

```
Alesina, A., 4n, 8n, 10, 11, 13n, 14, 14n, 17,
                                                 Bonars, S. G., 39n
                                                 Brady, D., 244, 245, 254, 255, 255n
    24n, 27n, 29n, 30n, 31, 32, 33, 33n, 82,
    90n, 101n, 122n, 127n, 129n, 130, 167n,
                                                 Brody, R. A., 37
    168n, 171n, 173n, 176n, 179, 180n, 182n,
                                                 Bruno, M., 162n
    183n, 184n, 187n, 188n, 190, 190n, 194n,
                                                 business cycle. See partisan business cycle
    196, 199n, 200n, 204n, 206n, 208, 212,
                                                      (Hibbs); political business cycle
    214, 215n, 219, 222, 237, 239, 244, 244n,
                                                      (Nordhaus); rational partisan business
    245, 246-7, 248
                                                      cycle (Alesina)
Alt, J., 4n, 8, 13, 187n, 244n, 245, 248n
                                                 Cahoon, L. S., 37
Atkinson, A., 167n
Austen-Smith, D., 41n, 252n
                                                 Cain, B., 127, 210
                                                 Calvert, R., 10, 17, 19n, 26, 28, 29, 52n, 85
autoregressive moving average, 193
                                                 Calvert-Wittman model, 131, 133
balancing, institutional
                                                 Cameron, D. R., 210
  effect of midterm middle-of-the road
                                                 Carliner, G., 188n
                                                 certainty models of voting, 51-71, 92, 122-7
    voters, 83-6
                                                 Chappell, H. W., 186, 190, 208, 208n, 236,
  effect on mobile parties, 11, 131-2
  effect on policy and parties, 121-2
                                                      237, 239
  electorate use of, 244-5
                                                 checks and balances
  with heterogeneous parties, 122-4
                                                   effect of institutional, 43
  of presidential and congressional elections,
                                                   voter use of, 2, 5, 10, 43
    239
                                                 Chilton, J., 49n,
                                                 Chrystal, A., 13, 187n
  with staggered terms, 126
  by sub-national governments, 253-6
                                                 coalition governments
  See also checks and balances; cohabitation in
                                                   avoidance of partisan cycles in, 247-9
    government; divided government;
                                                   in parliamentary democracies, 8, 243-4,
    midterm voting cycle; moderation;
                                                      249-50
                                                   political control in parliamentary
    split-ticket voting
                                                      democracies, 249
Balke, N. S., 210
Ball, L., 167n
                                                   in three-party system, 251-3
Banks, J. S., 30n, 252n
                                                 coattail, presidential
Baron, D. P., 41n
                                                   effect of random preference shock analogous
Barro, R. J., 9n, 29n, 171, 173n
                                                      to, 104, 106
                                                   in on-year election outcomes, 85, 209,
Beck, N., 4n, 190, 208, 237
Bernhardt, M. D., 19n, 136, 205
                                                      221-2, 227-8, 230
                                                 reverse effect, 199-200
Bernheim, D., 82
                                                 cohabitation in government, 244, 250, 256-8
Black, D., 16
                                                 Cohen, G., 4n, 180, 186n, 187n, 200n, 244n,
Blanchard, O., 165n, 166
bliss points. See ideal policies
                                                      246 - 7
```



Cohen, R. N., 41	with uncertainty, 90-1, 95-6
Coleman, J. S., 40	with heterogeneous parties, 123
competence, administrative	in midterm elections with inflation policy,
effect of differences in government,	196
6-7, 188-9, 220, 238	in on-year elections with inflation
expected in model of economic growth,	policy, 197
191-5, 200, 213, 215-16	in pivotal-voter theorem with certainty,
information collection related to, 7,	57, 77
200–3	cutpoint, presidential
model, 191–5, 206, 216	in certainty model, 65, 75
competition, party, 16–17	equilibrium with uncertain outcome,
See also equilibrium, party; party platforms conditionally sincere voting model	92, 99–100
divided government in, 68–9	in on-year elections with inflation and competence factor, 197
equilibria in stable, 52, 76–82, 113–17	cutpoint, strategies in four-policy majoritarian
occurrence of unified government, 69	model, 68
conditional sincerity	model, oo
in both periods in uncertainty model,	
109–10	Daniels, R. S., 35, 40
condition when equilibrium not satisfied,	data sources
55–6	for measurement of midterm cycle,
voter condition of, 50-1	139–40
of voters in legislative elections, 59, 75,	for U.S. political economy model, 6,
109–10	209–13 Dongov, A. 46n
of voters in presidential elections, 76,	Denzau, A., 46n Diamond, P., 32
92, 109–10	dimensionality of policy space, 34–9
Congress	discount factor, 89, 124, 177
balance of presidential role by, 131	divided government
circumstances for and effect of moderation	conditions for occurrences of, 13-14, 45,
by president, 121-4	63-5, 69, 103, 106
impact on public policy, 2, 13-14, 45-6, 189	effectiveness, 8-9
See also dimensionality of policy space,	as outcome of voter moderation, 2, 10
staggered terms of office	in parliamentary democracies, 243-4
congressional elections	as remedy to political polarization,
adjustment path with staggered terms,	44
126–7	in uncertainty model, 102, 103
certainty in midterm, 2–3	United States, 235, 243-4
effect on economy, 4 incumbency advantage, 137, 139, 205	See also coalition governments;
See also balancing, institutional; cutpoint;	cohabitation in government; minority
House elections; legislative elections	governments
convergence of party platforms	D-NOMINATE scaling procedure, 35–9
formal analysis of partial, 28-9	Downs, A., 1, 16, 243, 251
partisan model of partial, 19-28	Drazen, A., 8n, 248
in repeated electoral game, 31-2	
See also polarization	economic growth
Converse, P. E., 34	comparison of Democratic and Republican,
coordination game, 49-50	7–8, 180–3
See also conditional sincerity	with competent and incompetent
Coughlin, P., 16	administrations, 188-9
Cox, G. W., 13, 35n, 45	effect on elections, 4, 197-8, 200
Cukierman, A., 13, 24n, 30n, 33, 33n, 166,	estimates for United States, 181-3, 216-17,
167n, 171n, 173n, 179, 191n	223–4
cutpoint	international comparison, 245-6
defined, 53–4	occurrence of fluctuations, 206
cutpoint, legislative	party preferences for, 167-78
in generalized pivotal-voter theorem	economic growth model



### Index

economic growth model (cont.) in simultaneous presidential-legislative with competence and exogenous shock elections, 56-60 factors, 5, 191-5 stable conditionally sincere, 79-82, 115-17 simple unexpected inflation model, 165 with staggered terms of office, 125-6 theoretical and empirical specifications, trembling hand, 82 with uncertain presidential outcome, 92-101 213 - 18Erikson, R., 8n, 85, 190, 206n, 208, 220, 228, See also partisan business cycle (Hibbs); 236, 237, 239 political business cycle (Nordhaus); European parliament, signalling in elections, rational partisan business cycle (Alesina) 254 economic shocks exogenous shocks in economic growth executive-legislature interaction policy dependent on, 10, 45-9, 132 model, 191-5 vorters responsive to, 49-50, 59 unexpected inflation, 163-4, 186 executive veto models, 46 regularities in rational choice model of expectations voting, 4-5, 189-90 backward-looking formation mechanism (Hibbs), 178-9 elections. See congressional elections; elections, midterm; elections, on-year; House backward-looking formation mechanism (Nordhaus), 179 elections; legislative elections; midterm voting cycle; presidential elections; about future policies, 204 with and without electoral uncertainty, repeated-election models 171, 174-5 elections, midterm of policies in midterm elections under advantage in winning, 146-7 effect, 140-2 uncertainty model, 90-2 loss of seats for president's party, 138 in rational partisan theory, 179 voter formation, 33, 193-4, 198 pivotal-voter theorem, 53-6, 76-8, 190-2 See also rational expectations; voting, relationship to divided government, 103 voters signals in, 138, 153-9 retrospective See also congressional elections; House elections; incumbency (advantage); Fair, R., 4n, 7n, 190, 200n, 206n, 208, 212, midterm voting cycle; pivotal-voter 235-36, 238 theorem, certainty; pivotal-voter Feddersen, T., 40, 40n theorem, uncertainty Fenno, R., 39 Ferejohn, J. A., 30n, 46n, 85, 127, 207n, elections, on-year in explanations of midterm cycle, 85-6 Fiorina, M., 4n, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14n, 37, 37n, 45, relationship to divided government, 67, 72, 122n, 127, 137n, 156, 205, 207n, voter equilibrium in, 56-60 210, 244, 251 See also congressional elections; hedging, Fischer, S., 163, 165, 165n, 166 voter; House elections; legislative France, cohabitation in government, 244, elections; presidential elections 256 - 8Fraser, J. H., 46 equilibrium, party choice of platforms, 25-7, 130-2 Frey, B., 187, 187n with partial convergence, 19-29 Frieden, J., 210 Friedman, M., 162, 210, 214 in repeated electoral game, 31-2 Fundenberg, D., 31n equilibrium, voter basic concept of unchanged voter strategy, 52 - 3Gelman, A., 11, 137n, 138, 140, 145, 147n, in both periods in uncertainty model, 148, 151, 156n, 210 110 - 11Germany with certain presidential victory, 100-1 moderating behavior, 254-5 Coalition Proof Nash, 82 sub-national governments in, 253-4 concept with symmetrical or asymmetrical Giavazzi, F., 173n Gilligan, T. W., 46n median party positions, 60-3 Giovannini, A., 173n conditionally sincere, 50-1, 75-6, Golden, D., 4n, 190, 190n 109 - 10Gordon, D., 29n, 171, 173n off equilibrium path behavior, 75



Gordon, R. J., 210, 212	inflation
	comparison during Republican and
Gray, J. A., 185, 185n Greenberg, J., 41, 82	Democratic administrations (1949–91),
Grilli, V., 8n, 13n, 173n, 148, 150n	7–8, 183–4
Gross National Product. See economic	effect of unexpected on economic growth,
growth	165-6
growth. See economic growth	partisan surprise in rational partisan
growth. See economic growth	
Hammond T 46 46n	theory, 174-8, 213-14 party preferences for, 1, 167-73
Hammond, T., 46, 46n	
Hansen, S., 207n	policymaking in Hibbs's partisan theory,
Havrilesky, T., 13	178–9
Haynes, S., 237n	policymaking in Nordhaus's political
Heckman, J. N., 35	business cycle, 179 postwar influences on rate of, 183–4
hedging, voter, 58–9, 83–4	information
heterogeneity, intra-party, 122	
Hibbs, D., 1n, 2, 4n, 11, 12, 161, 163, 164,	about competence of challenger, 194, 198
166, 169, 169n, 170, 171n, 178, 184, 184n,	
186, 187n, 190, 190n, 202, 208, 214n, 237,	about competence of incumbent, 193-4,
245	197-8
Hinich, M., 16, 37, 108	effect on midterm cycle, 85
Hotelling, H., 16	incumbent advantage with asymmetric, 205
House elections	not revealed by first-period outcome
effect of economy on, 2	in certainty model, 58
estimates of midterm elections in	in rational expectations 3, 162, 166
congressional districts, 140–51	about voters' preferences, 23-4, 86
estimates of midterm elections in U.S.	voters' use of in retrospective voting, 33–4
political economy model, 230–2	Ingberman, D. E., 19n, 40n, 45, 46n, 70, 70n,
estimates of on-year elections in U.S.	124, 136, 205
political economy model, 227–30	intertemporal variation
voting equation in U.S. political economy	in incumbency advantage effect 138, 151
model, 221–3	in midterm congressional vote, 145–51
ideal maines. Can ideal maliaina	Ito, Takatoshi, 246
ideal politics.	I
ideal policies	Jacobson, G., 13, 156n
distribution of voter, 21–3, 37, 48, 86–7,	V W D 4 10/ 100 200 227 220
195–6	Keech, W. R., 4n, 186, 190, 208, 237, 239
with heterogeneous parties, 121–2	Kernell, S., 13, 45
of pivotal presidential voter, 113–15	Keynesian (and neo-Keynesian) economics,
of political parties, 19–20, 47, 89	5-6, 161-3, 166, 187
of political parties for inflation, 107	Kiewiet, D. R., 35n, 170
relationship to platforms of mobile parties, 127–9, 131–5	King, G., 11, 137n, 138, 140, 145, 147n, 148, 151, 156n, 210
of voters 21, 48, 52–3, 86, 89	Kramer, G., 4n, 190, 191, 200n, 208, 209, 221
of voters for inflation, 177, 191–6	Krehbiel, K., 46, 46n
	Kydland, F., 29n, 171
See also cutpoint; pivotal-voter theorem,	Rydiand, 1., 271, 1/1
certainty; pivotal-voter theorem, uncertainty	Jahon contracts
income distribution, 169–70	labor contracts
	nominal wage rates, 165 wage chosen, 184–6
incumbency (advantage)	
in congressional elections, 137, 139, 152–60, 205–6	landslide victory, presidential expectations of and effect of midterm cycle,
	206-7, 239
connection to voter balancing, 2, 127, 136, 138-9	expectations of and effect on economic
measurement of effect at district level,	growth, 186, 206–7
138-9, 145-9, 152-60	Laver, M., 243, 243n, 244, 249, 250, 252n,
in presidential elections, 206, 218–19,	258
236, 239–40	Ledyard, J., 16, 40n



	1
legislative elections	determinants of size of midterm effect, 103,
voter behavior in uncertainty model, 95	104
voter conditional sincerity in, 75	institutional balancing in, 2-3
See also congressional elections; cutpoint,	interaction with incumbency advantage,
legislative; House elections	137-9, 145-9
Lepper, S., 208	loss of voters for party in White House,
Lewis-Beck, M., 4n, 200n, 244n, 247, 247n	4, 102–3, 140–5
Lohmann, S., 173n, 244, 245, 254, 255, 255n	with presidential election uncertainty, 206
Londregan, J., 14, 14n, 19n, 188n, 194n, 196, 199n, 204n, 222	probability of reversal in uncertainty model, 119–20
Loomis, M., 39, 39n	signaling theory, 138, 153–9
Lott, J. R., Jr., 39n	systematic and unsystematic intertemporal
Lowry, R., 8, 244n	variation, 145–51
Lucas, R. E., Jr. 5, 162	See also balancing, institutional; elections,
Lucas, R. E., Jr. 5, 102	midterm; moderation; uncertainty
McCallum, B., 4n, 190, 190n, 208, 237	model
McCarty, N., 39n, 40, 204n	Milesi-Ferretti, G., 185
McCubbins, M. D., 9, 9n, 35n, 45	military mobilization, 205, 214-15, 218-20,
Mackay, R., 46n	222, 225–8, 231, 239
McKelvey, R. D., 46	Miller, G., 46n
macroeconomic policy	Minford, P., 169
distributional effects, 169-70	minority governments, 249-50
fiscal and monetary, 161-3	Miron, J., 6n, 212
Republican and Democratic parties, 1	Mo, J., 41n
time lag of effect, 180	moderation
majoritarian models	behavior coexistence with incumbency
conditionally and unconditionally sincere	advantage, 127, 138
voting, 66–71	behavior in West Germany, 254
four-policy outcome model, 67-70, 77-8	link between competence and legislative,
partisan cycles, 248-9	199
of political decision-making, 45-6	midterm cycle achievement, 159
Mankiw, G., 6n, 163, 165n, 167n, 184,	party promises of, 31
185, 212	of policies in parliamentary democracies,
Masciandro, D., 8n, 13n, 248, 250n	244-5
Matthews, S., 46n	of president by legislature, 188, 195
Mayhew, D. R., 8, 9	See also balancing, institutional; checks and
median voter	balances; polarization
party behavior with bliss point unknown,	Moe, T., 13
17, 24–5	Moving Average (MA), 192-3, 201, 216-17,
party ideal points relative to, and	223, 238
divided government, 63–4	Mueller, J. E., 226
party ideal points relative to, and	Nelson, C. R., 193, 216, 223
equilibrium, 60–1	neutrality result, 162–3
policy choice in majoritarian models, 70–1 in ties in institutional balancing model,	Nitzan, S., 16
58–9	Nordhaus, W., 11, 12, 164, 178, 200, 237n, 258
median-voter theorem	1101411443, W., 11, 12, 10 1, 17 0, 200, 257 11, 250
candidate convergence in, 16, 57	open seat predictions, 152-9
comparison with pivotal-voter theorem,	Ordeshook, P. C., 30, 37, 108, 207n
56	overlapping generations model, 32
Meltzer, A., 179, 191n	
midterm voting cycle	Page, B. I., 37
absence in certainty model, 65-6	Paldam, M., 200n, 244n
balancing effect by voters, 83-6, 94,	Palfrey, T. R., 41, 207n
105–6, 239	parliamentary democracies
certainty of occurrence, 104-5	divided government in, 243, 249-51



polarization, balancing, and partisan cycles	polarization
in, 243–5	in American political system, 1, 41–2
partisan business cycle (Hibbs) in parliamentary democracies, 245	of candidates, politicans, and electorate,
United States, 11–12, 164, 166, 178–9, 182,	divided government as remedy for political,
237	44
party platforms	effect on economy, 1, 163, 239
choice in electoral game, 129	explanations of 40–1
convergence, 16–18	of platforms compared to policies,
dependent on voters' preferences, 10, 23–4	121–2, 127, 132
distinguishing party preferences from, 132	voter balancing effect with, 83
economic, 169	See also convergence of party platforms;
effect of moderating institutions on	moderation
divergence 11, 127–35	policy outcomes
with entry threat, 41	bliss point of pivotal voter, 53
fixed in institutional balancing model, 47	in both periods in uncertainty model, 108–9
with heterogeneous parties, 122	circumstances for moderation of, 10,
of incumbent, 33	121–2
moderation by Congress of extreme choice,	conditions for divergence, 17-18, 132
131	convergence with staggered terms, 126
no convergence, 29–30	with heterogeneous parties 122–4
partial convergence, 17–18	with homogeneous parties 122—7 with homogeneous parties, 47–8, 121, 123
partial convergence in Nash equilibrium,	with institutional balancing and mobile
26-7	
polarized, 1, 5	parties, 131-2 macroeconomic, 1, 195
party preferences	under pivotal- and median-voter theorems,
in institutional balancing model, 47	56
in models of economy, 167–8, 178–9	with realization of random preference
party preferences model, 167–71	shock, 97–8
Peleg, B., 82	See also party platforms
Persson, T., 6n, 13, 166, 167n, 171n, 179, 189,	political business cycle (Nordhaus), 11–12,
191n, 200n, 216	164, 179–80, 200, 237, 246–7
Petrocik, J., 191n	political-economic cycle (long-run,
Phelps, E., 167n	36-year), 232-5
Phillips curve, 161–2, 178	political economy model
Pierce, R., 244n, 257, 257n, 258	compared to economic voting model
pivotal-voter theorem, certainty	(Fair), 236-6
corollaries, second period, 77–8	estimation results, 223–32
formal proof, first period, 78–81	example of, 7–8, 190–1
formal proof, second period, 77	specification in four equations, 12, 204–22
with heterogeneous parties, 123	theory, 191–200
with staggered terms 125-6	Poole, K. T., 1, 10, 35, 36f, 37, 39, 39n,
statement and intuition, of first period,	40, 46, 204n, 210, 253
53-6	Popper, Karl, 207
statement and intuition, of second period,	Portugal
57-60	cohabitation in government, 244
pivotal-voter theorem, uncertainty	sub-national balancing in, 255-6
in economic policy context, 196	Poterba, J., 4n, 8, 190, 190n, 244n
formal proof, 111–15	predictions
statement and intuition of, 86–92	benchmark for midterm elections, 142-3
statement and intuition of, first period,	of Fair model of presidential vote, 236
57–60	growth, in business cycle models, 164, 179,
statement and intuition of, second period,	180
53-6	growth, implications for midterm cycle, 191
Plosser, C. T., 193, 216, 223	of midterm loss for president's party,
plurality rule, 124	154–8



predictions (cont.) of national elections in terms of previous midterm vote, 229–32 preferences. See ideal policies; party platforms; party preferences; preference shock, random (a); voter preferences preference shock, random (a) in both periods in uncertainty model,	Democrats better positioned to initiate change, 235 determinants in cohabitation (France), 257–8 determinants of outcomes, 2 impact of Congress on formation, 195 See also ideal policies; macroeconomic polcy; policy outcomes
107–17	Putnam, R. D., 253
in congressional districts, 141, 144, 149–51 critical value in presidential elections, 115 drawn in first period of uncertainty model only, 118 effect on party platforms, 129 effect on voting, 101, 104	Rabinowitz, G., 37 rational choice approach, 3–4, 6, 71–2, 237–9, 240 rational expectations assumption in model of the economy, 5–6
effect on voting, 101, 104	
policy divergence with high values of, 132	162–3, 166
reversal of midterm cycle produced by,	defined, 3
104–5, 119–20	in voting choices and behavior of economic
in uncertainty models with staggered terms,	agents, 3, 33, 201
127	rational partisan business cycle (Alesina)
in voting under uncertainty, 86–90,	criticism of theory, 184–7
91–2, 95, 97	in Democratic and Republican administrations, 4
Prescott, E., 29n, 171	electoral uncertainty as cause of, 3, 163–4
president	empirical evidence 180–4, 206, 217–18,
ideal policity different than legislative delegation of same party, 122	223–4
insensitivity of policy to degree of power	expectations and electoral uncertainty in,
of, 132	2, 174
moderation of Congress by, 122, 124	for parliamentary democracies, 244
presidential elections	theory, 11, 171-8
candidates' ideological positions, 35-7	regression to the mean
effect on economy, 4	to explain midterm cycle, 85
effect on size of midterm cycle, 103	in incumbency advantage model, 145-9
equalibria with certain outcome, 60-2,	in political-economic cycle, 234
99, 100–1	in uncertainty model, 104
equalibria with uncertain outcome, 99–100, 112–18	repeated-election models, 30–4 Riker, W. H., 207n
estimates in U.S. political economy model,	Rivers, D., 244, 245, 254, 255, 255n
225–7	Roemer, J., 10, 17, 24n, 27n
France, 245, 256	Rogoff, K., 6n, 167n, 173n, 179, 189, 191n, 247
occurrences of divided government in, 103	Romer, C., 6n, 180, 184, 210, 212, 214
uncertainty generates midterm cycle,	Romer, D., 163, 167n, 180, 184, 214
124	Romer, T., 9n, 35n, 39, 39n, 46
voter behavior in certainty model, 58–9	Rosenthal, H., 1, 4n, 10, 14, 19n, 35, 36f, 37,
voter behavior in uncertainty model,	37n, 39, 39n, 40, 46, 70, 82, 90n, 101n, 108, 122n, 124, 127n, 129n, 130, 188n,
92, 95, 97	190, 194n, 196, 199n, 204n, 206n, 207n,
voter conditional sincerity with discrete	208, 210, 212, 219, 222, 239, 244, 253
changes, 75–6	Rothemberg, T. J., 223
voters' conditional sincerity in, 92	Roubini, N., 4n, 8n, 180, 187n, 200n, 214, 237,
voting equations, empirical models for U.S.,	244n, 245, 246–47, 248
219–21, 236	27711, 273, 270 1/, 270
See also cutpoint; elections, on-year; incumbency (advantage); landslide	Sachs, J., 4n, 8n, 162n, 167n, 168n, 176n, 180n,
victory, presidential; voting, retrospective	190, 208, 237, 239, 248
primary elections, 40	Sargent, T., 5, 162
public policy	scaling procedures, 35–7
benefits of inflation to policymaker, 168	Schneider, F., 187, 187n



0.1. 0.1	
Schofield, N., 244, 250, 252n, 258-59	uncertainty, electoral
Schwartz, A. J., 210, 214	as determinant of platform convergence,
Selten, R., 82	17–18, 27–8
Sen, S., 108, 207n	effects in presidential elections, 206-7
Shepsle, K., 33, 41, 243, 243n, 249, 252n, 258	induced by tied presidential elections, 58-9
Shipan, C., 46n	induces midterm cycle, 2, 3, 10, 83–6
shocks. See economic shocks; preference	induces rational partisan business cycle, 3,
shock, random (a)	174
Sibert, A., 179, 189, 191n, 247	optimal indexation of wages in presence
signaling hypothesis	of, 186
for elections to European Parliament, 254	pivotal-voter theorem for, 86-92
for midterm cycle, 138, 153-9	timing of wage contracts in presence of, 186
for sub-national elections, 254	unexpected inflation induced by, 175-6,
signal extraction problem of rationally	179, 186
retrospective voter, 194–5	about voters' preferences, 24, 31
Single Crossing Property (SCP)	about voters' preferences creates
in two-period model, 78	polarization, 127
when voter preference satisfies, 73-4, 81	uncertainty model
Snyder, J. M., Jr., 35, 35n, 41n, 204n	business cycle in, 164
Spear, S., 27n, 30n, 32	difference from certainty model, 92
split-ticket voting	midterm effect argument, 92-5
conditions for occurrence of, 68, 103	with random preference shocks in both
with divided government outcome, 65	periods, 107–17
empirical evidence, 206, 228-9	with random preference shock in first
rational choice approach, 71-2	period only, 118
voters unwilling to engage in, 84	voter behavior, 95
Spolaore, E., 8n, 248	of voter bliss point distribution, 86-90
staggered terms of office, 124-7	unconditionally sincere voting (in majoritarian
Stewart, C. J. III, 45	model), 67-8, 69-70
Stiglitz, J., 167n	unemployment 5, 161-2, 163, 165, 178, 186
Stone, J., 237n	utility, party
Strom, K., 249, 250	for inflation and growth, 167-8, 172
Summers, L., 13n, 173n	maximization of, 28-9, 130
Sundaram, R. K., 30n	for policy, 20, 129
Sundquist, J., 210	quadratic, 20
surge and decline (in congressional elections)	utility, union members, 185-6
85, 155	utility, voter
Suzuki, M., 236	expected, in certainty model, 75-6
	expected, in economic growth model with
	competence factor, 197
Tabellini, G., 6n, 8n, 13, 13n, 166, 167n, 171n,	expected, in uncertainty model, 89-90,
179, 189, 191n, 200n, 216, 248, 250n	109–10, 112, 118
Taylor, J., 163, 186	for inflation and growth, 170, 196
time inconsistency in party inflation policy	for policy 20, 73
decision, 170–2	quadratic 21–2, 89, 108
timing	in second-period subgame, 76
in economic growth model with competence	
and exogeneous shocks, 192-3	Villani, J. J., 45, 70n
of elections: United States and other	voter coordination game, 49-51
industrial democracies, 246-7	See also conditional sincerity
in rational partisan model, 171, 173-6	voter preferences
in voting model, 48-9, 51, 74, 107	distribution with random preference shock
Tirole, J., 30n	variable, 127
Tobin, J., 167	effect of uncertainty about, 83
Tovey, C., 16	Single Crossing Property (SCP), 81
Tufte, E. B., 12, 247	staggered terms as response to instability
Tuppen, J., 250, 258	of, 125



### Index

voter preferences (cont.) formal specification, 74-5 symmetric, 24-5, 74 voting voting equilibria with asymmetric, 57 determinants with competence effect, 196 voters equations for U.S. political economy beliefs in repeated-elections model, 31 model, 218-23 checks and balances use of by, 2 estimates in U.S. political economy model, information about competence, 193-4 224 - 5partisanship and competence as in institutional balancing game, 74-5, 239 more moderate than parties, 37 determinants of, 6-7 in multi-party systems, 251-3 presidential elections with economic-based naïve retrospective, 179, 195, 201-2, 239 (Fair), 235-6rational choice model based on state of in primaries, 40 economy, 188-91 rationally retrospective, 33, 189, 191, 194-6 signaling by, 138, 153-9, 194-5, 254-5 See also split-ticket voting, voting, retrospective switching in presidential-legislative elections, 59 voting, retrospective understanding of party policy differences, naïve, 179, 195, 201-2, 225, 239 rational choice approach, 3, 200-2 role for rational, 11, 33, 237-9 utility-maximizing behavior, 3, 21 See also voting; voting, retrospective in U.S. political economy model, 216, 219-23 voters, extreme defined 52, 88 wage rigidity, 5-6, 163-4, 184-6 with realization of random preference wages. See labor contracts; wage rigidity shock, 88-9 voting behavior, 52 Wallace, N., 5, 162 voters, middle-of-the-road Weil, D., 6n, 212 Weingast, B. R., 13, 35n competence, response to, 196 Whinston, M., 82 defined, 44, 52 effect on policy outcomes, 44 Wittman, D., 10, 17, 40 midterm election incentives, 138 Yao, D. E., 46n in tied presidential elections, 58-9 voter strategies conditionally sincere, 76-8, 110 Zeng, L., 35n cutpoint strategies, 53-4