

Chapter I : TYPES OF CONVERGENCE

I.1. Introduction

In this work,  $E$  will always stand for a real Banach space unless otherwise stated. Most of the results in this book are true however in complex Banach spaces without any real change. The norm on  $E$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . When we say that  $F$  is a subspace of  $E$  we shall always mean a Banach subspace of  $E$ . The dual of  $E$  is denoted by  $E'$ .  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  will denote a complete probability space. This means that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of the set  $\Omega$  and that  $P$  is a countably additive measure with  $P(\Omega) = 1$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $P(A) = 0$  and  $B \subset A$  imply  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

I.1.1. Measurable functions

Let  $\chi_A$  denote the characteristic function of  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e. the function

$$\chi_A(\omega) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin A \\ = 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A \end{cases}$$

If  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and if  $x_i \in E$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we say that the function

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$$

is a stepfunction. Let a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$  be the almost everywhere (a.e.) limit of  $f_n$ , i.e. : there exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $P(N) = 0$  and such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$  :

$$\|f(\omega) - f_n(\omega)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Then we say that  $f$  is strongly measurable or measurable, in case there cannot be any confusion. If  $x'(f)$  is measurable for each  $x' \in E'$ , then we say that  $f$  is scalarly measurable.

Hence, in the definitions of scalar measurability, the nullset is dependent on the dual element  $x'$ . We obviously have that a strongly measurable function is scalarly measurable. The converse is not true, see Diestel and Uhl [1977], p.43. We shall often be dealing with scalar measurability as a working tool, but in this book only sequences of strongly measurable functions will be studied. A connection between strong and scalar measurability is given by Pettis' theorem.

Theorem I.1.1.1 (B.J. Pettis) : Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$  be a function.

The following two assertions are equivalent :

- (i)  $f$  is strongly measurable.
- (ii)  $f$  is scalarly measurable and  $f$  is essentially separably valued.

This means : there exists  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $P(A) = 0$  such that  $f(\Omega \setminus A)$  is separable.

For a proof, see Diestel and Uhl [1977], p.42. Hence in a separable Banach space  $E$  the two notions coincide.

### I.1.2. Integrable functions

Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$  be a function. We say that  $f$  is Bochner-integrable (w.r.t.P), or simply integrable, if there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of stepfunctions such that

- (i)  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , P-a.e., for  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii)  $\int_{\Omega} \|f_n - f_m\| dP \rightarrow 0$ , for  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Instead of (ii) we might have required :

- (ii)'  $\int_{\Omega} \|f_n - f\| dP \rightarrow 0$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as is easily seen. Note that (i)

implies that  $f$  is measurable. From (ii) (or (ii)') we can define the Bochner integral of  $f$  over  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  (or simply integral of  $f$  over  $A$ ) :

$$\int_A f \, dP = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n \, dP$$

where, if  $f$  is a stepfunction, say

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$$

we define

$$\int_A f \, dP = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i P(A_i \cap A)$$

Theorem I.1.2.1 (S. Bochner) : Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$  be a function. Then  $f$  is integrable iff  $f$  is measurable and  $\int \|\mathbf{f}\| \, dP < \infty$ .

We also have the following easily proved result :

Theorem I.1.2.2 : Suppose that  $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$  is integrable. Then  $f = 0$ , P-a.e. iff  $\int_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{f}\| \, dP = 0$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}_E^1(\Omega, F, P)$ , or  $\mathcal{L}_E^1$  if there is no confusion, the set of all integrable functions. The natural operations (defined pointwise) make  $\mathcal{L}_E^1$  into a vector space. It is semi-normed by the function  $f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{f}\| \, dP$ .

Hence  $L_E^1(\Omega, F, P) = \mathcal{L}_E^1(\Omega, F, P) / N$ , or  $L_E^1$  for short, is a normed space with

$$N = \{f \in \mathcal{L}_E^1 \mid f = 0, \text{ P-a.e.} \} .$$

This follows trivially from theorem I.1.2.2. We denote the norm on  $L_E^1$  by  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

Furthermore, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , define  $L_E^p$  to be the space of those functions  $f$  in  $L_E^1$  such that  $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p \, dP)^{1/p} < \infty$ . We put on  $L_E^p$  the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Also, for  $p = \infty$ , we define  $L_E^\infty$  to be the space of those functions  $f$  in  $L_E^1$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ . Here

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{ \lambda \mid P(\|f\| > \lambda) = 0 \}$$

Also  $L_E^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a normed space. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}$  we denote  $L_{\mathbb{R}}^p = L^p$ . We have, as is well known :

Theorem I.1.2.3 :  $L_E^p$  is a Banach space ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), for every Banach space  $E$ . As in the scalar case we recall that simple functions are dense in  $L_E^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ).

We note also the following result (see f.i. Dinculeanu [1967], p.187).

Theorem I.1.2.4 : Suppose that  $f \in L_E^1$ . Then  $f = 0$ , a.e. iff  $\int_A f dP = 0$ , for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L_E^1$ . We say that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{\|f_n\| > \lambda\}} \|f_n\| dP = 0$$

The following result is well known (see f.i. Chung [1974], p.96-97).

Theorem I.1.2.5 : Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L_E^1$ .  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable iff the following two conditions are satisfied :

- (i)  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} \|f_n\| dP < \infty$
- (ii)  $\lim_{P(A) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_A \|f_n\| dP = 0$ , i.e. : For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $P(A) \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$  we have :

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_A \|f_n\| dP \leq \varepsilon .$$

The following result is well known (see f.i. Breiman [1978], p.91-92).

Theorem I.1.2.6 : Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be uniformly integrable. Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e.. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \|f_n - f\| dP = 0 .$$

From this result, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem is an obvious corollary.

On  $L_E^1$  we consider a weaker norm, called the Pettis norm :

$$\|\cdot\|_{Pe} : L_E^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$f \rightarrow \|f\|_{Pe} = \sup_{\substack{x' \in E' \\ \|x'\| \leq 1}} \int_{\Omega} |x'(f)| dP$$

We have  $\|f\|_{Pe} \leq \|f\|_1$ , but  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are not equivalent norms, as we shall see later. That  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  is indeed a norm on  $L_E^1$  is seen from the following result and theorem I.1.2.4 :

Theorem I.1.2.7 :  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  is equivalent to the seminorm on  $\mathcal{L}_E^1$  (or norm on  $L_E^1$ )

$$f \rightarrow \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \int_A f dP \right\|$$

Indeed :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \int_A f dP \right\| &\leq \|f\|_{Pe} \leq 2 \sup_{\substack{x' \in E' \\ \|x'\| \leq 1}} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \int_A x'(f) dP \right| \\ &= 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \int_A f dP \right\| \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is a standard inequality for scalar measures; see f.i. Dunford and Schwartz [1957], p.97. We have said already that  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are not equivalent norms. In fact more is true :

Theorem I.1.2.8 : The following assertions are equivalent :

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  on  $L_E^1$  is complete.
- (ii)  $\|\cdot\|_{Pe}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are equivalent.
- (iii)  $\dim E < \infty$ .

Proof : (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is obvious.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from the closed graph theorem.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose  $\dim E = \infty$ . From the well-known Dvoretzky-Rogers theorem there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $E$  such that  $\sum x_n$  is unconditionally convergent and such that  $\sum \|x_n\| = \infty$ ; see e.g. Lindenstrauss

and Tzafriri [1979], p.16 or Dvoretzky and Rogers [1950]. From the unconditional convergence, it follows that :

$$\sup_{\substack{x' \in E' \\ \|x'\| \leq 1}} \sum |x'(x_n)| < \infty$$

Take now  $\Omega = (0,1]$  with Lebesgue measure, and take for  $n = 1,2,\dots$

$$A_n = \left(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right]$$

Put, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^k x_k \chi_{A_k}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_{p_e} &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\substack{\|x'\| \leq 1 \\ x' \in E'}} \int_0^1 |x'(f_n)| = \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\substack{\|x'\| \leq 1 \\ x' \in E'}} \sum_{k=1}^n |x'(x_k)| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| = \infty,$$

contradicting (ii). □

This method of proof will also be used in a more intricate way later on in this book.

## I.2. Adapted sequences

### I.2.1. Definitions

Suppose that  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $F$ .  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a stochastic basis.

Suppose that  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of integrable functions. Hence each  $X_n$  belongs to  $L^1_E(\Omega, F, P)$ . If every  $X_n$  is  $F_n$ -measurable, i.e., is the a.e. limit in  $E$  of a sequence of stepfunctions with steps in  $F_n$ , we say that

$(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an adapted sequence or a stochastic process. We remark that any sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L_E^1$  can be considered as an adapted sequence w.r.t.  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  denotes the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra keeping every  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  measurable.

A function  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called a stopping time w.r.t.  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Here we denote  $\{\tau = n\} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \tau(\omega) = n\}$ . The set of all stopping times is denoted by  $T^*$ . We order  $T^*$  as follows : for  $\sigma, \tau \in T^*$  we denote  $\sigma \leq \tau$  if  $\sigma(\omega) \leq \tau(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The subset of  $T^*$  consisting of all bounded stopping times is denoted by  $T$ . So  $\tau \in T$  if  $\tau \in T^*$  and  $\tau(\Omega)$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The order induced by  $T^*$  on  $T$  has the property that  $\mathbb{N}$  is cofinal in  $T$ . For  $\tau \in T$ , denote

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid A \cap \{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$X_\tau = \sum_{n=\min \tau}^{\max \tau} X_n \chi_{\{\tau=n\}} .$$

This means, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  :  $(X_\tau)(\omega) = X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega)$ . It is obvious that  $X_\tau$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable and that  $X_\tau$  is integrable. If  $\sup_{\tau \in T} \int \|X_\tau\| < \infty$  then  $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be of class (B).

Stopping times satisfy a "localization property" : Let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} \subset T$  and  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $(A_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\tau \in T$  if  $\tau =: \sigma_i$  on  $A_i$ . Indeed, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  :

$$\{\tau = k\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [A_i \cap \{\sigma_i = k\}]$$

$$\in \mathcal{F}_k$$

For the same reason we have that, if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau \in T$ , then  $\{\sigma = \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{F}_\tau$ . We denote  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\cup \mathcal{F}_n)$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , a subset of  $T$ , denote  $S(\sigma) = \{\tau \in S \mid \tau \geq \sigma\}$ .

All these notions can be generalized to adapted nets

$(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ , where  $I$  is a directed index set,  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_j \subset \mathcal{F}$  if  $i \leq j$ ,  $i, j \in I$  and  $X_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_i$ -measurable; for this, see chapter VI.

### I.2.2. Conditional expectations

Let  $G$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $F$ . We state and prove the following existence theorem for conditional expectations of functions in  $L_E^1$ .

Theorem I.2.2.1 : There exists a unique map :

$$E^G : L_E^1(\Omega, F, P) \rightarrow L_E^1(\Omega, G, P/G)$$

such that for each  $A \in G$  and each  $f \in L_E^1(\Omega, F, P)$  we have :

$$\int_A f \, dP = \int_A E^G(f) \, dP$$

Furthermore for each  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ ,  $E^G \in \mathcal{L}(L_E^p(\Omega, F, P), L_E^p(\Omega, G, P/G))$  and  $\|E^G\|_p \leq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the space of the continuous linear operators between two Banach spaces and  $P/G$  denotes the restriction of  $P$  to the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $G$ .

Proof : Fix  $A \in F$ . Define

$$\mu : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

by  $\mu(B) = P(A \cap B)$  for  $B \in G$ . Obviously  $\mu \ll P$  on  $G$ . So, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a function  $\varphi_A \in L^1(\Omega, G, P/G)$  such that :

$$\mu(B) = P(A \cap B) = \int_B \varphi_A \, dP$$

for each  $B \in G$ . Let  $f$  be a stepfunction of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$$

where  $x_i \in E$  and  $A_i \in F$ . Define

$$E^G(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varphi_{A_i}.$$

Then we see that the conditional expectation property is fulfilled on stepfunctions. Extension to  $L_E^1(\Omega, F, P)$  is possible since stepfunctions



are dense in this space. The uniqueness of  $E^G$  is obvious from theorem I.1.2.4. Linearity is obvious too. So we only have to show that

$$\|E^G\|_p \leq 1$$

for each  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ . Suppose first that  $f \in L^p$  and let at +b be a support line of  $\phi(t) = t^p$ . Then

$$aE^G(f) + b = E^G(af + b) \leq E^G(|f|^p)$$

Hence  $|E^G f|^p \leq E^G(|f|^p)$ , by taking suprema over the support lines. This inequality is called Jensen's inequality and is valid for any convex function  $\phi$ . From this :

$$\int_{\Omega} |E^G(f)|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|^p \tag{1}$$

Now let  $f$  be an  $E$ -valued stepfunction  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$ . Then :

$$\begin{aligned} \|E^G(f)\|_p &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| E^G(\chi_{A_i}) \right)^p dP \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( E^G \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \chi_{A_i} \right) \right)^p dP \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p dP \right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality by (1). This finishes the proof since stepfunctions are dense in  $L^p_E$ .  $\square$

$E^G(f)$  is called the conditional expectation of  $f$  w.r.t.  $G$ .

Example I.2.2.2 : If we start with  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$  as in I.2.1 and if we take  $f \in L^1_E(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , the sequence  $(E^n(f), F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an adapted sequence. In fact it is a martingale, converging to  $f$  a.e. and in the  $\|\cdot\|_1$  sense as we shall see later in chapter II.

Properties I.2.2.3 : We have the following properties of conditional expectations  $E^G$  :

- (i)  $E_E^{G,G} = E^G$   
 (ii)  $E^G(x) = x$  where  $x \in E$   
 (iii)  $E^G$  is linear.  
 (iv)  $E^G$  is a contraction.

It is interesting to note that these properties characterize conditional expectation operators in  $\mathcal{L}(L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P))$  if  $E$  has a strictly convex norm, i.e. : the unit sphere  $\{x \in E \mid \|x\| = 1\}$  contains no straight lines. For the proof, see Landers and Rogge [1981]. For properties of strict convexity, see Diestel [1975b], from p.23 on.

### I.3. Convergence

In this section we shall discuss some types of convergence which will be studied later on in the book.  $(X_n, F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes an adapted sequence with values in the Banach space  $E$ . One might as well take any sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L_E^1$ , but we study only adapted sequences in this book. On the other hand  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is always adapted w.r.t.  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $F_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Relations between different types of convergence will only be stated if they are well known. For comparatively new results we shall provide a proof.

#### I.3.1. Pointwise convergence

$(X_n, F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be strongly convergent a.e. to a (measurable) function  $X_\infty$  if there is a nullset  $N$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$ ,  $\|X_\infty(\omega) - X_n(\omega)\| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $(X_n, F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be weakly convergent a.e. to a (measurable) function  $X_\infty$  if there is a nullset  $N$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  and each weak-zero-neighbourhood  $V$ , there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$ ,  $X_\infty(\omega) - X_n(\omega) \in V$ .  $(X_n, F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be scalarly convergent a.e. to a (not necessarily measurable) function  $X_\infty$  if for every  $x' \in E'$ ,  $(x'(X_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges a.e. to  $x'(X_\infty)$ . Note that  $X_\infty$  is always scalarly measurable.