

Index

- Abelard: on *de dicto* vs. *de re*, 6; on modal copula, 6, 9
- accident, 39; inseparable, 44–5
- Ackrill, J., 248n2
- affirmation, 17–18
- Albert the Great on modal copula, 9
- Alexander of Aphrodisias, 71, 75, 184, 261n12, 274n32, on method of counterexample, 278n51
- al-Farabi, 243n11
- ambiguity in *cop* readings, 11, 12–13; both readings needed for Aristotle's purposes, 12–13, 23, 46, 115; of necessity, 41–4; of one-way possibility, 28–9; of two-way possibility, 128–30; unity of *cop* readings and, 12, 47–8, 136
- ampliation, 14, 85, 125, 141–4; defined, 247n30; intended with pure two-way syllogisms?, 146–8; one- versus two-way ampliation, 143–4, 146–7, 156; one-way critical for validity of some syllogisms, 259n8, 262n10; probably intended with Celarent *pp,pp/pp*, 147–8; validity of Barbara *pp,pp/pp* and, 146–7; validity of Barbara *A,pp/p* and, 172–3
- ancestral relation, 57–8
- Angelelli, I., 254n20, 275n43
- “applies to all/none of”, 19–23; as foundation of complete syllogisms, 212–4, 220–4, 271n3; natural relation to *cop* readings, 20; part of copula, 19–20; relation to different definitions of “*kata pantos*” in *Prior* and *Posterior Analytics*, 250n14
- assertoric system: parallel to modal system, 56, 145, 227–8, 258n2, 282n7
- “at worst false” propositions, 155–6, 159–64; Aristotle's mistaken use of, 158, 161; in *de Caelo*, 163; in first proof for Barbara *A,pp/p*, 159–64; in second proof for Barbara *A,pp/p*, 164–6
- Averroës' rule, 93–4, 111
- axioms: S. McCall's 99–102, 115
- Bäck, A., 261n13
- Balme, D., 254n22, 256n28
- Barbaras (“Two Barbaras”, *NAN* and *ANN*): Aristotle's arguments against *ANN*, 90–93; Becker on, 77–8; *cop* readings and, 81–7; Geach on, 77–8; Łukasiewicz on, 76–7, 80, 262n8; McCall on, 88–90; Rescher on, 93–5; Ross on, 76, 79–80; Theophrastus on, 75–6, 78–9; van Rijen on, 102–5
- Barnes, J., 241n5, 243n7, 249n11, 265n52, 268n6, 272n14, 278n51
- Becker, A., 6–7, 77–8, 141–2, 161–3, 167, 176, 182–3, 244n13, 249n7, 249n9, 255n25, 256n29, 258n2, 269n7
- belonging to (*huparchein*), 15
- Bobzien, S., 278n51
- Bochenski, I. M., 245n21, 246n24
- Broadie, *see* Waterlow, Sarah Broadie
- Brunschwig, J., 37
- Camestres: *NAN* invalid, 83–4; *NAA* valid, 112–13
- Categories*: kinds of beings, 14, 244n16; kinds of beings and syllogistic terms,

Index

Categories (cont.)

38; kinds of beings *versus* categories of predication, 250n12

chance: two-way possibility and, 127

chart of Aristotle's reductions, 230

columns of syllogisms, 227–33

completeness of syllogisms: Aristotle's unified conception of, 218–24; based on “applies to all of”, 212–14, 220–4; in assertoric system, 14, 207–14; in modal system, 214–24; Patzig's interpretation of, 208–9, 214–19; relation to Corcoran–Smiley–Smith view of Aristotle's syllogistic, 280n2; relation to *dictum de omni*, 207–24; use as equivalent to “perfection” of syllogisms, 244n19, 280n2

consequence, logical, 56

conversion, qualitative, 14, 125, 135–6; defined, 247n28

conversion, term: circular proof for I_n and E_{pp} , 26–7; defined, 244n18; most valid on *de dicto* reading, invalid *de re*, 8; of E_{pp} not valid *de re*, 25–6; of one-way possibility propositions, 28–9; of strong and weak *cop* necessity propositions, 50–2; problems on modal predicate reading, 25–30; relation to propositional modal logic, 25, 27; role in reduction, 24; vacillation between two readings, 29–30, 118, 120–1

Cooper, J., 271n4

copula, assertoric: added to terms, 17; not necessary in Greek, 18; as subject of modal predicates, 19

copula, modal: complexity of (including negation, quantification), 17–18, 19–23; conjunctive, 22; distinct from, although sometimes assimilated to, modal *dictum* or modal predicate, 9–10, 15; strong *versus* weak reading of *cop* necessity and, 11, 41, 48; syntax contrasted with *de dicto* and *de re*, 15, 245n22; textual evidence for, 15–22; two modal copulae in a single proposition, 118, 256n26, 270n1

Corcoran, J., 179, 241n5, 280n2

counterexamples: ambiguity of modal propositions and, 86–7; Aristotle's alleged carelessness about, 44, 184; Aristotle not confused about general method, 278n51; to conversion of E_{pp} , 26; use of counterfactuals in, 45–6, 185

De Caelo on “at worst false” propositions, 163

de dicto modality, 6, 7; distinct from strong *cop*, 35–7; gives ill-formed (Aristotelian) modal propositions, 33–5; in Peter of Spain, Ockham, Scotus, 246n23; intensional relations and, 47; necessity conversions parasitic on strong *cop* conversions, 52–3; not Aristotelian, 16, 17; propositional modal logic and, 25, 27, 157–9; term conversion and, 25, 48–9; *versus de re* modality, 6, 7, 47, 245n22

De Interpretatione: copula as subject of modal predicates in, 19; *onomata* and *rhēmata* in, 18; sign of predication in, 10, 18

demonstration, scientific, 1, 14, 63, 66, 103–4, 118, 124; based on “special cases” of natural laws?, 96–7; bearing on relative dating of *Prior* and *Posterior Analytics*, 242n6; including “for the most part” (*hōs epi to polu*) connections, 149–54, 272n14; including *per se* predications of *Post. An. A.* 6, type 4, 153; involving negative terms, 259n7; problems fitting into Aristotle's modal system, 242n6; relation to two-way possibility, 149; requires homogeneous domain of discourse, 102–6; requires two necessity premises for necessity conclusion, 58–60; strong *vs.* weak *cop* necessity in, 58–60; suggested link to omnitemporal premises, 153, 171–2; using one-way ampliation, 152–3; using two-way ampliation, 150–3

dictum de omni et nullo: alleged foundation of assertoric syllogistic, 207–14; possible foundation of modal syllogistic, 214–24; relation to definition of “applies to all of”, 212–14, 220–4

differentia, 49; “genus like”, 251n15; *see also* four predicables

distribution: modality of conclusion and, 99–102

division and cross-division, 44–6

ekthesis 65, 67; can cut tie to complete syllogisms, 228; does not involve “imagination”, 71; general procedure of, 71–4; Patzig's view of, 71–4; proof for Bocardo *NAN*, 86; proofs for

Index

- Baroco and Bocardo *NNN*, 70–4; proof for Bocardo *PAP*, 89; proof for Bocardo *pp,N/pp*, 204–5; proof for conversion of assertoric *I* proposition, 71; “setting out” individual *versus* using reducing syllogism, 27, 71–3, 92–93, 98
- endechesthai:dunasthai* and, 15, 247n1; term for both one- and two-way possibility, 139, 190; translation of, 247n1
- essences, 1, 11, 36, 64, 102, 119, 130; necessity and, 250n13
- essential chain or tree, 62, 69
- essential *versus* accidental properties, 2, 10, 11, 12, 14, 36, 45, 250n13
- essentialism: connection to logic, 1, 2, 8, 11, 13, 48, 62, *see also* semantics, essentialist
- Eudemus, 5, 120
- Euler circles, 20
- extra-logical influences on Aristotle’s modal logic, 3, 225–6, 229, 242n6
- Ferejohn, M., 245n20, 251n15, 252n17, 259n7, 272n13
- Flannery, K., 278n51
- four predicables 2, 10, 14; applying either accidentally or necessarily, 2, 38–9; as syllogistic terms, 38–41, 49, 50, 59, 114, 141, 181
- Frede, M., 241n5, 250n12
- Geach, Peter, 77, 84, 175, 246n23, 252n17, 274n28, 278n51
- genus, 103, *see* four predicables
- Hamlyn, D. W., 243n7
- Hintikka, Jaakko, 90–2, 174, 180, 245n21, 255n25, 268n5, 268n6, 269n15, 275n41
- hoper* as intensifying copula, 37
- hōs epi to polu*, *see* demonstration, scientific
- huparchei*, 15; broader than “applies”, 250n14
- idion*: essence and, 43; included in essential chain (or path or tree), 62; *per se* connections and, 43–4; scientific demonstration and, 43; strict *versus* qualified sense of, 251n15; strong *cop* necessity and, 43; *sumbēbekota kath’ hauta* and, 251n15; *see also* four predicables
- Ierodiakonou, K., 278n51
- intensional relations, *de dicto* modality and, 47–8
- intermodal principles, 107–8, 234, 262n10; *N->A* rejected by Wieland, 107–8
- Johnson, F., 87, 115–23
- Kahn, C., 246n23
- kinds of being, 14, 38, 244n16, 250n12
- Kneale, W., 208, 245n21, 245n22
- Kneale, W. and M., 242n6, 269n11
- Kosman, A., 266n53
- Kripke, S., 241n1, 241n2
- Lear, Jonathan, 243n7, 259n5
- Lennox, J., 259n7
- Łukasiewicz, J., 76, 80, 179, 241n3, 243n12, 262n8, 281n24
- McCall, Storrs, 87, 88–90, 99–102, 106, 243n12
- Maier, H., 2
- matter, *versus* form, 45
- metalogical use of modal terms, 16, 158, 247n1
- Metaphysics* on *ti esti* of non-substances, 45
- metaphysics: selection of propositions to be investigated logically and, 3, 225, 242n6; structure of modal propositions and, 3; *see also* essentialism
- Mignucci, M., 161–4
- “minimal condition” reading of *Pr. An.* A.12, 108–12
- modal copula, *see* copula, modal
- modal operators, *see* operators, modal
- modal predicate, *see* predicate, modal
- modal propositional logic: principles used by Aristotle?, 155, 157–9
- model, formal, of Aristotle’s modal syllogistic, 14, 48; building different Aristotelian systems, 232–4
- mood of syllogism, defined, 238
- Moody, E., 246n23
- “necessary *haplōs*” *versus* necessarily following, 56, 112
- necessity, absolute *versus* hypothetical, 102, 271n4; propositions of, two readings defined, 41–4; syllogisms, parallel to assertoric, 56

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-45168-0 - Aristotle's Modal Logic: Essence and Entailment in the Organon

Richard Patterson

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- negation, 9, 15, 17–18, 134
Nortmann, Ulrich, 176–81
- Ockham, 246n23
- omnitemporal premises, 167–76; assertoric premises and scientific demonstration, 153, not suitable for science, 171–2; unsupported textually, 168–9, 172–4, 193–4
- onomata* and *rhēmata*, 18
- operators, modal, 9, 15, 125; for *hōs epi to polu*, 149–50, 152–3
- path, definitional and essential, 50
- Patzig, G., 2, 71–4, 107, 150, 208, 214–9, 258n4, 270n2, 281n23
- peiores* rule, 54, 75, 99; violations of, 60–3, 67–70
- perfect syllogisms, *see* completeness of syllogisms
- per se* connections, 23, 118, 122, 153; including *idia*, 43–4, 50, 62; in scientific demonstration, 3; omnitemporality and, 171–2; relation to Two Barbaras, 81–2
- Porphyry on inseparable accidents, 45
- possibility, one-way: Aristotle's interest in one-way conclusions, 226; in “at worst false” proof technique, 165, 226; not central to Aristotle's modal logic, 3, 225, 242n6; role in reduction argument for conversion of E_n , 226; term conversion of, 256n29; two readings defined, 28–9, 236
- possibility, two-way, 124; affirmative form of, 132–5; qualitative conversion of, 135–6; relation to “for the most part” connections, 127–8; relation to natural potentialities, 126–7; relation to scientific demonstration, 149–50; relation to Waterlow's temporally relativized modalities, 131; structure of two-way propositions, 125–32; term conversion of, 136–41
- possible-worlds semantics, 2, 49; Nortmann's use of, 176–81; *versus* Aristotelian semantics, 36
- Posterior Analytics*: date relative to *Prior Analytics*, 250n14; definition of *kata pantos* in, 250n14; *per se* connections in, 153; proper predication in, 103–4; *idia* and *sumbebēkota kath' hauta* in, 251n15
- potentialities, natural, 126–8; expressed in modalized predicate?, 125–8; invalidation of Camestres *NAN* and, 84–5; not treated in *Pr. An.*, 128; privation of, 128, 133
- Prantle, C., 2
- predicate, modal: conflicts with Aristotle's metaphysics, 32; gives ill-formed propositions, 30–1; gives ill-formed syllogisms, 31–2; gives invalid term conversions, 23–25; hyphenated, in modal predicate reading, 8, 245n22; switching terms fails to give correct converse, 30
- predicate, negative, 134, 248n6
- predicate logic, 9
- predication, *per se*, 14; proper *versus* improper, 103–4; ten “categories” of, 14, 38; *see also* copula, assertoric; copula, modal; predicate, modal
- probability, 281n25
- properties, accidental *versus* essential, 2, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 29, 36, 38, 39, 45, 250n13
- propositional modal logic: *de dicto* modality and, 25, 27, 158; used in *An. Pr. A.15?*, 157–9
- propositions: apodeictic *versus* necessary, 60, 105–6; categorical as consisting of two terms plus copula, 21; indesignate, 19; singular, 19, 72; terms of, both really predicates, 252n17
- proprium* (*idion*): *see* four predicables
- pseudo-Ammonius, report on *hōs epi to palu*, 272n14
- Putnam, H., 241n1
- quantification: copula and, 15, 19–23, 248n4; Venn diagrams and, 20
- Quine, W. V. O., 6
- ravens, treated as necessarily black, 45–6
- realism about possible worlds, 49, 181
- Reductio ad impossibile*, 89, 98; first proof for Barbara *A,pp/p*, 159–64; second proof for Barbara *A,pp/p*, 164–6; validity of Barbara *NAN* and Bocardo *PAP* and, 89
- Rescher, N., 87, 93–8, 264n28
- Rose, Lynn, 208, 211
- Ross, David, 76, 79, 162, 164, 182–5, 199, 200–1, 210, 211–12, 249n9, 256n29, 258n3, 270n16, 278n51

Index

- said of relation, 36, 58
- scientific demonstration, *see* demonstration, scientific
- semantics, essentialist: four predicables and, 10, 38–44; invalidation of Cesare $N_w N_w N_w$ and, 64; reflected by syntax, 11; use in validating syllogisms, 232–3; validation of Barbara $N_w N_s N_s$ and, 62–3; validation of “Barbari” $N_w N_w N_s$ and, 69; validation of Darapti $N_w N_w N_s$ and, 67–8; versions of P. Thom and F. Johnson, 115–23; *see also* metaphysics
- set-theoretic model of Aristotelian logic, 115–17
- sign of predication, 10, 18
- singular terms, 19, 72
- Smiley, T., 241n5, 245n21, n22, 280n2
- Smith, R., 178–9, 241n5, 246n24, 249n11, 258n3, 261n10, n14, n15, 268n4, 274n17, 277n50, 280n2, 281n16, 282n6
- Sophistical Refutations*: four predicables as syllogistic terms and, 41; temporally indexed possibilities and, 163
- Sorabji, R., 244n17, 245n21, 245n22
- sorites: scientific demonstration and, 58, 272n14
- “special case” approach to Aristotle’s modal logic, 96–100
- “starting points” of Aristotle’s syllogistic, 226, 233–4; essentialist semantics and, 48–9, 51–2; *see also* axioms
- Stoic logic, 243n10
- Striker, G., 149–53, 254n20, 268n5, 268n6, 271n4, 272n12
- swans, treated as necessarily white, 44–6
- ‘syllogism’, as translation of ‘*sullogismos*’, 241n5
- systems S4 and S5, 35, 49, 179
- temporality of syllogistic propositions, 14, 153, 166–76; modality and, 161, 177–81, temporally relativized modalities and, 130–1
- terms: adjectival *versus* nominal form of, 62, 253n19; both terms in categorical logic really predicates, 252n17; definition of strong *cop* necessity and, 253n19; negative, 134, 248n6
- term-term relations: essentialism and, 23, 128–9; relation to *de dicto* modality, 129; *versus* “term-thing relations”, 57, 129
- term-thing relations: *de re* modality and, 129; E_{pp} conversion and, 137–8; two-way possibility and, 129–30
- Theophrastus, 5, 99; his modal logic a fragment of Aristotle’s, 232; rejects qualitative conversion, 268n6, *peiores* rule and, 54, 75–6, 78–9, 110, 111
- Thom, P., 87, 115–23, 264n37
- Thomas Aquinas on modal copula, 9
- ti esti*, 36; use of *hoper* and, 37
- Topics*: categories of predication in, 14, 38; four predicables as syllogistic terms in, 38–41; terminology compared to *Pr. An.*, 249n11, *ti esti* in, 36; use of *hoper* in, 37
- traditional syllogistic, 4; subalternation in, 248n5; obversion in, 248n6
- tree, essential and definitional, 49
- Trendelenburg, A., 2
- univocal readings of Aristotle’s modal logic, 8, 12, 87
- vacillation between two readings of modality, 6, 8, 12, 13, 255n25, 258n2; “scorecard” approach and, 30
- van Rijen, J., 87, 102–6
- Venn diagrams, 20
- Waitz, R., 2
- Waterlow, Sarah Broadie, 253n18, 269n9
- White, N., 243n12
- Wieland, W., 87, 106–15, 182–3, 200–2, 268n1, 269n10
- Wiggins, D., 245n22
- William of Sherwood on modal copula, 9
- Woods, M., 281n25
- Zabarella on inseparable accidents, 45