

Index

Entries in italic indicate subsection headings within chapters.

Acquisition scenario and the individual rationality of empowerment, see acquisition scenario of sovereign empowerment; confederacy agreement, and sovereignentrepreneur acquisition scenario of sovereign empowerment, 119, 155-72 and confederacy agreement, see confederacy agreement and inequalities of individuals, actual consent, see autonomy, actual consent agency game, 159-72 iterated, 161-72 and rational rebellion, 181-2 ruler-people game, 160-2, 164, 166-7, 167 ng, 171 agency theory of relationship between subject and sovereign, 47-55, 47 n1, 50 formal argument, 52 and inferential argument, see inferential argument alienation theory of relationship between subject and sovereign, 47, 47 n1 altruistic interests, see interests of

hypothetical individuals, altruistic American soldier story, 140-2 Analysis of the problem in the hypothetical scenario, see conflict in the state of nature; hypothetical negotiation scenario; hypothetical scenario, interaction in; prisoner's dilemma Analysis of the solution to the problem in the hypothetical negotiation scenario, see external solutions to problems in the hypothetical scenario; internal solutions to problems in the hypothetical scenario anarchy and fairness, 257–8 moral legitimacy of, 256-7 Agument from psychological egoism and internalism, see ethical subjectivism, entailed by psychological egoism and internalism Arneson, Richard, 175 n10 assurance problem, 13 and collective goods, 13, 124-5, 130, 142 autonomy, 185 actual consent, 185, 219, 221-2



Index

autonomy (continued) libertarian objection to normative force of hypothetical consent, 184-5, 219, 221-3 battle-of-the-sexes example of mixed coordination game, 114-15 belief and epistemic justification, 75-6 and psychological egoism, see psychological eogism role of in human behavior, 87-8 Boorman, Scott, 93 n30 Brink, David, 8 n6, 33 n21, 79 n18, 84 n23 Buchanan, Allen, 175 n10 capacities of hypothetical actors, 9 Carroll, J., 151 n5 causal effects condition, see punishment cadre, causal effects condition Characterization of a set of hypothetical individuals, see hypothetical individuals, characterization of Characterization of the hypothetical environment, see hypothetical environment, characterization of coalition prohibition, see hypothetical negotiation scenario, coalition prohibition in collective action problems, 12 formal definition, 13-14 lack of group coordination and, lack of information and, 14 latent groups, 14 as n-person PD, 14 privileged groups, 13-14 collective goods, 12-13 incremental goods, 125 as single-play PD, 126 vagueness of, 128

jointness of supply, 13, 121 nonexcludability, 13, 121 as single-play PD, 13 step goods, 125 causal effect condition, 129-31 essentiality condition, 130-1 increased probability of creating good with each contribution, 128-9 as mixed coordination game, rationality of free-riding, 126collectively irrational sovereign and assurance problem, 178-9 and free rider problem, 178-9 Hobbes's solution to, 105 Locke's response, 105-6 rational rebellion against, 106-7, 174-82 complex objectivism, 82-3; see also objectivism confederacy agreement, 155-6, 156-72 confederacy game, 163 and contingent-move games, see contingent-move games, agency game game-theoretic structure of, 162-72, 163 n8 incentives to comply with, 162 as iterated PD's, 162 and ruler-people game, see agency game, ruler-people game and sovereign-entrepreneur, 156, 156-8, 164-72 conflict in state of nature; see also state of nature and conflict in postpolitical anarchy, 64-6, 68-70 Gauthier's account, see disposition as solution to singleplay PD Hampton's account, 48-9, 56-63



Index

Hobbes's account, 56–9 intrinsic nature of human beings as cause of, see intrinsic nature of human beings Kavka's account, 196-8 anticipation as dominant strategy, 196, 196-7 dominators, 196-7 lying-low strategy, 196-7 moderates, 196-7 and quasi-PD, 197 passions account, 58-9, 59-60, 59 n8, 59 n9 consistency with Lockean limited sovereign, 60 ng passion for glory, 59, 59 n7 and psychological egoism, see psychological egoism rationality account, 58 shortsightedness account, 61, 61 - 3and future benefits, 62 Hampton's cognitive account, 62 n10 Murphy's motivational account, 62 n10 recognition of advantage of cooperation in iterated PD's, 62 constrained maximization theory, broad compliance, 283-9, 286 irrationality of, 297-301 disposition for compliance as solution to single-play PD, 267-8; see also disposition as solution to single-play PD and fairness, 272 less-than-narrow compliance, 298-301 narrow compliance, 281-2, 283, 284, 285, 285 n24; see also narrow compliance constraining principles, see rational bargaining theory, and fair

Construction and fit, see soundness as criterion for assessing contractarian arguments Construction and rationality, see rationality, minimal rationality assumption construction of hypothetical scenario, see hypothetical environment; hypothetical individcontingent-move games, 158-9 agency game, see agency game PD-like games, 158-9, 159 n7 single-play, 159-61 contractarianism three-stage contractarian argument, 4-22 analytical, 2-3 moral, 2 normative, 3 political, 2 traditional, 21-2 coordination equilibria, 110; see also coordination games and indefinitely iterated PD, 151 n5 stable equilibria in pure coordination games, 110 unstable equilibria in mixed coordination games, 115 coordination games, 109-16; see also coordination equilibria mixed, 114-16 battle-of-the-sexes example of, see battle-of-the-sexes example of mixed coordination game salience strategy, 115-16 selective incentive strategy, 115 pure, 109-12 salience strategy, 112-14 selective incentive strategy, 111-12

constraining principles



Index

Coordination games and their solutions, see coordination games Costless bargaining, see rational bargaining theory, costlessness assumption creation of commonwealth by acquisition, see acquisition scenario by institution, 119–55

Darwall, Stephen, 29 n20
Dawkins, Richard, 93 n30
descriptive theory of human behavior; see also normative theory of human behavior
Hobbes's 189–90, 189 n2, 198
Kavka's, 206–15
desire-satisfaction theory, purified,

desires, 57, 87; see also psychological egoism

desire-based theory of human motivation, 87–96

infinite regress of, 100 disaster avoidance principle, see hypothetical negotiation scenario, and disaster avoidance principle

discount rate of future utility, 8; see also farsightedness; shortsightedness

disposition as solution to singleplay PD; see also constrained
maximization theory, broad
compliance; constrained maximization theory, narrow compliance; narrow compliance,
and translucence
for compliance, 268–9
and opacity, 269, 294
and translucency, 269, 285 n24,
294
and transparency, 269, 294,

Dresher, Melvin, 11 n9 dualism, 78, 78 n16 Cartesian, 79 n18

egoism, see interests, egoistic Elster, Jon, 175 n10 empowering soveriegn, problem of, see sovereignempowerment problem Equal rationality and equal compliance, see narrow compliance

ance, see narrow compliance, and equal rationality essentiality condition, see punish-

essentiality condition, see punishment cadre, essentiality condition

ethical subjectivism; see also objectivism

entailed by psychological egoism and internalism, 86–96

Hobbesian, 73-4 and materialism, 77-86

and nominalism, 77-86 and reduction of morality to in-

dividual rationality, 76 and value objectivism, 82–6

complex, 82–3 simple, 83–4

and value subjectivism, 82

Evaluating the application of the inheritance principle to Hobbes's contractarianism, see hypothetical actions, applicability of inheritance principle to

Evaluating the inheritance principle as an independent principle, see rational-moral interactions; rational-moral persons; rational-moral relations

expected utility maximization principle, 5

and rationality, 5, 49, 228, 258–9 and utilitarianism, 93 n30 external solutions to problems in hypothetical scenario, 16

298 n32



Index

and weak rational reconstruction of political authority, 16-17, 18 n16 fairness, see constrained maximization theory; rational bargaining theory Fairness and bargaining, see constrained maximization theory; rational bargaining theory Fairness and constrained maximization, see constrained maximization theory; prisoner's dilemma, iterated PD farsightedness, 8 appropriately farsighted individuals, 199-200, 216 n15 Kavka's assumption of, 199, 216-18, 216 n15 longsighted individuals in Hampton's theory, 157, 168–70, 172 Feinberg, Joel, 9 n7 First stage of the contractarian argument, see hypothetical environment; hypothetical individuals; hypothetical scenario fit, see soundness as criterion Flood, Merrill, 11 no forwardlooking individuals, see farsightedness, Kavka's assumption of free rider problem, 13 and collective goods, 13, 121-31 incremental goods, 126-7, 126 n4 step goods, 126-7 future utility discount rate, see discount rate; farsightedness; shortsightedness

Gibbard, Allan, 29 n20

Hamilton, W. D., 93 n30 *Hampton's solution to the sovereign-*

selection problem, see sovereignselection problem Hardin, Russell, 6 n4, 13, 14 n13 Hare, R. M., 29 n20 Head, J., 13 n10 healthy deliberation conception of rationality, see rationality, healthy deliberation account of Hobbes and normative minimalism, see normative minimalism, Hobbesian Hume, David examples of pure coordination games, 109-10, 123 Hume's hurdle, 29, 29 n20; see also naturalistic fallacy; naturalistic gap hypothetical actions moral merit of, 185-6 normative significance of, 184-8, applicability of inheritance principle to, 243-51 and idealistic scenarios, 184, 187, 198 Kavka's justifications of, see inheritance principle account; no reasonable objection account; realistic reconstruction account and soundness of contractarian argument, 184 Hypothetical agreement in Kavka's theory, see hypothetical egotiation scenario hypothetical consent, 184-5; see also autonomy, libertarian objection to hypothetical conhypothetical environment, characterization of, 4, 9-10; see also state of nature availability of resources, 10 scarcity in Hobbes's state of nature, 58, 191



Index

hypothetical environment (cont.) scarcity in Kavka's state of nature, 197 availability of technologies, 10 Kavka's, 196–7 population, 10, 197 pre- and postpolitical stages, 10 hypothetical individuals, characterization of, 4-9; see also capacities; discount rate; information; interests; rationality; risk-aversion Hampton's realistic, 48 inequalities between, 155 sensitivity of modeling of hypothetical scenario to, 15 hypothetical negotiation scenario, 198–204; see also hypothetical actions, normative significance of; state of nature coalition prohibition in, 200-1 and disaster avoidance principle, distinct from state of nature, 204-15, 207 n8, 207 n9, 210 n10 and idealistic constructionism, 198-204 Kavka's veil of ignorance in, see information of hypothetical actors, social knowledge, Kavka's assumption of ignorance of present social knowledge less-than-unanimity requirement, 203 state of nature as point of no agreement in, 198 n4, 214 as a version of Rawls's original position, 211-12 hypothetical scenario construction strategies, see idealistic constructionism; realistic constructionism Hampton's, 48

interaction in conditionality of conclusions regarding, 18-19 stipulation of problems in, 15use of game theory in analysis of, 11-15 single-play PD, 11-12 Rawls's, see original position as Rawls's hypothetical scespecification of as first stage of contractarian argument, 4ideal deliberation, see rationality, healthy deliberation account of ideal rationality assumption, see rationality, ideal rationality assumption idealistic constructionism, 23-4 and ideal rationality, 25 Kavka's, 190-6, 194 n3, 198-204 Rawls's, see Rawls, and idealistic constructionism soundness as criterion for evaluating, see soundness as criterion for evaluating contractarian arguments, and idealistic constructionism individual rationality and agency theory, 50-4 Hampton's, 49, 49 n3 and rational feasibility of political associations, 49 and single-play PD, 12 inferential argument for rationality of political association, 50, 51, 63-73 and Hampton's internal solution, 53 and importance for agency theory, 50 and importance of state-of-

nature analysis, 63-4



Index

and presumption of reversion to anarchy, 68-70 and psychological egoism, 67 information of hypothetical actors, general knowledge, 9 Rawls's veil of ignorance, see veil of ignorance, Rawls's self-knowledge, 9 social knowledge, 9 Kavka's assumption of ignorance of present social knowledge, 200-3, 209-12, 210 n10 Kavka's assumption of perfect general social knowledge, 201-11 Inheritance principle account, see inheritance principle account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario inheritance principle account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario, 225-7, 237-43; see also rational-moral interactions; rational-moral persons; rational-moral relations collective rationality principle, 234-5, 235 n23 morality prong, 235-42 rationality prong, 228-35 strong version, 249 weak version, 228, 232 interaction in the hypothetical scenario, see hypothetical scenario, interaction in interdependent utility functions, see interests, altruistic interests of hypothetical individuals, 8-9 altruistic and scope of interests, 8-9 and solution to PD problem, 69 n13

effect on hypothetical actions, 8 egoistic; see also psychological egoism, and self-interested desire-producing mechanism predominance of egoism in Kavka's hypothetical individuals, 191, 223, 223 and scope of interests, 8 and ideal rationality, 8 nature of, 8 scope of, 8-9 internal solutions to problems in hypothetical scenario, 17 Hampton's internal solution, 50, 53 roles of, 53-4 strong form, 53-4, 108 and strong rational reconstruction of political authority, 17, 18 n16 weak form, 53-4, 108 internalism, 86 and ethical subjectivism, 86-96 and Nagel's theory, 86 n26 and psychological egoism, 86-96 strong, 93, 93-5 weak, 95 intrinsic nature of human beings; see also descriptive theory of human behavior as cause of conflict in state of nature, 65-7 central to contractarianism, 66 and conflict in postpolitical anarchy, 68-70 Irrationality of broad compliance, see constrained maximization theory, broad compliance, irrationality of Iterated PD argument for the individual rationality of empowering a sovereign, see punishment cadre, incentive solution to;



Index

Iterated PD argument (cont.) and idealism, 78 n16 punishment cadre, as iterated reductive, 79 n18 PD maximax rule, see risk-aversion of hypothetical individuals, and justice maximax rule maximin principle, see Rawls, max-Rawlsian, 31-3 as fairness, 34 n22 imin principle as highest-order interest, 31 maximin rule, see risk-aversion of hypothetical individuals, and Kahane, Howard, 20 n17 maximin rule Kant, Immanuel Metaphysical arguments, see materialism; nominalism and duty, 82 and Rawls's theory, 27-8, 31, 32 mixed coordination games, see co-Kavka's account of conflict in the state ordination games, mixed of nature, see conflict in the Mixed coordination games and the arstate of nature, Kavka's acgument for the individual rationality of empowerment, see colcount lective goods; coordination Kim, Jaegwon, 79 n18 games; free-rider problem; punishment cadre leadership-selection problem, see sovereign-selection problem Moore, G. E., 29, 29 n20, 79 n18 less-than-unanimity requirement, morality, Gauthier's, 259, 272-3, see hypothetical negotiation scenario, less-than-unanimity disposition to comply with, see requirement disposition as solution to single-play PD Levitt, Paul, 93 n30 Lewis, David, 109, 110, 112-13 motivational theory of, 263 limited sovereign as solution to the problem of Hampton's arguments for, 106 market failure, 261 substantive theory of, 263 Locke, Thomas, see state of nature, Locke's morality, reduction to individual longsightedness, see farsightedrationality ness, longsighted individuals Gauthier's, 258, 263-6 in Hampton's theory Hampton's, 52, 73-96 Luce, R. Duncan, 11 n9 Hobbes's, 52, 73-96 Lycan, William, 77 n15 dependence on assumptions about intrinsic human namarket failure, problem of, see rature, 66 and ethical subjectivism, 76 tional choice framework of Gauthier, market failure, and inferential argument, 72 problem of and legitimacy of collectively irmaterialism, 77-8 rational regimes, 108, 174and complex objectivism, 83 motivation, Hobbes's desire-based Hampton's apparent confusion

theory of, 87

with realism, 81 n20



Index

role of belief in, 87-8 Murphy, Mark C., 62 n10 Nagel, Thomas, 86 n26, 89 narrow compliance; see also constrained maximization theory, narrow compliance and argument from instability, 280-1, 282 n22; see also rational bargaining theory, instability of unfair bargains and displaced costs, 292-3 conflict with constrained maximization theory, 293 and equal rationality, 296-304 and predation, 289-92 and translucence, 294-6 naturalistic fallacy, 29 Hare, 29 n20 Hume, 29 n20 and idealistic constructionism, 31 Moore's, 29 n20 and realistic constructionism, 31 naturalistic gap, 29 Nelson, Alan, 3 n2 No reasonable objection account, see no reasonable objection account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario no reasonable objection account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario, 219-25 and libertarian objection to hypothetical consent, 219, 221-3 nominalism, 77; see also ethical subjectivism and complex objectivism, 82-3 and ethical subjectivism, 79-86 and substitutional and objectual quantification, 77 n15 normative conclusions, problem of

uralistic fallacy; naturalistic normative minimalism: see also realistic constructionism Hobbesian, 33-6, 184 minimal rationality assumption, 35 normative theory of human behavior; see also descriptive theory of human behavior Hobbes's, 189, 189-90, 189 n2 Kavka's, 206-15 objectivism, 81; see also complex objectivism; simple objectivism; value objectivism consistency with materialism, consistency with nominalism, Olson, Mancur, Jr., 13, 13 n10, 14 original position as Rawls's hypothetical scenario, 24; see also compared to hypothetical negotiation scenario, 211-12 elaboration of, 32-3 highest-order interests of hypothetical individuals, 32, 32-3 and moral persons, 32 and the Reasonable and the Rational, 33 other-regarding interests, see interests, altruistic Ought implies can argument for Hobbes's ethical reduction, see ought implies can argument for reduction of morality to rationality ought implies can argument for reduction of morality to rationality, 96-102

justification, 28-9; see also nat-



Index

Pareto efficiency, see rational choice framework of Gauthier, perfect competition, and Pareto optimality Pareto optimality, see rational choice framework of Gauthier, perfect competition, and Pareto optimality; rational-moral relations, rational relations, Pareto optimal Pareto superiority, see rational choice framework of Gauthier, perfect competition, and Pareto superiority; rational-moral relations, rational relations, Pareto superior passions, see conflict in state of nature, passions account perfect competition, see rational choice framework of Gauthier, perfect competition political legitimacy and collective rationality, 22 n 18 and the inferential argument, and political obligation, 2 n1 primary goods Rawls's theory of, 31, 33 Prior, A., 29 n20 prisoner's dilemma (PD) iterated PD; see also punishment cadre, as iterated PD and cooperation in state of nature, 58 and shortsightedness, 62 PD-like games, 158-9, 159 n7 quasi-PD, 197 rational cooperation in, 267-8; see also disposition as solution to single-play PD single-play PD, 11-12 defection as dominant strategy in, 12

Hampton's invocation of political authority to overcome, 63, 120; see also punishment cadre and irrationality of cooperation in state of nature, 58, 104-5 overcome in postpolitical anarchy, 68-9 and altruistic interests. 69 n13 transformed by selective incentive strategies, 111-12 psychological egoism, 57 consistency with ethical objectivism, 88-91 and desires, 57, 87 and ethical subjectivism, 86-96 and internalism, 86-96 and postpolitical anarchy, 68-9 and role of beliefs, 88 and self-interested desireproducing bodily mechanism, 57, 90-6 public good, pure, 13 n10; see also collective goods punishment cadre, 120-54; see also sovereign-empowerment problem causal effects condition, 129-31 as collective good, 121, 136 essentiality condition, 130 and free rider problem, 121-44 incentive solution to, 145–51 assurance problem, 150, 154 as hindrance to rational rebellion, 180-2 negative, 145, 151-4 positive, 145-51 reputation, 145-7 as incremental good, 144, 154 as iterated PD, 147-9 indefinitely iterated, 151 infinitely iterated, 151



Index

minimally effective punishment cadre, 132–7, 142–4 and essentiality condition, 142–4 as mixed coordination game, 122–4, 144–5 potential to entrench collectively irrational sovereign, 179–82 pure coordination games, *see* coordination games, *pure*

Quine, W. V. O., 77 n15

Raiffa, Howard, 11 ng Railton, Peter, 29 n20 rational action and epistemic justification, 75 Hobbesian definition, 74, 75 rational bargaining theory, 266-7 costlessness assumption, 306–8 and fair constraining principles, 269-70 fair initial bargaining positions, 265-7, 271-6, 290; see also narrow compliance, and predation and coercion, 272, 276-7 fairness of procedure, 271-2, 276 ideal rational bargaining, 304 n35, 308 n37 instability of unfair bargains, 273-81, 293 conflict with constrained maximization theory, 279-82 and narrow compliance, 281-2 slave-master example, 273-5, 277 n18 and market failure problem, 307 morality derived from, 272-3 principle of minimax relative concession, 270 n13, 282 n23, 305, 308 n37

unproductive transfers, 274-81, 293; see also narrow compliance, and displaced costs Rational choice framework, see rational choice framework of Gauthier rational choice framework of Gauthier, 259-67; see also constrained maximization theory; rational bargaining theory and fairness, 265-7 importance of compliance in, 264 market failure, problem of, 260-1 and agreement problem, 262, and compliance problem, 262, morality as solution to, 261 recast as single-play PD, 261-3 perfect competition, 260, 260 n6 and Pareto optimality, 260, 261 n6 and Pareto superiority, 260, 261 n6 problem of unfair status quo ante, 265-7 utility-maximizing behavior, 258, 258 n4 constraints on, 259; see also constrained maximization rational deliberation, see rationality, healthy deliberation account of rational individuals Hampton's predominantly rational individuals, 48 ideally rational individuals, 8, 233-4, 239, 263 rational-moral interactions, 225-6 moral interactions, 236-8, 239 rational interactions, 228 rational-moral persons, 225-6 minimally moral persons, 241



Index

rational-moral persons (cont) moral persons, 239 morally ideal persons, 239-40 rational persons, 228 rational-moral relations, 225-6 moral relations, 230-1, 235-7 preservation of, 227, 231-2, 235rational relations, 229-35 Pareto optimal, 229-30, 229 Pareto superior, 229-30, 230 rationality assumption of narrow, 5 n4 defined as utility maximization, 5, 49, 228, 258-9 derived from psychological egoism, 57 economic definition of, 5 healthy deliberation account of, 57,74 and altruistic acts, 90 of hypothetical individuals, 4-7 extent of, 5-7 nature of, 5 ideal rationality assumption, 8, 194 n3, 199 minimal rationality assumption, 5-6, 25 normative argument, role in, pure, 307 reduction of morality to, see morality, reduction to individual rationality rationality account, see conflict in state of nature, rationality ac-Rationality and fair bargaining, see rational bargaining theory Rawls and normative precommitment, see Rawls, and normative precommitment

Rawls, John and hypothetical bargaining context, 266 and hypothetical consent, 187 and idealistic constructionism, 24, 31-5 and justice, 31-3, 33 n21, 34 n22, 235 n23, 254 n1 and knowledge of hypothetical individuals, 248 n28 maximin principle, 246 n27 and normative precommitment, original position of, see original position as Rawls's hypothetical scenario and renaissance of Hobbesian contractarianism, 27-8 and theory of primary goods, 31, realism, 77 in construction of hypothetical scenario, see realistic constructionism moral, 81 n20 realistic constructionism, 23 Hampton's, 64 Hobbesian, 35-6 Kavka's, 190-6, 194 n3, 198-204, 216-19 and minimal rationality assumption, 25 and naturalistic gap, 30-1 soundness as criterion for assessing, see soundness as criterion for assessing contractarian arguments, and realistic constructionism and state of nature, 23 and traditional political contractarianism, 23 Realistic reconstruction account, see realistic reconstruction account of normative significance of



Index

hypothetical negotiation scenario realistic reconstruction account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario, 216-19 failure to achieve actual consent, "fit" with hypothetical negotiation scenario, 216-18 and libertarian objection to hypothetical consent, 219 rebellion, see collectively irrational sovereign, rational rebellion against restriction on social knowledge, see veil of ignorance, Kavka's risk-aversion of hypothetical individuals, 7 effect on hypothetical actions, 7 and maximax rule, 7 and maximin rule, 7

Salmon, Wesley, 20 n17 Scanlon, T. M., 221 n18 scarcity in state of nature Hobbes's, 58, 191 Kavka's, 191, 197 Schelling, Thomas, 112 Schiffer, Stephen, 77 n15, 79 n17, Schmidtz, David, 13 n11, 14 n14 Second stage of the contractarian argument, see collective action problems; collective goods; external solutions to problems in the hypothetical scenario; internal solutions to problems in the hypothetical scenario; prisoner's dilemma selecting sovereign, problem of, see sovereign-selection problem

self-defense, inalienable right to

grounded in Hobbesian ethics and psychology, 47, 47 n1 self-regarding interests, see interests, egoistic shortsightedness, 8; see also conflict in state of nature, shortsightedness account and failure to recognize iterated PD, 62-3, 157 as intrinsic human nature, 66-7 and sovereign-empowerment problem, 147-9 Simmons, A. John, 2 n1 simple objectivism, 83-4, 85-6; see also objectivism Smart, J. J. C., 29 n20 Smith, J. Maynard, 93 n30 soundness as criterion for assessing contractarian arguments, 20-1, 20 n17, 25-7 and idealistic constructionism, 26-7 and realistic constructionism, sovereign-empowerment problem, 107-8, 119-74; see also punishment cadre deadline effect, 139-40 as mixed coordination game, 122-5 and rational rebellion, 107 sovereign-selection problem, 107, 109-19 bluff strategy, 117-18 deadline effect, 118, 118 n2, 139incentive solutions to, 116 modeled as mixed coordination game, 109, 116-17; see also coordination games, mixed salience solutions to, 116 state of nature; see also conflict in state of nature; hypothetical



Index

state of nature (cont.) environment; hypothetical negotiation scenario Hampton's, 48 and internal solution, 49-55 Hobbes's, 58, 105 scarcity in, 58, 191 Kavka's, 191-6 contrasted with hypothetical negotiation scenario, 204-15, 207 n8, 207 n9, 210 n10 scarcity in, 191 Locke's, 60 ng, 105-6 Rawls's rejection of, 24 and realistic constructionism, 23 status quo ante, problem of unfair, see rational choice framework of Gauthier, problem of unfair status quo ante strong rational reconstruction of political authority, see internal solutions to problems in hypothetical scenario, and strong

solutions to problems in hypothetical scenario, and strong rational reconstruction of political authority subjectivism, see ethical subjectiv-

summum bonum, 80, 82 n22 supervenience relation between material and nonmaterial properties, 79 n18

Taylor, A. E., 85 n24 Teller, Paul, 79 n18 Third stage of the contractarian argument, see normative conclusions, problem of justification Trivers, R. L., 93 n30 Tucker, A. W., 11 n9

utility function, *see* rationality, economic definition of

validity as criterion for assessing contractarian arguments, 20, 20 n17

value objectivism, 82; see also objectivism

value subjectivism, 81–3 veil of ignorance

Kavka's, see information of hypothetical actors, social knowledge, Kavka's assumption of ignorance of present social knowledge; realistic reconstruction account of normative significance of hypothetical negotiation scenario

Rawls's, 24

Warrender, Howard, 85 n24 weak rational reconstruction of political authority, *see* external solutions to problems in hypothetical scenario, and weak rational reconstruction of political authority

Williams, Bernard, 29 n20 Williams, George, 93 n30 Wilson, E. O., 93 n30