

## THE FIRST INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION



# THE FIRST INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

#### PHYLLIS DEANE

PROFESSOR OF ECONOMIC HISTORY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
AND FEILOW OF NEWNHAM COLLEGE

SECOND EDITION





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, VIC 3166, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1965, 1979

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1965 Second edition 1979 Reprinted 1982, 1983, 1984, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1990, 1992, 1998, 2000

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

British Library Cataloguing in Publication data
Deane, Phyllis.
The first industrial revolution.
Bibliography
Includes indexes.

1. Great Britain—Industries. 2. Great Britain—
Economic conditions—1760—1860. I. Title.
HC254.5.D3 1979 338'.0941 78—26388

ISBN 0 521 22667 8 hard covers ISBN 0 521 29609 9 paperback (First edition ISBN 0 521 04802 8 hard covers ISBN 0 521 09863 5 paperback)



#### CONTENTS

Prej	face to the first edition	page vii
Prej	face to the second edition	ix
I	The starting-point	I
2	The demographic revolution	20
3	The agricultural revolution	37
4	The commercial revolution	53
5	The transport revolution	72
6	The cotton industry	87
7	The iron industry	103
8	The sources of innovation	119
9	The role of labour	142
10	The role of capital	165
II	The role of the banks	183
12	The adoption of free trade	203
13	The role of government	219
14	Economic growth and economic cycles	238
15	Standards of living	<sup>2</sup> 55
16	The achievement	272
Guide to further reading		296
Subject index		308
Index of authors cited		315



#### PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

In recent years economists and policy makers have become increasingly concerned with questions of economic growth, and in particular with the problem of identifying the route by which today's poor countries might reach the high standards of living presently enjoyed by the industrial societies. Historians too have been impelled by the urgent contemporary problem to analyse their material in a new way, to apply the concepts of the economic-growth theorists and to seek the explanations underlying the relatively few cases of successful industrialization.

This book, which originated in a course of lectures given for undergraduates reading for Part I of the Cambridge Economics Tripos, is a product of the current interest in economic development. It is a study of the development of the British economy over the period 1750–1850 when the first industrial revolution took place and modern economic growth effectively began. The fact that the crucial break-through was achieved spontaneously and without the advantages of either planning or foresight seems to give it a special relevance to the problems of countries which are currently finding it difficult to begin or to sustain a process of industrialization. This is an attempt to apply the concepts and techniques of development economics to a vital section of the historical record.

Except in so far as it embodies some of the results of an inquiry into British economic growth which Dr W. A. Cole and I carried out at the Department of Applied Economics some years ago, it is not the product of original research. It draws heavily on the work of the now numerous economic and social historians who have been looking at the past through development spectacles, as well as on the classic histories of the industrial revolution; so much so indeed that this book is little more than a synthesis of their ideas and researches and the debt which it



#### viii PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

owes to them is inadequately reflected in the many direct quotations and footnote references.

There are four individuals to whom I should like to take this opportunity of expressing my gratitude more specifically, however. They are Professor Simon Kuznets, who first aroused my interest in the historical analysis of economic development; Professor T. S. Ashton, whose insight into this period of British history has coloured my own thinking to an immeasurable extent; Professor David Joslin, who read and commented on a very early draft; and Miss Edith Whetham, who put me right on some matters of agricultural history. It goes without saying that they are all innocent of any errors of fact, interpretation or analysis that I have committed here.

Cambridge, June 1965

P. M. D.



### PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

My aim in revising this book has been to take account of the major new knowledge and ideas on the industrial revolution emerging from the research results which have been published in the (roughly) fifteen years since the first edition went to press. The new knowledge has made it possible to write with more confidence or precision on a number of issues where paucity of evidence had led me to tentative, vague or misconceived conclusions. Lively recent debates in the journals and the criticisms I have got from reviews, colleagues and students (not only my own, but also from students who have been good enough to write to me on points of detail) have stimulated me to alter, or to put a different slant on, judgments which now seem to me to have been ill formulated. Apart from such rewritten passages, the major changes involved in the revision have taken the form of expanding the text in areas where we now know more, or where other questions have become of interest, and of incorporating references to recent publications. Those who are familiar with the first edition will find that the section which has been most extensively altered is the updated guide to future reading. In an effort to keep this deliberately introductory bibliography to a reasonable length, I have made way for new references by omitting some of the seminal items recorded in the first edition, knowing that they are fully referred to in the more recent sources, and I have generally omitted references to articles reprinted in books of readings on controversial topics already included.

Cambridge, July 1978

P.M.D.