Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Modeling Ordered Choices

It is increasingly common for analysts to seek out the opinions of individuals and organizations using attitudinal scales such as degree of satisfaction or importance attached to an issue. Examples include levels of obesity, seriousness of a health condition, attitudes towards service levels, opinions on products, voting intentions, and the degree of clarity of contracts. Ordered choice models provide a relevant methodology for capturing the sources of influence that explain the choice made among a set of ordered alternatives. The methods have evolved to a level of sophistication that can allow for heterogeneity in the threshold parameters, in the explanatory variables (through random parameters), and in the decomposition of the residual variance. This book brings together contributions in ordered choice modeling from a number of disciplines, synthesizing developments over the last fifty years, and suggests useful extensions to account for the wide range of sources of influence on choice.

William H. Greene is Professor of Economics and Toyota Motor Corp Professor at the Stern School of Business, New York University.

David A. Hensher is Professor of Management and Director of the Institute of Transport and Logistics, University of Sydney.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Modeling Ordered Choices

A Primer

William H. Greene and David A. Hensher



Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter More Information



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521194204

© William H. Greene and David A. Hensher 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2010

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-19420-4 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-14237-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

1

2

3

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Contents

| List o | f tables | page ix | |
|--------|---|---------|--|
| List o | List of figures | | |
| Prefa | ce | xiii | |
| Intro | duction: random utility and ordered choice models | 1 | |
| Mode | eling binary choices | 9 | |
| 2.1 | Random utility formulation of a model for binary choice | 10 | |
| 2.2 | Probability models for binary choices | 11 | |
| 2.3 | Estimation and inference | 16 | |
| 2.4 | Covariance matrix estimation | 26 | |
| 2.5 | Application of the binary choice model to health satisfaction | 28 | |
| 2.6 | Partial effects in a binary choice model | 30 | |
| 2.7 | Hypothesis testing | 39 | |
| 2.8 | Goodness of fit measures | 44 | |
| 2.9 | Heteroscedasticity | 54 | |
| 2.10 | Panel data | 57 | |
| 2.11 | Parameter heterogeneity | 75 | |
| 2.12 | Endogeneity of a right-hand-side variable | 80 | |
| 2.13 | Bivariate binary choice models | 83 | |
| 2.14 | The multivariate probit and panel probit models | 93 | |
| 2.15 | Endogenous sampling and case control studies | 96 | |
| A mo | del for ordered choices | 99 | |
| 3.1 | A latent regression model for a continuous measure | 99 | |
| 3.2 | Ordered choice as an outcome of utility maximization | 103 | |
| 3.3 | An observed discrete outcome | 105 | |
| 3.4 | Probabilities and the log likelihood | 108 | |

| vi | Conte | Contents | | | | |
|----|-------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | 35 | Log likelihood function | 108 | | | |
| | 3.6 | Analysis of data on ordered choices | 100 | | | |
| 4 | Ante | cedents and contemporary counterparts | 111 | | | |
| | 4.1 | The origin of probit analysis: Bliss (1934a), Finney (1947a) | 111 | | | |
| | 4.2 | Social science data and regression analysis for binary outcomes | 116 | | | |
| | 4.3 | Analysis of binary choice | 117 | | | |
| | 4.4 | Ordered outcomes: Aitchison and Silvey (1957), Snell (1964) | 117 | | | |
| | 4.5 | Minimum chi squared estimation of an ordered | 100 | | | |
| | 16 | Individual data and polychotomous outcomes Wollver | 125 | | | |
| | 4.0 | and Duncan (1967) | 125 | | | |
| | 47 | McKelvey and Zavoina (1975) | 125 | | | |
| | 4.7 | Cumulative odds model | 127 | | | |
| | 4.0 | Continuation ratio model | 127 | | | |
| | 4 10 | The ordered regression model | 130 | | | |
| | 4.10 | Other related models | 132 | | | |
| | 4.12 | The latent continuous variable | 134 | | | |
| 5 | Estin | nation, inference and analysis using the ordered choice model | 136 | | | |
| | 5.1 | Application of the ordered choice model to self-assessed | | | | |
| | | health status | 136 | | | |
| | 5.2 | Distributional assumptions | 138 | | | |
| | 5.3 | The estimated ordered probit (logit) model | 138 | | | |
| | 5.4 | The estimated threshold parameters | 140 | | | |
| | 5.5 | Interpretation of the model – partial effects and | | | | |
| | | scaled coefficients | 142 | | | |
| | 5.6 | Inference | 150 | | | |
| | 5.7 | Prediction – computing probabilities | 157 | | | |
| | 5.8 | Measuring fit | 160 | | | |
| | 5.9 | Estimation issues | 167 | | | |
| 6 | Speci | fication issues and generalized models | 181 | | | |
| | 6.1 | Functional form issues and the generalized ordered | | | | |
| | | choice model (1) | 181 | | | |
| | 6.2 | Model implications for partial effects | 193 | | | |
| | 6.3 | Methodological issues | 198 | | | |
| | 6.4 | Specification tests for ordered choice models | 198 | | | |

| vii | Contents | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|--|--|--|
| 7 | Accommodating individual heterogeneity | 208 | | | |
| | 7.1 Threshold models – the generalized ordered probit model (| 2) 209 | | | |
| | 7.2 Nonlinear specifications – a hierarchical ordered | , | | | |
| | probit (HOPIT) model | 214 | | | |
| | 7.3 Thresholds and heterogeneity – anchoring vignettes | 219 | | | |
| | 7.4 Heterogeneous scaling (heteroscedasticity) of random utilit | y 232 | | | |
| | 7.5 Individually heterogeneous marginal utilities | 237 | | | |
| | Appendix: Equivalence of the vignette and HOPIT models | 237 | | | |
| 8 | Parameter variation and a generalized model | 239 | | | |
| | 8.1 Random-parameters models | 239 | | | |
| | 8.2 Latent class and finite mixture modeling | 247 | | | |
| | 8.3 Generalized ordered choice model with random thresholds | (3) 262 | | | |
| 9 | Ordered choice modeling with panel and time series data | 268 | | | |
| | 9.1 Ordered choice models with fixed effects | 268 | | | |
| | 9.2 Ordered choice models with random effects | 275 | | | |
| | 9.3 Testing for random or fixed effects: a variable addition test | 278 | | | |
| | 9.4 Extending parameter heterogeneity models to ordered choice | ces 281 | | | |
| | 9.5 Dynamic models | 285 | | | |
| | 9.6 Spatial autocorrelation | 289 | | | |
| 10 | Bivariate and multivariate ordered choice models | 290 | | | |
| | 10.1 Multiple equations | 290 | | | |
| | 10.2 Bivariate ordered probit models | 291 | | | |
| | 10.3 Polychoric correlation | 294 | | | |
| | 10.4 Semi-ordered bivariate probit model | 295 | | | |
| | 10.5 Applications of the bivariate ordered probit model | 295 | | | |
| | 10.6 A panel data version of the bivariate ordered probit model | 297 | | | |
| | 10.7 Trivariate and multivariate ordered probit models | 299 | | | |
| 11 | Two-part and sample selection models | 302 | | | |
| | 11.1 Inflation models | 302 | | | |
| | 11.2 Sample selection models | 306 | | | |
| | 11.3 An ordered probit model with endogenous treatment effect | s 319 | | | |
| 12 | Semiparametric and nonparametric estimators and analyses 32 | | | | |
| | 12.1 Heteroscedasticity | 321 | | | |
| | 12.2 A distribution free estimator with unknown heteroscedastic | city 323 | | | |

| viii | Contents | | | |
|------|-------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| | | 221 | | |
| | 12.3 A semi-nonparametric approach | 324 | | |
| | 12.4 A partially linear model | 327 | | |
| | 12.5 Semiparametric analysis | 327 | | |
| | 12.6 A nonparametric duration model | 329 | | |
| | References | 337 | | |
| | Index | 361 | | |

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene, David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Tables

| 2.1 | Data used in binary choice application | page | 29 |
|-------|--|------|-----|
| 2.2 | Estimated probit and logit models | | 29 |
| 2.3 | Alternative estimated standard errors for the probit model | | 30 |
| 2.4 | Partial effects for probit and logit models at means of x | | 32 |
| 2.5 | Marginal effects and average partial effects | | 37 |
| 2.6 | Hypothesis tests | | 43 |
| 2.7 | Homogeneity test | | 43 |
| 2.8 | Fit measures for probit model | | 49 |
| 2.9a | Prediction success for probit model based on $\hat{y}_i = 1[\hat{F}_i > .5]$ | | 50 |
| 2.9b | Predictions for probit model based on probabilities | | 50 |
| 2.10 | Success measures for predictions by estimated probit model | | |
| | using $\hat{y}_i = 1[\hat{F}_i > .5]$ | | 50 |
| 2.11 | Heteroscedastic probit model | | 56 |
| 2.12 | Cluster corrected covariance matrix (7,293 groups) | | 59 |
| 2.13 | Fixed effects probit model | | 61 |
| 2.14 | Estimated fixed effects logit models | | 65 |
| 2.15 | Estimated random effects probit models | | 70 |
| 2.16a | Semiparametric random effects probit model | | 70 |
| 2.16b | Estimated parameters for four class latent class model | | 70 |
| 2.17 | Random effects model with Mundlak correction | | 74 |
| 2.18 | Estimated random parameter models | | 78 |
| 2.19 | Estimated partial effects | | 78 |
| 2.20 | Cross-tabulation of healthy and working | | 86 |
| 2.21 | Estimated bivariate probit model | | 87 |
| 2.22 | Estimated sample selection model | | 93 |
| 2.23 | Estimated panel probit model | | 95 |
| 4.1 | McCullagh application of an ordered outcome model |] | 130 |
| 5.1 | Estimated ordered choice models: probit and logit |] | 139 |
| 5.2 | Estimated partial effects for ordered choice models |] | 144 |
| 5.3 | Estimated expanded ordered probit model |] | 147 |
| 5.4 | Transformed latent regression coefficients | 1 | 150 |

| List of tables | | | | |
|----------------|---|-----|--|--|
| 5 5 | Estimated partial effects with asymptotic standard errors | 158 | | |
| 5.6 | Mean predicted probabilities by kids | 150 | | |
| 5.7a | Predicted vs. actual outcomes for ordered probit model | 165 | | |
| 5.7a | Predicted probabilities vs. actual outcomes for ordered | 105 | | |
| 5.70 | probit model | 165 | | |
| 5.8 | Predicted vs. actual outcomes for automobile data | 166 | | |
| 5.9 | Grouped data for ordered choice modeling response | | | |
| | frequency in a taste-testing experiment | 168 | | |
| 5.10 | Estimated ordered choice model based on grouped data | 169 | | |
| 5.11 | Stata and NLOGIT estimates of an ordered probit model | 172 | | |
| 5.12 | Software used for ordered choice modeling | 179 | | |
| 6.1 | Brant test for parameter homogeneity | 186 | | |
| 6.2 | Estimated ordered logit and generalized ordered logit (1) | 191 | | |
| 6.3 | Boes and Winkelmann estimated partial effects | 194 | | |
| 7.1 | Estimated generalized ordered probit models from | | | |
| | Terza (1985) | 211 | | |
| 7.2 | Estimated hierarchical ordered probit models | 217 | | |
| 7.3 | Estimated partial effects for ordered probit models | 218 | | |
| 7.4 | Predicted outcomes from ordered probit models | 219 | | |
| 7.5 | Estimated heteroscedastic ordered probit model | 235 | | |
| 7.6 | Partial effects in heteroscedastic ordered probit model | 236 | | |
| 8.1 | Estimated random parameters ordered probit model | 242 | | |
| 8.2 | Implied estimates of parameter matrices | 243 | | |
| 8.3 | Estimated partial effects from random-parameters model | 244 | | |
| 8.4 | Estimated two-class latent class ordered probit models | 256 | | |
| 8.5 | Estimated partial effects from latent class models | 257 | | |
| 8.6 | Estimated generalized random-thresholds ordered logit model | 266 | | |
| 9.1 | Monte Carlo analysis of the bias of the MLE in fixed-effects | | | |
| | discrete choice models (Means of empirical sampling | | | |
| | distributions, $n = 1,000$ individuals, $R = 200$ replications) | 270 | | |
| 9.2 | Fixed-effects ordered choice models | 279 | | |
| 9.3 | Random effects ordered logit models – quadrature and | | | |
| | simulation | 280 | | |
| 9.4 | Random effects ordered probit model with Mundlak correction | 281 | | |
| 9.5 | Random parameters ordered logit model | 283 | | |
| 9.6 | Latent-class ordered logit models | 284 | | |
| 10.1 | Applications of bivariate ordered probit since 2000 | 296 | | |
| 11.1 | Estimated ordered probit sample selection model | 310 | | |
| 12.1 | Grouping of strike durations | 334 | | |
| 12.2 | Estimated logistic duration models for strike duration | 334 | | |

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Figures

| 1.1 | IMDb.com ratings (www.imdb.com/title/tt0465234/ratings) | page 3 |
|------|---|--------|
| 2.1 | Random utility basis for a binary outcome | 11 |
| 2.2 | Probability model for binary choice | 14 |
| 2.3 | Probit model for binary choice | 15 |
| 2.4 | Partial effects in a binary choice model | 31 |
| 2.5 | Fitted probabilities for a probit model | 39 |
| 2.6 | Prediction success for different prediction rules | 51 |
| 2.7 | ROC curve for estimated probit model | 54 |
| 2.8 | Distribution of conditional means of income parameter | 80 |
| 3.1 | Underlying probabilities for an ordered choice model | 108 |
| 4.1 | Insecticide experiment | 112 |
| 4.2 | Table of probits for values of p_i | 114 |
| 4.3 | Percentage errors in Pearson table of probability integrals | 114 |
| 4.4 | Implied spline regression in Bliss's probit model | 115 |
| 5.1 | Self-reported health satisfaction | 137 |
| 5.2 | Health satisfaction with combined categories | 137 |
| 5.3 | Estimated ordered probit model | 140 |
| 5.4a | Sample proportions | 141 |
| 5.4b | Implied partitioning of latent normal distribution | 141 |
| 5.5 | Partial effect in ordered probit model | 145 |
| 5.6 | Predicted probabilities for different ages | 160 |
| 6.1 | Estimated partial effects in Boes and Winkelmann (2006b) | |
| | models | 196 |
| 6.2 | Estimated partial effects for linear and nonlinear | |
| | index functions | 196 |
| 7.1 | Differential item functioning in ordered choices | 220 |
| 7.2 | KMST comparison of political efficacy | 229 |
| 7.3 | KMST estimated vignette model | 230 |
| 8.1 | Kernel density for estimate of the distribution of means of | |
| | income coefficient | 247 |

| xii | List of | List of figures | | | |
|-----|---------|---|-----|--|--|
| | 12.1 | Table 1 from Stewart (2005) | 325 | | |
| | 12.2 | Job satisfaction application, extended | 326 | | |
| | 12.3 | Strike duration data | 333 | | |
| | 12.4 | Estimated nonparametric hazard functions | 335 | | |
| | 12.5 | Estimated hazard function from log-logistic | | | |
| | | parametric model | 335 | | |

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

This book began as a short note to propose the estimator in Section 8.3. In researching the recent developments in ordered choice modeling, we concluded that it would be useful to include some pedagogical material about uses and interpretation of the model at the most basic level. Our review of the literature revealed an impressive breadth and depth of applications of ordered choice modeling, but no single source that provided a comprehensive summary. There are several somewhat narrow surveys of the basic ordered probit/logit model, including Winship and Mare (1984), Becker and Kennedy (1992), Daykin and Moffatt (2002) and Boes and Winkelmann (2006a), and a book-length treatment, by Johnson and Albert (1999) that is focused on Bayesian estimation of the basic model parameters using grouped data. (See, also, Congdon (2005), Ch. 7 and Agresti (2002), Section 7.4.) However, these stop well short of examining the extensive range of variants of the model and the variety of fields of applications, such as bivariate and multivariate models, two-part models, duration models, panel data models, models with anchoring vignettes, semiparametric approaches, and so on. (We have, of necessity, omitted mention of many – perhaps most – of the huge number of applications.) This motivated us to assemble this more complete overview of the topic. As this review proceeded, it struck us that a more thorough survey of the model itself, including its historical development, might also be useful and (we hope) interesting for readers. The following is also a survey of the methodological literature on modeling ordered outcomes and ordered choices.

The development of the ordered choice regression model has emerged in two surprisingly disjointed strands of literature: in its earliest forms in the bioassay literature, and in its modern social science counterpart with the pioneering paper by McKelvey and Zavoina (1975) and its successors, such as Terza (1985). There are a few prominent links between these two literatures, notably Walker and Duncan (1967). However, even up to the contemporary literature, biological scientists and social scientists have largely successfully avoided bumping into each other. For example, the 500+ entry references

xiv

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

list of this survey shares only four items with its 100+ entry counterpart in Johnson and Albert (1999).

The earliest applications of modeling ordered outcomes involved aggregate (grouped) data assembled in table format, and with moderate numbers of levels of usually a single stimulus. The fundamental ordered logistic ("cumulative odds") model in its various forms serves well as an appropriate modeling framework for such data. Walker and Duncan (1967) focused on a major limitation of the approach. When data are obtained with large numbers of inputs - the models in Brewer et al. (2008), for example, involve over forty covariates - and many levels of those inputs, then cross-tabulations are no longer feasible or adequate. Two requirements become obvious: the use of the individual data and the heavy reliance on what amount to multiple regressionstyle techniques. McKelvey and Zavoina (1975) added to the model a reliance on a formal underlying "data-generating process," the latent regression. This mechanism makes an occasional appearance in the bioassay treatment, but is never absent from the social science application. The cumulative odds model for contingency tables and the fundamental ordered probit model for individual data are now standard tools. The recent advances in ordered choice modeling have involved modeling heterogeneity, in cross-sections and in panel data sets. These include a variety of threshold models and models of parameter variation such as latent class and mixed and hierarchical models. The chapters in this book present, in some detail, the full range of varieties of models for ordered choices.

This book is intended to be a survey of a particular class of discrete choice models. We anticipate that it can be used in a graduate level course in applied econometrics or statistics at the level of, say, Greene (2008a) or Wooldridge (2002b) and as a reference in specialized courses such as microeconometrics or discrete choice modeling. We assume that the reader is familiar with basic statistics and econometrics and with modeling techniques somewhat beyond the linear regression model. An introduction to maximum likelihood estimation and the most familiar binary choice models, probit and logit, is assumed, though developed in great detail in Chapter 2. The focus of this book is on areas of application of ordered choice models. The range of applications considered here includes economics, sociology, health economics, finance, political science, statistics in medicine, transportation planning, and many others. We have drawn on all of these in our collection of applications. We leave it to others, e.g., Hayashi (2000), Wooldridge (2002a), or Greene (2008a) to provide background material on, e.g., asymptotic theory for estimators and practical aspects of nonlinear optimization.

xv

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-0-521-19420-4 — Modeling Ordered Choices William H. Greene , David A. Hensher Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

All of the computations carried out here were done with *NLOGIT* (see www.nlogit.com). Most of them can also be done with several other packages, such as *Stata* and SAS. Since this book is not a "how to" guide for any particular computer program, we have not provided any instructions on how to obtain the results with *NLOGIT* (or any other program). We assume that the interested reader can follow through on our developments with their favorite software, whatever that might be. Rather, our interest is in the models and techniques.

We would like to thank Joseph Hilbe and Chandra Bhat for their suggestions that have improved this work and Allison Greene for her assistance with the manuscript. Any errors that remain are ours.