NATURE'S TRUST

Environmental law has failed us all. As ecosystems collapse across the globe and the climate crisis intensifies, environmental agencies worldwide use their authority to permit the very harm that they are supposed to prevent. Growing numbers of citizens now realize they must act before it is too late. This book exposes what is wrong with environmental law and offers transformational change based on the public trust doctrine. An ancient and enduring principle, the trust doctrine asserts public property rights to crucial resources. Its core logic compels government, as trustee, to protect natural inheritance such as air and water for all humanity. Propelled by populist impulses and democratic imperatives, the public trust surfaces at epic times in history as a manifest human right. But until now it has lacked the precision necessary for citizens, government employees, legislators, and judges to fully safeguard the natural resources we rely on for survival and prosperity. The Nature's Trust approach empowers citizens worldwide to protect their inalienable ecological rights for generations to come.

Mary Christina Wood is the Philip H. Knight Professor of Law and Faculty Director of the Environmental and Natural Resources Law Center at the University of Oregon School of Law. She has taught law for more than twenty years, specializing in property law, environmental law, and federal Indian law. She founded the school's top-ranked Environmental and Natural Resources Law Program and initiated several of the program's interdisciplinary research projects, including the Native Environmental Sovereignty Project and the Food Resiliency Project. She is the coauthor of a textbook on natural resources law and one on public trust law. She has also authored many articles and book chapters on the federal Indian trust obligation, wildlife law, and climate crisis.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19513-3 - Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age Mary Christina Wood Frontmatter <u>More information</u> Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19513-3 - Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age Mary Christina Wood Frontmatter More information

Nature's Trust

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOR A NEW ECOLOGICAL AGE

MARY CHRISTINA WOOD

University of Oregon School of Law



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521144117

© Mary Christina Wood 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Wood, Mary Christina. Nature's Trust : Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age / Mary Christina Wood, University of Oregon School of Law. pages cm Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-19513-3 (hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-14411-7 (pbk.) 1. Environmental law – United States. 2. Conservation of natural resources – Law and legislation – United States. 3. Environmental law – Philosophy. I. Title. KF3775.W589 2013 344-7304′6–dc23 2013014274 ISBN 978-0-521-19513-3 Hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-14411-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19513-3 - Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age Mary Christina Wood Frontmatter More information

> To Sage, Cam, and Nick and all children of this world

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19513-3 - Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age Mary Christina Wood Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Contents

Preface	<i>page</i> xiii
Acknowledgments	xxi
PART I ENVIRONMENTAL LAW: HOSPICE FOR A DYING PLANET	
Introduction: "You Can't Negotiate with a Beetle"	3
The New Ecological Age	3
The Legal Membrane	5 8
Ecological Bankruptcy	8
The Illusion of Environmental Law	9
Climate Emergency and the Big Adaptation	10
Realism and the Inevitability of Transformational Change	12
Nature's Trust	14
A Populist Manifesto	17
1 "You Are Doing a Great Job"	19
Endangerment All Along	19
The Early Warnings	20
The Foxes Guarding the Greenhouse	22
Suppressing Climate Science	24
EPA's Petition Denial	28
The Legal Challenge to EPA's Denial	30
The Climate Whipsaw	34
"The Arctic Is Screaming"	35
Kansas on the Globe	36
"The Straw on the Camel's Back"	37
"This Is the Defining Moment"	38
The World Looks to Bali	39

viii	Contents	
	The No-Action Remand	43
	The Kingsnorth Trial	44
	The Copenhagen Collapse	46
2	Modern Environmental Law: The Great Legal Experiment	49
	Earth Day Optimism	51
	The Power Shift	52
	Partitioning Nature Through Statutes	54
	The Great Congressional Punt	57
	Death by Discretion	60
	The False Lure of Mitigation	63
	Bankrupting Nature under Environmental Law	64
	The Complexity of Not Saying No	65
3	The Politics of Discretion	68
	The Rule-Making Portal	69
	The Technical Decision Portal	74
	The Enforcement Portal	77
	Waiting for Political Winds to Turn	81
4	Behind the Grand Façade	84
	The Political Operatives	85
	The Politicization of Science within Bureaucracies	87
	The Politics of Self-Interest	89
	Bullying within the Federal Family	91
	The Department of Justice	92
	Office of Management and Budget	93
	Industry Corruption of Science: Manufacturing Doubt	95
	The Grand Façade	99
	Complexity, Techno-Jargon, Euphemisms – and Agency Spin	100
	Aggregating the Dysfunction	101
5	The Administrative Tyranny over Nature	103
	The Bulwarks of American Democracy	104
	A Dysfunctional and Disreputable Legislature	105
	The Diminished Judicial Branch	108
	Closing the Gates: The Standing Doctrine	108
	Narrow (Often Procedural) Statutory Grounds	109
	The Judicial Deference Syndrome	110
	The Ineffectual Remedy	112
	The Remote Public	113

	Contents	ix
	Environmental Groups: Middlemen of Democracy NEPA's Disappointment: Bureaucracy's Internal Conscience Fails Legal Requirements for Saving the Planet The Vessels of Democracy and State	115 117 119 120
PAR	RT II THE PEOPLE'S NATURAL TRUST	
6	The Inalienable Attribute of Sovereignty Popular Sovereignty and the Public Trust Constitutional Commonwealth: "Of the People, by the People, for the People" The Co-Trustees of Federalism Tribes as Trustees (Again) The Tripartite Structure of Public Trust An Encompassing Approach	125 125 129 133 136 138 140
7	The Ecological Res The Essential Trust Purpose The Traditional Scope Judicial Crossroads The Ecological Res Scientific Understanding Ecosystem Services as a "Public Concern" The Police Power's Concomitant Reach A Reserved Power Rationale Protecting Options on a Heating Planet A Factor-Based Approach to Defining the Res The Commons Distinguished	143 144 146 148 149 149 151 153 154 155 156 161
8	Fiduciary Standards of Protection and Restoration Politics as "Reality": The Controlling Frame of Environmental Law The Fiduciary Duty of Protection Protecting Posterity's Property: Our Children's Trust <i>The Renewable Resources</i> <i>The Nonrenewable Resources</i> Maximizing Societal Value of Trust Assets Waste Not, Want Not: The Rule Against Squandering Valuable Resources The Highest and Best Societal Use The Scrutiny of Private Use Phasing Out and Retiring Permits	165 167 169 169 173 175 175 177 179 181

х	Contents	
	The Duty of Restoration	182
	Recouping Natural Resource Damages Alienating the Trust	185 186
9	From Bureaucrats to Trustees	188
	Lead Us Not into Temptation: The Duty of Undivided Loyalty	189
	A New View of "Stakeholders"	190
	Congress: Political Contributions and Legislative Horse-Trading	191
	The Administrative Duty of Loyalty	193
	Eliminating Temptation	194
	Reporting and Disclosure Requirements for All Employees	195
	Voiding Decisions That Violate the Duty of Loyalty	196
	The Legislative Trustee's Duty When Delegating to Agencies and	
	Co-Trustees	197
	The Duty of Good Faith and Reasonable Skill	199
	Fiduciary Caution in Ecological Crisis: The Precautionary	
	Approach Furnishing Information to the Beneficiaries: The Trust Accounting	200
	A New Sense of Agency Mission	203
	Arrew sense of Agency Wission	205
10	Beyond Borders: Shared Ecology and the Duties of Sovereign	
	Co-Tenant Trustees	208
	The Failed International Diplomacy Model	210
	The Global Sovereign Co-Tenancy	212
	Identifying Planetary Property and Its Trustees	214
	Defining and Enforcing a Fiduciary Obligation toward	
	Planetary Assets	218
	Atmospheric Trust Litigation	220
	A Planetary Prescription for Climate	221
	An Organic Obligation: The Inexcusability of Orphan Shares	222
	The Waste Doctrine Applied Differentially to Carbon Reduction	224
	The Judicial Role	226
	The ATL Hatch	227
11	Nature's Justice: The Role of the Courts	230
	The Judicial Role in Environmental Democracy	230
	Judicial Review of Agency Action: The Deference Doctrine	235
	Review of Congressional Action: Judicial Checks and Vetoes	238
	The Modern Trust Remedy	240
	Forging New Judicial Models: Institutional Litigation and the	
	Structural Injunction	247

	Contents	xi
	Declaratory Judgment: Sidewalls and Benchmarks	248
	Defining Remedial Details: Measured Judicial Supervision	- 1 0 250
	Judicial Backstops and Punitive Measures	253
	Tackling Barriers: Budget Constraints and Law Reform	² 54
	Nature's Judges	255
Par	AT III THE PUBLIC TRUST AND THE GREAT TRANSITION	
12	Nature's Trust and the Heart of Humanity	261
	Process without Principle	261
	The Moral Compass of Nature's Trust	263
	The Covenant Between Generations	263
	The Commonwealth Ethic – Thou Shalt Not Steal	266
	Waste Not, Want Not	268
	Earth Jurisprudence and Natural Beneficiaries	269
	Awakening Environmental Democracy	272
	Citizen Beneficiaries	272
	Government's Legitimacy	273
	The Citizen as an Ethical Actor	275
	Religious Environmentalism and Nature's Trust	276
13	Using Earth's Interest, Not Its Principal	282
	Balancing Earth's Budget	286
	The End of Fossil Fuels	289
	From Growth to Stability	292
	Eliminating Waste and Pollution	294
	The End of Endless Consumption: Consumers Becoming	
	Producers	297
	Taming the Beast: A Corporate Trust Responsibility	299
14	The Public Trust and Private Property Rights	307
	The Bargain	309
	Land as Commonwealth or Commodity?	312
	A Commonwealth View of Property	313
	A Commodity View of Property	316
	The Boundaries of Worthless Deeds	318
	Public Trust Property and Restraints on Privatization	320
	Crucial Resource Revocations	323
	Public Hazard Revocations	326
	"The Earth Belongs in Usufruct to the Living": Trust Easements	
	and Servitudes on Private Property	328

xii

Contents

	The Public Trust Easement The Public Trust Servitude The Future of Property	328 329 333
15	The New World: A Planetary Trust	335
	Planetary Patriotism	340
	Safeguarding the Survival Trust: Climate Victory Speakers	342
	Waking Up to a New Reality	343
	Igniting Citizenship and Inspiring Leadership	344
	Modeling Individual Lifestyle Change	346
	Global Relocalization	347
	Humanity's Quest	349
	Humanity's Resolve	350
Note	28	353
Inde	<i>w</i>	
mue	Λ.	429

Drawn home over thousands of miles, by instinct honed over thousands of years, the fish circled together to shape loose sands into nests where they would lay their eggs. Clouds hung above them, darkly bruised with shades of winter. Hundreds of fins sliced through the water's surface, leaving soft riffles in the shallows. They were tired, but worked tirelessly, in the natal waters where they were born.

Within a few days these chum salmon would be corpses scattered along the tidelands, their descendants safely nestled in the ancient river cobble of the Columbia River. Their only legacy would be a continuous strand of life – here, and at no other place on Earth. These fish shunned other spawning grounds for one simple reason: they were not born there. What more perfect deed could they have to this land?¹

I grew up watching these salmon at a place we called Wood's Landing, located on the Columbia River in the state of Washington. This spot had existed as an Indian fishing camp when Lewis and Clark floated by in dugout canoes on November 5, 1805. Nature thrived plentifully during that time. Salmon crowded by the hundreds into small creeks along the river to spawn. Meadows, wetlands, and red cedar groves provided rich cover for migratory birds and deer, which supplemented the Indians' fish diet. Squaw grasses gave sturdy raw material for nets and baskets. Stringy bark from cedar trees provided fiber for canoes, clothing, and huts. Camas root and thimbleberries proliferated.

Early in my childhood, I became aware that tribal life ran ancient in the region and that the non-Indians were newcomers. The Indian fishermen had left a clear imprint of their life at Wood's Landing. The high waters that work away at the riverbank every spring occasionally exposed stone "sinkers" that tribal people chiseled and used to weigh down fishing nets to capture the salmon coming up the stream. Years later, as I embarked on a career teaching environmental law, I held the memory of those ancient anchors embedded in the sediments at the place where I grew up. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19513-3 - Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age Mary Christina Wood Frontmatter More information

xiv

Preface

As I began to focus my legal scholarship on Indian management of salmon, I realized that these sinkers gave clues to a remarkable story of environmental management. Tribes of the Columbia River perfected a system of harvest regulation that allowed return of 10 million–16 million fish a year to the basin. Oral history recounts that, even during times of food scarcity, Indian leaders exercised restraint so as not to diminish the species' capacity to support future runs. Under native stewardship, and even with concentrated human use, these populations were sustained for 10,000 years. Western environmental management fails to fathom such a time frame.

I began to think of tribal fishery management, having endured since time immemorial, as resembling a trust – guided by the interests of beneficiaries positioned several generations into the future. In Western property law, a trust bifurcates ownership between a trustee and a beneficiary. The trustee holds legal ownership and must manage the assets strictly for the advantage of the beneficiaries, who hold beneficial ownership. While the tribes would not have described their management in exact trust terminology, the old Indian proverb, "We do not inherit the Earth from our ancestors; we borrow it from our children," resonates as a trust in the deepest sense, both legally and culturally. To sustain a natural trust over many millennia, as the tribes of the Columbia River Basin did, requires extraordinary fiduciary stewardship. The "environmental law" emerging from tribal governance integrated the laws handed down by Nature itself.

But that is not the environmental law followed by industrialized society. Natural resources management changed radically when new sovereigns took control of the land. In the mid-1800s, the federal government forced the Columbia River tribes to relinquish most of their territory through treaties (though the tribes retained perpetual fishing rights on the lands they ceded). This huge property transfer positioned the newly created states of Washington and Oregon to control the salmon harvest. As novice governments, the states had no sovereign experience managing a natural resource. Presuming that the huge salmon runs remained inexhaustible, they allowed gluttonous harvest of the fishery by non-Indian commercial fishermen to serve a growing and insatiable global food market. Over just a few decades, these state trustees siphoned the abundance right out of the great salmon trust.

On the heels of this loss came a surge of industrialization and urbanization across the entire Pacific Northwest, affecting virtually every watershed used by salmon. Timber companies razed forests, pulp and paper mills polluted rivers, developers tore up wetlands, cities dumped sewage and toxins into waterways, and the federal government embarked on a dam-building frenzy, installing hydro facilities that posed death traps for salmon trying to migrate through the Columbia and Snake rivers. Those dams today kill more than 90 percent of the juveniles of some species.²

By 1995, the salmon's ecosystem had unraveled. The National Marine Fisheries Service declared that year, "Few examples of naturally functioning aquatic systems

now remain in the Pacific Northwest."³ Columbia River wild salmon runs dropped to about 2 percent of their historic levels. Some species passed into extinction. Tattered ecosystems imperiled coastal salmon stocks from California to Canada.

With the salmon trust bankrupt, the "interest" from this natural asset, as measured in fish harvest, diminished to nearly zero. In 1994, not enough salmon returned to the Columbia River to supply the tribal longhouses for their First Salmon feasts held in the spring to express reverence to the Creator for giving food to the people.⁴ The crisis stood as a poignant illustration of an inescapable fact: the colonial trustees upon this land had devastated in a mere century what the native trustees had managed with fiduciary care for millennia.

The breathtaking failure could not be blamed on any lack of environmental law. Plenty of statutes exist, along with even more regulations implementing them. Untold hours and millions of dollars have been spent on legal processes under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in the Columbia River Basin. Yet, even after two decades, litigation to save the salmon still drags on in the courts. To this day, environmental law has forced little improvement of basin conditions. The magnificent salmon, with their survival history of 5 million years on this planet, still swim in lethal waters toward extinction.

As a child growing up in the 1960s and 1970s, I witnessed part of this natural destruction from the banks of the Columbia River. My great-grandfather had purchased Wood's Landing in 1889 from a homesteader and had used the land for a small prune orchard. Until my middle-teen years, the area for many miles to the east and north still existed as farmland, as it had for the previous hundred years. For many generations, the fields, forests, and wetlands there had enticed children to explore, and I was no exception.

But children today will not have that opportunity, or anything close to it. Everything changed within a mere decade's time. In 1982, corporations constructed the enormous I-205 bridge over the Columbia River. It reaches like a dinosaur stretching over the river as if to devour the other side. Even before the ink dried on the final engineering plans for the bridge, land speculators from California bought all the farmland they could get their hands on, anticipating hordes of commuters traveling daily from Portland, Oregon, to new homes in Southwest Washington. Within only a few years, thousands of acres of farmland in Clark County, Washington, became wall-to-wall suburbia. The land speculators' quick fortunes bankrupted the county's natural assets.

Developers tore up cherished farms with abandon. Four-lane roads razed through fields even as vegetables pushed up through the soil. Bulldozers operated from dawn to dusk demolishing wetlands, creeks, forests, springs – anything that stood in the way of a developer's asphalt kingdom. Ripping up trees, tearing into soils, and bludgeoning delicate riparian areas, the giant machinery left no reminder of the ancient

xvi

Preface

civilization that once existed there. McMansions sprouted everywhere, as far as the eye could see, separated only by strip malls pimpled with huge box stores and fast-food joints. Upstream from Wood's Landing, the lumber mill ran at peak capacity, spewing toxic air emissions that often hung stagnant over the entire area. Pollution froth floated across the salmon spawning grounds at Wood's Landing, all day, every day. This environmental annihilation continued incessantly, all with the blessing of federal, state, and local agencies. Permits issued from these jurisdictions like rows of falling dominoes.

In the mid-1990s, my academic attention inevitably turned to the regulatory system and its perpetual failures. The story of environmental ruin in the Columbia Basin was being repeated across the United States and in many other countries as well. Environmental laws had achieved some success. But the industrial machine moves fast, and its swath of wreckage far exceeds the isolated instances of protection. Across nearly all fronts of ecological assault, environmental law has failed in its basic purpose to safeguard natural resources.

The situation has worsened dramatically over the last two decades. Today's environmental losses boggle the mind and sicken the soul – everything from fisheries collapse to wetlands destruction, deforestation, pesticide contamination, ozone depletion, water pollution, air pollution, endangered species, overgrazing, nuclear waste disposal, ocean acidification, biodiversity crisis, and climate change. Much of this damage stays permanent. The agencies implementing the environmental laws have become perpetrators of legalized destruction, using permit provisions contained in nearly every statute to subvert the purposes Congress and state legislatures intended.

Serious endemic flaws exist across the system as a whole. The same forces that caused the Forest Service to cut nearly all of the public's ancient forest in the Pacific Northwest also prompted the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to allow unacceptable levels of arsenic in drinking water. The same dynamics that moved city governments to issue permits for endless outbreaks of suburban sprawl caused water agencies to overappropriate rivers until many ran dry. The same pressures that drove the Corps of Engineers to allow wetlands destruction across the United States prompted the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to approve projects that pushed endangered species ever closer to extinction. These same forces now keep the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency from taking bold action to regulate carbon dioxide pollution as our planet heats to dangerous levels. Most worrisome, these dynamics persist at all levels of government. And they do not disappear with changes in political administrations – although some administrations produce far worse policy than others.

We ignore the colossal failures of environmental agencies at our peril. Over just the last few decades, government has engaged in a reckless gamble with ecology.

As James Gustave Speth wrote in his book A *Bridge at the Edge of the World*, if we continue business as usual, the world "won't be fit to live in" by mid-century.⁵ *Won't be fit to live in*. The import of these words finds substantiation in countless scientific reports, as the introduction details. Time and opportunity now slip away too rapidly for incremental strategies to work. Scientists warn that only a narrow window of opportunity remains in which to address climate emergency and other environmental calamities before irrevocable tipping points forever change our planet.

Given this urgency, not enough time exists to rewrite all of environmental law. And we don't have to. The problem rarely resides in the individual laws themselves but rather in the paradigm that frames those laws. We can pass any new environmental law we want, but no matter what it says, if it stays bounded by the frame in which we've operated for the last four decades, government will continue to impoverish natural resources until our society can no longer sustain itself. Environmental statutes passed to protect resources can fulfill their purpose only if the agencies act on behalf of the public they constitutionally serve. Environmental statutes do not declare a purpose of allowing rampant resource destruction, but we know from experience that they will accomplish precisely that result if the agencies stay captive to the industries they are supposed to regulate.

The political milieu in which government administers its laws matters greatly. The direction in which government chooses to devote its energy depends on whether leaders pursue the politics of scarcity or the politics of abundance. Politics of scarcity focus on creating legal mechanisms to allocate the benefits of an ever-declining natural resource. In other words, officials use the power of the state primarily to divide the last crumbs (allocating those to the most politically powerful individuals). These politics have led society to this perilous point in time. The politics of abundance, by contrast, reach persistently and undauntingly toward protecting and building natural wealth.

Management of the Columbia River salmon exemplifies the difference. When fish runs began to collapse in the late 1800s, the politics of scarcity took hold and have dominated environmental policy in the basin ever since. In the 1970s, the law focused on dividing the harvest of a fishery in freefall decline; ugly brawls broke out between fishermen locked in competition over the last fish. When regulation under the Endangered Species Act commenced in the early 1990s, instead of truly trying to rebuild the runs as the law requires, federal officials busied themselves with figuring how much death they could sanction without sending the species over the edge into extinction. Finding that magic line – between not enough death and too much death, not enough destruction and too much destruction, not enough risk and too much risk – describes the work of most environmental agencies today operating under the politics of scarcity. xviii

Preface

Politics of scarcity inexorably hover over societies that sanctify unlimited indulgence. Simply put, these politics arise out of the difficulty of confronting greed. Developers, industrialists, and huge corporations exert relentless pressure on governmental officials to allow unconscionable exploit of natural resources that bring them enormous profit at the expense of the community. Their pressure, constantly applied, erodes the will on the part of state and federal officials to carry out the protective mandates of the law. Often it does not matter much what the law says; the politics will circumvent it. Every devastated watershed, every new mile of sprawl, every new clear cut, every new oil pipeline, and every blasted mountaintop reflects excessive indulgence condoned by the politics of scarcity.

Politics of abundance, by contrast, arise from an ethic of measured restraint. These politics reject unnecessary indulgence bound to satisfy only a few at grave expense to present and future generations. They focus not on dividing an everdiminishing yield but on rebuilding a base of natural capital that will produce an increasing – and ultimately sustainable – yield in the future. Tribal leaders exercised politics of abundance to keep steady the fish runs in the Columbia River Basin for 10,000 years.⁶ In today's world, the politics of abundance must begin with the denial of destructive permits and then turn to mammoth ecological restoration on all fronts.

Legal systems can support either the politics of scarcity or the politics of abundance, but Earth's natural systems can support only the latter. The politics of scarcity will manage human species survival in much the same way as it manages salmon survival – down to extinction. As Speth makes clear, society now teeters at the edge of an abyss. If we wish to endure on this planet, we must invoke all of the creativity and skill we can to build a "bridge across the abyss" to a new world. It will not happen on its own. We have to build it.

New paradigms plank the footings for such a bridge. Fortunately, many excellent books offer creative, well-grounded paradigm shifts in the areas of economics, business, industrial design, architecture, politics, religion, consumption, education, and culture. None, however, offer transformative shifts in environmental law. We simply cannot hope for ecological security if environmental agencies keep issuing permits for destruction as they have for the last forty years.

This book builds on an ancient and extant legal tradition to offer a legal paradigm called Nature's Trust, intended to catalyze a transformation of environmental law. The framework draws from principles of environmental obligation encompassed within the public trust doctrine. Dating back to Roman law, this doctrine stands as a pillar of ordered civilization to compel sovereign stewardship of crucial natural wealth. It characterizes the ecological endowment as a trust for present and future generations of citizens. Government, deriving its authority from the people as a whole, must act as a fiduciary to protect the natural resources held in trust from damage, as well as from dangerous privatization. Judicial decisions dating from the

beginning of the United States voice this trust, and its principles manifest in the law of many other countries as well.

This venerable doctrine has been largely overlooked because of the proliferation of statutes across the legal landscape. Passed in the 1970s, these laws produced thousands of implementing regulations. Similarly to how an invasive plant species chokes out and conceals the presence of native vegetation, so these statutes and regulations obfuscate the public trust. Most agency regulators have never heard of the public trust and remain unaware of their fiduciary obligations to protect public ecological assets. Nevertheless, lawyers, citizens, judges, and regulators have commenced to unearth these principles and apply them to environmental controversies, because these principles *still exist in the law*. Embodying the politics of abundance, trust principles announce visionary precepts waiting at the periphery of an old, destructive regime.

People most vested in the current system will surely criticize trust aspirations as politically naïve, unrealistic, or overly optimistic. Has any true paradigm shift in history ever escaped such disparagement? Speth wisely asserts that if deep proposals seem "impractical, or politically naïve," or "radical or far-fetched" today, then wait until tomorrow. He writes, "In general, the world of practical affairs does not truly appreciate how much negative change is coming at us, nor how fast.... [W]e must look beyond the world of practical affairs to those who are thinking difficult and unconventional thoughts and proposing transformative change."⁷

In suggesting deep change for environmental law, this book roundly criticizes the present system. For anyone engrossed in perpetuating this system, the first part of the book may prove uncomfortable reading. For a law professor who has spent more than twenty years teaching this field, I assure you, it makes uncomfortable writing. My advice is to read on – but with hope and vision, not guilt or blame. We can only forge a promising and safe path if we first acknowledge the erratic dysfunction of environmental law, pinpoint where the legal sinkholes lie, and address a daunting set of problems with visionary aim and sincere purpose.

We simply cannot hope to turn the system around in time without the full commitment, participation, and (most of all) courage of the people working in the thousands of governmental agencies, legislatures, and judicial systems around the world. They sit as the present trustees of Earth's endowment, and, in the end, much of the needed transformation rests on their shoulders because they hold the levers of government. We need them to become the heroes of our day, and in large part, this book is written for them. But it likewise calls to the 7 billion beneficiaries of Nature's Trust presently living on Earth who depend on honest fiduciary management of natural resources by their governments. These beneficiaries hold the power of the people to exert timeless, populist rights against oppressive parties that pursue a perilous agenda of greed. And, for the countless future generations that will awake XX

Preface

at their appointed time in the chain of life, this book seeks to carry out an everlasting covenant that places trust in their future.

We stand in an unthinkable moment in time – when food delivers poison, water runs toxic, species vanish, and global climate disaster threatens nearly all of Nature's Trust. But despite these alarming environmental conditions, democracy still allows us the freedom to rethink and redefine our place in the world according to Nature's Law. We still hold the liberty of moving from the politics of scarcity to the politics of abundance. That opportunity, however, will not linger for much longer. Actions taken by *this* generation of people already reverberate far into the future as ghostly echoes of an ill-considered past.

I write this book realizing that fate has delivered our generation into life at a pivotal moment. Our children and grandchildren have trust that we *will* claim this moment.

Acknowledgments

I had enormous help and support in writing this book. In many respects, Nature's Trust represents the aggregation of ideas drawn from the work of many colleagues, compiled into a framework that I hope will maximize the synergy of thought. While I cannot name everyone, special recognition goes to the writings and/or input of Zyg Plater, Eric Freyfogle, Gerald Torres, Keith Aoki (now deceased), Bill Rodgers, Pat McGinley, Charles Wilkinson, Michael M'Gonigle, Michael Blumm, Oliver Houck, Gus Speth, David Takacs, Burns Weston, David Bollier, Rena Steinzor, Tony Arnold, Tim Duane, Fred Cheever, Pat Parenteau, Edith Brown Weiss, Joel Mintz, Greg Munroe, and Mary Turnipseed. I owe thanks to Jan Laitos, who saw the potential of the trust idea from the beginning and connected me with Cambridge University Press. Special tribute goes to Joseph Sax, who wrote a landmark article on the public trust more than 40 years ago and later introduced me to the doctrine when I was a student in his water law course at Stanford Law School. I also express gratitude to Joseph Guth and Eric Freyfogle for their graciousness in allowing me to borrow the term "ecological age," which has appeared as a concept and term in their respective works.

My editor at Cambridge University Press, John Berger, saw the potential in this book from the beginning and has been tremendously supportive. I thank the full team at Cambridge University Press for doing a fantastic job in production.

My colleague, Heather Brinton, who directs the Environmental Law Program at University of Oregon School of Law, deserves special mention. She and I have had endless discussions over the years on every aspect of the trust doctrine. She is both a legal visionary and a stickler for detail. No one delves into an issue quite like Heather does, and I greatly value her insights.

I have benefited from discussions with many other thoughtful individuals on various aspects of the trust. Tom Bowerman gave me the idea of a frame change implicit in *Nature's Trust* and shared perspectives on the role of consumption, waste, and people's lifestyle choices in environmental destruction. Dune Lankard has been a

xxii

Acknowledgments

constant reminder not only of the struggle of activists against huge corporate forces, but also of the great strides toward change that just one dedicated person can make in this world. Jim Hansen was very generous to share his time discussing climate science. Ted Strong gave me early insights years ago into the native trust approach and tribal management of salmon. John Davidson originated the concept of hazard resources as public trust assets. Others who offered ideas that informed the book include: Paul Vandevelder, Hal Darst, Jim McCarthy, Jaime Pinkham, Tim Ream, Tom Athanasiou, Dan Galpern, Charlie Tebbutt, Joe Guth, John De Graaf, Tuck Miller, Bear Brown, Jeff Ruch, Rick Piletz, and Kert Davies. Thanks goes to the many people who read parts of the manuscript, including Tom Waldo, Aaron Isherwood, Zach Klonoski, Nick Caleb, and Rance Shaw.

Countless friends and acquaintances in government agencies shared their insights over the twenty years I have taught environmental law. Suffice it to say, they are too numerous to mention here, but they all have my deep gratitude for their honest reflections on the state of environmental law and the pressures they face in state and federal environmental agencies.

Scores of attorneys are litigating public trust cases to protect our atmosphere against climate change. The world will be grateful for their enormous effort. I appreciate their generosity in taking time to talk with me about the public trust as I was writing this book. Thanks in particular goes to Andrea Rodgers, Elizabeth Brown, Tom Beers, Phil Gregory, John Melgren, Pete Frost, Tony Oposa, Sharon Duggan, Adam Abrams, Tanya Sanerib, and Greg Costello. Deserving particular mention is Julia Olson, who is coordinating the worldwide atmospheric trust litigation campaign (through Our Children's Trust) and whose perseverance and embrace of trust principles have inspired countless others. I have also learned much about the power of the trust concept to galvanize citizens from Kelly Mattheson, who produced (through her organization, WITNESS) a series of moving documentaries called *Stories of Trust* on the youth plaintiffs bringing public trust climate cases.

My school has provided tremendous support throughout this project; many thanks go to Dean Michael Moffitt and former dean Margie Paris. I am grateful for scholarship support provided through the Philip H. Knight Professorship. I also thank my many colleagues who shared useful information and ideas from their particular areas of expertise: Adell Amos, Barbara Aldave, Tim Hicks, Susan Gary, Garrett Epps, John Bonine, Dick Hildreth, Andrea Colles-Bjerre, Jim Mooney, Rob Illig, Ibrahim Gassama, Jim O'Fallon, and the late, beloved Svitlana Kravchenko. Jaye Barlous tracked down obscure sources, and Stephanie Midkiffe combed through newspapers for years on my behalf. Jill Forcier and Debbie Thurman deserve thanks for wonderful administrative support.

Dozens of law students – more than can be mentioned here – provided valuable assistance in both the research and editing process. Much of their inquiry into the

Acknowledgments

trust has found its way into the pages of this book. Some are now lawyers working on public trust cases. Special recognition goes to Janie Morganson, Marianne Ober, Jamie Grifo, Zach Klonoski, John Brennan, Lynn Nickol, Drew Johnson, Jeff Gent, Maureen McGee, Sam Roberts, Adam Walters, Kelly Bauer, Alex Gavrillidis, Daniel Bartz, Bay Toft-Dupuy, Sam Chomper, Kenneth Safely, Chris Swensen, Kristopher Cahoon, Alex Gancayco, Orren Johnson, Seth Bichler, Charlotte Winkler, Amy Hicksted, Jilian Clearman, Meg Rowe, Conner Beckley, Christopher Cooney, Alexander Gancayco, Andrew Pollack, Andrew Welle, Jennifer Stallkemp, Kristen Thomas, Alek Wipperman, Zach Baker, and Zach Welcker.

Finally, I am most thankful for having a family that grounds me in all of my endeavors. My mother encouraged me all along until her death. She believed in this work and in this idea. My father instilled in me an appreciation of legacy and deep sense of intergenerational duty toward our descendants. I am grateful to my identical twin, Rebecca Wood, who, along with her four daughters, has fought to protect some of the natural places we love most. My sisters Ginny Burdick and Mardi Wood both gave me great encouragement. My brother Denny Burdick read the manuscript with enthusiasm. My sister-in-law, Sandy Wood, deserves heartfelt thanks for clipping articles for years. Several friends were graciously available to offer occasional advice on word choice and other details; that thanks goes to Catia, Kate, Charlie, Jennifer, Jim O., Jim I., Lynn, Lisa, Tom, and Ken.

The real inspiration for writing this book comes from our three sons, Sage, Cameron, and Nicholas. Throughout long stretches of research and writing, they brought me joy and never wavered in their support of this project. They reminded me often that this effort is to protect the future for all children.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my husband, Joe, who gave me unbounded confidence and support in every stage of the project. There is not one idea in the book that Joe did not help me think through. He also poured over several drafts of each chapter and made astute edits throughout. Truthfully, some of the best phrases are from his pen. What meant the most, though, was Joe's abiding belief in this book's purpose to inspire a new ecological pathway for our world.

xxiii