

Index

- Abelard, Peter, 19
 ABM treaty, 152, 156
 absolutism, 18, 80–3, 91, 97, 107–8, 220n8
 Acheson, Dean, 189
 Adams, Robert Merrihew, 15n, 57n, 212n17
 Ahrens, John, 147n
 Aquinas, Saint Thomas, 19
 Art, Robert, 209n6
 automatic retaliator, 12, 25, 39–41, 94–7
 Axelrod, Robert, 196

 Ball, George, 168–9, 171, 180, 189
 bank-robber model, 5–6, 121–3
 bargaining chips, 156–7
 Bentham, Jeremy, 19
 bluffing, 17, 26, 94–5
 bottomless pit problem, 112–15
 bounce-back device, 40–1
 Brandt, Richard, 18
 Bundy, McGeorge, 95, 184
 Burge, Tyler, 15n
 Butler, Joseph, 19

 Castro, Fidel, 168, 170
 Catholic bishops, 11, 33–4, 98
 Clark, Grenville, 142–3, 229n25
 Collective Reasoning, 103
 collective responsibility, 91, 97
 commonsense beliefs, 1–2, 215n33
 Compromise Principle, 71–2
 conclusions, 2, 55–6, 76–7, 97–9, 115–16, 125, 143–5, 188–91, 193, 206–7

 conditional permissibility, 98–9
 consensus of philosophers, 7
 consequentialism, 147–9, 189–90
 see also utilitarianism
 conventional war, 203–5
 conventional wisdom, 120
 see also commonsense beliefs
 credibility of threats, 25, 44, 124
 Cuban Missile Crisis, 9, 166–91, 193

 Dangers of Transition Argument, 205–6
 death of a nation, 85
 deontology, 2–3, 56, 79, 167–8, 189–90, 209n3
 disaster avoidance, 66–73, 75–6, 84, 176, 218n17, n18, 238–9n10
 Distorted Perceptions Argument, 202
 Dobrynin, Anatoly, 170, 172
 Domestic Politics Argument, 197–200, 238n5
 Draper, George, 147n
 drop-in-a-bucket problem, 102–5, 115, 223n3
 Dworkin, Gerald, 40–2, 214n13

 Eisenhower, Dwight, 124
 elephant-dance model, 5–6, 121
 elevator case, 79–80
 Ellsberg, Daniel, 215n33
 Eventual Certainty Argument, 137–8
 existential deterrence, 48–9, 54, 95
 expected utility, 57, 59–62, 64, 66–8, 70, 72, 75, 217n8, 218n18
 extended deterrence, 7–10

- Farrell, Daniel, 15n, 33n, 213n5
- game theory, 149–54, 195–200
 see also prisoner's dilemma
- Gauthier, David, 43–7, 228n15
- Goodin, Robert, 147n
- Gray, Colin, 232n18
- Hardin, Russell, 147n
- Hardliner's Veto Argument, 201
- Hehir, J. Bryan, 223n36
- Hobbes, Thomas, 127–36, 139, 141, 227n4
- Hodgson, D. H., 211n12
- Hufbauer, Karl, 147n
- Immunity Thesis, 88–91, 220–21n16
- impeachment, 173, 182, 185
- Incompatible Demands Argument, 201–2
- information asymmetries, 202
- innocence, 88, 92, 159
- Innocent Shields, 90
- Innocent Threats, 90
- intentions, 15, 19–20, 31, 34, 213n5
 autonomous effects of, 21, 46–7
 collective, 52–5
 conditional, 19–20, 33, 36–7, 38–40, 42, 48, 82, 102
 deterrent, 20–1, 36, 38–47, 51, 55–6
 problematic, 46–7, 55
 rational, 22–3
- John XXIII, Pope, 188, 190
- Johnson, Lyndon, 175
- Joynt, Carey, 147n
- Kant, Immanuel, 19, 30
- Kennedy, John F., 168–90, 235n44
- Kennedy, Robert F., 169–71, 173, 180, 184, 186, 189–90, 236n56
- Kenny, Anthony, 19, 36, 38–9, 211n11
- Khrushchev, Nikita, 168–71, 173–4, 176–7, 181, 183, 188, 237n87
- Kubrick, Stanley, 94
- Lackey, Douglas, 36–40, 120–5, 216n2, 226n19, 229n23
- Ladenson, Robert, 15n
- League of Nations, 142
- Lee, Steven, 147n, 165n
- lesser evil, 2–3, 76, 98, 119–20, 125, 127, 193
- Lewis, David, 33n, 209n6, 215n29
- lexical ordering, definition of, 238n4
- McMahan, Jefferson, 120–5, 137–8, 217–18n16, 219n5, 222n32, 223n33, 225n6, 226n13, 233n21
- Macmillian, Harold, 171
- McNamara, Robert, 167, 173
- Marschak, Jacob, 57n
- maximin, 57, 62–8, 70, 72–3, 218n18
- Maximin Argument, 138–9
- mind-sets, 167
 definition of, 178–9
 relationship of different, 186–8
 of U.S. officials during missile crisis, 179–85
- minimum deterrence, 7–10, 57–9, 62–3, 69–70, 74–6, 114, 119–20, 125, 127, 138, 206–7, 217n8, 225n3, 227n1
- moral considerations, effect of, on nuclear decision making, 188–91
- moral paradoxes of deterrence, 2, 15–17, 28–32, 147
 first, 19–21, 33–56
 second, 21–4, 39, 44, 55
 third, 24–8, 39, 44, 55, 215n34
- moralists, 1–2, 95, 166–7, 170–1, 177, 179, 185–6, 188–91, 217n10
- Morris, Christopher, 147n
- Nagel, Thomas, 18, 27
- Narveson, Jan, 19
- national defense, right of, 3, 9–10, 84–8
- National Defense Principle, 80, 85, 91, 97–8
- Revised, 92
- Near Certainty Argument, 138

- Necessity Claim (of nuclear deterrence), 80
 Nixon, Richard, 122
 No Intention policy, 48–55
 no-retaliation policy, 95
 not-volunteering, 108–9
 Nozick, Robert, 18
 nuclear blackmail, 60, 93, 121, 123–5, 152, 205–6
 nuclear coercion, 119, 165–91, 193, 207
 dangers of, 165–6
 definition of, 165
 nuclear disarmament
 bilateral (mutual), 58, 76–7, 98–9, 119, 138, 150–1, 156–7, 193–207, 232n18, 237n1
 multilateral, 143–5, 194, 203, 206
 unilateral, 2–3, 57–60, 62–3, 69–70, 73–5, 84, 106, 113, 119–25, 127, 150, 193, 195, 206–7, 217n8, 229n23
 nuclear winter, 63, 229n24
 Nuclear World Government
 Argument, 127–8, 131–45
 Modified, 139–45

 Organization of American States, 169, 234n11
 other nuclear powers, 74–5, 124–5
 ought-implies-can principle, 86–8

 perceptual distortions, 202
 prisoner's dilemma, 46, 150, 195–6, 200, 207
 definition of, 214n22
 procurement policy for nuclear weapons, 7
 protest, 101–16
 symbolic, 103, 106
 psychoanalysis, 179
 Pure Defense Argument, 157–64
 Pure Defense Principle, 157–9, 163

 Quinn, Warren, 15n

 rational paradoxes, 1, 5, 23, 34–6, 43–7, 101–5
 Rawls, John, 63–6
 Reagan, Ronald, 93, 149, 222n25
 Regan, Donald H., 212n15
 Regis, Edward, Jr., 147n
 retrospective and prospective justifications of central authority contrasted, 130, 132–3
 Richardson, Lewis, 125
 Right-Good Principle, 24, 29
 rule worship, 185
 Rusk, Dean, 171
 Russell, Bertrand, 123–4, 164, 167, 169, 171, 188, 190, 237n87

 Schell, Jonathan, 229n20
 Schelling, Thomas, 15, 23, 214n9
 Scrupulous Retaliation policy, 48–55
 self-corruption, 24–8
 self-defense, 80
 right of individual, 84–6, 97
 Sidgwick, Henry, 19
 Sohn, Louis, 142–3
 Sorenson, Theodore, 172–3
 Soviets, assumptions about, 5–6
 Special Deterrent Situation (SDS), 20–8, 33, 36, 38–47, 55–6
 definition of, 17
 “Star Wars” speech, 149, 155
 see also strategic defense
 Sterba, James, 36, 38
 Stevenson, Adlai, 167
 strategic defense, 93–4, 119, 134, 144, 147–64, 193, 205, 207, 228n17, 232n18, 239n11
 strategists, 1–2
 Structural Argument, 195–6
 subjective utility theory, 60–1
 Superman problem, 109–12
 superpowers, symmetrical treatment of, 4–5, 119
 Sybert, Richard, 232n19

 targeting, 10–11, 93–4, 163–4, 222n25, 222–3n33
 Threat Principle, 80–1, 97–8, 220n6
 Final, 221n21
 Revised, 83, 92

Index 243

toxin puzzle, 47	Virtue Preservational Principle, 27–9
Truman, Harry, 184	
uncertainty	Walzer, Michael, 18
contrasted with risk, 59	Warren, Virginia, 15n
two-dimensional, 62, 66, 72, 175	Warrender, Howard, 228n15
United Nations, 140, 142, 169,	Wasserstrom, Richard, 84–5
234n13	willingness to retaliate, 94–7
use of nuclear weapons (in combat),	<i>see also</i> credibility of threats
1, 11–12, 206	world government, 119, 127–45,
utilitarianism, 2–3, 8, 17–18, 20, 29–	193–4, 206–7
30, 36, 41–2, 56, 57–77, 79,	Wrongful Intentions Principle (WIP),
83–4, 92, 97, 99, 110–11, 114,	19, 21, 29, 32, 33–4, 36, 39, 43,
120, 209n3, 217n10	48, 52, 55–6, 82, 211n11
<i>see also</i> consequentialism	Zuckerman, Lord, 232n19