

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors: W. SIDNEY ALLEN, B. COMRIE, C. J. FILLMORE
E. J. A. HENDERSON, F. W. HOUSEHOLDER, R. LASS, J. LYONS
R. B. LE PAGE, P. H. MATTHEWS, F. R. PALMER, R. POSNER, J. L. M. TRIM

Inflectional morphology



In this series

- I DAVID CRYSTAL: Prosodic systems and intonation in English*
- 2 PIETER A. M. SEUREN: Operators and nucleus
- 3 RODNEY D. HUDDLESTON: The sentence in written English
- 4 JOHN M. ANDERSON: The grammar of case*
- 5 M.L.SAMUELS: Linguistic evolution*
- 6 P.H.MATTHEWS: Inflectional morphology*
- 7 GILLIAN BROWN: Phonological rules and dialect variation*
- 8 BRIAN NEWTON: The generative interpretation of dialect*
- 9 R.M.W.DIXON: The Dyirbal language of North Queensland*
- BRUCE L. DERWING: Transformational grammar as a theory of language acquisition*
- 11 MELISSA BOWERMAN: Early syntactic development*
- 12 W.SIDNEY ALLEN: Accent and rhythm
- 13 PETER TRUDGILL: The social differentiation of English in Norwich*
- 14 ROGER LASS and JOHN M. ANDERSON: Old English phonology
- 15 RUTH M.KEMPSON: Presupposition and the delimitation of semantics*
- 16 JAMES R. HURFORD: The linguistic theory of numerals
- 17 ROGER LASS: English phonology and phonological theory
- 18 G.M.AWBERY: The syntax of Welsh
- 19 R.M.W.DIXON: A grammar of Yidin
- 20 JAMES FOLEY: Foundations of theoretical phonology
- 21 A.RADFORD: Italian syntax: transformational and relational grammar
- 22 DIETER WUNDERLICH: Foundations of linguistics*
- 23 DAVID W.LIGHTFOOT: Principles of diachronic syntax*
- 24 ANNETTE KARMILOFF-SMITH: A functional approach to child language
- 25 PER LINELL: Psychological reality in phonology
- 26 CHRISTINE TANZ: Studies in the acquisition of deictic terms
- 27 ROGER LASS: On explaining language change
- 28 TORBEN THRANE: Referential-semantic analysis
- 29 TAMSIN DONALDSON: Ngiyambaa
- 30 KRISTJÁN ÁRNASON: Quantity in historical phonology
- 31 JOHN LAVER: The phonetic description of voice quality
- 32 PETER AUSTEN: A grammar of Diyari, South Australia
- 33 ALICE C. HARRIS: Georgian syntax: a study in relational grammar

*Issued in hard covers and as a paperback



INFLECTIONAL MORPHOLOGY

A THEORETICAL STUDY BASED ON ASPECTS OF LATIN VERB CONJUGATION

P. H. MATTHEWS

Professor of Linguistics in the University of Cambridge

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS CAMBRIDGE LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE MELBOURNE SYDNEY



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521290654

© Cambridge University Press 1972

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1972 First paperback edition 1976 Reprinted 1981 Re-issued 2010

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 76-171678

ISBN 978-0-521-08372-0 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-29065-4 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

PΑ	RT I.	INTRODUCTION		
I	Prea	eamble		
2	The scope of a linguistic theory			
	2.I	Models of description	7	
	2.2	Generative grammars	8	
	2.3	Evaluation procedures	14	
3	Evaluating morphological theories			
	3.1	Adequacy	19	
	3.2	Simplicity	22	
	3.3	Appropriateness	26	
	3.4	Pragmatic considerations	29	
	3.5	The limits of objectivity	34	
PΑ	RT II	. MODELS OF INFLECTIONAL MORPHOLOGY		
4	Item	and arrangement morphology: introductory remarks	41	
5	Item	and arrangement morphology: an outline formulation	48	
	5.1	Basic terms and relations	48	
	5.2	Morpholexical and morphophonemic rule-systems	50	
6	Characteristic problems of inflecting languages			
	6.1	Morphological processes	57	
	6.2	Fused and cumulative exponents	65	
		6.2.1 Fusion	67	
		6.2.2 Cumulation	72	
		6.2.3 Sporadic or irregular examples	76	
	6.3	'Empty morphs' and intrusive elements	77	
	6.4	Can the morph be a signalling unit?	86	



More information

vi	Contents					
		6.4.1	Ambiguity at the morphemic level	bage 87		
		6.4.2	'Morphemic conditioning' of inflectional elements	92		
		6.4.3	The word as a morphological unit	96		
7	Item and arrangement versus word and paradigm					
	7.1	7.1 A preliminary version of 'Word and Paradigm'				
	7.2	Earlier motives for 'Item and Arrangement'				
	7.3	Simplicity versus appropriateness 110				
	7.4	Some	possible compromises	125		
		7.4.1	Morphemic components	125		
		7.4.2	'Item and Process'	127		
		7-4-3		e 132		
	7.5	Unive	rsal and restricted theories	147		
PΑ	RT III	. TOW	VARDS A GENERATIVE FORMULATION			
8	Prea	mble		159		
9	Word and paradigm morphology: model and morpholexical rules					
	9.1	Basic	terms and relations	160		
	9.2	Linea	ar and derivational systems	165		
	9.3	Struc	eture of the morpholexical rule-system	170		
		9.3.1	General remarks	170		
		9.3.2	Form and interpretation of derivational rules	175		
		9.3.3	Relations defined by the inflectional section	182		
		9.3.4	Ordering of derivational rules	191		
10	Som	e outs	tanding problems in morphophonemics	198		
	10.1	The	status of the basic representation	199		
		10.1	.1 Basic forms as an independent stratum	200		
		10.1	.2 The boundary between morpholexics and morphophonemics	208		
	10.2	Mor	phophonemics and phonotactics	218		
		10.2	.1 In terms of an interpretive phonotactics	223		
		10.2	.2 In terms of a generative phonotactics	235		



More information

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-29065-4 - Inflectional Morphology: A Theoretical Study Based on Aspects of Latin Verb Conjugation P. H. Matthews Frontmatter

			Contents	vi		
	10.3	Ordering of morphophonemic rules	page	250		
		10.3.1 The nature of the morphophonemic r system	ule-	252		
		10.3.2 Different types of ordering		259		
PAR	T IV.	TOWARDS AN EVALUATION PROCEDURE				
ΙΙ	Pream	nble		277		
12	Formal economy			279		
13	Some	alternative possibilities in morpholexics		292		
	13.1	Symbol type and similar measures		292		
	13.2	Generality versus length of derivation		297		
	13.3	Generality of formations and formation-classes 3				
	13.4	Exponence and similar factors		310		
		13.4.1 Factors based on the E-relation		315		
		13.4.2 Factors based on focal terms		319		
	13.5	Canonical formulae		327		
14	Problems of evaluation in morphophonemics			342		
	14.1	Congruence within the grammar		355		
	14.2	Conditions on abstract representations		365		
	14.3	Phonetic plausibility		376		
15	Concluding observations			391		
	Appendixes			396		
	References			401		
	Author index			419		
	Subject index			422		



Preface

This is effectively the first instalment of a work which was planned in two simultaneous parts. The second part is not yet ready for submission to a publisher; the editors have persuaded me, however, that the first may usefully be published as an independent volume.

Some of my friends have expressed surprise (or was it despair?) on learning that my research into morphology had reached such a length. Inflections are not a fashionable subject for linguistic theory. Moreover, the views which I hold are ones which only an ignoramus could consider original. But there is more to linguistics, one hopes, than the incessant unveiling of new or allegedly new grammatical theories. There is, in particular, a need for philosophical elucidation. What makes a linguist prefer one treatment of a language to another? When linguists differ, how far can the causes of their difference be resolved? A facile answer is that the issues reduce to simplicity; my detailed arguments help, perhaps, to discredit this panacea. An alternative fancy is to believe that they are issues of fact: one treatment is Correct and the others are simply Wrong. Like the Anabaptists of old, one is comforted by the invalidity of all other revelations. Now of course there are factual questions, and there are questions which we can answer by simplicity alone. But between these shallows there is an area requiring deeper exploration. By selecting a small aspect of language-structure, and debating each problem as carefully as I can, I have tried to draw attention to a few of the difficulties.

My arguments are entirely addressed to my fellow structural linguists. I do not know if a pure classicist could follow the arguments; I can only say that I would be very flattered if they tried. One consequence is that some of my readers will be ignorant of Latin. I have felt it my duty to assist these readers; in particular, I have adopted a transcription which is on strictly phonetic principles (thus kokwo: or koksi: in place of 'coquo', 'coxi' in the normal spelling), and I have given translations, word-byword glosses and other explanations where it seemed necessary. At the same time, other readers will have a better knowledge of Latin than I have. Will they bear with me if these expedients seem tiresome? It is



x Preface

hard to see what else I could do. Will they also forgive me if I refer to less than the minimum of manuals, dictionaries, and other factual contributions? The book is already over-annotated to some tastes; it is not in this theoretical context, moreover, that such classical scholarship as I possess can best be marshalled.

I would like to thank various colleagues who have helped me with this book. It began as a long work-paper; I am particularly grateful to F. R. Palmer for discouraging me from publication at that stage. Seven years ago it existed as the draft for a monograph; at that time I was much helped by comments from F. W. Householder. Fortunately, I did not like this version, and suppressed it apart from a few articles. In the past few years I have rewritten the theoretical part completely. During this rewriting I have had encouragement and comments from C. E. Bazell, R. W. P. Brasington, D. Crystal, S. C. Dik, E. C. Fudge, J. Lyons, F. R. Palmer and H. Pinkster, all of whom were kind enough to look at larger or smaller parts of the Ms. To these scholars I am very grateful; they will forgive me for the passages where I still disagree. To some (to Bazell, Lyons and Palmer in particular) I would like to believe that my debt is much wider. So too an earlier debt to W. S. Allen, who gave me my first supervision in linguistics.

Most of this book was written at the University of Reading. Some sections, however, were completed at Deccan College, Poona, and another part at King's College, Cambridge, from which the Ms goes to the Press. I would like to thank both colleges for their hospitality. I would also like to thank the Press for their forbearance (I have taken much longer to write this than I promised).

March 1971 P.H.M.