

STUDIES IN AESCHYLUS



STUDIES IN AESCHYLUS

R. P. WINNINGTON-INGRAM

Emeritus Professor of Greek Language and Literature in the University of London (King's College)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE
LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE
MELBOURNE SYDNEY



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521270892

© Cambridge University Press 1983

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1983
Re-issued in this digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 82–18155

ISBN 978-0-521-24938-6 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-27089-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



TO MY WIFE



CONTENTS

Preface		ix
Bibliograp	hy of short titles	xii
Part One:	the earlier plays	
I Zeus in I	Zeus in Persae	
2 Septem co	2 Septem contra Thebas	
3 The Dan	aid trilogy	55
Part Two:	Oresteia	
4 Oresteia:	introductory	73
5 Agamem	non and the Trojan War	78
6 Clytemn	estra and the vote of Athena	101
7 Orestes a	nd Apollo	132
8 Zeus and	the Erinyes	154
Part Three		
9 Towards	an interpretation of Prometheus Bound	175
Append	ixes	
A A word	in Persae	198
B Agamem	non 385f.	199
C Agamem	/	202
	non 037	202
D Agamem	non 631 non 681ff. (the second stasimon)	203
0		
0	non 681ff. (the second stasimon) non 1343–71	203
E Agamem	non 681ff. (the second <i>stasimon</i>) non 1343–71 ri 691–9	203 208
E AgamemF ChoephoG Eumenid	non 681ff. (the second <i>stasimon</i>) non 1343–71 ri 691–9	203 208 216



PREFACE

In this book I have assembled most of my previously published work on Aeschylus – and have added to it. All the extant tragedies are covered, and I trust that what emerges, from the old and the new taken together, is a fairly comprehensive interpretation of Aeschylean tragedy as it touches the god-given destinies of individual, family and city, and of the whole human race. The core of the book consists of five chapters on *Oresteia*, where alone we encounter at its height the majesty of the poet's art and the profundity of his thought. But there was a problem here. Chapters 5–8 all deal with distinct and, to some extent, separable themes; and it seemed desirable that in each case the full evidence and argument, without need of constant cross-reference, should be before the reader; the texture of the trilogy being, however, so close, some repetition was unavoidable; I have endeavoured to reduce it to the minimum.

The bibliography of Aeschylus is vast, but fairly accessible; and I have sometimes referred to works which are strong in this line. (The Cambridge History of Classical Literature, Vol. 1, will include an extensive bibliography.) I have myself made no attempt at a comprehensive or well balanced list: my Bibliography of Short Titles has a strictly practical aim, being limited to works which are referred to on a number of occasions. I have made one exception: there has been such a proliferation of books and articles dealing with Septem (and the role of Eteocles) that it seemed more convenient to the reader, in chapter 2, to retain and update the existing bibliographical note from Yale Classical Studies rather than scatter the references in a general list. In the text, the reader will observe that, in a controversial field, space is only given to the exposition and discussion of variant interpretations where this is directly relevant to my argument: otherwise the book would have been much longer and not, I fancy, more

ix



x Preface

useful. As in my recent book on Sophocles, Greek – untranslated or unparaphrased – has been kept out of the text so far as possible, in the hope that the book may be of interest to some Greek-less readers.

My debts are manifold but not always easy to define. My love of Aeschylus goes back to the early 1920s, when in the Sixth Form at Clifton I read Agamemnon with that gifted teacher C.F. Taylor and by him was introduced to a great tradition of Aeschylean interpretation, that of Walter Headlam; and I share the debt we all owe to my old friend and contemporary George Thomson for the publication and continuation of Headlam's work. The 1930s were for me, where Aeschylus is concerned, a period of continuous excitement which led to prototypes of chapters 1, 6 and 7. After the Second World War came important works by Reinhardt, Solmsen and Kitto, and Fraenkel's great edition of Agamemnon, which resumed with massive scholarship a long tradition of work on Aeschylus and added his own insights, sometimes fallible but often acute. Fraenkel in Oxford and Page in Cambridge were potent influences. From the quiet seclusion of the metropolis it was possible to view the work of these two outstanding scholars with some detachment, absorbing here and rejecting there. It is partly no doubt to their influence that we owe the welcome efflorescence of Aeschylus studies in this country in the work of Garvie, Dawe, Taplin, Griffith and other younger Aeschyleans, among whom I mention with sadness the late Colin Macleod. The flow of valuable work continues, and there are recent publications of which I have been unable to take account in this book.

For permission to reprint published articles I am grateful to the Council of the Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies, to the Director of the University of London Institute of Classical Studies, to the Classical Journals Board and the Oxford University Press. I wish to thank a number of friends for their encouragement and for help of various kinds: Mrs P.E. Easterling, Dr Oliver Taplin, Mr Ewen Bowie, and especially Mr Edward Whittle, who performed the ultimate service of reading my proofs. In the Press, I am greatly indebted to the skill and consideration of Ms Pauline Hire and Ms Susan Moore.

When friendly reviewers of my *Sophocles* used the term 'old-fashioned' of my critical approach, it was not, I fancy, in disparagement. Of course all authors like to think that their work is, if anything, in advance of its time, but there is one respect in which I am bound to admit the soft impeachment. Towards more fashionable lines of interpretation I have no hostility in principle, but merely a certain reserve (due partly to ignorance)



Preface xi

and a strong conviction that the possibilities of a more conventional approach are by no means exhausted; that it is still possible to say helpful things about society without being a Marxist, about sex without being a Freudian, and about structure without being a 'structuralist'. Indeed the more one is concerned with structure in the sense of form, the further 'structures' seem to retreat into their subliminal fastnesses. For structure is something imposed by the artist, deliberately, upon his material; and the greater the rational control, the more sense it makes to ask what the artist 'meant'; and, with a poet and dramatist, patiently to study his text in the hope of discovering what he 'meant'. 'Polysemic' interpretation may be all very well in certain fields of criticism, but in the case of the Greek tragedians, who combined an unrivalled power in the depiction and evocation of emotion with a strong rationality, it may be little better than evasion of choice. Literary criticism need not end, but should begin, with the attempt - desperate though it may be - to enter into the mind of the author. Such an attempt is made in this book.

London, February 1983

R.P. WINNINGTON-INGRAM



BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SHORT TITLES

Broadhead: H. D. Broadhead (ed.) The Persae of Aeschylus (Cambridge 1960)

Daube: B. Daube, Zu den Rechtsproblemen in Aischylos' Agamemnon (Zürich & Leipzig 1938)

Di Benedetto: V. Di Benedetto, L'ideologia del potere e la tragedia greca: ricerche su Eschilo (Turin 1978)

Dodds (1): E. R. Dodds, The Greeks and the irrational (Berkeley & Los Angeles 1951)

Dodds (2): E. R. Dodds, The ancient concept of progress (Oxford 1973)

Dodds (3): E. R. Dodds, 'Morals and politics in the Oresteia', PCPS n.s. 6 (1960) 19-31 (= The ancient concept of progress 45-63)

Dover: K. J. Dover, 'The political aspect of Aeschylus's *Eumenides*', *JHS* 77 (1957) 230-7

Edwards: M. W. Edwards, 'Agamemnon's decision: freedom and folly in Aeschylus', CSCA 10 (1977) 17-38

Fraenkel: E. Fraenkel (ed.), Aeschylus Agamemnon (Oxford 1950) 3 vols.

von Fritz (1): K. von Fritz, 'Die Gestalt des Eteokles in Aeschylus' Sieben gegen Theben', in Antike und moderne Tragödie (Berlin 1962) 193–226

von Fritz (2): K. von Fritz, 'Die Danaidentrilogie des Aeschylus', *Philologus* 91 (1936) 121-35, 249-69 (= *Antike und moderne Tragödie* 160-92)

Gagarin: M. Gagarin, Aeschylean drama (Berkeley & Los Angeles 1976)

Garvie (1): A. J. Garvie, Aeschylus' Supplices: play and trilogy (Cambridge 1969)

Garvie (2): A. J. Garvie, 'The opening of the Choephori', BICS 17 (1970) 79-91

Griffith (1): M. Griffith, The authenticity of Prometheus Bound (Cambridge 1977)

Griffith (2): M. Griffith, 'Aeschylus, Sicily and Prometheus', in *Dionysiaca*, ed. R. D. Dawe et al. (Cambridge 1978)

Groeneboom: P. Groeneboom (ed.), Sept., Prom., Ag., Cho., Eum. (Groningen 1930-53), Pers. (German transl., Göttingen 1960)

Haldane: J. A. Haldane, 'Musical themes and imagery in Aeschylus', JHS 85 (1965) 33-41

Kaufmann-Bühler: D. Kaufmann-Bühler, Begriff and Funktion der Dike in den Tragödien des Aischylos (Bonn 1955)

Kitto (1): H. D. F. Kitto, Greek tragedy (London 1939, 3rd ed. 1961)

Kitto (2): H. D. F. Kitto, Form and meaning in drama (London 1956)

Kitto (3): H. D. F. Kitto, Poiesis: structure and thought (Berkeley & Los Angeles 1966)

xii



Bibliography of short titles

xiii

Knox: B. M. W. Knox, The heroic temper (Berkeley & Los Angeles 1964)

Lebeck: A. Lebeck, The Oresteia: a study in language and structure (Washington 1971)

Lesky (1): A. Lesky, 'Eteokles in den Sieben gegen Theben', WS 74 (1961) 5-17

Lesky (2): A. Lesky, Die tragische Dichtung der Hellenen (3rd ed., Göttingen 1972)

Lloyd-Jones: H. Lloyd-Jones, The justice of Zeus (Berkeley & Los Angeles 1971)

Lucas: D. W. Lucas, The Greek tragic poets (London 1950, 2nd ed. 1959)

Macleod (1): C. W. Macleod, 'Politics and the Oresteia', JHS 102 (1982) 124–44 (a revised and expanded version of 'L'unità dell' Orestea', Maia n.s. 25 (1973) 267–92)

Macleod (2): C. W. Macleod, 'Clothing in the Oresteia', Maia n.s. 27 (1975) 201-3

Mazon: P. Mazon (ed.), Eschyle (Budé, 6th ed., Paris 1953) 2 vols.

Méautis: G. Méautis, Eschyle et la trilogie (Paris 1936)

Murray: R. D. Murray, Jr, The motif of Io in Aeschylus' Suppliants (Princeton 1958)

Page: (where the reference is to a commentary) J. D. Denniston and D. L. Page (eds.), Aeschylus Agamemnon (Oxford 1957); (where the reference is to a text) D. L. Page (ed.) Aeschyli septem quae supersunt tragoediae (Oxford 1972)

Peradotto: J. J. Peradotto, 'The omen of the eagles and the $H\Theta O\Sigma$ of Agamemnon', *Phoenix* 23 (1969) 237–63

Petrounias: E. Petrounias, Funktion und Thematik der Bilder bei Aischylos (Göttingen 1976)

Pohlenz: M. Pohlenz, Die griechische Tragödie (2nd ed., Göttingen 1954) (2 vols.)

Reinhardt: K. Reinhardt, Aischylos als Regisseur und Theologe (Bern 1949)

Rose: H. J. Rose, A commentary on the surviving plays of Aeschylus (Amsterdam 1958) 2 vols.

Stanford: W. B. Stanford, 'Γυναικός ἀνδρόβουλον ἐλπίζον κέαρ (Agamemnon line 11)', CQ 31 (1937) 92f.

Stinton: T. C. W. Stinton, 'The first stasimon of Aeschylus' Choephori', CQ 29 (1979) 252-62

Taplin: O. Taplin, The stagecraft of Aeschylus (Oxford 1977)

Thomson (1): G. Thomson, Aeschylus and Athens (2nd ed., London 1946)

Thomson (2): G. Thomson (ed.), Aeschylus, the Prometheus Bound (Cambridge 1932)

Thomson (3): G. Thomson (ed.), The Oresteia of Aeschylus (Cambridge 1938) 2 vols. [Rev. ed. (Prague 1966) 2 vols.]

Tucker (1): T. G. Tucker (ed.), Aeschylus, Seven against Thebes (Cambridge 1908)

Tucker (2): T. G. Tucker (ed.), The Choephori of Aeschylus (Cambridge 1901)

Vernant: J.-P. Vernant and Vidal-Naquet, P., Mythe et tragédie en Grèce ancienne (Paris 1973)

Vickers: B. Vickers, Towards Greek tragedy (London 1973)

West: M. L. West, 'The Prometheus trilogy', JHS 99 (1979) 130-48

Wilamowitz (1): U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, Aischylos Interpretationen (Berlin 1914)

Wilamowitz (2): U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf (ed.), Aischylos Orestie II (Das Opfer am Grabe) (Berlin 1896)

Winnington-Ingram: R. P. Winnington-Ingram, Sophocles: an interpretation (Cambridge 1980)