

The Evolution of Rights in Liberal Theory



The Evolution of Rights in Liberal Theory

IAN SHAPIRO

Yale University







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521338530

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 1986

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 1986 Reprinted 1988, 1994

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-32043-6 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-33853-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To the memories of H.A.S. and S.M.



Contents

Acknowledgments	page 1x
Part I Introduction	
1 Anatomy of an ideology	3
Part II The early arguments	
2 The transitional moment	23
3 The classical moment	80
Part III The modern arguments	
4 The neo-classical moment	151
5 The Keynesian moment	204
Part IV Conclusion	
6 The liberal ideology of individual rights	273
Bibliography	307
Index	221



Acknowledgments

To discuss seriously ideas that one knows to be half-baked, and to criticize them constructively in the early stages of their formulation, is never easy, and without Douglas Rae's enthusiastic execution of these tasks this book would not have been written. Debts to others have also accumulated at various stages. In this regard I should like especially to thank Michael Walzer for diligently reading the long and often painfully roving drafts of an author who, as he once observed, has a tendency to chew more than he has bitten off. I hope I have done enough swallowing for him, and certainly I have benefited greatly from his advice. I also owe substantial debts to Quentin Skinner and Richard Ashcraft, both of whom went well beyond the call of duty in reading the entire manuscript and commenting extensively and constructively on it. Both will find arguments in the final version with which to disagree, but both have helped me develop my thesis while saving me from myself in more ways than I care to think about; for this I remain indebted.

Others who have read and commented helpfully on large parts of various drafts, or offered important advice in other ways, include Carol Fessler-Mendoza, William Galston, Jennifer Hochschild, Jeffrey Isaac, David Johnston, John Kane, Adolph Reed, Jr., Rogers M. Smith, and Steven B. Smith. Research assistance was provided by Frederick Bartol and Chihoe Hahn. I would like to thank, without in any way implicating, all of the above.

Financial assistance was provided by fellowships from Yale University between 1978 and 1982 and a Newcombe Fellowship from the Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation in Princeton for 1982–83. I am grateful to both these institutions.



Acknowledgments

Finally I must thank Judy for helping in innumerable ways with the manuscript itself and for tolerating long periods of absence when writing was in progress—and worse periods of presence when it was not.