

Cambridge University Press

0521400961 - Operator Algebras in Dynamical Systems: The Theory of Unbounded Derivations in C\*-Algebras

Shoichiro Sakai

Excerpt

[More information](#)

## 1

---

## Preliminaries

In this chapter we shall state some fundamental facts used in this book. We shall also use elementary facts on C\*-algebra and W\*-algebras freely. The reader can find the proofs of them in the author's book [165] or other standard text books [41], [42], [139], [193], [194], [195], [196]. In addition we shall use some common notation without definition. The reader can find the appropriate definitions in [165].

### 1.1 Banach algebras, C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear associative algebra over the complex numbers. The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a normed algebra if there is associated to each element  $x$  a real number  $\|x\|$ , called the norm of  $x$ , with the properties:

- (1)  $\|x\| \geq 0$ , and  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ ;
- (3)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ , where  $\lambda$  is a complex number;
- (4)  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete with respect to the norm (i.e., if  $\mathcal{A}$  is also a Banach space), then it is called a Banach algebra. A mapping  $x \mapsto x^*$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is called an involution if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $(x^*)^* = x$ ;
- (2)  $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ ;
- (3)  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ ;
- (4)  $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$ ,  $\lambda$  a complex number.

An algebra with an involution is called a \*-algebra. A Banach \*-algebra is called a C\*-algebra if it satisfies  $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easily seen that  $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$  ( $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

A C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a W\*-algebra if it is a dual space as a Banach space (i.e. there is a Banach space  $\mathcal{M}_*$  such that the dual  $(\mathcal{M}_*)^*$  of  $\mathcal{M}_*$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ ). We call  $\mathcal{M}_*$  the *pre-dual* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In general, a dual Banach space is not necessarily the dual space of a unique Banach space. However the W\*-algebra has a unique pre-dual space. The W\*-algebras are also called von Neumann algebras and the present definition (W\*-algebras) agrees with the familiar alternative definitions of von Neumann algebras. This Theorem is due to the author.

## 1.2 Topologies on C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras

The topology defined by the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the uniform topology (or the norm topology). The weak \*-topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is called the weak topology or the  $\sigma$ -weak topology.

## 1.3 \*-Homomorphisms, \*-isomorphisms and \*-automorphisms

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be C\*-algebras. A linear mapping  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be a \*-homomorphism if it satisfies:

- (1)  $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ ;
- (2)  $\Phi(x^*) = \Phi(x)^*$ .

A \*-homomorphism  $\Phi$  of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  is always norm-decreasing (i.e.  $\|\Phi(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ ). Moreover the image  $\Phi(\mathcal{A})$  is automatically uniformly closed in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

A one-to-one \*-homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a \*-isomorphism. A \*-isomorphism  $\Phi$  is always isometric (i.e.  $\|\Phi(x)\| = \|x\|$ ).

A \*-isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is called a \*-automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  be W\*-algebras and let  $\Phi$  be a \*-isomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ ; then  $\Phi$  is bicontinuous with respect to  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_*)$ .

## 1.4 Self-adjointness and positivity

An element  $a$  in a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be self-adjoint if  $a^* = a$ . An element  $h$  in a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be positive if it can be expressed as  $h = a^*a$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It can be shown that the set of all positive elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  forms a convex cone.

## 1.5 Positive linear functionals and states

A linear functional  $f$  on a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be positive if  $f(x^*x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . The reader can readily verify the following facts. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has an

identity, then a positive linear functional is bounded and  $\|f\| = f(1)$ . A bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is positive if there exists a positive element  $h$  ( $> 0$ ) in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(h) = \|f\| \|h\|$ .

A positive linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a *state* if  $\|\phi\| = 1$ .

A state  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *tracial* if  $\phi(xy) = \phi(yx)$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

## 1.6 Commutative C\*- and W\*-algebras

This section gives the spectral representation for commutative algebras (both C\* and W\*). Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let  $C_0(\Omega)$  be the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $\Omega$  vanishing at infinity. Define  $\|a\| = \sup_{t \in \Omega} |a(t)|$  and  $a^*(t) = \overline{a(t)}$  for  $a \in C_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $C_0(\Omega)$  is a commutative C\*-algebra. If  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space,  $C(K)$  (the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $K$ ) is a commutative C\*-algebra with identity. The converse statement is also true: If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a given commutative C\*-algebra, then it is \*-isomorphic to  $C_0(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is some locally compact Hausdorff space; if  $\mathcal{A}$  is assumed to be commutative with identity, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is \*-isomorphic to  $C(K)$ , where  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space.

Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a given measure space with  $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ , let  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$  be the C\*-algebra of all essentially bounded  $\mu$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$  and let  $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$  be the Banach space of all  $\mu$ -integrable functions on  $\Omega$ . Then by the Random–Nikodym theorem,  $L^1(\Omega, \mu)^* = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ . Hence  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$  is a commutative W\*-algebra. More generally, let  $(\Gamma, \nu)$  be a localizable measure space (i.e. a direct sum of finite measure spaces) and let  $L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu)$  be the C\*-algebra of all  $\nu$ -essentially bounded measurable functions on  $\Gamma$ , and let  $L^1(\Gamma, \nu)$  be the Banach space of all  $\nu$ -integrable functions on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $(L^1(\Gamma, \nu))^* = L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu)$ ; hence  $L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu)$  is a commutative W\*-algebra.

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a commutative W\*-algebra, then it is \*-isomorphic to  $L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu)$ , where  $(\Gamma, \nu)$  is a localizable measure space. Hence we also have a spectral representation for the commutative W\*-algebras.

## 1.7 Concrete C\*- and W\*-algebras

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We can define various topologies on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Although well known, they will be reviewed below.

(1) *The uniform topology* This is given by the operator norm  $\|a\|$  ( $a \in B(\mathcal{H})$ ), where  $\|a\| = \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \|a\xi\|$ . Take the adjoint operation  $a \mapsto a^*$  as the involution\* (i.e.  $(a\xi, \eta) = (\xi, a^*\eta)$  ( $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ )), where  $(\ , \ )$  is the scalar product (inner product) of  $\mathcal{H}$ ). Then,  $B(\mathcal{H})$  is a C\*-algebra and moreover any

uniformly closed self-adjoint subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e.  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $a^* \in \mathcal{A}$ ) of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ ) is itself a C\*-algebra.

(2) *The strong operator topology* Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . The function  $a \mapsto \|a\xi\|$  is then a semi-norm on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . The set of all such semi-norms  $\{\|a\xi\| \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$  defines a Hausdorff locally convex topology on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , called the strong operator topology.

(3) *The weak operator topology* For each pair  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , the function  $a \rightarrow |(a\xi, \eta)|$  defines a semi-norm on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . The set of all such semi-norms  $\{|(a\xi, \eta)| \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}\}$  defines a Hausdorff locally convex topology on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , called the weak operator topology.

(4) *The  $\sigma$ -weak topology* Let  $\text{Tr}$  be the trace function in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $T(\mathcal{H})$  be the set of all trace class operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $a \in T(\mathcal{H})$ , define  $\|a\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|a|)$ , where  $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ . Then  $T(\mathcal{H})$  becomes a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded linear functional on  $B(\mathcal{H})$  which is assumed to be continuous on bounded spheres of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to the weak operator topology. Then there is a unique trace class operator  $a$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(x) = \text{Tr}(xa)$  for  $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\|f\| = \text{Tr}(|a|)$ . Therefore  $T(\mathcal{H})$  can be identified with the Banach space of all bounded linear functionals of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  which are continuous with respect to the weak operator topology on bounded spheres of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Then it is known that  $T(\mathcal{H})^* = B(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence  $B(\mathcal{H})$  is a W\*-algebra and  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*) = \sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), T(\mathcal{H}))$  is the  $\sigma$ -weak topology of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  which is closed with respect to the weak operator topology; then it is  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$ -closed. Let  $\mathcal{M}^0$  be the polar of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $T(\mathcal{H})$ ; then  $(T(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{M}^0)^* = \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is a W\*-algebra and the restriction of  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is the  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ ; then the following six properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{M} \cap S$  is closed with respect to the weak operator topology, where  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed with respect to the weak operator topology;
- (3)  $\mathcal{M} \cap S$  is closed with respect to the strong operator topology;
- (4)  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed with respect to the strong operator topology;
- (5)  $\mathcal{M} \cap S$  is  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$ -closed;
- (6)  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$ -closed.

The weak operator topology and  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$  are equivalent on  $\mathcal{M} \cap S$ . Therefore without confusion, we can call a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , which is closed with respect to the weak operator topology or  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$ , a weakly closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

### 1.8 Representation theorems for C\*- and W\*-algebras

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra; then  $\mathcal{A}$  is \*-isomorphic to a uniformly closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. (the Gelfand–Naimark theorem).
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a W\*-algebra; then  $\mathcal{M}$  is \*-isomorphic to a weakly closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. Moreover  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$  coincides with the restriction of  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}), B(\mathcal{H})_*)$  under the \*-isomorphism.

The next two theorems are fundamental to operator algebra theory. They will be stated without proof.

### 1.9 Commutation theorem (von Neumann's double commutant theorem)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  containing the identity operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is weakly closed if and only if  $\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}' = \{x \in B(\mathcal{H}) \mid ax = xa \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{M}\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (\mathcal{M}')'$ .

### 1.10 Kaplansky's density theorem (Bounded approximation)

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to the weak operator topology; then  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  is a weakly closed self-adjoint subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and moreover  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \cap S$  is contained in the closure of  $\mathcal{B} \cap S$  with respect to the strong operator topology, where  $S$  is the unit sphere of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

### 1.11 GNS (Gelfand–Naimark–Segal) representations

**(1) From state to representation** Let  $\phi$  be a bounded positive linear functional on a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Introduce a conjugate bilinear functional  $(x, y) = \phi(y^*x)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{x \mid \phi(x^*x) = 0, x \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed left ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define a conjugate bilinear functional on the quotient linear space  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  such that if  $x \in x_\phi, y \in y_\phi$ , then  $(x_\phi, y_\phi) = \phi(y^*x)$  (here  $x_\phi$  (resp.  $y_\phi$ ) is the class containing  $x$  (resp.  $y$ )). The expression  $(x_\phi, y_\phi)$  does not then depend on a special choice of the representatives  $x, y$ . It will define a scalar product on  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  under which  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$  will become a pre-Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  be the completion with respect to this scalar product. Then  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  is a Hilbert space. Now we shall construct a \*-representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  (i.e. a \*-homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ ) via  $\phi$ , denoted by  $\{\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi\}$ . Put  $\pi_\phi(a)x_\phi = (ax)_\phi$ . Then  $\pi_\phi(a)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is a linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ . Moreover it is a bounded linear operator on the pre-Hilbert space  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ ;

hence it can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ , denoted by  $\pi_\phi(a)$  again. Then,  $a \mapsto \pi_\phi(a)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ) is a \*-homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ . The \*-representation  $\{\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi\}$  is called the GNS representation constructed via  $\phi$ . It is known that there is a vector  $\xi_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  such that  $\phi(x) = (x\xi_0, \xi_0)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , and moreover  $[\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})\xi_0] = \mathcal{H}_\phi$  (i.e.  $\{\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi\}$  is cyclic), where  $[(\cdot)]$  is the closure of  $(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  has an identity 1 and  $\phi$  is a state on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\xi_0 = 1_\phi$ .

**(2) From representation to state** Conversely, if  $\pi$  is a \*-representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $[\pi(\mathcal{A})\xi_0] = \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\{\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi\}$ , where  $\phi(x) = (x\xi_0, \xi_0)$  ( $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

**(3) Normality** Let  $\psi$  be a positive linear functional on a W\*-algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\psi$  is then said to be *normal* if it is  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$ -continuous. Let  $\psi$  be a normal positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}$ ; then the GNS representation  $\{\pi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_\psi\}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{M})$  is weakly closed in  $B(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$ ;
- (2)  $\pi_\psi$  is a continuous mapping of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$  into  $B(\mathcal{H}_\psi)$  with  $\sigma(B(\mathcal{H}_\psi), B(\mathcal{H}_\psi)_*)$ .

### 1.12 Factorial and pure states

A W\*-algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a factor if its center consists of scalar multiples of the identity. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra and let  $\phi$  be a state on  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\phi$  is said to be *factorial* if  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})''$  is a factor;  $\phi$  is said to be *pure* if  $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{A})'' = B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all states on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; then a state  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is pure if and only if  $\phi$  is an extreme point in the convex set  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has an identity, then  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A})$ -compact. The space  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$  with  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A})$  is called the state space of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In Chapter 4, we shall often use harmonic functions, and so we shall now state the fundamental facts on harmonic function, which we shall need in Chapter 4. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane, and let  $D$  be the closed unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $\beta$  be a non-zero real number and let  $S_\beta$  be the closed strip of the complex plane such that

$$S_\beta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \text{Im}(z) \leq \beta \text{ if } \beta > 0 \text{ or } \beta \leq \text{Im}(z) \leq 0 \text{ if } \beta < 0\}.$$

Let  $D^0$  (resp.  $S_\beta^0$ ) be the interior of  $D$  (resp.  $S_\beta$ ) and let  $D_1 = \{z \in D \mid z \neq -1, 1\}$ . Consider a mapping  $\omega = (\beta/\pi) \log i(1-z)/(1+z)$  of  $D_1$  in the  $z$ -complex plane onto  $S_\beta$  in the  $\omega$ -complex plane. Then it is a one-to-one bicontinuous mapping of  $D_1$  onto  $S_\beta$  and moreover it is a conformal mapping of  $D^0$  onto  $S_\beta^0$ . Therefore, by Poisson's formula and the result from the Dirichlet problem, we have the following theorem (cf. [77]).

**1.13 Theorem (Poisson Kernel for the strip)**

There exist two positive continuous functions  $K_1(z, t), K_2(z, t)$  on  $S_\beta^0 \times \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_1(z, t) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_2(z, t) dt = 1 \quad \text{for } z \in S_\beta^0$$

and for any two real-valued, bounded continuous functions  $f_1, f_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$u(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(t)K_1(z, t) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(t)K_2(z, t) dt \quad \text{for } z \in S_\beta^0$$

is harmonic on  $S_\beta^0$  and moreover

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow t \\ z \in S_\beta^0}} u(z) = f_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow t + i\beta \\ z \in S_\beta^0}} u(z) = f_2(t) (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Furthermore if  $u_1$  is a bounded continuous function on  $S_\beta$  which is harmonic on  $S_\beta^0$ , and  $u_1(t) = f_1(t), u_1(t + i\beta) = f_2(t) (t \in \mathbb{R})$ , then  $u_1(z) = u(z)$  for  $z \in S_\beta$ .

We shall not use the explicit form for the functions  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$  but only the representation theorems stated here and their corollary:

**1.14 Corollary (analytic version)**

Let  $f(z)$  be a bounded continuous function on  $S_\beta$  which is analytic on  $S_\beta^0$ ; then

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)K_1(z, t) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t + i\beta)K_2(z, t) dt \quad \text{for } z \in S_\beta^0,$$

**Perturbation Theory**

We shall also need some fundamental results on bounded perturbations in Chapter 4. Here we mention some of them.

Let  $A, B$  be bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $E$ . Let  $u_t = \exp(tA)$  and  $T_t = \exp t(A + B)(t \in \mathbb{R})$  be exponential one-parameter groups.

**1.15 Perturbation expansion theorem ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ )**

We have

$$T_t = u_t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t} u_{t_1} B u_{t_2 - t_1} B u_{t_3 - t_2} \dots u_{t_n - t_{n-1}} B u_{t - t_n} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n.$$

where the series is norm-convergent for all  $t$ .

*Proof* Let  $T_t^{(0)} = u_t$  and

$$T_t^{(n)} = \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t} u_{t_1} B u_{t_2 - t_1} B u_{t_3 - t_2} \cdots u_{t_n - t_{n-1}} B u_{t - t_n} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^{(n)} &= \int_0^t u_{t_1} B dt_1 \int_{t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t} u_{t_2 - t_1} B u_{t_3 - t_2} \cdots u_{t_n - t_{n-1}} B u_{t - t_n} dt_2 dt_3 \cdots dt_n \\ &= \int_0^t u_{t_1} B dt_1 \int_{0 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t - t_1} u_{t_2} B u_{t_3 - t_2} \cdots u_{t_n - t_{n-1}} B u_{t - t_1 - t_n} dt_2 dt_3 \cdots dt_n \\ &= \int_0^t u_{t_1} B T_{t - t_1}^{(n-1)} dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_t^{(n)} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| T_t^{(n)} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n+1} \|B\|^n}{n!} t^n,$$

where  $M = \sup_{|s| \leq t} \|u_s\|$ .

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_t^{(n)} = \int_0^t u_{t_1} B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{t - t_1}^{(n)} dt_1.$$

Put  $w_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_t^{(n)}$ ; then by the previous equality, we have

$$w_t = u_t + \int_0^t u_s B w_{t-s} ds.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{t_1} w_{t_2} &= \left( u_{t_1} + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B w_{t_1-s} ds \right) w_{t_2} \\ &= u_{t_1} w_{t_2} + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} ds \\ &= u_{t_1} \left( u_{t_2} + \int_0^{t_2} u_s B w_{t_2-s} ds \right) + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} ds \\ &= u_{t_1+t_2} + \int_0^{t_2} u_{s+t_1} B w_{t_2-s} ds + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} ds \\ &= u_{t_1+t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_1+t_2} u_s B w_{t_1+t_2-s} ds + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} ds \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= u_{t_1+t_2} + \int_0^{t_1+t_2} u_s B w_{t_1+t_2-s} ds + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B (w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} - w_{t_1+t_2-s}) ds \\
 &= w_{t_1+t_2} + \int_0^{t_1} u_s B (w_{t_1-s} w_{t_2} - w_{t_1+t_2-s}) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we replace  $B$  by  $zB (z \in \mathbb{C})$ , denote the corresponding  $w$  by  $w^z$  and put  $G_{t_1}(z) = w_{t_1}^z w_{t_2}^z - w_{t_1+t_2}^z (z \in \mathbb{C})$ , then  $G_{t_1}(z)$  is entirely analytic with respect to  $z$ , and

$$G_{t_1}(z) = z \int_0^{t_1} u_s B G_{t_1-s}(z) ds = z \int_0^{t_1} u_{t_1-s} B G_s(z) ds.$$

Since  $G_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (G_t^{(n)}(0)/n!) z^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_t^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n &= z \int_0^t u_{t-s} B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_s^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n ds \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} \int_0^t u_{t-s} B \frac{G_s^{(n)}(0)}{n!} ds \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $G_t^{(0)}(0) = G_t^{(1)}(0) = \dots = G_t^{(n)}(0) = \dots = 0$  and so  $G_t(z) = 0$ . Therefore,  $w_{t_1+t_2} = w_{t_1} w_{t_2}$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_t &= u_t + \int_0^t u_s B w_{t-s} ds = u_t + \int_0^t u_{t-s} B w_s ds \\
 &= u_t + u_t \int_0^t u_{-s} B w_s ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} w_t|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} u_t|_{t=0} + u_t u_{-t} B w_t|_{t=0} \\
 &= A + B.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $w_t = \exp t(A + B)$ . This completes the proof. □

### 1.16 Corollary (complex version)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp z(A + B) &= \exp(zA) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} u_{t_1 z} B u_{(t_2-t_1)z} B \dots \\
 &\quad \times u_{(t_n-t_{n-1})z} B u_{(1-t_n)z} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n, \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})
 \end{aligned}$$

where the series is norm convergent for all  $z$ .

*Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} \exp z(A + B) &= \exp 1(zA + zB) \\ &= \exp zA + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} u_{t_1, z}(zB)u_{(t_2-t_1)z}(zB)u_{(t_3-t_2)z} \dots \\ &\quad \times u_{(t_n-t_{n-1})z}(zB)u_{(1-t_{n-1})z} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n \\ &= \exp zA + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1} u_{t_1, z} B u_{(t_2-t_1)z} B u_{(t_3-t_2)z} \dots \\ &\quad \times u_{(t_n-t_{n-1})z} B u_{(1-t_n)z} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Next we shall consider a generalization of Theorem 1.15. Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint linear operator in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $B$  be a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The two operators  $A$  and  $B$  will be specified this way throughout the discussion to follow.

### Geometric vectors

A vector  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be geometric with respect to  $A$  if there is a positive number  $M_\xi$  such that  $\|A^n \xi\| \leq M_\xi^n \|\xi\|$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $G(A)$  be the set of all geometric elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $A$ . Let  $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda$  be the spectral decomposition of  $A$  and let  $E_n = \int_{-n}^n \lambda dE_\lambda$ ; then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \mathcal{H} \subset G(A)$  and so  $G(A)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now assume that (1)  $B \cdot G(A) \subset G(A)$ ; then for  $\xi \in G(A)$ ,

$$(\exp t_1 A)B(\exp(t_2 - t_1)A)B \dots (\exp(t_n - t_{n-1})A)B(\exp(t - t_n)A)\xi$$

is well-defined and it belongs to  $G(A)$ . In fact, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exp(tA)\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t^n/n!)A^n \xi$ , and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(t^n/n!)A^n \xi\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M_\xi^n |t|^n/n!) \|\xi\| = \exp(M_\xi |t|) \|\xi\|$ ; hence  $\exp(tA)\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Moreover,

$$A^m \exp(tA)\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^{m+n} \xi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^n}{n!} \|A^{m+n} \xi\| &\leq M_\xi^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_\xi^n |t|^n}{n!} \|\xi\| \\ &= M_\xi^m \exp(M_\xi |t|) \|\xi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now put  $\|\xi\| = V_t \|(\exp tA)\xi\|$ ; then  $\|A^m \exp(tA)\xi\| \leq M_\xi^m \exp(M_\xi |t|)$