

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xviii
<i>List of results</i>	xix
<b>1 A preview of the results</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Results of Part I	2
1.2 Results of Part II	13
<b>2 Endogenous policy theory: a diagrammatic approach</b>	<b>30</b>
2.1 The literature	32
2.2 A diagrammatic approach to endogenous policy theory	36
2.3 Contrasting approaches to endogenous tariff theory	40
 <i>Part I Endogenous policy theory with specific factors: the theory of industry tariffs in partial equilibrium</i>	
<b>3 The probabilistic voting model of political efficiency and powerless politicians</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 The literature	43
3.2 Endogenous policies as prices in political markets	47
3.3 Endogenous lobbying	48
3.4 Political efficiency	50
3.5 The trade-off between economic and political efficiency	51
3.6 Endogenous redistribution in an Arrow–Debreu model	52
3.7 The probabilistic voting model: application to the United States	53
3.8 Competition between the political parties drives them to Stackelberg leadership and non-Pareto policies	55
3.9 The powerless politician effect: endogenous policies are outside of policymaker control	59
3.10 The contribution specialization theorem: the protectionist lobby contributes only to the protectionist party	60
vii	

viii	<b>Contents</b>	
3.11	Lobby nonparticipation in Hotelling races	61
3.12	Tariffs and rents in the Corden diagram	61
4	<b>Endogenous lobbying theory and the contribution specialization theorem</b>	<b>62</b>
4.1	The literature	63
4.2	Three exceptions to the specialization theorem: imperfect information, access, and retribution effects	65
4.3	Three empirical implications	67
4.4	The specialization theorem with $n$ parties: contribution to $n - 1$ parties, at most	67
4.5	An empirical test of the contribution specialization theorem	68
5	<b>Endogenous tariff theory</b>	<b>72</b>
5.1	The literature	73
5.2	The reverse-slope theorem	76
5.3	The reverse-shift theorem	78
5.4	The policy-distance paradox	80
5.5	The distortion paradox	81
5.6	Inconsistent parties and unstable tariff equilibria	82
5.7	Three generalizations of the reverse-slope theorem	83
5.8	Rational policies	85
6	<b>The power function model of endogenous industry lobbying</b>	<b>86</b>
6.1	The literature	87
6.2	The industry lobby as a noncooperative $n$ -person game	91
6.3	Existence and uniqueness	93
6.4	Exploitation of the large by the small	93
6.5	Perceived effectiveness and noticeability: concentration and homogeneity	93
6.6	A simplified model: firms of equal size	95
6.7	The lobbying power function	96
6.8	Endogenous lobbying and the size of political jurisdictions	97
6.9	How to estimate the free-rider effect	99
7	<b>Three simple tests of the Stolper–Samuelson theorem</b>	<b>101</b>
7.1	The literature	101
7.2	Stolper–Samuelson factor mobility versus Ricardo–Viner–Cairnes factor immobility	102
7.3	The three empirical tests	103
7.4	Caveats and conclusions	110

Contents	ix
<b>8 The invisible foot and the waste of nations: lawyers as negative externalities</b>	<b>111</b>
8.1 The literature	113
8.2 Model 1: wealth redistribution	114
8.3 Model 2: static income redistribution	117
8.4 Model 3: dynamic income redistribution	118
8.5 Empirical evidence: the negative effect of lawyers on country economic growth	118
 <i>Part II Endogenous policy theory in general equilibrium: a long-run theory of national tariff levels</i>	
<b>9 The <math>2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2</math> model of endogenous redistribution theory</b>	<b>122</b>
9.1 The literature	127
9.2 The economy	128
9.3 The voters	129
9.4 The lobbies	132
9.5 The political parties	133
9.6 Endogenous redistribution theory	135
 <i>PART IIA The Leontief model</i>	
<b>10 A Prisoner's Dilemma theory of endogenous protection: the Leontief model</b>	<b>138</b>
10.1 The literature	141
10.2 Explicit solutions for the endogenous policy model: Leontief production and logit probabilistic voting	145
10.3 Redistributive policies due to high voter responsiveness to campaign contributions	146
10.4 A Prisoner's Dilemma theory of endogenous policy	146
10.5 Why Prisoner's Dilemma versus dominant-player economies?	149
10.6 The constant-sum-game effect: no parametric changes that make the game more cooperative	151
<b>11 The compensation effect and the multiple equilibrium trap</b>	<b>152</b>
11.1 The literature	153
11.2 The compensation effect: endogenous policies compensate for price and technology shocks	155
11.3 The progressivity of endogenous politics with respect to price and technology shocks	157

x	<b>Contents</b>	
11.4	Multiple equilibria	157
11.5	Political schizophrenia: party–lobby conflict with multiple equilibria	160
11.6	The multiple equilibrium trap: why negotiation may provide no escape from the Prisoner’s Dilemma	161
11.7	No equilibrium	162
11.8	The passageway thesis: the no-equilibrium region between protection and free trade	163
11.9	Predatory lobbying costs: rent seeking	165
12	<b>Increasing returns to politics and factor endowments: economic development and Brazilian vitality versus the Indian disease</b>	<b>166</b>
12.1	The literature	169
12.2	Increasing returns to politics: the endowment effect	171
12.3	Policy insensitivity	172
12.4	World polarization into capital- versus labor-abundant economies	173
12.5	Unstable capital endowments	174
12.6	Symmetric Marxist factor exploitation	174
12.7	Clout reversals	174
12.8	Capital cross flows	175
12.9	Local capital benefits from capital inflows	175
12.10	Brazilian vitality versus the Indian disease	175
13	<b>Endogenous protection in the United States, 1900–1988</b>	<b>177</b>
13.1	The literature	178
13.2	The politics of U.S. protection	182
13.3	Endogenous policy theory and U.S. protection	183
13.4	The empirical results	189
13.5	The isoprotection curve and the macroeconomic by-product theory of Republican protectionism	194
13.6	Republican protectionism in the 1980s	198
	<b>PART IIB The Cobb–Douglas model</b>	
14	<b>A Cobb–Douglas model of endogenous protection</b>	<b>202</b>
14.1	The literature	204
14.2	Closed-form solutions and no multiple equilibria	208
14.3	Political independence	208
14.4	The endowment effect on protection	209
14.5	The policy bifurcation effect	210

Contents	xi
14.6 Increasing returns to politics	210
14.7 Prisoner's Dilemmas and countervailing power	211
14.8 The magnification paradox: increasing lobbying and welfare losses but with decreasing distortions	212
14.9 The political magnification effect: greater factor price sensitivity hurts the factor's party	213
14.10 Deceptively intuitive general equilibrium results	214
14.11 Generalizing the logit function for voters and the government budget constraint	214
<b>15 Black hole tariffs</b>	<b>215</b>
15.1 The literature	216
15.2 The assumptions	221
15.3 Limits on rent seeking related to risk aversion	221
15.4 The black hole	223
15.5 Empirical implications: postwar movement toward gray holes	225
<b>16 The endowment effect: cross-national evidence on endogenous tariffs</b>	<b>227</b>
16.1 The literature	227
16.2 Tariff rates and national factor endowment ratios	230
16.3 The endowment effect: empirical evidence	231
16.4 The inverted U between tariffs and capital-labor endowments	235
16.5 Nontariff protection and overprotection	236
<b>Part III Postscript</b>	
<b>17 The senile-industry argument for protection</b>	<b>242</b>
17.1 The literature	243
17.2 The product life cycle	247
17.3 The industry life cycle	248
17.4 The country life cycle and the U-shaped relationship between protection and GNP per capita	251
17.5 Economic versus political explanations of protection	252
17.6 Endogenous industrial policy	254
17.7 Conclusions	254
<b>18 Optimal obfuscation and the theory of the second worst: the politically efficient policy</b>	<b>257</b>
18.1 The literature	258
18.2 The politically efficient policy	259

Cambridge University Press  
978-0-521-37700-3 - Black Hole Tariffs and Endogenous Policy Theory: Political Economy  
in General Equilibrium  
Stephen P. Magee, William A. Brock and Leslie Young  
Table of Contents  
[More information](#)

xii	<b>Contents</b>	
18.3	Optimal obfuscation and the theory of the second worst	260
18.4	The voter information paradox	263
	<i>Mathematical appendixes</i>	265
	<i>References</i>	365
	<i>Author index</i>	415
	<i>Subject index</i>	421