

THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM IN MAMMALS: FOOD, FORM AND FUNCTION

Edited by
D. J. CHIVERS
University of Cambridge

P. LANGER
Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen



Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1994

First published 1994

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

The digestive system in mammals : food, form, and function / edited by
D.J. Chivers, P. Langer.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-44016-5 (hardback)

1. Digestion. 2. Gastrointestinal system – Physiology.

3. Mammals – Physiology. I. Chivers, David John. II. Langer,
Peter, 1942– .

QP145.D565 1994

599'.0132 – dc20 93-32561 CIP

ISBN 0 521 44016 5 hardback

Transferred to digital printing 2003

Contents

<i>Frontispiece</i>	iv
<i>List of contributors</i>	xi
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
Part I Introduction	
1 Gut form and function: variations and terminology <i>D. J. Chivers and P. Langer</i>	3
2 Food and digestion of Cenozoic mammals in Europe <i>P. Langer</i>	9
3 Modelling gut function: an introduction <i>C. Martínez del Río, S. J. Cork and W. H. Karasov</i>	25
4 Optimum gut structure for specified diets <i>R. McNeill Alexander</i>	54
Part II Food	
5 Foods and the digestive system <i>C. M. Hladik and D. J. Chivers</i>	65
6 Classification of foods for comparative analysis of the gastro-intestinal tract <i>P. Langer and D. J. Chivers</i>	74
7 The 'carnivorous' herbivores <i>R. J. Moir</i>	87
8 Nutritional ecology of fruit-eating and flower-visiting birds and bats <i>C. Martínez del Río</i>	103
9 Herbivory and niche partitioning <i>M. R. Perrin</i>	128
10 Taste discrimination and diet differentiation among New World primates <i>B. Simmen</i>	150
	ix

- 11 Potential hominid plant foods from woody species in semi-arid versus sub-humid sub-tropical Africa
C. R. Peters and E. M. O'Brien 166

Part III Form

- 12 The form of selected regions of the gastro-intestinal tract
G. Björnhag and P. Langer 195
- 13 Categorisation of food items relevant to oral processing
P. W. Lucas 197
- 14 A direct method for measurement of gross surface area of mammalian gastro-intestinal tracts
M. Young Owl 219
- 15 Morphometric methods for determining surface enlargement at the microscopic level in the large intestine and their application
R. L. Snipes 234
- 16 Weaning time and bypass structures in the forestomachs of Marsupialia and Eutheria
P. Langer 264
- 17 Adaptations in the large intestine allowing small animals to eat fibrous foods
G. Björnhag 287

Part IV Function

- 18 Foraging and digestion in herbivores
G. O. Batzli and I. D. Hume 313
- 19 Gut morphology, body size and digestive performance in rodents
I. D. Hume 315
- 20 The integrated processing response in herbivorous small mammals
G. O. Batzli, A. D. Broussard and R. J. Oliver 324
- 21 Digestive constraints on dietary scope in small and moderately-small mammals: how much do we really understand?
S. J. Cork 337
- 22 The effects and costs of allelochemicals for mammalian herbivores: an ecological perspective
W. J. Foley and C. McArthur 370
- 23 Short-chain fatty acids as a physiological signal from gut microbes
T. Sakata 392

Part V Synthesis and perspectives

- 24 Food, form and function: interrelationships and future needs
All contributors (operating in three groups) 411

- Index* 431

1

Gut form and function: variations and terminology

DAVID J. CHIVERS and PETER LANGER

The aim in this volume is to present a synthesis of a wide range of data pertinent to the digestive system in mammals, focussing on three areas, food, form and function. There are introductions to each of these three sections and a final discussion encompassing all aspects but working from the viewpoints of the three groups of contributors. The essence of this volume is that it brings together very different lines of research, and that an integrating process is initiated to develop a broader understanding of the digestive system.

In this preliminary section we set the scene and discuss general, often problematic, issues and then Langer (Ch. 2) presents an evolutionary perspective. This is followed by introductions to modelling gut function by Martinez del Rio, Cork and Karasov (Ch. 3) and optimum gut structure for specified diets by McNeill Alexander (Ch. 4).

As we focus on the details of diets and digestive systems (structure and function), we need to think about the nature of the niches to which they are adapted: in particular, as to how broad or narrow these may be or how variable in the short (days) or long term (seasons, years). To what extent is form and function accounted for by preferred food and body size? To what extent are they moulded by critical events ('bottlenecks' in food availability). We need to think about them against an evolutionary background of increasing environmental diversity over the last 50 million years in relation to the dramatic radiation of angiosperm (flowering) plants. It is the increasingly dynamic nature of environments that encourages more dietary plasticity, varied adaptations and niche breadth – polytrophism or omnivory – but these may be discouraged by anatomical and/or physiological constraints of the digestive system.

Gut form and function

Gastro-intestinal tracts show dramatic variation from those adapted for processing animal matter (very nutritious and easy to digest) mainly in the small intestine, with small stomachs and large intestines, to those dominated by stomach and/or caecum and colon for the processing of plant cell walls (very common food but very difficult to digest) by fermentation. Here, then, is the contrast between specialist **faunivores** and **folivores**, with an intermediate morphology shown by **frugivores** (with a larger small intestine if supplementing the fruit staple with animal matter, or larger fermenting chambers if leaves are the main supplement). This dietary spectrum corresponds closely with body size and even more so with biomass density. This is because animal matter is scarce compared with plant material.

Herbivory (or **florivory**) – the consumption of plant material – needs to be sub-divided into **frugivory** and **folivory**, with numerous sub-divisions of each (see Ch. 5). Folivory ranges from the more selective ‘browsers’, through to the less selective bulk-feeders, ‘grazers’. Chitin, the skeleton of invertebrates, can be digested in those mammals that have the enzyme chitinase. Its digestion requires at least a comparable dentition to folivores (although much smaller) to similarly maximise the surface area available for digestion.

The concept of **omnivory** is weakened by the anatomical and physiological difficulties of digesting significant quantities of animal matter *and* fruit *and* leaves. The supposed evolutionary pathway shown by mammals radiating from their insectivorous ancestor is reflected by evolving anatomy and physiology. As mammals increased in size (over about 1 kg for primates), so they were able to add plant material (mainly the more digestible fruit) to their insectivorous diet. Increasing body size allowed even more voluminous guts that allowed the fermentation of plant cell walls, giving nutritional access to foliage. Adaptations for digesting seed coats (by fermentation) is perhaps the link between fruit- and leaf-eating diets (Bodmer, 1989). Therefore, animal matter is swamped in a large gut, and foliage cannot be digested in a small gut. A compromise is not really feasible, although some rodents (dormice), pigs and opportunistic primates (macaques, baboons and chimpanzees) may be true omnivores. Humans are only omnivorous thanks to food processing and cookery; their guts have the dimensions of a (faunivore) carnivore but the taeniae, haustra and semi-lunar folds are characteristic of folivores. Among the so-called omnivores, most eat either mainly fruit and animal matter (if smaller) or fruit and foliage (if larger) but not all three.

The basic gut design persists clearly, as reflected by development, basic position, innervation and blood supply (Fig. 1.1), despite dramatic diversifi-




Fig. 1.1. The basic design of the gastro-intestinal tract showing the blood supply and innervation.

cation among different dietary types. Thus, the **stomach** and start of the **duodenum** (as far as the entrance of the bile duct) develop from the first relatively-fixed part of the **foregut** in the abdomen, crossing from left to right, and are innervated by the **dorsal and ventral vagus** (Xth cranial) nerves (parasympathetic) and the **splanchnic nerves** (sympathetic). They are supplied with blood by branches of the **coeliac artery** and blood is drained into the **hepatic portal vein** by gastro-splenic and gastro-duodenal veins. The **left (descending) colon** and **rectum** are relatively unvarying in all mammals, with little digestive function, and run caudally to the anus; this **hindgut** is innervated by **sacral (pelvic) nerves** (parasympathetic) and **lumbar splanchnic nerves** (sympathetic) and is supplied with blood by the **caudal mesenteric artery**, draining into the vein of the same name. Therefore, anatomically speaking, *hindgut* cannot be equated with large intestine as is so commonly done.

It is the mobile **midgut** loop that is so readily lengthened, proximally in

faunivores and distally in folivores. It herniates through the umbilicus early in development and is later withdrawn into the peritoneal cavity by an anti-clockwise rotation (viewed from ventrally) at the base of the loop, with the proximal end preceding the distal end so that the **caeco-colic junction** comes to lie ventral to the duodenum (Fig. 1.2). The midgut is supplied by the **cranial mesenteric artery and vein** and is innervated by the **dorsal vagus** and **splanchnic nerves**. The duodenum, crossing from right to left, gives way to coils of **jejunum**, with the **small intestine** terminating as the **ileum** on the right. The **large intestine** starts with the **caecum** (with an appendix sometimes, where lymphatic tissue may be concentrated distally) which branches blindly off the large intestine. It continues with the **right (ascending) colon**, which can expand dramatically, and very variably in




Fig. 1.2. Basic layout of the mammalian gut (ventral view) with the stomach crossing from left to right, duodenum on the right and the colon crossing from right to left.

mammals, into a fermenting chamber (instead of or as well as the caecum) in many folivores; this in turn gives way to the usually short **transverse colon**, near the stomach. Thus, we have the contrasting strategies for fermenting plant cell walls of **caeco-colic fermentation** (or caecal or colic), often called hindgut or post-gastric fermentation, and of **foregut fermentation**, often called pre-gastric or forestomach fermentation. The latter occurs in the keratinised (except in Camelidae and Macropodidae) enlarged first part of the stomach, before the true glandular part of the stomach that contains the gastric (cardial, fundic and pyloric) glands.

Terminology

We have already defined numerous terms. It remains to clarify others and give the synonyms that appear in this volume. The parts of the gut nearest to the head are proximal, cranial or oral, those nearer the tail are distal, caudal or aboral.

Stomachs are enlarged parts of the otherwise tubular gut; they are simple, one-chambered (uni-locular) or compound, multi-chambered (pluri-locular). The entrance is the **cardia**, the exit is the **pylorus**, with the body (or **fundus**) in between. Since it is now clear that they always develop from the simple gastric spindle, it is not correct to talk about monogastric or polygastric mammals – no mammal has more than one stomach! The confusion was generated by the contrast in ruminants between keratinised (like the oesophagus) and glandular parts of the stomach, implying that they might have had different origins.

Such enlargements of the stomach may be sac-like (sacculation, sacciform), diverticulated (diverticulum), or tube-like (tubiform). Enlargements in the large intestine, in particular, where the cylindrical shape is maintained, are sacculations when they are separated from each other by circular folds, or **plicae circulares**. These folds may occur in the small and large intestines. When longitudinal muscular bands, or **taeniae**, are differentiated in the outer layer of the muscular wall which ‘anchor’ so-called **semi-lunar folds** or **plicae semilunares**, the widened lumina between these folds are called **haustra** (singular *hastrum*). The two main regions of the large intestine, the colon and the caecum, can be haustrated. Only in the colobid monkeys, kangaroos and rat kangaroos are parts of the forestomach haustrated.

Histologically, the gastro-intestinal tract has (a) an inner epithelial layer of **tunica mucosa**, with a thin layer of muscle, the **lamina muscularis mucosa**; (b) a connective tissue layer of **tela sub-mucosa** containing vessels and nerves; (c) an inner circular and outer longitudinal layer of **smooth mus-**

cle (with an additional third – oblique – layer in the body of the stomach); (d) an outer connective tissue coat – **tunica serosa** – overlaid by (e) **visceral peritoneum** or, in retroperitoneal organs, by an **adventitia**. The tunica mucosa is of endodermal origin, the rest is derived from mesoderm.

An important parameter in understanding the relation between structure and function in the digestive system is the amount of time that digesta spends in the system. Terms commonly used are transit time, retention time, mean retention time, residence time, passage time, passage rate and turnover time (Martinez del Rio *et al.*, Ch. 3). **Transit time** has been used for every possible measurement of **retention time**! It is usually used for the time of first appearance; transit time will only equal **mean retention time** if there is no mixing (otherwise it will underestimate mean retention time). **Residence time** refers to time spent in various gut compartments; **gut clearance time** refers to time to completely evacuate the gut under a starvation regime.

The digestive processes are modelled in terms of **plug flow** (PFR), a **piston** or **tubular reactor** and a **continuous stirred tank reactor** (CSTR); there are also **batch-stirred reactors** (BSR). Digestion may be **catalytic** or **autocatalytic**, **alloenzymatic** or **autoenzymatic**. Observations may be made **post-prandially** (after a meal) or **post-injectionally**.

This, then, provides the mainly structural background to the initial discussion of evolutionary and functional issues (Part I) and the detailed discussion of food (Part II), form (Part III) and function (Part IV). We aim to integrate very different lines of research to promote a better understanding of the digestive system in mammals.

Reference

- Bodmer, I. (1989). Frugivory in Amazon ungulates. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge.