

This book attacks the assumption found in much moral philosophy that social control, as such, is an intellectually and morally destructive force. It replaces this view with a richer and deeper perspective on the nature of social character, aimed at showing how social freedom cannot mean immunity from social pressure.

The author demonstrates how our competence as rational and social agents depends on a constructive adaption of social control mechanisms. Our facility at achieving our goals is enhanced, rather than undermined, by social control. The author then articulates sources and degrees of legitimate social control in different social and historical settings.

Drawing on a wide range of literature in moral and political philosophy, law, cognitive and social psychology, and anthropology (not to mention some very perceptive readings of novels by Henry James), Professor Schoeman shows how the aim of moral philosophy ought to be to understand our social character, not to establish fortifications against it in the name of rationality and autonomy.



Privacy and social freedom



Cambridge Studies in Philosophy and Public Policy

GENERAL EDITOR: Douglas MacLean

The purpose of this series is to publish the most innovative and up-to-date research into the values and concepts that underlie major aspects of public policy. Hitherto most research in this field has been empirical. This series is primarily conceptual and normative; that is, it investigates the structure of arguments and the nature of values relevant to the formation, justification, and criticism of public policy. At the same time it is informed by empirical considerations, addressing specific issues, general policy concerns, and the methods of policy analysis and their applications.

The books in the series are inherently interdisciplinary and include anthologies as well as monographs. They are of particular interest to philosophers, political and social scientists, economists, policy analysts, and those involved in public administration and environmental policy.

Mark Sagoff: The Economy of the Earth

Henry Shue (ed.): Nuclear Deterrence and Moral Restraint Judith Lichtenberg (ed.): Democracy and the Mass Media

William A. Galston: Liberal Purposes

R. G. Frey and Christopher W. Morris (eds.): Violence,

Terrorism, and Justice Elaine Draper: Risky Business



Privacy and social freedom

FERDINAND DAVID SCHOEMAN

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA





> Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Victoria 3166, Australia

> > © Cambridge University Press 1992

First published in 1992

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Schoeman, Ferdinand David.
Privacy and social freedom / Ferdinand David Schoeman.
p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in philosophy and public policy)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-521-41564-0
1. Privacy, Right of. 2. Privacy. I. Title. II. Series.

JC596.s36 1992
323.44'8 – dc20 91–40062

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library

CIP

ISBN 0-521-41564-0 hardback

Transferred to digital printing 2004



For Sara Ann Schechter-Schoeman



Contents

Acknowledgments Introduction		page ix
2	Mill's approach to social freedom	24
3	Articulated rationality and the Archimedean	
•	critique of culture	37
4	Social freedom from the perspective of	
	cognitive and social psychology	53
5	The importance of cultural authority	
	for morality	66
6	Explaining privacy's place	89
7	The ascent of privacy: a historical and	
	conceptual account	115
8	Privacy and gossip	136
9	Privacy and spheres of life	151
10	Spheres of life: a literary exploration	165
Epilogue		192
Notes		195
Index		223



Acknowledgments

My first extended opportunity to focus on privacy was afforded me in 1982 by Jerry Skolnick with an invitation to teach a course on privacy in the Jurisprudence and Social Policy Program at the University of California at Berkeley. This was a wonderful occasion for me, one that still reverberates in so many ways. I am indebted to the faculty of that program for providing such an enriching environment.

I was fortunate enough to receive a Rockefeller Foundation fellowship to work on my privacy project. Under the terms of this fellowship, I spent an academic year (1984-5) at the Institute for Philosophy and Public Policy at the University of Maryland. Not only did the fellowship free me completely from any responsibilities that might compete with research, but the academic and personal support provided by the permanent staff and another visiting fellow (Amy Guttman) of that institute was ideal. My associations with people who were at the institute continue to provide support for me, for which I am very grateful. At a time when, because of serious illness, it was unclear whether I would be able to guide this book through necessary and helpful revisions, Claudia Mills offered to work with readers' comments in revising the text. Douglas MacLean, editor of the series in which this book appears, provided me with both the encouragement and incisive criticisms that helped make the book better than it otherwise would have been.

When I was in Maryland, Stanley Benn was visiting at Johns Hopkins University. Stanley, a profound and creative



Acknowledgments

researcher in the philosophy of privacy, and a treasure to the discipline as a whole, was kind enough to schedule a number of conversations with me about privacy in general and about my own work in particular. These conversations are recalled with utmost appreciation.

During the academic year 1988-9, funding by the National Endowment for the Humanities permitted me to continue my work on privacy. The fall semester of that year was again spent at Boalt Hall in Berkeley, this time as a visiting scholar under arrangements with the Earl Warren Institute. I am most grateful to Franklin Zimring, director of the Institute, for the opportunity and support offered me. The Center for Law and Society, under the direction of Malcolm Feeley, provided me with two opportunities to elaborate my work in front of a distinguished audience. These opportunities helped me organize my efforts and focus my attention in productive ways. Of particular value to me during my time at Berkeley was making the acquaintance of Robert Post, a fellow traveler in the area of privacy. Robert showered me with the most stimulating and enriching insights into the cultural and philosophical dimensions of privacy. Since then he has afforded me timely, constructive, honest, and inspired comments on a version of this book, for which I am most grateful. While I was at Berkeley, several conversations I had with Bernard Williams and Richard Wollheim helped me advance my project.

During the spring of 1989, the University of South Carolina Law School appointed me a visiting scholar while I was still an NEH fellow. I was provided with all the accommodations a researcher could wish, including opportunities to try out ideas at faculty colloquiums.

The Frances Lewis Law Center at the Washington and Lee Law School appointed me scholar-in-residence for the spring term of 1990. Again I was provided with ideal conditions for pursuing my research. I used this opportunity to organize and fashion this research into a book. Research assistants at the center – Lee Hays Romano, Anne Compson, and Beth Nightingale — deserve acknowledgment for helping me or-



Acknowledgments

ganize my thoughts into tolerable English and for providing me with incentives for having something for them to read every week. Lee Romano, in particular, distinguished herself in dedicating hour after hour to reading and rereading chapters and in suggesting constructions that would help make my thoughts intelligible.

In addition to Robert Post and Douglas MacLean, Michael Slote, Richard Burgh, and Ruth Gavison provided me with detailed comments on the entire manuscript. The help they afforded me is most deeply and sincerely appreciated.

Even greater than my debt to these scholars and institutions is my debt to Sara Schechter-Schoeman. My own extended excursions were graced with the excitement of being free to do my own work in wonderfully stimulating places. The person who lost out because of these indulgences was my wife. This cannot be compensated. Nor can her expressions of confidence and trust be repaid, however much appreciated and treasured.

Before my book was accepted for publication, I was diagnosed as having leukemia. For being able to reach this day, I am indebted to many people: first to my physicians – James McFarland of Columbia, South Carolina, and Jean Henslee, Edward Romond, Jan Gyarfas, and Edward Harder of Lexington, Kentucky - for life-sustaining treatments; next to the staff who cared for me at Richland Memorial Hospital, Columbia, South Carolina; then to Dr. Thomas McCullough of Columbia, South Carolina, for teaching me that I can be more than a victim of such a disease; then to family and friends from near and far who demonstrated to me that the life I was fighting to sustain was a life worth struggling for; and finally, to my three "guardian angels": Miriam Schoeman, my daughter, whose bone marrow gives me a new chance at life; Dmitri Schoeman, my son, who encouraged me with his confidence, and diverted me with chess; and Sara Schechter-Schoeman, whose support, love, strength, and determination were, and continue to be, manifested in too many ways to detail.