

INDEX

The designation f in the index stands for figure and t stands for table

```
Pelli, Cesar, 194-6
accessibility, Wexner Center, 121, 133,
                                                      Scott, James, 196-8
       134, 139, 140, 141
Acquinas, Thomas, 66
                                                  on competitions, 61, 145
                                                      benefits of, 25-6
administrative spaces, Wexner Center,
      130-1, 13of
                                                      drawbacks, 26-9, 61, 70-71, 73, 155
advisor, competition, 152-4
                                                      juries, 61, 27, 70-1, 151, 153, 155,
aesthetics, architectural, 65-66
                                                        156
                                                  see also Progressive Architecture reader
  features for, 80, 85, 86f
  and meaning, 2, 61, 65-6
                                                       poll; specific names
  priority of, 61, 62
                                                architectural education, 167-9
  and public policy, 67
                                                architectural masterpieces. See master-
                                                       pieces, architectural
  speculative, 62
                                                architectural program, 7, 64, 145, 150-2
  see also appearance; emotional
       response; meaning; visual quality
                                                architectural programming, 3, 148t, 149,
       program
                                                       151, 164, 181
affect, 76, 77, 81, 85
                                                architecture
  see also emotional response; meaning;
                                                  citation frequency, 45-6, 47-8t
                                                  deconstructivist, 15
       preference
                                                  democratic, 2, 3, 146, 163-170
American Institute of Architects (AIA),
       147, 156, 157
                                                  elitist, 2, 57, 62, 70-4, 98, 163, 164
anecdotal evidence, flaws in 44
                                                  ethical questions, 143, 144
Anthony, Kathryn, 24, 70
                                                  masterpieces, 45-6, 47-8t, 49
appearance, 71, 72
                                                  patrons, 2
  desirable, 84t, 86f
                                                  purposes, 2, 60, 111
  guidelines, 62, 75, 84-87, 89
                                                  signature, 1, 58, 143-4, 163, 182, 183,
  postoccupancy evaluation of, 98,
       100-104, 165-6
                                                  style, 2, 15, 35, 57, 58, 82-3, 87
  program for, 84-87
                                                  theoretical, 180
  Wexner Center, 100-104
                                                  see also buildings; design
      compared to other building,
                                                art, public, 90
                                                Arup, Ove, 69
         104-111
                                                atypicality, 78, 79
  see also aesthetics, architectural; mean-
                                                awards, design
       ing; preference, visual quality pro-
                                                  democratic, 38-9, 166-7
       gram
Appleyard, Donald, 81, 159
                                                  elitist, 49
                                                  Wexner Center, 1, 19, 49
architects
  interviews with
      Eisenman, Peter, 179, 181-3
                                                Barney, Carol Ross, 26
      Erickson, Arthur, 179, 183-4
                                                Barry, Charles, 35
                                                Beauty, see aesthetics, architectural;
      Feinknopf, Mark, 179, 184-6
      Graves, Michael, 179, 186-7
                                                       appearance; emotional response;
      McKinnell, Michael, 179, 187-8
                                                       meaning, visual quality program
      Nitschke, Chuck, 180, 188-194
                                                Bechtel, Robert, 159
```



232 Index

```
bias, in research, 46-7, 51, 64, 79, 98-9,
                                                 described, 22
                                                 disadvantages, 3, 26-29, 182, 185, 187,
       155-6
                                                      189, 194–5, 197
  see also data collection methods
Birkerts, Gunner, 113
                                                 dialogue, lack of, 27-8, 38, 135-6, 145,
Blutter, Joan, 27
                                                      146, 195
bookshelves, Wexner Center, 130, 136-7,
                                                 European, 21, 38, 157-8
                                                 failures, 3, 38-44, 83
       138
bookstore, Wexner Center, 127
                                                 first, 72
Boston City Hall, 40
                                                 growth in, 20-1
                                                 guidelines for running, 3, 49, 164
Boston Public Library, 167
Boyer, Ernest, 168, 169
                                                 jury, 35, 36, 110–111, 152–3, 191–2
Bramante, 46
                                                 jury flaws, 27, 95-6186
Brasilia, evaluated, 39-40
                                                 listing, 20-21, 23-4 29, 46, 199t
Brolin, Brent, 51
                                                 meanings, public, 67, 90, 100-111
Brower, Sidney, 68
                                                 publicity, 3, 24, 25, 67, 113
                                                 public nature, 1, 21
Brunelleschi, 46
buildings
                                                 reforms for, 145-162
  citations of, 45-6, 47-8t
                                                 results, monitoring 158-9
  cost control, 158, 161
                                                 sampling, 50-1
  meaning, 83
                                                 strategies for winning, 197
  size, preferences for, 80
                                                 successes, 3, 29-37
  type, 51, 83
                                                 types, 15, 196
  see also architecture; design
                                                     invited, 22, 152
Bullfinch, Charles, 33
                                                     limited, 22, 151
                                                     open, 22, 151
café, Wexner Center, 126-7
                                                     two-stage, 22, 152
Calloway, William, 25, 27
                                                 winning and losing entries compared,
Capitol building, U.S., 29, 31–32
                                                      49-57, 5of
Carnegie Foundation, 167
                                                 see also competitions, examples; compe-
Carrere and Hastings, 31f
                                                      titions, failures
Caswell, Lucy, 133, 137, 138, 139
                                               competitions, examples, 23, 72
Cathedral of Florence, 46
                                                 Berlin Embassy, 187, 188
Caudill, Rowlett and Scott, 149
                                                 Boston City Hall, 40
                                                 Brasilia, 39-40
Charles, Prince of Wales, 42
chemistry addition, evaluation of, 142-3
                                                 California Plaza, 184
Chetterian, Maral, 45
                                                 Canadian Pavilion, Expo, 184
Chicago Tribune Tower, 46, 50, 53
                                                 Capitol Building, U. S., 29, 31-2
circulation, Wexner Center, 124
                                                 Chicago Library, 183
                                                 Copley Square, Boston, 40-1, 41f, 44
City Hall, Boston, 40
Clements/Rumpel Associates, 42
                                                 Eiffel Tower, 34f, 34-5, 58
                                                 Florida A and M Architecture School,
client contact, 27-8, 38, 145, 195
  importance of, 144, 180
                                                      42-4, 45f
Cobb, Henry, 8, 14, 154, 196
                                                 Gateway Arch, St. Louis, 29, 32f
coherence, see order
                                                 Headquarters, Organization for Prev-
compatibility, 81
                                                      ention of Chemical Warfare, 187
competitions, design
                                                 Mississauga City Hall, 23
  abusive of architects, 28, 181, 189, 191,
                                                 National Gallery, Trafalgar Square, 42
                                                 New York Public Library, 29, 31f
  advantages, 24-26, 180, 182, 185, 187,
                                                 Pacific Design Center, 7
                                                 Paris Opera House, 29, 46
       194, 196-8
                                                 Parliament, Houses of, 29, 35
  advisor, 152-4
  appropriateness of, 145
                                                 Performing Arts Center, Minnesota, 194
  architects' opinion, 20, 25, 28, 29, 75
                                                 Petronas Towers, 194
                                                 Simon Fraser University, 184
  background, 3, 72
  books on, 23
                                                 Sydney Opera House, 29, 68, 69-70, 69f
  costs, 3, 21, 113, 114-117
                                                 Tokyo Forum, 184
```



Index 233

United Nations City, Vienna, 194 design awards, 96 Vietnam Veterans Memorial, 29, 35-7, democratic, 38-9, 166-7 37f, 145 Washington Square Arch, 29, 30f elitist, 49 Wexner Center, 1, 19, 49 White House, The, 29-31, 33f design competitions, see competitions, World Financial Center, 195 design see also competitions, design; competiexamples of, see competitions, examples tions, failures failures, see competitions, failures competitions, failures design review, 3, 67, 157, 158, 163 guidelines for, 85, 165 Boston City Hall, 40 Brasilia, 39-40 performance of, 164 Copley Square, Boston, 40-1, 41f, 44 diversity Florida A and M Architecture School, definition, 77 42-4, 43f response to, 77-78, 79 Deutsch, Larry N., 25 National Gallery Addition, Trafalgar Duerk, Donna, 149 Square, 42 unbuilt projects, 26, 28-9 complexity, 77-79 education, architectural, 167-9 conflict of interest, 153 Eiffel, Gustave, 34 Eiffel Tower, 34-5, 34f, 58 Cooper Marcus, Clare, 38, 39 Eisenman, Peter, 5, 124, 154, 179, 180 Copley Square, Boston, 40-1, 41f, 44 Corbusier, 57 on architecture, 1, 58 Costa Lücio, 39 background, 6 costs comments on, by architects, 193, 194 design competitions, 3, 21 on competitions, 20, 25, 28, 29, 75 Wexner Center, 114-117 interview of 179, 181-3 users, disregard for, 98, 134, 135-6 crime, and Wexner Center, 118, 119f see also fear of crime on Wexner Center design, 15, 19, 91, critics, architectural, 1, 5, 18, 19, 112, 113 98 crowding, and Wexner Center, 130, 130f, see also Eisenman's Wexner Center 132, 137, 138 entry; prejury evaluation (PJE); Wexner Center postoccupancy Dalton, van Dijk, Johnson & Partners, 6 evaluation data collection methods, 44-5, 87, 98-9 Eisenman's Wexner Center entry, 8f, 16f respondents, 51, 88, 91, 99, 102-3, atypicality, 110 107, 108, 113 awards, 13 responses, 51-2, 88, 90-1, 99-100, 113 critiques, 112-3 sampling, 51, 88, 99, 102-3, 104, described, 15-18 107-8, 113 dislike of, 92,93, 94, 110 prejury evaluation, 154, 173, 175-7 jury report, 90-1, 110 stimuli, 49-50, 88-9, 104-5 praise, by architects, 5, 14-15, 196 see also methods, bias in; survey praise, by critics, 5, 18-19, 112 defensible space, 159 see also Eisenman, Peter; prejury evaluadeflected vista, 80 tion (PJE); Wexner Center postoc-Degenhardt, Christopher, 144 cupancy evaluation de Haan, Hilde, 23 emotional response, 76, 77, 81, 85, 86f De Haan, Norman, R. 27, 70 environmental features, response to, democratic, approach, 164-6 77-84, 85t Erickson, Arthur, 5, 25, 27, 183 aesthetics, 61, 62, 65-7, 80, 85, 86f background, 6 meanings, designer/public gap in, 1-2, on competitions, 20, 25, 27, 71, 156 57-8, 67-8, 73-4, 83, 96 interview of, 179, 183-4 purposes, 60, 111 Wexner Center entry, 9f, 85, 91, 92, 93, postoccupancy evaluation (POE), 3, 64, 113, 146, 158, 162 Esherick, Joseph, 27, 61, 70 see also architecture ethics, architectural, 143, 144



Index 234 evaluation Heritage State Parks, 167 composite, 106 Heyduk, John, 14 historical significance consumer, 162 design review, 3, 67, 85, 157, 158, 163, definition, 77 response to, 83 164, 165 history, methods of study, 5, 44-5, 62 environmental features for, 85f see also postoccupany evaluation; prejury Hoban, James, 30, 31 evaluation (PJE) Holston, James, 39, 40 evidence Hood, Raymond M., 46 anecdotal, 44 Howell, James Mead, 46 scientific, 44-5, 63-4 Humana Tower, 23, 50, 53, see also Graves, excitement, features for, 85f Michael external validity, 87, 88, 175, 176 internal validity, 87, 88, 173-4 involvement, client, 144, 180 factor analysis, 93, 100-1, 103, 107 failures, competition, 38-44 Izenour, Steven, 25, 152 Faneuil Hall, 167 fear of crime, 80-81 Jefferson, Thomas, 28, 31, 33 Wexner Center, 118-120, 119f, 135-6, Jennings, Ed, 5, 182 Jills, Robert, 33 135f features, environmental, 77-83, 85f Johnson Laboratory Tower, 141, 144, see Fechner, 65 also Wright, Frank Lloyd Johnson, Philip, 5, 14, 57, 70, 144, 183 Feinknopf, Maccione and Shappa, 5, 184 Feinknopf, Mark math tower, 109f, 115, 144 on competitions, 26, 27, 145 post occupancy evaluation, 107-9, interview of, 179, 84-186 142-3 Jones, E. Fay, 25 film and video theater, Wexner Center, Jones, Kay Bea, 179, 181 140 fittingness, preference for, 81 juries, competition Five Oaks, Dayton, 159 advisor, selecting, 152-3 Florida A and M architecture building, aesthetics, stress on, 70-2 biases, 68, 95, 155-6, 191 42-4, 43f Friedman, Rodney, 27, 155, 156 composition of, 73 conflict of interest, 153-4 deliberation, 70, 156-7, 165 galleries, Wexner Center, 127-130, 128f diversity, 153 Gehry, Frank, 61, 115, 182 General Services Administration, 41 out of touch, 95, 96 Goldblatt, David, 179, 181 and popular opinions, 4, 68 Grannis, Peg, 49, 179, 183, 184 reforms for, 152-7 Graves, Michael, 14 selection of, 152-3 background, 6 subjective, 70 on competitions, 20, 25, 27, 28 jury decisions, merits of 1, 49-57 jury deliberations, open, 70, 156-7, 165 Humana tower, 23, 50, 53 interview, 1179, 186-7 jury, Wexner Center, 8 Wexner Center entry, 10f advisor, 5, 7, 145, 153, 190 Gropius, Walter, 46 bias, 95, 155 Gunn hotel competition, 23, 71 conflict of interest, 153-4 Gwathmey, Charles, 14, 61, 70, 147, 151 deliberation, 9-10 rationale, 95 Haajsma, Ids, 23 report, 10-12 Hackl, Donald, J., 25 Hallet, Stephen, 31, 33 Kahn, Louis, 70, 73 Hancock Tower, Boston, 141 Kallmann, McKinnell and Knowles, 40 Harding Memorial, 50f, 53 Kallmann, McKinnell and Wood Hart, Frederick, 36, 37 background, 6 Helinski Station, 50 Wexner Center entry, 11f



Index

environmental, 61 evaluation, 85, 91, 92, 93, 94 evaluative, 75-76, 85t, 86f, 87 Kang, Junmo, 90 Kantrowitz, Mim, 42 forecasting, 75, 173 importance of, 74, 65 knowledge, ordinary and scientific, 63-4 jury versus public, 68, 95, 96 Latrobe, Benjamin H. 31, 33 outsider versus insider, 67, 68 popular, 2, 67, 77, 90, 91 Leonardo, 58 Libraries scientific approach to, 66 Boston Public Library, 167 shared, 64, 65, 75, 91 New York Public Library, 31f social, 76 stylistic, 82–3 Wexner Center cartoon research library, evaluation types of, 65 and Wexner Center entries, 90-5 of, 132-5, 138-9 fine arts library, evaluation of, see also aesthetics, architectural; appearance; emotional response; prefer-132-4, 136-8 lighting, 127, 128, 132 ence; visual quality program Lin, Maya Ying, 36 Meier, Richard, 14, 25, 70, 180, 182 livable space methods, bias in, 46-7, 51, 64, 79, 98-9, definition, 77 155–6 response to, 84 see also data collection methods; responloading dock, Wexner Center, 122-3, 134 dents; responses; sampling Lorenz and Williams, Inc. 6 Michelangelo, 46 Miller, Richard, 5, 7, 145, 153, 190 Louis XIV, king of France, 46 Louvre, Paris, 46 Mills, John Stuart, 66 Lynch, Kevin, 82 Mississauga City Hall, 23 Mitgang, Lee, 168, 169 mail survey, Wexner Center 89, 99-100 Moore, Charles, 71, 72, 115 Marriott Corporation, postoccupancy eval-Morelli, Jill, 116, 118, 143 uation, 161 Martindale, Colin, 44 National Gallery Addition, Trafalgar masterpieces, architectural Square, 42 age, 46, 47, 48 naturalness competition designs, 46 definition, 77 response to, 81-2 definition, 45 frequency of citation, 45-6 neatness, preference for, 82 Newman, Oscar, 159 list of, 47-8t location, 46, 47-8 New York Public Library, 31f Niemeyer, Oscar, 39 twentieth century, 45, 46, 48 Nitschke Associates, 6, 188 math tower, postoccupancy evaluation, Nitschke, Chuck 107-9, 142-3 Maugham, Patrick, 128 on competitions, 27, 28, 145, 146, 147 interview of, 180, 188-194 McKim, Mead and White, 30f McKinnell, Michael novelty, response to, 78, 79 background, 6 on competitions, 20, 28, 29, 71, 145, openness definition, 77 interview of, 179, 187-8 response to, 80-1 meaning, 60 order definition, 77, artistic, 2, 61, 65-6, 72 connotative, 65, 82-3 response to, 79-80 Orton Hall, 83 democratic, 72 designer/public gap, 1-2, 57-8, 67-8, Pacific Design Center, 7, see also Pelli, 73-4, 96 differences in, 56-7, 65, 67, 74 Cesar Palladian house, 82 dimensions of, 76-7

Palmer, Mark, 149

© Cambridge University Press

and Eisenman design, 93

www.cambridge.org

235



236 Index

```
Palmer museum, 115
                                                  validity, 175-7
Paris Opera House, 46
                                                presentation of entries, see simulation,
Parliament, Houses of, 35, 46, 58
                                                       environmental
Pei, I. M., 70, 141
                                                program, 64, 159
Pelli, Cesar, 6, 182
                                                  criteria, 150t
  background, 7
                                                  importance of, 145, 190
                                                  need for, 147, 151, 152
  on competitions, 20, 25, 27, 28
  on jury decisions, subjective nature of,
                                                  vagueness, 7
       61, 70, 71, 151, 153
                                                  see also visual quality program
                                                programming, 3, 164, 181
  interview of, 179, 194-196
                                                  consensus, 149
  Wexner Center entry, 12f
                                                  cost savings, 151
Pena, William, 149
performance space evaluation, Wexner
                                                  guides to, 149
      Center, 139-140
                                                  steps, 148t, 149
Perot, Ross, 37
                                                  visual quality, 75, 87-89
Perrault, Claude, 46
                                                Progressive Architecture reader
                                                       poll, 26, 38, 68, 147, 153, 169,
Pershing Square, Los Angeles, 23
Piano and Rogers, 195
                                                Pruitt Igoe, 73, 141-2
plan, visual quality, 3, 61
                                                public entity, definition, 67
plazas, use of, 84
                                                public place, 39-42, 67, 160
pleasantness, features for, 85t, 86f
                                                public reactions, stability of, 58
Portland building, 183
                                                Pugin, Augustus, Welby, 35
postoccupancy evaluation (POE), 3, 64,
       158-9, 164
                                                Rainwater, Lee, 142
  and appearance, 165-6
                                                Rapoport, Amos, 74
                                                recognition, effects of, 52, 53
  chemistry addition, The Ohio State
      University, 108, 109, 142-3
                                                Reichstag, 50
  cost savings, 155, 161
                                                relaxation, features for, 85t, 86f
                                                reliability, 52, 171-3
  definition, 113
  examples, 141-3, 155, 159, 160
                                                research
  math tower, The Ohio State University,
                                                  biases, 46-7, 49, 51, 64, 79, 98-9,
       107-109, 142-3
                                                       155-6
  method, 113
                                                  multiple methods, 49
  see also Wexner Center postoccupancy
                                                  pre-design, 3
                                                  procedures, 52
      evaluation
predesign research (PDR), 3
                                                  see also data collection methods; respon-
preference
                                                       dents; responses; sampling
  architect, 53-4, 54f, 55, 55f, 57
                                                respondents
  environmental, 77-84, 85t, 86t
                                                  characteristics of, 49, 51, 91, 99, 105,
  gap, designer/public, 1-2, 56-8, 67-8,
       73–4, 83, 96
                                                  see also data collection methods; sam-
  jury versus popular, 3, 95-6
                                                       pling
  popular, 54, 54f, 55, 55f, 57
                                                responses, 51, 52
Preiser, Wolfgang, 149
                                                  architect versus popular, 55-7
prejury evaluation (PJE), 3, 61, 64, 154,
                                                  consensus, 52, 56, 94, 101, 103, 118
       164
                                                  on-site, 103, 104
  application of, 94-7
                                                  over time, 56, 57
  consensus, 91, 172
                                                  rank order, 88
  evaluation of, 3, 97
                                                  see also data collection methods
  future research, 178
                                                Richards Research Laboratory, 73
  generality of, 103
                                                roof leaks, Wexner Center, 123-124, 136,
  measuring meaning, 174
                                                       136f
  methods, 84-7, 173
                                                Rudolf, Paul, 70
  reliability, 171-3
                                                Russell, Jim, 76
  results, 91-4
  usefulness, 177-8
                                                Saarinen, Eero, 7, 69
```



Index

Saarinen, Eiliel, 46 Sagan, Carl, 44 St. Peters, Rome, 46 sample, characteristics of mail survey, 99, 205t on-site, 105, 208t Wexner postoccupancy evaluation, 113 Wexner prejury evaluation, 91 winners and losers over time, 49, 51, sampling competition winning versus losing design study, 49, 51 mail survey, 99 on-site surveys, 102-3, 104, 107-8 postoccupany evaluation, 113 prejury evaluation, 154, 173, 175-7 visual quality programming, 88 San Francisco Examiner poll, 58 Sanoff, Henry, 149 Sasaki-Walker and Associates, 40 scales competitions over time, 52-3 on-site surveys, 102 104, 108-9 prejury evaluation, 90-91 Wexner mail survey, 100 see also data collection methods Schwartz, Martha, 71 scientific approach, 4, 49, 62–3 scientific knowledge, benefits of, 62, 64 Scott, James on competitions, 26, 28, 155 interview of, 179, 196-8 Scruggs, Jan, 36 Scully, Vincent, 183 security space, Wexner Center, 132 senior center, postoccupancy evaluation, 142 Serra, Richard, 41, 42 signs, commercial diversity, 79 evaluation, 78, 79, 81 Simonton, Dean, 44 simulation, environmental, 50, 88-9, 98-9, 173-4, 177 see also data collection methods site, Wexner, 17f, 18f fear and crime, 118-120, 119f wayfinding problems, 120-1, 121f, 122f sittable space, 160 Southwest Center, Houston, 23 space, see openness Spreiregen, Paul, 23, 36 Stanford University, 158 Sterns, Robert, 61 Stockholm Town Hall, 50

237 storage, Wexner Center, 191f, 194 Strong, Ann, 146 Strong, Judith, 23 styles, building, 2, 35, 57, 58, 87, 153 features, 82 high versus popular, 82-3, 83f meanings, 83 Summers, Robert, 166 survey biases, 98-9 competitions over time, 49, 52, 200t mail, 98, 99-102, 205t newspaper, 58 on-site, 98, 102-104, 105, 208t postoccupancy evaluation, 113 prejury evaluation, 91 Progressive Architecture, 26, 38, 68, 147, 160 see also data collection methods Sydney Opera house, 68, 69-70, 69f Taschen, Benedikt, 23 taste, popular versus architect, 57, 58 theory, architectural, 62 Thornton, William, 32, 33 Tigerman, Stanley, 3, 14 Tilted Arc, 41-2 traffic, response to, 81 Transamerica tower, 58, 59f Trott and Bean, 5 Trott, Richard, 1, 180, 193 upkeep definition, 77 response to, 82 user involvement, 149-50 importance of, 38, 96-7, 144 lack of in competitions, 28, 38, 44, 145 Wexner Center, lack of, 134, 135-6, 145 Utzon, Jörn, 68, 69 validity definition, 171 external, 87, 88, 175-7 internal, 87, 88, 173-4 values, design, 2, 72, 73, 144, 164, 168 Vietnam Veteran's Memorial, 35-37, 37f, Vischer, Jacqueline, 38, 39 visual features, see environmental features, response to visual quality program conduct of, 87-9 definition, 61 practicality, 87

Vitruvius, 60



238 Index

```
Walter, Thomas Ulstick, 33
                                                    cartoon library, 138-9
Ward, Larry, 76
                                                    coatroom, access, 124, 126f
Washington, George, 29, 30, 33
                                                    film and video theater, 140
Washington Square Arch, 30f
                                                    fine arts library, 136-8
water, response to, 81
                                                    gallery spaces, 127-8, 128f
Watt, James, 37
                                                    libraries, 121,132-6
                                                    loading docks, 122-3, 135
wayfinding, 124
  Wexner entrances, 16f, 12of, 120-121
                                                    performance space, 139-140
  Wexner libraries, 121f, 122f, 133, 134f
                                                    storage, 131f, 134
Weigel Hall, Wexner Center, 140-1
                                                    Weigel Hall, 140-141
Weisman Center, 115
                                                vandalism, 118, 119f
                                                wayfinding, 120-121, 121f, 122f, 124,
Wexner Center competition
  design entries, meanings, 85, 87, 90-91
                                                     133, 134f
  finalists, 5-6
                                                see also Wexner Center competition;
 jury, 8, 14, 154, 182
                                                     Wexner Center surveys
      deliberations, 9-10
                                              Wexner Center surveys
      report, 10-12
                                                mail, 99-102, 205t
  publicity, 12, 13, 190
                                                on-site, 102-104, 208t
  program, 7, 190, 191, 192, 193
                                                prejury evaluation, 91
  see also Wexner Center postoccupancy
                                              Wexner Center winning entry
      evaluation; Wexner Center winning
                                                atypicality, 101, 110
                                                awards, 13
Wexner Center postoccupancy evaluation
                                                evaluation, by public, 110
                                                media coverage, 12, 18
  accessibility, 121, 133-134, 137-8, 139,
                                                opening ceremonies, 13-15
  appearance, 100-104, 143
                                                photographs, 8f, 16f, 17f, 18f
      compared to other buildings,
                                                praise for, 5, 14-5, 18-19, 112
        104-111
                                                promotion, 13-15
  circulation, 124, 126f
                                                theory, 181
  costs, 1, 8, 194, 114-117
                                                see also Wexner Center competition;
  entrances 5, 16f 24, 85, 87, 90, 91,
                                                     Wexner Center postoccupancy eval-
      120f, 121
                                                     uation
                                              Wexner, Leslie, 8, 144
  exterior, 17f, 18f, 12of, 121f
  fear of crime, 118-120, 119f, 135-6,
                                                interview of, 179, 180-1
                                              White House, 29-31, 33f
                                              White, Ed, 49
  furniture, designer, 130f, 137-138, 139
  heating, ventilation and air condition-
                                              Whyte, William, 84, 160
      ing, 122, 128
                                              Wineman, Jean, 42
  lighting, 127, 128, 137
                                              Wood, Henry, 6
  method, 113
                                              Wright, Frank Lloyd, 72, 82
  responses, consistency, 101-2
                                                dysfunctional designs, 1, 141, 143-144
  roof leaks, 116, 123-4, 136, 136f
                                              Wyngaard, Susan, 132, 133, 135, 136
  security, 123, 126f, 132, 135-6, 135f
                                              Wynne, George, 24
  site, 118-121, 120f, 121f
  spaces, evaluated
                                              Young, Paul, 165
      administrative, 130-1, 130f
      bookstore, 127
                                              Zimring, Craig, 42
      café, 126-7
```