

Viable populations for conservation



VIABLE POPULATIONS FOR CONSERVATION

EDITED BY MICHAEL E. SOULÉ

School of Natural Resources, University of Michigan





> Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1987

First published 1987 Reprinted 1988, 1989, 1990, 1993, 1996

British Library cataloguing in publication data
Viable populations for conservation.

1. Nature conservation

I. Soulé, Michael E.
639.9 QH75

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data Viable populations for conservation.

Includes index.

1. Population biology.

2. Wildlife conservation.

3. Extinction (Biology)

I. Soulé, Michael E.

QH352.V53 1987 574.5′248 86-33438

ISBN 0 521 33390 3 hardback ISBN 0 521 33657 0 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2004



For Paul R. Ehrlich, pioneer and prophet



Contents

	List of contributors	viii
	Preface	хi
1	Introduction Michael E. Soulé	1
2	The demography of chance extinction Daniel Goodman	11
3	Extinction models and mammalian persistence Gary E. Belovsky	35
4	Minimum viable population size in the presence of catastrophes Warren J. Ewens, P. J. Brockwell, J. M. Gani, and S. I. Resnick	59
5	Minimum viable populations: coping with uncertainty Mark Shaffer	69
6	Effective population size, genetic variation, and their use in population management Russell Lande and George F. Barrowclough	87
7	Spatial structure and population vulnerability <i>Michael E. Gilpin</i>	125
8	Managing critically endangered species: the Sumatran rhino as a case study Lynn A. Maguire, Ulysses S. Seal and Peter F. Brussard	141
9	The role of interagency cooperation in managing for viable populations Hal Salwasser, Christine Schonewald-Cox, and Richard Baker	159
10	Where do we go from here? Michael E. Soulé	175
	Index	185



Contributors

Richard Baker Department of Environmental Studies Wickson Hall University of California at Davis Davis, CA 95616

George F. Barrowclough Department of Ornithology American Museum of Natural History New York, NY 10024

Gary E. Belovsky School of Natural Resources Dana Building University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1115

P. J. Brockwell Department of Statistics Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80521

Peter F. Brussard Department of Biology Montana State University Bozeman, MT 59717

Warren J. Ewens Department of Biology University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104

J. M. Gani Department of Statistics University of Kentucky Lexington, KT 40506



x Contributors

Michael E. Gilpin Department of Biology (C-016) University of California at San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

Daniel Goodman
Department of Biology
Montana State University
Bozeman, MT 59717

Russell Lande Department of Biology University of Chicago Chicago, IL 60637

Lynn A. Maguire School of Forestry and Environmental Studies Duke University Durham, NC 27706

S. I. Resnick Department of Statistics Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80521

Hal Salwasser USDA Forest Service P.O. Box 2417 Washington, DC 20013

Christine Schonewald-Cox Department of Environmental Studies Wickson Hall University of California at Davis Davis, CA 95616

Ulysses S. Seal VA Medical Center 54th St and 48th Ave South Minneapolis, MN 55417

Mark Shaffer 1937A Villa Ridge Drive Reston, VA 22090

Michael E. Soulé School of Natural Resources University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1115



Preface

As the biosphere retreats in the face of physically superior forces, some conservation biologists are tempted to employ emotive rhetoric in the defense of ecological and species diversity, believing that such utterances will inspire others to join in their cause. Such a tactic may not be appropriate in a volume directed at managers and scholars, so let me only say that the subject of this book is central to conservation and conservation biology. It is also distinguished by its intellectual challenge.

The 'viable population problem' is very young. As documented in Chapter 1, it is only in the last decade or so that its importance has become recognized and its complexity appreciated. Herein we describe the significant advances that have already occurred. Our purpose is to spur increased interest in this aspect of conservation biology.

The logic of this volume is accretionary. Chapter 1 describes the viable population issue, examines its history, and warns of its complexity. In Chapter 2, Daniel Goodman provides the first of several major elements – a theory of persistence based on population dynamics, especially the interaction of environmental variability and the rate of population growth. He shows how it is possible to directly estimate the likelihood of persistence. Some of his conclusions support earlier work of Roughgarden (1979) and Leigh (1981) (references in Chapter 3). In Chapter 2, Gary Belovsky tests the power of Goodman's model with actual data. He also uses established allometric relations to predict the sizes of viable populations for ecological and body size categories of mammals, producing some startling predictions.

In Chapter 3, Ewens, Brockwell, Gani, and Resnick examine the effect of some catastrophe models on the loss of genetic variation and on the probability of persistence. Following this, Shaffer (Chapter 4) synthesizes the results of Goodman, Belovsky, and Ewens, et al., and writes about the



Preface

relative importance of three different kinds of chance events: demographic, environmental, and catastrophic.

In Chapter 6, Lande and Barrowclough review the genetic components of viability analysis and discuss the factors that enter into the calculation of effective population size. Gilpin (Chapter 7) elaborates on the issue of effective population size at the level of the metapopulation. He also explains the behavior of a metapopulation model that can produce interesting aggregations of patch populations.

What are our options when extinction in nature appears inevitable, or when there is insufficient space available *in situ* for a self-sustaining viable population? In Chapter 8, Maguire, Seal, and Brussard show how decision analysis can be used to compare potential management interventions, using the Sumatran rhinoceros as an example.

In many situations, success depends on cooperation among different governmental and non-governmental agencies and organizations. In Chapter 9, Salwasser, Schonewald-Cox and Baker review this issue and illustrate it with case histories and scenarios.

The final chapter is both retrospective and prospective. A synthesis is attempted, several caveats and qualifications are noted, and the possibility of a general, integrated theory of viability analysis is discussed, and directions for further research are suggested.

The book is in part the result of a workshop held at the University of Michigan in October 1984. The chapters were written in 1985 and 1986. Sponsors of the workshop included the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, the United States Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, the New York Zoological Society, the Griffin Foundation, and the National Wildlife Federation.

I wish to personally thank my fellow authors, and my colleagues and students at the University of Michigan, especially Kathy Rude for her organizational assistance. This book would have been impossible without the encouragement and collaboration of David Hales and the support of James Crowfoot. In addition, Bill Conway, Tom Lovejoy, Hal Salwasser, and Chris Servheen have provided moral support and assistance in funding. Bruce Wilcox, Jim Brown, and Egburt Leigh III contributed freely of their editorial assistance and advice. Julie Wick contributed her word-processing skills.