

> A history of archaeological thought



BRUCE G. TRIGGER

A history
of
archaeological
thought





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1989

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1989 Eleventh printing 2002

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

British Library Cataloguing in Publication data
Trigger, Bruce G. (Bruce Graham), 1937—
A history of archaeological thought

1. Archaeology to 1988
I. Title
930.1'09

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Trigger, Bruce G.
A history of archaeological thought/Bruce G. Trigger
p. cm.

Bibliography
Includes index.

ISBN 0 521 32878 0 (hardback) ISBN 0 521 33812 2 (paperback)
1. Archaeology – History.
2. Archaeology – Philosophy – History.

I. Title CC100.T75 1989 930.1 – dc19 88-16926 CIP

ISBN 0 521 32878 0 hardback ISBN 0 521 33818 2 paperback



To BARBARA



# CONTENTS

	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS Pag	re x
	PREFACE	xiii
I	The relevance of archaeological history	I
	Approaches to the history of archaeology	4
	The environment of archaeology	12
	Archaeological interpretation	19
	Challenge	25
2	Classical archaeology and antiquarianism	27
	The ancient world	27
	The medieval paradigm of history	31
	Development of historical archaeology	35
	Antiquarianism in Northern Europe	45
	Recognition of stone tools	52
	The Enlightenment paradigm	55
	Scientific antiquarianism	61
	Antiquarianism and romanticism	65
	The New World	67
	The impasse of antiquarianism	70
3	The beginnings of scientific archaeology	73
-	Relative dating	73
	The development and spread of Scandinavian archaeology	
	The antiquity of humanity	87
	Palaeolithic archaeology	94
	Reaction against evolution	102
	Archaeology in North America	104
	Conclusion	108

vii



## Contents

	mt :	
4	The imperial synthesis	IIC
	The rise of racism	111
	Lubbock's synthesis	114
	Colonial archaeology in America	119
	Racist archaeology in Africa	129
	Archaeology in New Zealand	138
	Australian prehistory	141
	Lubbock's legacy	145
5	Culture-historical archaeology	148
	Diffusionism	150
	The Montelian synthesis of European prehistory	155
	The concept of culture	161
	Kossinna and the culture-historical approach	163
	Childe and The Dawn of European Civilization	167
	National archaeology	174
	Culture-historical archaeology in North America	186
	Technical developments	196
	Conclusions	205
6	Soviet archaeology	207
	Archaeology in tsarist Russia	208
	Archaeology during the New Economic Policy	212
	The birth of Soviet archaeology	216
	Consolidation	228
	Recent developments	234
	Conclusions	242
7	Functionalism in Western archaeology	244
	The development of social anthropology	245
	Environmental functionalism	247
	Economic approaches	250
	Childe and Soviet archaeology	254
	Childe as a Marxist archaeologist	259
	Grahame Clark	264
	Early functionalism in the United States	270
	The conjunctive approach	275
	Ecological and settlement archaeology	279
	Conclusions	286

viii



## Contents

8	Neo-evolutionism and the New Archaeology	289
	Neo-evolutionism	289
	The New Archaeology	294
	Systems theory	303
	Anti-historicism	312
	Cataclysmic archaeology	319
	Conclusions	326
9	The explanation of diversity	329
	Intersocietal contact	330
	Neo-historicism	337
	Idealism and neo-Marxism	34C
	Contextual archaeology	348
	Archaeology as itself	357
	Conclusions	367
10	Archaeology and its social context	370
	The goals of archaeology	37C
	Archaeology: history and science	372
	Relativist critiques	379
	Data collection and empirical generalizations	382
	Internal dialogue	386
	Limitations of behavioural inference	391
	The achievements of archaeology	396
	External dialogue	400
	Future prospects	407
	BIBLIOGRAPHICAL ESSAY	412
	REFERENCES	429
	INDEX	477



## **ILLUSTRATIONS**

		page
I	Important movements in archaeology and some major figures associ-	
	ated with them	10
2	Relationships between levels of generalizations	20
3	Merlin erecting Stonehenge, from a fourteenth-century British manu-	
	script (British Library MS Egerton 3028, f.30r.)	32
4	Digging at Herculaneum, 1782 (Saint-Non, JC. Voyage pittoresque et	
	description du royaume de Naples et de Sicile, Paris 1781–6	37
5	Layard's reconstruction of an Assyrian palace, from Monuments of	
	Nineveh, 1853	<b>4</b> I
6	Shang cast bronze ritual vessel, illustrated with rubbing of inscriptions	
	and their transcription into conventional characters, from twelfth-	
	century A.D. catalogue Bogutu (Percival David Foundation of Chinese	
	Art, London)	43
7	Aubrey's plan of Avebury, from his Monumenta Britannica, c. 1675	
	(Bodleian MS Top. Gen. C. 24, f.39v–40)	48
8	Engraving of tumuli and rune stones at Jelling, Denmark, 1591	
	(Drawing executed for Henrik Ratzau and published in 1591)	50
9	Stukeley's view of Avebury, published in Abury, 1743	62
10	Successive styles of ornamentation, from Thomsen's Guidebook (older	
	forms at top) (C. J. Thomsen Ledetraad til Nordisk Oldkyndighed,	
	Copenhagen 1836)	77
II	Thomsen showing visitors around the Museum of Northern Antiqui-	
	ties	79
12	Worsaae boring into one of the large tumuli at Jelling; he explains the	
	procedure to King Frederik VII of Denmark (Drawing by J. Korn-	
	crup, 1861)	81
13	Acheulean handaxe found by Frere at Hoxne, published in Archaeolo-	
	gia, 1800	88
14	Profile showing location of Palaeolithic material, from Boucher de	
	Perthes' Antiquités celtiques et antediluviennes, 1847	91
15	Mortillet's epochs of prehistory, from Formation de la nation française,	
	1897	97
16	Plan of prehistoric earthworks at Portsmouth, Ohio, from Atwater's	
	'Description of the antiquities discovered in the State of Ohio' (Trans-	
	actions of the American Antiquarian Society, 1820)	106



## Illustrations

17	Grave Creek Mound, West Virginia, from Squier and Davis Ancient	
	Monuments of the Mississippi Valley, 1848	107
18	John Lubbock (Lord Avebury) (1834–1913) (Radio Times Hulton	
	Picture Library)	114
19	'Cultural characterization areas' of North America, based on archaeo-	
	logical criteria, by Holmes (American Anthropologist, 1914)	123
20	Drawing of the Great Serpent Mound of Ohio, from a popular article	
	by Putnam (Century Illustrated Magazine, 1890)	128
21	'Approach to the acropolis', from J. T. Bent's The Ruined Cities of	
	Mashonaland, 1892	132
22	'Native police dispersing the blacks', Western Queensland, c. 1882	_
	(C. Lumholtz Among Cannibals, 1890)	142
23	Oscar Montelius (1843–1921)	156
24	Bronze-age artifacts arranged according to Montelius' system, 1881	159
25	Childe with a party of workmen at Skara Brae, Orkney, 1928-30 (Royal	•
•	Commission on Ancient Monuments, Scotland)	169
26	Childe's first chart correlating the archaeological cultures of Central	
	Europe, from The Danube in Prehistory, 1929	171
27	Kidder's profile of refuse stratigraphy and construction levels at Pecos	-,-
	Ruin, New Mexico, from An Introduction to the Study of Southwestern	
	Archaeology, 1924	189
28	Chronological chart from Ford and Willey's synthesis of eastern North	
	American prehistory (American Anthropologist, 1941)	193
29	Petrie's profile of Tell el-Hesy, 1890 (Tell el Hesy, 1901)	198
30	Grave from Hallstatt cemetery, Austria, recorded by the painter Isidor	•
	Engel in the mid-nineteenth century	199
31	Pottery of successive periods in Petrie's predynastic sequence, from	
	Diospolis Parva, 1901	201
32	V. I. Ravdonikas (1894–1976) (Institute of Archaeology, Leningrad)	217
33	Plan of Palacolithic hut found at Buryet, reproduced in Antiquity by	•
	Childe, 1950	224
34	Plan from excavations at Novgorod, 1977-83 (Institute of Archaeology,	
	Leningrad)	231
35	Excavations at Novgorod, 1977-82 (Institute of Archaeology,	
	Leningrad)	232
36	Excavations at Novgorod (Institute of Archaeology, Leningrad)	233
37	Plan and section of Cutting II, Star Carr (Excavations at Star Carr,	
	1954)	268
38	Structures on mound platform, from Hiwassee Island, by T. Lewis and	
	M. Kneberg, 1946	273
39	MacNeish's interpretation of subsistence-settlement pattern of Ajuer-	
	eado Phase (11,000-7,000 B.C.) in Tehuacan Valley (The Science of	
	Archaeology? 1978)	281
40	Willey's interpretation of community patterns in the Virú Valley,	
	Peru, in the Huancaco Period (A.D. 800-1000) (Prehistoric Settlement	
	Patterns in the Virú Valley, Peru, 1953)	283



## Illustrations

<b>4</b> I	The settlement pattern of the Basin of Mexico for the Late Horizon	
	(Sanders et al., The Basin of Mexico, 1979)	287
42	Binford's plan of a modern Nunamiut butchery area at Anavik Springs,	
	Alaska, showing where caribou were dismembered and waste products	
	were disposed (In Pursuit of the Past, 1983)	299
43	System flow chart for Shoshonean Indian subsistence cycle, by D. H.	
	Thomas (D. H. Thomas in D. L. Clarke, ed., Models in Archaeology,	
	1972)	307
4.4	Flow diagram of presumed food/monument allocation in the Classic	,-,
44		
	Maya civilization (J. A. Hosler, J. A. Sabloff and D. Runge in N.	
	Hammond, ed., Social Process in Maya Prehistory, 1977)	309
45	Sampling at Broken K Pueblo, J. N. Hill, 1968 (J. N. Hill in S. R. and	
	L. R. Binford, New Perspectives in Archeology, 1968)	311
46	Modular housing unit at Glastonbury Iron-age site, as identified by	
•	D. L. Clarke (Models in Archaeology, 1972)	318
47 :	and 48 Hodder's recording of ethnographic distribution of shield types	
.,	and calabash motifs among different ethnic groups in the Baringo area	
	of Kenya (Symbols in Action, 1982)	349
		<b>3</b> +7
49		
	outlines of the garden are archaeologically determined (M.Leone in	
	D. Miller and C. Tilley, eds., Ideology, Power and Prehistory, 1984)	352
50	Model of drop and toss zones, as developed by Binford from his	
	ethnoarchaeological study of the Nunamiut of Alaska (In Pursuit of the	
	Past, 1983)	365



## PREFACE

This study is a combined product of book-learning, archaeological experience, and oral tradition. It grew out of a course on the 'History of Archaeological Theory' that I have taught annually since 1975. Since I began the course, I intended to write a book on this subject. My first efforts resulted in the original essays published in Time and Traditions (Trigger 1978a) and Gordon Childe: Revolutions in Archaeology (Trigger 1980a). While I continued to write papers on various aspects of the history of archaeology (see especially Trigger 1980b, 1981a, 1984a, 1984c, 1985a, 1985c, 1986b), for various reasons two more attempts in the early 1980s to begin this book came to nothing. One of the reasons was my feeling that the time was not yet propitious. Then, in the spring of 1986, I made a third attempt and found that the book was 'writing itself'. I believe that this change reflects my growing satisfaction with current developments in archaeological interpretation. Many archaeologists, not only in the West but apparently also in the Soviet Union, are expressing concern about what they perceive as the theoretical fragmentation of their discipline. On the contrary, I believe that current developments are helping archaeologists to transcend the limitations of narrowly focused sectarian approaches and resulting in more holistic and fruitful interpretations of archaeological data. There is also growing realism in assessing the limitations of archaeological data at the same time that there is greater flexibility in seeking ways to overcome these limitations. These developments draw upon past as well as present archaeological accomplishments. It is therefore a useful time to review archaeological thinking from a historical perspective.

A brief statement of my own theoretical position is in order. I have always regarded a materialist outlook as being more productive of an understanding of human behaviour than any other approach. Intelligently applied, it in no way diminishes an appreciation of the



#### Preface

unique characteristics of the human mind, while it facilitates the insertion of social science theory into a broader biological understanding of human origins and behaviour. Yet I have never found that ecological determinism, neo-evolutionary theory, or cultural materialism provide satisfactory explanations of the full range of variation found in human behaviour or of the various complexities of concrete sequences of cultural change. Throughout my career I have sought to reconcile a materialist approach with efforts to account for the historical diversity that characterizes the archaeological record. This has fostered my growing appreciation of historical materialism, to which I was initially attracted by my efforts to understand the past rather than as a result of dogmatic political convictions. In particular, I have found Gordon Childe's historically and contextually oriented Marxism to be infinitely preferable to the more deterministic forms of evolutionary Marxism or the flirting with idealism that characterizes much so-called neo-Marxism.

While this book has been written as a unit, I have drawn to varying degrees upon my previous writings. The outline of the study of the history of archaeology in the bibliographical essay for chapter one is based heavily on Trigger (1985a). Many of the ideas used to structure chapters four and five were developed in Trigger (1978a) and (1984a), while the sections dealing with Childe in chapters five and seven are based on Trigger (1980a) and more particularly Trigger (1984b) and (1986c). Chapter six is based in part on Trigger (1984c). although the views that I have expressed about Soviet archaeology in that paper have been considerably modified. Chapter nine makes use of ideas developed in Trigger (1982a, 1984e, 1985b, 1985d, 1988). Some of the references cited in chapter six were located by Rosemarie Bernard in the course of writing her McGill undergraduate honours thesis 'Marxist Archaeologies: A History of their Development in the U.S.S.R., Europe, and the Americas' (1985). I am also grateful to Peter Timmins for his advice in drafting the section of chapter nine dealing with site-formation processes. For factual information and bibliographical assistance I thank Chen Chun, Margaret Deith, Brian Fagan, Norman Hammond, Fumiko Ikawa-Smith, June Kelley, Philip Kohl, Isabel McBryde, Mary Mason, Valerie Pinsky, Neil Silberman, Robert Vogel, Alexander von Gernet, Michael Woloch, and Alison Wylie, as well as many other colleagues around the world who have sent me reprints of their papers.



#### Preface

The history of archaeology is not a new subject. Hence anyone writing a general study is standing on the shoulders of his predecessors. Because of that, wherever it has seemed appropriate to do so, I have cited authoritative secondary sources rather than extended an already mammoth bibliography with references to still more primary sources that are impossible to obtain in most libraries. I have, however, whenever possible, examined these primary sources and where discrepancies have been found I have abandoned defective secondary ones or drawn attention to their shortcomings. Where old and inaccessible works are easily available in reprinted form (and in English translation), I have cited the latter, adding the date of the original in square brackets.

Research for this book was greatly assisted by a sabbatical leave from McGill University and a Canada Council Leave Fellowship in 1976–7, while some further work was done during another sabbatical leave when I held a Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Leave Fellowship in 1983. I wish to thank both undergraduate and graduate students who have taken 'History of Archaeological Theory' for their many contributions to the development of the ideas expounded in this book. I also thank my daughters, Isabel and Rosalyn, for help with word-processing and encouraging maximum clarity of expression. Finally I dedicate this book to my wife, Barbara.