

## INDEX

- Achterberg, Cheryl, 116f  
 audio-tutorial instruction, 86–7, 138  
 Ausubel, David P., 122, 152, 154, 171; cognitive learning theory, 12, 40, 70, 97–105; meaningful learning, 7
- Benbow, C. P., and J. C. Stanley, 161  
 Bloom, Benjamin S., 6, 23, 86n, 113, 172  
 Bogden, Christopher, 9  
 Brown, H. I., 139  
 Buchweitz, Bernardo, 74, 89, 90–1
- Cardemone, Peter, 9  
 children, acquisition of concepts, 94–5;  
   activities for concept mapping, 24–8;  
   examples of concept maps, 41, 106,  
   124, 176, 177, 178  
 Chen, Hai Hsia, 74, 89–90, 186  
 chunking, 67–9, 78n  
 cognitive map, 138–9  
 concept, 6, 41, 71; defined, 4–5; differentiation, 99–103, 104; introducing with mapping: grades 1–3, 24–8; grades 3–7, 29–31; grades 7–college, 32–4; introducing with Vee, 60  
 concept mapping (*see also* concept maps, hierarchical structure), alternative representational forms, 36–7, 39; as evaluative tool, 93–108, 138–40; to foster creativity, 17, 104–5; for interviewing, 122–4; introducing concept maps: grades 1–3, 24–8; grades 3–7, 29–31; grades 7–college, 32–4; nature and uses of, 15–24; as notes-taking tool, 49, 53; vs. outlining, 78, 82–3; in planning curricula, 77–87; in planning a paper, 49, 52–4; as preinstructional tool, 41–8; propositions, 15–20, 34, 36; redrawing, 35–6; related to road maps, 42–3; scoring, 28, 36f, 37f, 105, 107–8; use of arrows, 35, 38  
 concept maps (*see also* concept mapping), 14, EXAMPLES: art, 182; history, 41, 84–5; knowledge, 2; literature, 47, 182; marketing, 170; math, 102, 179; music, 147, 148; planning instructional program, 79; religion, 123; “rubber map,” 18; science: 21; (biology) 46, 58, 176(A), 177(D); (ecology) 22, 52, 122, 124; (genetics) 80–1; (meat science) 38; (nutrition) 180; (social science) 108, 178; (water) 16, 176(B), 177(C), 181; sports, 44–5, 50–1, 106  
 concept propositional analysis, 140–3  
 creativity, 17, 63, 88, 104  
 curriculum, 6; example: wastewater project, 83, 86–7; planning: use of concept maps, 77–87; use of Vees, 59, 88–91, 117, 164  
 cycle diagrams, 37, 39
- Dewey, John, 117  
 Donaldson, Margaret, 120, 137
- Easley, J. A., 95  
 Ebbinghaus, Hermann, 8–9  
 educating, defined, 5; four common-places, 6–7; honesty and responsibility, 9–11  
 evaluation (*see also* scoring), construct validity, 105; use of concept maps, 93–

## Index

- evaluation (*cont.*)  
 108; use of Vees, 111–18; and value,  
 109–13
- event, and concept maps, 5–6, 16, 24–5,  
 29, 32, 42; defined, 4; and Vees, 55–7,  
 58, 60, 71
- felt significance, 19
- Fennema, E., and J. Sherman, 161
- flow charts, 36–7, 39
- focus questions, defined, 60–1f; scoring,  
 71
- four commonplaces, 22–3, 159–60,  
 162–3, 164, 165, 171; defined, 6–7
- Gay, Geri, 170f
- Ghiselin, Brewster, 88
- Gilligan, Carol, 122, 138
- Glass, G., B. McGaw, and M. Smith, 165
- Gould, Stephen Jay, 93
- governance, 6, 59, 165; defined, 110
- Gowin, D. Bob, 13, 66, 117, 150, 154, 172,  
 173; five questions, 55; four common-  
 places, 6
- Gowin, D. Bob and Thomas Green, 173
- Gurley, 'Laine, 9, 114, 163
- Herrigel, E., 48
- heuristic, *see* Vee heuristic
- hierarchical structure, of concept maps,  
 15–7, 41, 53–4f, 82, 103; examples of,  
 100f, 101f, 108f; explained, 97–9; scor-  
 ing, 35, 36, 107
- Hoffman, Banesh, 93
- Holt, John, 6, 103
- instructional activities, *see* curriculum  
 planning
- integrative reconciliation, defined, 97;  
 explained, 103–5; scoring, 107
- interviews, conducting, 128–33; evaluat-  
 ing: (concept-mapping) 138–40; (con-  
 cept propositional analysis) 140–3;  
 (developmental categories) 138;  
 (Gowin's Vee analysis) 143–8;  
 (knowledge categories) 133–37; (rea-  
 soning categories) 137; Piagetian, 119–  
 21; planning, 120–28
- James, William, 4
- Keil, Frank, 137, 147
- Kinigstein, June, 9, 48
- knowledge (*see also* knowledge claims),  
 4, 149; metaknowledge, 7–9, 57, 59
- knowledge claims, 56, 61–2, 63, 66, 68,  
 72, 73; defined, 62; in interviews, 121;  
 and research, 171–2, 174
- Kohlberg, L., 138
- Kuhn, Thomas, 117, 139, 157
- Land Application of Wastewater proj-  
 ect, 83, 86–7
- Learner, 6
- Learning, 5, 162–3; discovery learning,  
 7; language learning, 17; meaningful  
 learning, 7, 15, 24, 31, 57, 107, 159–61,  
 166f; meaningful-rote learning contin-  
 uum, 8, 167f; metalearning, 7–9; re-  
 ception learning, 7
- Linking words, 25–9, 31, 32, 34–5, 78,  
 104; scoring, 36f, 37f, 105, 107
- Lochr, Raymond, et al., 87
- Macnamara, John, 120, 137, 147
- Marx, Karl, 114
- meaning, shared, 1, 110
- Melby-Robb, Susan, 41, 82, 164f
- milieu, *see* governance
- Miller, George, 68–9, 78n
- misconception, 20–1, 104
- Modgil, Sohan, and Celia Modgil, 120,  
 171
- Moreira, Marco, 9, 99n, 182
- motivation, 103
- Nadborne, Brad, 51
- Novak, Joseph D., 13, 59, 150, 154, 161,  
 171, 172; criticism of Piaget, 120, 137,  
 144; curriculum making, 117
- Novak, Joseph D., D. Bob Gowin, and  
 Gerard Johansen, 9, 95, 105, 160f, 161
- Nussbaum, Joseph, 137
- object, 110; and concept maps, 5–6, 16,  
 24–5, 29, 32, 42; defined, 4; and Vees,  
 55–7, 58, 60, 71

## Index

- Oram, Raymond, Paul Hummer, and Robert Smoot, 80  
 outlines, 78, 82–3
- Perry, William, 138
- Piaget, Jean, 23, 154, 156, 170–71; interviews, 119–21f; reasoning categories, 137, 144, 147
- Posner, George, 95
- Postlethwait, S., J. Novak, and H. Murray, 86
- predicability trees, 37, 39
- progressive differentiation, defined, 97; explained, 99–103
- propositions, 15–20, 34, 36, 124–25
- record(s) 5–6, 10, 55–6, 69, 60–2, 68; for research projects, 161, 164–6; transformation, 61–3, 64f, 65f, 68, 69f, 71, 166–71
- research, educational, 149–51; creating educational events, 157–61; data gathering, 161–6; data transformation, 166–71; knowledge and value claims, 171–4; proposals, 154–5; sample forms, 188–9; selecting a problem, 155–7; theory-driven, 151–4
- Ripple, R., and V. Rockcastle, 120
- Rodgers, Joann, 70
- Rorty, Richard, 111
- Rowe, Mary Budd, 131
- Rowell, Richard, 95, 96, 138
- Schwab, J., 6, 22, 59
- scoring, of concept maps, 36f, 37f, 105, 107–8; of Vees, 70–2, 118
- Simon, H., 78n
- socratic teaching, 129–30
- statistical methods, 168
- Stewart, James, J. Van Kirk, and R. Rowell, 99n
- subsumption, 101; defined, 97
- superordinate-subordinate, 16, 35, 41
- Symington, David, and Joseph D. Novak, 9
- teaching, 6, 160
- thinking and doing, 114–17
- “thinking, feeling, acting,” 6–7
- Thorsland, Martin, 165f
- Toulmin, Stephen, 139
- value, 157; claims, 66, 73, 173–74; and evaluation, 109–13
- Vee diagrams (*see also* Vee heuristic), EXAMPLES: art, 185; curriculum, 164; definitions, 56; format (simple), 3, 56, 143, (complex) 150; four commonplaces, 160, 162–63, 164, 165; governance, 165; history, 185; learning, 162–3; marketing, 183; parade of Vees, 152–3; sciences: 61, 63, 186, (biology) 115, 187, (matter) 145, 146, (nutrition) 116, (physics) 90; social sciences, 68; teaching, 160, 184
- Vee heuristic (*see also* knowledge claims, objects, records, Vee diagrams), 1, 5–6, 55–9, 150–2; applied to reading material, 72–3; defined, 55; and evaluation, 111–18; in instructional planning, 74–5, 88–91; introducing to students, 59–66; in research projects, 154–55, 159–60, 162–5; principles and theories, 63–6, 71; scoring, 70–2, 118; value claims, 66
- Volmink, John, 11, 179
- Waterman, Margaret, and Jane Rissler, 73
- Watson, James, 88
- Whitehead, Alfred North, 1
- Wiener, Norbert, 154