 Campbell, D.T., 141, 143, 146
 Canadian Senate, 173n
 capability, basic, 9, 41, 144
 Card, D.E., 173n
 cardinal full comparability (CFC), 171, 172, 173
 cardinally measurable and fully comparable, 302, 312
 cardinally measurable and level comparable, 303
 cardinally measurable and noncomparable, 302
 cardinally measurable and unit comparable, 302
 cardinal noncomparability (CNC), 170
 cardinal unit plus comparability, 303–4, 308
 Catch-22 effects, 108
 changing places, 227
 characteristic, 355–56, 374
 definition, 343
 Gorman–Lancaster, 221–22
 source of, 372
 children, allocation of, 105
 Childress, J.F., 108n, 119n
 Chipman, J.S., 202, 205
 choice. *See also* impersonal choice utilitarianism; probabilistic choice models
 cost of, 140
 and utility, 77n
 utility theory of, 46n
 Choisel, F., 104n
 Coates, D., 141
 Cobb–Douglas case of ESE, 182–83
 Cobb–Douglas function, as welfare function, 286
 coding, of experience, 138–41, 143–46
 Cohen, G.A., 9n, 100n, 210
 Cohen, S.J., 139
 coherent relation, 72
 coincidence axiom, 328, 329, 330
 college. *See* educational institution
 comfort, versus pleasure, 5, 145–46
 commodity-independent equivalence scale, 165, 175–76
 commodity-specific equivalence scale, 165, 176–78
 and equivalence-scale exactness, 178–80
 compassion, 120, 121, 123
 complaints, 34, 108
 Confucius, 221
 congruent utility function, 300
 consciousness
 agreeable states of, 23
 state of, 41

- consequentialism, 201, 206, 259n
 consumer sovereignty argument, 132
 consumer sovereignty value judgment, 225
 consumer's-surplus test, 164
 contentment, 32
 context effect, 139
 continuity axiom, 328
 generalized, 329
 statement, 260
 contrast effect, 137, 146
 contribution, and distribution, 103
 conversion ratio, 379–80
 choice of, 372–73
 cooperative game for distribution, 202–3
 Cooter, R.D., 205, 321
 Copeland, J.G., 120n
 corresponding function, 344–45
 cost scaling model, generalized, 187–88
 Coulhon, T., 266n, 272n
 counterfactual alternative, 139
 Cramer, G., 127
 crazy desire, 49–50
 Crosby, F., 142
- Dahl, R.A., 217
 Dasgupta, P.S., 231
 d'Aspremont, C., 13, 14, 170n, 171n, 203, 235, 300n, 337n, 385
 Davidson, D., 35, 36–37, 38, 51, 52n, 57, 58, 67
 Deaton, A.S., 168, 173n, 176n, 223
 de Bohigas, N., 104n, 111n
 Debreu, G., 262n
 decision-making, costs of, 107
 de Finetti, B., 382
 DeGroot, M.H., 217
 demobilization of military personnel, 104, 109–10, 111, 114, 123
 deprivation, 142
 derived preference, 54
 Dermer, M., 139
 Deschamps, R., 298n
 desire. *See also* actual desire; crazy desire; improved desire; rational desire
 relation to value, 45
 strength of, 55–56, 58–59, 65
 and understanding, 59–67
 desire-satisfaction, 5–9, 299. *See also* preference-satisfaction
 Diamond, P.A., 215, 297, 298n
 dictatorial function, 340, 357–58, 365, 366, 368
 dictatorship, 226, 235, 322. *See also* inter-
 est dictatorship; judgment dictator-
 ship; positional dictatorship
 Diener, E., 141
 Diewert, W.E., 175n
 difference principle, 210, 212
 dissatisfaction, degree of, 34–38
 distribution, versus redistribution, 154–57
 distribution principle. *See also* utilitarian
 principle of distribution
 types of, 101–6
 distribution schedule, 147
 distributive justice, 2, 5, 100n, 322, 371
 effect of limited information on con-
 cept of, 379–83
 distributive principle, 92n
 disuniting metaphysics, 15
 and principle of temporal good, 84–91
 and utilitarianism, 70n, 71, 91–95
 divine justice, 4
 Dobb, M., 206
 Domotor, Z., 266n, 273n, 274n
 Donaldson, D., 13, 164, 165, 170n, 171, 173n, 179, 184, 190n, 214, 222, 235, 282n, 300n, 303n, 322, 337n
 dual comparison, 211
 dual entitlement, 153
 Duesenberry, J.S., 140
 Duncan, O., 141
 Dworkin, G., 231
 Dworkin, R., 8, 99n, 126, 210, 322
- Easterlin, R.A., 141
 Eavey, C., 134n, 155
 economies of scale in household, 173–74
 Edgeworth, F.Y., 216, 230
 educational institution, admissions pol-
 icy, 104–5, 107, 112–13
 Edwards, W., 217
 effective demand, and distribution, 103
 efficiency. *See also* productivity
 and allocation of resources, 117, 119–25
 egalitarianism, 8, 99, 100, 209
 Elster, J., 7n, 9n, 13, 101n, 105n, 107n, 112n, 121n, 133, 134n, 145n, 348n
 empathy, 11–12, 308, 321
 empirical comparison, 66–67
 endowment, 139n
 endowment effect, 150–52
 end-state comparison, 9
 energy, allocation of, 105
 Engel equivalence exactness, 180–83
 enjoyment. *See* pleasure
 entitlement, 112
 dual, 153

390 INDEX

- envy, 2, 27
 Epstein, S., 137
 equal-income Lindahl equilibrium, 186
 equality, 84, 338n
 absolute, distribution principle of, 101
 equal treatment, 314
 equal utility units, 314
 equity axiom, 209
 equivalence-scale exactness (ESE), 165, 178–80
 advantages, 194
 comparison to Barten's model, 186–93
 and income-ratio comparability, 183–85
 special cases, 180–83
 welfare-economic applications, 186–93
 ESE. *See* equivalence-scale exactness
 ethical preference, 296–97
 ethics
 and allocation of resources, 200–1
 and interpersonal comparisons of utility, 17–44, 226–34
 evil person, 4
 excessively demanding, 34–35
 exchangeable probability distribution, 382
 expectational utility function, 73
 expected utility axioms, 264, 305, 312
 appropriateness of, 297
 statement of, 260–61
 expected utility theorem, 263–64, 306
 expected utility theory, 72, 257–64
 experience. *See also* hedonic concept; local expertise
 coding of, 138–41, 143–46
 intensity of, and contrast, 137, 146
 maximization of, 131–32
 as morally significant unit, 94–95
 and personal hypotheses, 12
 experience theory of good, 84n
 experience utility, 128, 135, 136–47, 158
 and adaptation, 136–38
 distinguished from preference utility, 131–32
 experiential concept of good. *See* hedonic concept
 experientialism, 20, 32. *See also* hedonic concept
 experiential state, 3–4
 extended preference, 55, 289, 305
 extended social alternative, 289, 374–75
 extended sympathy, 31, 227, 373
 extended utility function, 54–55

 facial expression, 139
 fact of the matter, 10–11, 12

 fairness
 justice as, 377–78
 of transactions, 152–54
 faithful spouse problem, 7–8
 family size, 219, 223
 Fehr, B., 139
 Feinberg, J., 6n
 fetishist handicap, 9
 finite chain, 333–35
 Fishburn, P.C., 74n, 266n, 272n, 273n, 274n, 306n, 377
 Fisher, F.M., 169, 186, 188, 202, 223
 Fisher, I., 205
 Flatters, F., 173n
 Flaubert, G., 11
 Fleming, M., 256n
 Foley, D., 322
 Føllesdal, D., 10n
 Fool-state, 53, 54–55, 58, 65–66
 form of life, 51
 forward-looking comparison, 110, 111–14
 frame of reference, 138
 framing effect, 151
 Frank, R.H., 130, 140
 Freeman, R., 113n
 Friedman, M., 215
 friendship, 19, 22–23, 24, 63
 Frohlich, N., 134n, 155
 Fuerst, J.S., 105n
 full homotheticity, 180, 181–83, 184, 189–93, 195–96
 fundamentalism, a priori and empirical, 378
 fundamental preference, 211–14, 236, 374, 384
 and isomorphy, 221–24
 fundamental utilitarian theorem, statement and axioms of, 375–77

 gain, and fairness, 152–54
 general betterness relation theorem, 74
 Georgian land lottery, 103
 Gérard-Varet, L.A., 13, 14, 385
 Gevers, L., 171n, 298n, 337n, 354n
 Gibbard, A., 2n, 7, 8, 12, 23n, 33, 36, 224, 323n, 364
 Gilbert, H., 101n
 Glass, D.C., 137
 global consideration, 107
 global ordering of person–state pairs, 12, 327–30
 Goldstick, D., 202
 good life, experientialist view, 22
 good-life judgment, 18–19
 Goodman, L.A., 216

- good(s). *See also* autonomous good; income; personal good; primary goods; social goods; temporal good; wealth
 allocation mechanisms and criteria, 101–6
 definition, 70, 71
 interpersonal weighing of, 71–79
 intertemporal weighing of, 79–82
 natural metric of, 82
 of person, supervenience of, 86–87
 quantity of, and utility, 74–79
- Gordon, S., 213
 Gorman, W.M., 173n, 177, 221
 Griffin, D., 139n, 146, 147
 Griffin, J., 9, 53n, 54n, 57n, 61n, 63n, 68n, 224, 237
 Grunau, R., 168
 Gschneidinger, E., 139
 Guess, R., 7n
 Guttman, L., 219
- habituation. *See* adaptation
 Hagensaar, A.J.M., 218
 Hammond, P.J., 13, 74n, 114n, 201, 202, 203, 206, 209, 211, 230, 282n, 305n, 312n, 337n, 339n
 handicapped individual, 9, 12, 142, 144
 happiness, 8, 17, 24, 47–48, 128, 129, 139, 142, 144, 299
 greatest (Hutcheson), 230
 Parducci's theory of, 146–47
 happy slave problem, 6, 8
 Harberger, A.C., 202
 Hare, R.M., 52n, 54n, 212
 Harrod, R.F., 206
 Harsanyi, J.C., 13–15, 24–44 *passim*; 52n, 54–56, 73n, 74n, 201, 208, 212–13, 215, 227, 224–27, 229, 255–316 *passim*; 307–8, 314, 371–88 *passim*. *See also* aggregation theorem (Harsanyi); impartial observer theorem (Harsanyi); impersonal choice utilitarianism (Harsanyi)
 Harvard College, admission policies, 112–13
 Havighurst, C.M., 101n
 heart transplantation, candidate selection, 120–21, 122
 hedonic concept, 5, 127–28
 rejection of, 22–30
 hedonic experience, 158
 hedonic treadmill, 141–43
 hedonism, 20
 Helson, H., 138, 143
- Herstein, I.N., 213, 260n
 heteronomous self, 46
 Higgins, E.T., 139
 Hildreth, C., 202
 Hirschleifer, J., 6n
 household. *See also* interhousehold comparisons of utility
 model of, 166–69
 reference, 175
 household equivalence scale, and isomorphy, 222
 household tasks, allocation of, 106
 human existence, components of, 63
 human nature, components of, 45
 Hume, D., 46, 60, 61, 220, 226
 Hume's law, 220, 226, 236
 Hurley, S., 35n
 Hutcheson, F., 230
 Hylland, A., 12, 110n, 340n, 341n, 348n, 362
 hyperplane, 260n
- ICU. *See* interpersonal comparisons of utility
 ICUD. *See* interpersonal comparisons of utility differences (ICUD)
 ICUL. *See* interpersonal comparisons of utility level (ICUL)
 identity axiom, 208
 immigration policies, 234
 impartiality, 289, 291, 297, 371. *See also* observer
 impartial observer theorem (Harsanyi), 255–315 *passim*. *See also* observer
 implicit assumption in, 308–16
 statement of, 293
 impartial observer theorem (Weymark), 311–12, 313
 imperiousness, 37–38
 impersonal choice utilitarianism (Harsanyi), 212, 371–85
 fundamental theory of, 373–79
 impersonality concept, 211–14
 impersonal preference, 236
 imposing a bad, 145n
 impossibility theorem (Arrow), 13, 206–7, 228, 235, 282n, 323n, 338, 340, 348
 improved desire, 57, 58
 improvement, 61–63
 incentive, 14, 112
 incentive-compatible procedure, 203
 incentive constraint, 380
 incentive effect, 107

392 INDEX

- income, individual welfare function of, 142–43, 219, 233–34
- income-ratio comparability (IRC), 183–85, 189, 194
- income satisfaction, 142–43
- increment in welfare criterion, 115–21
- independence assumption, 381
- independence axiom, 260, 297
- independence of irrelevant alternatives, 348, 349–50
- independent prospects, 272–82
- index of well-being, 41–42, 44
- indifference, 326n
- indifference relation, 259
- individual preference, 265, 305
- individual welfare, and distribution, 102
- individual welfare function of income. *See* income
- inequality, 84
- information
 asymmetric, 15
 limited, and utilitarianism, 371–85
- informationally equivalent utility functions, 300
- information-equivalence class, 170–73
- information set, and assumptions about ordering, 300–3
- institution, allocative behavior of, 98–126
- intelligibility, 51, 57–58. *See also* understanding
- intensive care unit, admission criteria, 115
- intention, 90
- interest dictatorship, 359, 368
- interests, 339, 342, 345–47, 357
 concept of, 58n
- interhousehold comparisons of utility
 and adult-equivalence scales, 173–78
 and social evaluation, 169–73
 using Barten's model, 185–86
 using ESE, 183–84
- interpersonal comparisons of the individual, subjective, 339
- interpersonal comparisons of utility, 200–1
 behaviorist approach, 214–26
 elements required for, 65
 and ethical decisions, 226–34
 forms of, 207–11
 Harsanyi on, 307–8
 and local justice, 106–14
 reluctance to use, 204–7
 research applications, 202–3
 revealed by preferences, 228–30
 and taste model, 52–56
- interpersonal comparisons of utility differences (ICUD), 210–11, 213, 214
- interpersonal comparisons of utility level (ICUL), 211, 213, 214
- interpersonal comparisons of welfare, and allocation processes by institutions, 114–26
- interpersonal comparisons of well-being
 approaches to, 10–15
 evaluative purposes of, 4–5
 moral basis of, 17–44
 and positive theory, 2–4
 preference-satisfaction approach, 30–38
- intertemporal weighing of good, 79–82
- intransitivity, 329, 331
- intrapersonal comparison
 and interpersonal comparison, 324–25
 of utility, 11n, 224–26
- Intriligator, M., 217
- intrinsic reward, 12
- introspection, 23n
- intuition, 11–12
 about distribution and redistribution, 155–56
 shortcomings of, 143–45
- invidious comparison, 2
- Isbell, J.R., 215, 216
- isomorphy, 221–24
- Ittleson, W.H., 143
- Jacobsen, E., 139
- Jakobovits, I., 101n
- Janoff-Bulman, R., 141
- Jeffrey, R.C., 215, 216, 224
- Jevons, W.S., 135, 205
- Jewish ethics, 101
- job, allocation of, 105
- Jorgenson, D., 168, 173n, 191
- judge's preference, 52–56
- judgment. *See also* subjective judgment
 of fairness, 152–54
 interpersonal, and local expertise model, 321–31
 of relative well-being, 17, 18–20, 23n
- judgment dictatorship, 357–60, 368
- jump, 335
- just allocation problem, modes of framing consequences, 154–57
- justice. *See also* distributive justice; local justice
 as fairness, 377–78

- global theories, 99–100
 just noticeable difference, 216–17
- Kahneman, D., 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 128–57
passim
 Kalai, E., 4, 203
 Kaldor–Hicks compensation principle, 201–2
 Kamm, F.M., 120n
 Kaneko, M., 216, 227
 Kant, I., 46–47, 384
 Kapteyn, A., 143, 218, 220
 Karellis, C., 117n
 Keeney, R.L., 203
 Kelly, J.S., 203, 348n
 Kemp, M.C., 226
 Kennedy School of Government, admission policies, 112–13
 Keren, G., 149
 kidney transplantation, candidate selection, 121–25, 126
 Kindleberger, C.P., 218
 King, M.A., 173n
 King, N.M., 101n
 Kirkwood, C.W., 203
 Kjellstrand, M., 122n, 123n
 Klitgaard, R., 112n
 Knesper, D.J., 115n
 Knetsch, J.L., 150, 151n, 152, 153
 knowledge, 117–18
 Kohn, I., 138
 Kolm, S.-C., 221, 322
 Kurz, M., 202
 Kwong, S., 173n, 191
- labor unions, 113
 Laffont, J.-J., 260n
 λ -utilitarian outcome function, 380
 Lancaster, K.J., 221
 language, 51–52
 Larson, E.B., 101n
 lattice, interpersonal comparability on, 327–30
 Lawler, E.E., III, 147
 Layard, P.R.G., 46n
 Lazarus, R.S., 137
 Leibniz, G.W., 5, 116
 leisure, 19
 Lerner, A.P., 225
 level of utility, comparisons of, 207–10.
See also interpersonal comparisons of utility level
 with adult-equivalence scales, 165, 169–73
- level of welfare criterion, 115–21
 Levy, S., 219
 Lewbel, A., 165, 173n, 179n, 188n, 192n
 Lewis, D., 70n, 86n, 89n
 leximin rule, 209
 Leyden approach, 218–19
 litigation, influence of, 108
 local comparison, 12
 local expertise, 323–25
 local expertise model, 326–27
 local expertise theorem, 328, 330
 local justice
 concept of, 100–106
 and interpersonal comparison of utility, 106–14
 Locke, J., 5
 Loewenstein, G., 135
 logical positivism, 2–4, 204–5
 Loomes, G., 130
 Los Angeles County–USC Hospital Intensive Care Unit, admission criteria, 115
 loss, and fairness, 152–54
 loss aversion, 147–58
 and avoidance of risk, 148–50
 and effect of ownership on value, 150–52
 lottery, 101, 103, 107, 109
 compound, 259
 to determine ICUDs, 213
 in expected utility theory, 256, 257–60, 280n, 299
 extended, 289
 happiness of winners, 141–42
 impartial, 292
 original position, 375, 378
 simple, 259, 374–75
 Luce, R.D., 3n, 216, 217, 257n, 306n
 Lurie, L., 139
 Lyons, D., 213
- McClelland, G., 155
 McFarland, C., 140
 Machina, M., 257n
 MacKay, A.F., 221
 McKennell, A.C., 219
 Magee, B., 204, 205, 212
 malice, 27
 Malinraud, E., 260n
 malleability, 28–30, 38, 43
 manic-depressive, 11
 manifest preference, 28–29, 42
 March, J.G., 133

394 INDEX

- marginal rates of substitution, utility ratios as, 232–34
 marginal utility, and distribution, 115–17
 market, distribution principle of, 103
 Markovitz, H., 216
 Marschak, J., 217, 260n
 Marx, K., on theory of justice, 125
 Marxism, 7, 100
 Maskin, E., 15n
 Massachusetts, policy on transplantation, 101
 maximax rule, 354
 maximin rule, 212–13, 338, 354
 May, K., 323n
 McClennen, E.F., 298n
 mechanism design, 380
 medical resource
 and age, 118–19
 allocation of, 104, 110–11, 115, 155–57
 medical school admission policy, in Netherlands, 103
 Medoff, J., 113n
 memory
 accuracy assumption, 325
 and context effects, 139
 and experience utility, 135, 144
 as unifying relations, 88–90
 Menger, K., 215
 mereology, 86
 Messick, D.M., 110n
 military service. *See also* demobilization of military personnel
 selection for, 104, 111
 Mill, J.S., 127
 Miller, D.T., 140, 146
 Milnor, J., 213, 260n
 Mirrlees, J.A., 221, 222
 Mollat, G., 116n
 Mongin, P., 266n, 272n
 Moore, J.C., 202, 205
 morale, 142
 morality, 47n
 moral judgment, 18
 by impartial observer, 289, 291
 morally significant unit, 93–95
 moral preference, 27, 40, 384
 moral value, and perception model, 47, 48
 Morgenstern, O., 260n
 motivation, 60
 Muellbauer, J., 168, 173n, 176n, 177n, 187, 222, 223
 Myerson, R.B., 203, 228, 229, 380
 Nagel, T., 50n, 94n
 natural resource, allocation of, 105
 need, and distribution, 102, 103, 108
 neighborhood of competence, 328
 Neilson, L.T., 203
 Nelson, J., 168, 173n, 177n
 Netherlands, medical school admission policy, 103, 109
 neutral adaptation level, 147–48
 neutrality, 30, 38, 39–40, 44, 68
 of OIC-function, 355
 neutral point, 146
 neutral subjective experience, 136
 news value, 135
 new welfare economics, 206–7
 Ng, Y.-K., 216, 226
 NMUF. *See* von Neumann–Morgenstern utility function (NMUF)
 nonlevelness assumption, 382–83
 norm (of experience), 138, 140
 norm, and just distribution, 154–55
 0-normalization assumption, 382, 383
 normative decision theory, 201
 Nozick, R., 99, 154, 202
 Nunan, R., 298n, 299n
 nursery school, admission policy, 104
 nursing home, admission policy, 104
 objective causal variables, 308
 objective concept of well-being, 9–10
 objective interpersonal comparison. *See* social choice function for objective interpersonal comparison
 objective measures, 9–10, 388
 objectivist view of utility, 127, 129–31
 observer, 227–28, 235, 236. *See also* empathy; extended sympathy; impersonal choice utilitarianism
 (Harsanyi)
 in Harsanyi's models, 291
 impartial, 255–56
 risk-averse, 311
 OIC-function. *See* social choice function for objective interpersonal comparison
 Okun, A., 153
 Olmstead, A., 105n
 Opelz, G., 108n, 122n
 Oppenheimer, J., 134n, 155
 ordering axiom, 260
 ordinal full comparability (OFC), 170, 171, 172, 173
 ordinally measurable and fully comparable, 301

- ordinally measurable and noncomparable, 301
- ordinal noncomparability (ONC), 170
- Oregon, moratorium on transplantation, 101
- original position, 212, 213, 228, 371–72, 378
- original position lottery, 375, 378
- Ortuño-Ortín, I., 12, 225
- outcome function, 380–82
- output-maximizing criterion, 117, 119–21
- Overlaet, B., 227
- Ovid–Tacitus example, 61–62
- Page, A.N., 128n
- Pagnucco, D.J., 115n
- Pantaleoni, M., 205
- Parducci, A., 139n, 146
- Pareto, V., 202, 205
- Pareto indifference, 265–71, 293
- Pareto optimality, 348
 strong, 349–50
 weak, 349
- Pareto optimal outcome function, 381
- Pareto principle (Harsanyi), 15
- Parfit, D., 15, 82n, 85, 88–91, 93–94
- past. *See also* memory
 and fact of the matter, 11
- paternalism, 350–53
 rejection of, 27
- paternalistic judgment, 339, 350
- Pattanaik, P.K., 311
- Pazner, E.A., 203
- perception model, 45–46
- personal experience. *See* experience; local expertise
- personal good, principle of, 15, 80, 81, 85
 statement of, 73
- preference. *See also* actual preference; antisocial preference; bare preference; basic preference; derived preference; ethical preference; extended preference; fundamental preference; impersonal preference; individual preference; manifest preference; moral preference; personal preference; risk-averse preference; subjective preference; true preference
 change over time, 26n
 in household-behavior model, 169
 judge's, 52–56
 relation to utility, 299–300
 strength of, 33, 36–37
 in taste and preference models, 45
 and value or desire, 25–26
 preference autonomy, 7, 24–38, 43
 preference intensity, 210
 measures of, 215–18
 preference priority, 209
 preference relation, 299. *See also* social preference relation
 extended, 289
 in Harsanyi's theorems, 260–61
 of impartial observer, 292
 individual, 265
 rational, 72
 strict, 259
 weak, 259
 preference-satisfaction, 8, 17, 24–44, 71.
 See also desire-satisfaction; good(s)
 preference utilitarianism (Harsanyi), 24–38
 preference utility, 128, 135
 distinguished from experience utility, 131–32
 pre-filter, 343–45, 356–57
 Prescott, J.R., 105n
 pricing decision, 152–54
 primary goods, index of, 8–10, 15, 39, 41, 372
 Primont, D., 175n
 private, distinguished from public, 40
 probabilistic choice models, 217
 process value judgment, 19–20
 procreation rights, allocation of, 106
 productivity. *See also* social welfare
 and distribution, 102, 103
 professional school. *See* educational institution; medical school
 profile, 264–65
 Proshansky, H.M., 143
 prospect, 15, 258
 independent, 272–82
 pure, 258
 prospect theory, and risky choices, 148–50
 proxy, observable, and interpersonal comparisons, 117–18
 prudential deliberation, 59–69
 prudential value, 47–48, 51–52
 definition, 52
 types of, 63–64
 personal hypotheses, 12
 personal preference, 27, 384
 personal value, 50–51
 personhood
 disuniting metaphysical theory of, 84–91
 theories of, 70n, 71

396 INDEX

- person-segment
 and distribution, 91–95
 psychological connection, 90–91
 temporal, 84–91
 person-state pair
 ordering of, 12, 324–35, 337
 Phelps, E.S., 203
 Phipps, S., 191
 Pigou, A.C., 128
 pleasure, 5, 24, 63, 64, 128, 299
 versus comfort, 5, 145–46
 point system
 in military, 104, 110
 and transplant candidate selection,
 103, 123–25
 Pollak, R.A., 168, 173n, 177, 222, 223,
 225
 positional dictatorship, 354–55
 positive theory, 2–4, 204–5
 Posner, R.A., 202
 poverty utility level (poverty relative),
 178, 191, 194
 powerful subset, 343
 practicality, test of, 41
 predicted utility, 128
 use in interpersonal comparisons,
 65–69
 psychological view of utility, 130–31
 public, distinguished from private, 40
 public housing, allocation of, 105
 publicness of commodity, degree of, 176,
 185
 public opinion, influence of, 108

 quality-adjusted life years, 118n
 queuing, 102, 105, 107
 Quinn, R., 155

 Raiffa, H., 3n, 257n, 306n
 Rappoport, P., 205, 321
 rational desire, 49–50, 56, 59
 rationality condition, 129, 130, 383
 rationing, 105
 ratio-scale, 171
 ratio-scale full comparability, 172
 Rawls, J., 2, 9, 27n, 39, 47–50, 67, 70n,
 99, 100, 154, 210, 212, 215, 291n,
 322, 354n, 371–72, 377–78
 Ray, R., 187
 recidivism, 117–18
 redistribution, versus distribution, 154–57
 redness maximization problem, 131–32
 reductionist view, 93–94. *See also* disunit-
 ing metaphysics

 referee, 346
 reference consumption bundle, 178
 reference household, 175
 reference level, 138
 reference transaction, 153
 regret, 140
 Renal Network in the American Mid-
 west, 122
 representation theorems, 298
 Rescher, N., 110n, 219
 resentment, 27
 Resnick, M.D., 274n
 resource channeling, 108
 Rhode, P., 105n
 right to decide, 352
 risk-averse observer, 311
 risk-averse preference, 148–50
 risk-averse utility function, 134
 risk aversion, 157. *See also* loss aversion
 risk-seeking utility function, 134
 Rivlin, L.G., 143
 Robbins, L., 200, 205, 206, 234–35
 Roberts, K.W.S., 214, 226, 235, 337n,
 339n, 349n, 350n, 354n, 356n, 357n,
 358n
 Robertson, J.A., 120n
 Robin Hood problem, 157–58
 Roemer, J.E., 3n, 12, 99n, 210, 225, 322,
 353n
 Rohrbaugh, J., 155
 Rosner, F., 101n
 Roth, A.E., 203
 Rothenberg, J., 202, 227
 Russell, J.A., 139
 Russell, P.S., 108n
 Russell, R.R., 175n

 sadism, 6n, 27
 Samet, D., 203
 Samuelson, P.A., 221, 260n, 276n
 Sandel, M., 47n
 Sartre, J.-P., projected play by, 10
 satisfaction, 128, 139, 257, 299. *See also*
 desire-satisfaction; preference-
 satisfaction
 degree of, 34–38
 determinants of, 141–43
 expressions of, and interpersonal com-
 parisons, 34
 Satterthwaite, M.A., 364
 Savage, L.J., 215, 260n
 Scafuri, A.J., 203
 scaling factor, 182
 Scanlon, T.M., 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 29n, 237

- Schelling, T.C., 133
 Schick, F., 216
 Schokkaert, E., 227
 Schultz, B., 71n
 Schulz, N., 385
 Schwartz, B., 102n
 Schwartz, T., 231
 Schwarz, N., 139
 Scitovsky, T., 5, 143, 145, 146
 scope, 28, 30, 38, 40
 Seidl, C., 218, 219
 selection function, 362–65
 Selinger, S., 266n, 298
 semistrong Pareto, 265, 273–74
 Sen, A.K., 3n, 6, 9, 14, 41, 52n, 100, 128, 129, 130, 140, 145n, 168, 169, 170n, 171n, 174n, 201, 202, 206, 208, 209, 215, 226, 227, 228, 237, 256–316 *passim*, 321, 322, 337n, 339n, 348n, 375, 377
 seniority, 102, 107, 108, 112, 113–14
 sensory level, comparison of, and adaptation, 131–32, 136–38
 sentiment. *See* attitude
 Sentis, K., 110n
 Shafer, W.J., 203
 Shapley, L.S., 11n, 202
 shared values, 51, 57–58
 Shatin, L., 110n
 Shefrin, H.M., 147
 Shubik, M., 11n
 SIC-function. *See* social choice function
 for subjective interpersonal comparison
 similarity postulate, 307, 308
 Simon, J.L., 218
 Simons, P., 86n
 Singer, J.E., 137
 single reference adult, 174
 skill level, 222–23
 slave. *See* happy slave problem
 Slesnick, D., 168, 173n, 191
 Smith, Adam, 227
 Snell, J., 128, 133
 social alternative, 324, 341, 373–74
 certain, 257
 extended, 289, 374–75
 in Harsanyi's theorems (expected utility theory), 256, 257, 258, 289
 ranking of, 30–38
 social choice, without interpersonal comparisons of utility, 204–7
 social choice function for objective interpersonal comparison, 342
 conditions satisfied by, 353–57
 dictatorial, 353–54
 input, 347
 social choice function for subjective interpersonal comparison, 342, 357–61
 conditions imposed on, 348–53
 input, 345–47
 nondictatorial, 359–61
 social choice theory, 14–15
 single-profile, 264
 social comparison, 140
 social evaluation, and interpersonal comparisons, 169–73
 social goods, 8–10, 15, 39, 41, 372
 social indicators, and cardinalization of utility, 218–21
 social justice, and preference utilitarianism, 27
 social ordering, 300
 social preference relation, 265
 social state. *See* social alternative
 social utility function, 275
 social welfare, and allocation criteria, 110–11
 social welfare function
 Bergson–Samuelson, 276–82
 nonlinear, 282–89, 295–97, 305, 306, 313
 and utility, 235
 social welfare functional (SWFL), 203
 social welfare measurement, direct approach, 226–27
 social welfare ordering, 275–82
 social worth, and distribution, 103
 society
 correlation of circumstances and well-being, 141–43
 segments of, 85–87
 utility of persons to, 13, 230–32
 Socrates-state, 53, 54–55, 58, 62, 65–66
 Solomon, R.L., 137
 sour grapes problem, 6
 Spence, M., 112n
 Spencer, W.A., 136
 spite, 6n
 standard case, 346, 347
 standard of living, 6
 Starzl, T., 123, 124n
 status, and distribution, 102
 status quo point, 383
 Stehling, F., 170n, 172n
 Stouffer, S., 104n, 109n, 110n
 Strack, F., 139
 Strasnick, S., 209

398 INDEX

- strength of desire, 55–56, 58–59, 65
 strength of preference, 33, 36–37
 strong Pareto, 265, 274–76, 293
 Strotz, R.H., 202
 subjective comparability, 337
 subjective interpersonal comparison. *See*
 also social choice function for subjective interpersonal comparison
 of the individual, definition, 339
 subjective judgment by individual, 339,
 342, 345–47
 in aggregation process, 339
 subjective mental state, 5, 299
 objective proxies for, 10, 117–18
 subjective preference, 296
 substance, 63
 success, 8, 82n. *See also* accomplishment
 suffering, 93–94
 Sugden, R., 130, 257n
 supervenience, 86n
 Suppes, P., 208, 216
 Svensson, L.-G., 216
 Swalm, R.O., 157
 symmetric function, 381
 symmetry assumption, 381
 sympathy. *See* extended sympathy
- taste, 222
 future, predictability of, 133–34
 taste model, 45–69
 and attitudes, 61
 definition, 45–46
 explanatory inadequacy, 48–56
 historical background, 46–48
 interpersonal comparisons based on,
 52–69
 utility in, 55–56
 temporal good, principle of, 15, 72
 defense of, 84–91
 prima facie evidence against, 82–84
 statement of, 80
 Thaler, R.H., 147, 150, 151n, 152, 153
 Thompson, R.F., 136
 Thomson, W., 322
 thoroughness of treatment, 121
 thought experiment, 135, 144–45, 291
 Tinbergen, J., 218, 221, 322n
 Tocqueville, A. de, 6, 109
 Toharia, L., 218
 tolerance, range of, 137
 transaction
 fairness of, 152–54
 reference, 153
- transplantation
 candidate selection, 101, 119–22
 and organ donation, 108
 true preference, 28–29, 43
 Tversky, A., 130, 134, 135, 136, 139n,
 140, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 154,
 157, 217
 type, 324–25, 326, 327–28
 actual, 374
 possible, 374
 reporting of, 379–80
- UD. *See* unrestricted domain
 understanding, and desire, 59–67
 unifying relation, 87–91
 unilateral function, 364
 United Network for Organ Sharing, 122
 universalizability, 212
 universal unanimity, 350n
 unrestricted domain, 339–40, 350–53
 statement of, 348
 and subjective interpersonal comparison,
 357–62
 subject to strong anti-paternalism,
 351–52
 subject to weak anti-paternalism, 350–
 51, 352–53
- utilitarianism, 13–15, 99, 100, 128, 201,
 212. *See also* fundamental utilitarian
 theorem; impersonal choice utilitari-
 anism
 formulation of, 299–305
 Harsanyi's interpretation of, 13–14,
 24–38, 201, 297–315
 and interhousehold comparisons, 169–
 70
 requirements for meaningfulness, 303–
 5
 weighted, 299
- utilitarian metaphysics, 70–95
 utilitarian principle of distribution, 70–
 95 *passim*
 and person-segments, 92–95
 and principle of temporal good, 81–
 82, 91–95
 statement of, 70
- utilitarian psychophysics, 157–58
 utility. *See also* experience utility; imper-
 sonal choice utilitarianism; interper-
 sonal comparisons of utility; objec-
 tive view of utility; psychological
 view of utility
 cardinal measure of, 11n, 214–26
 and choice, 77n

- concepts of, 48, 67–69, 127–36, 298–300
 as friends, 229–30
 of income function, 233–34
 individual, 299
 intrapersonal comparisons of, 11n, 224–26
 measurability and comparability, 11n, 128–29, 214–26, 300–3
 of persons, to society, 13, 230–32
 and quantity of good, 74–79
 relation to preference, 299–300
 shortcomings of intuitions about, 143–45
 in taste model, 55–56
 utility difference, comparability, 314
 utility function. *See also* social welfare function; von Neumann–Morgenstern utility function (NMUF)
 congruent, 300
 in expected utility theory, 261–62
 extended, 54–55
 informationally equivalent, 300
 social, 275
 utility level. *See* interpersonal comparisons of utility level (ICUL); level of utility
 utility of escalating bads, 134–36
 utility ratio, as marginal rates of substitution, 232–34
 utility theory of choice, 46n
 utility units, equal, 314
 utility weight, 14, 314. *See also* weighting
- value. *See also* actual value; personal value, shared values
 effect of ownership on, 150–52
 and preference, 25–26
 relation to desire, 45
 and taste model, 50
 value judgment
 avoidance of, 17, 18
 consumer sovereignty, 225
 kinds of, 18–20
 and preference-satisfaction approach, 31
 process, 19–20
 van Acker, P., 256n
 van de Geer, S., 143
 van der Saar, N.L., 218
 van de Stadt, H., 143
 van Herwaarden, F., 218
 van Praag, B.M.S., 142, 218, 220
- Varey, C., 2, 4, 5, 6, 11
 Varian, H., 322
 veil of ignorance, 212, 235, 236, 291n, 371
 verificationism, 77n
 vicarious stimuli, 139
 Vickrey, W.S., 215, 291n, 372, 373
 von Neumann, J., 260n
 von Neumann–Morgenstern expected utility theorem, 377
 von Neumann–Morgenstern utility function (NMUF), 14, 212, 213, 215, 233
 applicability of, 255–315 *passim*
 definition, 262
 versus nonlinear social welfare function, 296
 voting, 360–61, 364
- Wagenaar, W.A., 149
 Walder, A., 112n
 Waldner, I., 217
 Wales, T.J., 168, 173n, 177, 222, 223
 Walters, A.A., 46n
 Walzer, M., 100n
 water, allocation of, 105
 weak Pareto, 265n
 wealth. *See also* good(s)
 level of versus change in, 154–58
 weighting, 14, 63, 299, 343, 344n. *See also* intertemporal weighing of good
 Weinstein, N.D., 133n
 Weirich, P., 215
 welfare
 as basis of interpersonal comparisons, 114–26
 observable proxies for, 10, 117–18
 welfare economics. *See* new welfare economics
 welfare identity, principle of, 308–15
 statement of, 309
 welfare income function, 142–43, 219, 233–34
 welfare ordering, 207–9
 welfare policy, and adaptation, 145–47
 welfarist social evaluation, and interhousehold comparisons, 169
 well-being. *See also* good(s); hedonic concept; interpersonal comparisons of well-being; preference-satisfaction concepts of, 2–3, 5–10, 299
 definition, 1, 71
 index of, 41–42, 44
 standards of, 39–44

Cambridge University Press
052145722X - Interpersonal Comparisons Well-Being
Edited by Jon Elster and John E. Roemer
Index

[More information](#)

400 INDEX

- Weymark, J.A., 13, 14, 170n, 171, 214,
235, 266n, 272n, 273n, 274n, 282n,
300n, 322, 337n
Wheeler, J.R.C., 115n
Williams, B., 99n, 200
Winet, M., 216
Winkel, G.H., 143
Winslow, G., 104n, 110n, 115n, 119n
Wittgenstein, L., 51, 57, 67
Wittman, D., 202
Wohlwill, J.F., 138
Wolf, S., 91n
women, morale of, 142
Yaari, M.E., 98n, 155, 202, 227, 230–31
Yannelis, N.C., 203
Yemin, E., 105n
Young, H.P., 123n
Young, H. Peyton, 123
Zajac, E.J., 105n, 113n