

NOMINALISM AND REALISM Universals and Scientific Realism VOLUME I



Nominalism and Realism

UNIVERSALS AND SCIENTIFIC REALISM VOLUME I

D. M. Armstrong

Challis Professor of Philosophy, University of Sydney





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521280334

© Cambridge University Press 1978

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1978
First paperback edition 1980
Reprinted 1988, 1990, 1995
Re-issued in this digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-21741-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-28033-4 paperback



THESE VOLUMES ARE DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF PROFESSOR JOHN ANDERSON



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following persons have read the whole or part of various drafts of *Universals and Scientific Realism*, and have helped me greatly with comments, criticism and encouragement: Keith Campbell, Lauchlan Chipman, Len Goddard, Frank Jackson, Bruce Langtry, Tom Rose, Jack Smart, Michael Tooley, Gail Tulloch and the anonymous referees for the Cambridge University Press. I have also profited greatly from seminars on the topic of universals which I have given for a number of years at Sydney University and also, during 1976, at La Trobe and Melbourne Universities. I am greatly indebted to Pat Trifonoff and Jackie Walter for typing successive drafts.

Despite the political upheavals in our universities in recent years, the Australian philosophical community has remained a good one to work in. Sydney University

D.M.A. May 1977



Contents

Introduction xiii

Volume I: Nominalism and Realism

PART ONE PRELIMINARIES

I	Predicates	
	1 Predicates as linguistic expressions	I
	11 Identity-conditions for predicates	6
	PART Two THEORIES OF UNIVERSALS	
2	Predicate Nominalism	
	1 Nominalism versus Realism	II
	II Varieties of Nominalism	I 2
	III Can predicates determine properties?	17
	IV Predicate Nominalism and two infinite regresses	18
	v Predicates and possible predicates	21
	vi Predicate Nominalism and causality	22
3	Concept Nominalism	25
4	Class Nominalism	28
	I Class Nominalism is committed to an ontology of classes	29
	11 Mereological Nominalism	34
	III Class Nominalism and co-extensive properties	35
	IV Can class-membership determine properties?	36
	v An argument from the identity-conditions for classes	37
	vi Only some classes are natural classes	38
	VII Class Nominalism and two infinite regresses	41
	VIII Class Nominalism and causality	42



viii	Contents	
5	Resemblance Nominalism	
	1 The Resemblance analysis	44
	II Over-determination of the paradigms	48
	III The symmetry of resemblance	49
	IV Can resemblance to paradigms determine properties?	50
	v The possible non-existence of paradigms	51
	vi Resemblance Nominalism and two infinite regresses	53
	VII Resemblance Nominalism and causality	56
6	Arguments for Realism	
	I Two statements about colours	58
	II Attribute variables	62
7	Transcendent universals	
,	I The nature of the theory	64
	II What relation holds between particulars and Forms?	66
	III Can Forms determine properties?	68
	IV The Forms and two infinite regresses	69
	v The Third Man	71
	vi The Restricted Third Man	72
	VII Transcendent universals and causality	75
	VIII Transcendent versus immanent universals	75
8	Properties and relations as particulars	77
	1 Arguments for Particularism	79
	11 Particularism and the Problem of Universals	82
	III The incoherence of Particularism	86
	PART THREE PARTICULARS	
9	Are particulars reducible to universals?	89
	I The Identity of Indiscernibles	91
	11 Another argument against the Bundle theory	97
	III Two further objections	98
10	The Lockean account of particulars	102
	I Unsound criticisms of the Lockean account	103



	Contents	ix
	11 What is the relation between substratum and	
	properties?	104
	III Another infinite regress	106
11	Particulars and universals I The properties of a particular are not related to that	0
	particular	108
	If Are there two senses of the word "identity"?	111
	III States of affairs	113
	IV Particularizing universals	116
	v Particularity and spatio-temporal position	118
	vi Particularity and spatio-temporal position (continued)	I 22
12	A world-hypothesis	126
	The argument of Volume II	133
	Glossary of terms used and principles formulated	136
	Works cited	141
	Index to Volume I	145
		• • •
Th	Volume II: A Theory of Universals se argument of Volume I	1
	PART FOUR PREDICATES AND UNIVERSAL	S
13	Relations between predicates and universals	
	I Empiricism and universals	7
	II Predicates without universals	9
	III Universals without predicates	I 2
	IV Types of predicate	14
14	Rejection of disjunctive and negative universals	
- 4	I Rejection of disjunctive universals	19
	II Rejection of negative universals	23
	,	ر+
15	Acceptance of conjunctive universals	
	1 Why conjunctive universals must be admitted	30
	11 The notions of whole and part	36
	III Strictly universal predicates and truth-functions	20



X	Contents	
16	The identification of universals I Identity-conditions for universals II Where do we start?	43
	If where do we start?	47
17	Different semantic correlations between predicates and universals	53
	PART FIVE PROPERTIES AND RELATIONS	S
18	Properties	
	1 Are all monadic universals properties?	61
	II Simple and complex properties	67
	III Unstructural and structural properties	68
	IV Two types of structural property	70
	v Numbers and properties	71
19	Relations	75
	I Relations and instantiation	76
	11 Relational properties	78
	III Relational Realism	80
	IV Internal and external relations	84
	v What relations are there?	88
	VI Particulars are never reflexively related	91
	VII Final remarks on relations	93
	PART SIX THE ANALYSIS OF RESEMBLAN	CE
20	The resemblance of particulars	95
	I The resemblance of particulars	96
	11 An epistemological difficulty	98
	III Sense-data and resemblance	99
21	The resemblance of universals (I): criticism of received accounts	101
	Reduction of the resemblance of universals to propositions about particulars	102
	11 The resemblance of universals as common properties of universals	105



	Contents	xi
	III The resemblance of universals as the relations of universals	108
	IV Determinables and determinates	III
	v Scepticism about the resemblance of universals	113
22	The resemblance of universals (II): a new account	116
	1 Determinables are not universals	117
	11 A solution in terms of partial identity	120
	III The solution extended to colours	124
	iv Homogeneous classes of universals	127
	v The Laws of Nature as linking homogeneous classes of universals	129
	vi Predicates and universals again	130
23	Higher-order properties I Second-order properties II The Principle of Order Invariance III Do second-order properties have properties? IV Higher-order relational properties	133 134 141 143
24	Higher-order relations	
	I Causality and nomic connection	148
	II Other second-order relations?	157
	III Do second-order relations fall under universals?	159
	IV Epistemological problems	162
	In conclusion	167
	Glossary of terms used and principles formulated	169
	Works cited	177
	Index to Volumes I and II	183



Introduction

It is argued in this work, first, that there are universals, both monadic and polyadic, that is, properties and relations, which exist independently of the classifying mind. Realism is thus accepted, Nominalism rejected. Second, it is argued that no monadic universal is found except as a property of some particular, and no polyadic universal except as a relation holding between particulars. Transcendent or Platonic Realism is thus rejected. Third, it is argued that what universals there are is not to be determined simply by considering what predicates can be applied to particulars. Instead, it is the task of total science, conceived of as total enquiry, to determine what universals there are. The view defended is therefore a scientific Realism about universals. It might also be called a posteriori Realism. The working out of a scientific Realism about universals is intended to be the special contribution of these volumes.

Contemporary philosophy recognizes two main lines of argument for the existence of objective universals. The first is, or is a descendant of, Plato's One over Many argument. Its premiss is that many different particulars can all have what appears to be the same nature. In the terms used by C. S. Peirce, different tokens may all be of the same type. The conclusion of the argument is simply that in general this appearance cannot be explained away, but must be accepted. There is such a thing as identity of nature.

I take this argument to be sound. But the argument is sometimes presented as an argument from general words. It is asked how a general term can be applied to an indefinite multiplicity of particulars. It is answered that these particulars must be identical in some respect. There are two disadvantages in presenting the argument in this linguistic fashion. First, it obscures the fact that the same term may apply in virtue of different natures of the different particulars. As a result, where Realism is embraced, it is likely to be a priori rather than scientific Realism. Second, presenting the argument



xiv Introduction

linguistically encourages confusion with an unsound argument to universals from *meaning*.

This second argument moves from the existence of meaningful general words to the existence of universals which are the meanings of those words. Universals are postulated as the second term of the meaning relation. The argument from ideal cases, such as Plato's perfect circle, is perhaps a special case of this semantic argument to universals.

I regard this second line of argument as completely unsound. Furthermore, I believe that the identification of universals with meanings (connotations, intensions), which this argument presupposes, has been a disaster for the theory of universals. A thoroughgoing separation of the theory of universals from the theory of the semantics of general terms is in fact required. Only if we first develop a satisfactory theory of universals can we expect to develop fruitfully the further topic of the semantics of general terms. Philosophers have all too often tried to proceed in the opposite way.

In this first volume, Nominalism and Realism, I criticize at length and reject various versions of Nominalism, together with Platonic Realism. I also examine and reject the view that properties and relations are as particular as the objects which have properties and relations. I conclude that we must admit objective universals which, however, cannot exist independently of particulars. I go on to examine the notion of a particular and reject the view that we can give an account of particulars as "bundles of universals". The conclusion drawn is that particularity and universality, irreducible to each other, are both involved in all existence. I end the first book by sketching a world-hypothesis which admits nothing but particulars having (universal) properties and relations.

The position reached at that point, though contested by many, is, at least in general outline, familiar enough. But in the second volume a detailed attempt is made to work out a theory of universals which is based upon natural science. In making this attempt, I enter relatively unexplored territory. For with the exception of a suggestive paper by Hilary Putnam (1970a) contemporary philosophers, at least, have largely ignored the possibility of developing a theory of objective universals, where the particular universals admitted are determined on the basis of scientific rather than semantic considerations. It might perhaps be argued that Plato in his later works, Aristotle and the Scholastic Realists were ahead of contemporary



Introduction

ΧV

philosophy in this matter, although handicapped by the relative backwardness of the science and the scientific methodology of their day.

My contention is that, by accepting this *a posteriori* Realism, the theory of universals, arguably the central problem of ontology, can be placed on a securer and more intelligible foundation than anything previously available. In particular, such a doctrine makes possible the reconciliation of an empiricist epistemology, which I wish to retain, with ontological realism about universals.

Not all particulars are first-order particulars. Universals themselves fall under universals. That is to say, universals have certain properties and stand in certain relations to each other. In the final part of the second book an attempt is made to work out a theory of higher-order universals, but, again, one which is compatible with an empiricist epistemology. Of quite particular importance is the topic of *relations* between universals. For this topic may hold the key to an account of the nature of causation and of nomic necessity. By this means, it may prove possible to answer Hume without sacrificing Empiricism.

Finally, a word on the phrase "a posteriori Realism". The phrase may suggest that the theory advanced in this work is supposed to be supported by a posteriori reasonings of the sort with which natural science has made us familiar. This is far from being the case. The reasoning will have the characteristically a priori flavour which philosophical reasonings, especially when they concern first philosophy, seem inevitably, if distressingly, to have. What is maintained is the proposition that what universals there are is to be determined a posteriori. The status of this proposition is, however, a further question. It may have to be established, if it can be established, by a priori or relatively a priori reasoning.