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All Plates are gathered in a section preceding Chapter 7.

1. Alfred Dreyfus stripped of his insignia.
2. Poster for La Libre Parole.
3. "Peekaboo!" – "Truth emerges from its well."
4. Drumont.
5. Esterhazy.
6. Henry.
7. Picquart.
8. Zola.
10. Mendel Beilis and his family after his acquittal.
12. Leo and Lucille Frank at the trial. From a 1915 issue of the Atlanta Journal or Atlanta Constitution.
13. Mary Phagan. From the April 28, 1913, Atlanta Journal.
14. The lynching of Leo Frank.
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