

One Language, Two Grammars?

It is well known that British and American English differ substantially in their pronunciation and vocabulary, but differences in their grammar have largely been underestimated. This volume focuses on British–American differences in the structure of words and sentences and supports them with computer-aided studies of large text collections. Present-day as well as earlier forms of the two varieties are included in the analyses. This makes it the first book–length treatment of British and American English grammar in contrast, with topics ranging from compound verbs to word order differences and tag questions. The authors explore some of the better-known contrasts, as well as a great variety of innovative themes that have so far received little or no consideration. Bringing together the work of a team of leading scholars in the field, this book will be of interest to those working within the fields of English historical linguistics, language variation and change, and dialectology.

Günter Rohdenburg is Professor Emeritus of English Linguistics in the Department of English and American Studies at the University of Paderborn.

Julia Schlüter is Assistant Professor in English Linguistics and Language History at the University of Bamberg.



Frontmatter More information

STUDIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE

General editor

Merja Kytö (Uppsala University)

Editorial Board

Bas Aarts (University College London), John Algeo (University of Georgia), Susan Fitzmaurice (Northern Arizona University), Charles F. Meyer (University of Massachusetts)

The aim of this series is to provide a framework for original studies of English, both present-day and past. All books are based securely on empirical research, and represent theoretical and descriptive contributions to our knowledge of national and international varieties of English, both written and spoken. The series covers a broad range of topics and approaches, including syntax, phonology, grammar, vocabulary, discourse, pragmatics and sociolinguistics, and is aimed at an international readership.

Already published in this series:

Christian Mair: Infinitival Complement Clauses in English: A Study of Syntax in Discourse

Charles F. Meyer: Apposition in Contemporary English

Jan Firbas: Functional Sentence Perspective in Written and Spoken Communication

Izchak M. Schlesinger: Cognitive Space and Linguistic Case Katie Wales: Personal Pronouns in Present-Day English

Laura Wright: The Development of Standard English, 1300–1800: Theories, Descriptions, Conflicts

Charles F. Meyer: English Corpus Linguistics: Theory and Practice

Stephen J. Nagle and Sara L. Sanders (eds.): English in the Southern United States

Anne Curzan: Gender Shifts in the History of English

Kingsley Bolton: Chinese Englishes

Irma Taavitsainen and Päivi Pahta (eds.): Medical and Scientific Writing in Late Medieval English

Elizabeth Gordon, Lyle Campbell, Jennifer Hay, Margaret Maclagan, Andrea Sudbury and Peter Trudgill: New Zealand English: Its Origins and Evolution

Raymond Hickey (ed.): Legacies of Colonial English

Merja Kytö, Mats Rydén and Erik Smitterberg (eds.): Nineteenth Century English: Stability and Change

John Algeo: British or American English? A Handbook of Word and Grammar Patterns
Christian Mair: Twentieth-Century English: History, Variation and Standardization
Evelien Keizer: The English Noun Phrase: The Nature of Linguistic Categorization

Raymond Hickey: Irish English: History and Present-Day Forms



One Language, Two Grammars?

Differences between British and American English

Edited by
GÜNTER ROHDENBURG
AND
JULIA SCHLÜTER





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521183963

© Cambridge University Press 2009

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2009 Reprinted 2009 First paperback edition 2010

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

One language, two grammars?: differences between British and American English / edited by Günter Rohdenburg, Julia Schlüter.

p. cm. – (Studies in English language)

ISBN 978-0-521-87219-5

I. English language – Grammar, Comparative.

2. English language – Grammar, Historical.

3. English language – Variation.

4. English language – Great Britain.

5. English language – United States.

I. Rohdenburg, Günter,

1940–.

II. Schlüter, Julia, 1973–.

III. Title.

IV. Series.

PE1072.O54

2008

427-dc22

2008019302

ISBN 978-0-521-87219-5 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-18396-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	List of figures	page vii
	List of tables List of contributors	xvı xxiv
	Introduction GÜNTER ROHDENBURG AND JULIA SCHLÜTER	I
I	Colonial lag, colonial innovation or simply language change? MARIANNE HUNDT	13
2	Compound verbs PETER ERDMANN	38
3	The formation of the preterite and the past participle MAGNUS LEVIN	60
4	Synthetic and analytic comparatives BRITTA MONDORF	86
5	Phonology and grammar JULIA SCHLÜTER	108
6	Prepositions and postpositions EVABERLAGE	130
7	Argument structure DAVID DENISON	149
8	Reflexive structures GÜNTER ROHDENBURG	166
9	Noun phrase modification DOUGLAS BIBER, JACK GRIEVE AND GINA IBERRI-SHEA	182
Ю	Nominal complements GÜNTER ROHDENBURG	194
II	Non-finite complements UWEVOSBERG	212
12	The present perfect and the preterite JOHAN ELSNESS	228
13	The revived subjunctive GÖRAN KJELLMER	246
14	The mandative subjunctive WILLIAM J. CRAWFORD	257
	y	2)/ V
		•



vi Contents

15	The conditional subjunctive JULIA SCHLÜTER	277
16	Tag questions D. J. ALLERTON	306
17	The pragmatics of adverbs KARIN AIJMER	324
18	How different are American and British English grammar? And how are they different? GUNNEL TOTTIE	341
19	New departures GÜNTER ROHDENBURG AND JULIA SCHLÜTER	364
	Bibliography Index	424 452



Figures

I.I	Comparative forms in ARCHER-I	<i>page</i> 16
1.2	Superlative forms in ARCHER-1	17
1.3	Progressive passives in BrE and AmE	18
1.4	Have vs. be as perfect auxiliaries with intransitives in	
	BrE and AmE	18
1.5	The get-passive in BrE and AmE in ARCHER-I	19
1.6	Relative frequency of progressives with animate and	ŕ
	inanimate subjects in ARCHER-I – BrE and AmE	
	compared	19
1.7	Past tense and past participle forms in fictional	
	writing – adjectival uses included	26
1.8	Past tense and past participle forms in fictional	
	writing – adjectival uses excluded	27
3.I	The correlation between aspect and verb inflections	
	in the preterite in <i>Ind</i> 2000	67
3.2	The use of -ed in NYT 1995 and Ind 2000 (preterite	
	and past participle forms combined)	69
3.3	The use of -ed in LSAC and the spoken part of the	
	BNC (preterite and past participle forms combined)	70
3.4	The use of $-ed$ in NYT 1995	72
3.5	The use of -ed in Ind 2000	72
3.6	The use of <i>-ed</i> in LSAC	72
3.7	The use of -ed in BNC (spoken)	72
3.8	The use of irregular past participle forms in passives	
	and actives in Ind 2000	73
3.9	The correlation between the number of tokens and	
	irregular inflection in NYT	77
3.10	The correlation between the number of tokens and	
	irregular inflection in <i>Ind</i>	77
3.II	The correlation between the number of tokens and	
	irregular inflection in LSAC	77
3.12	The correlation between the number of tokens and	
	irregular inflection in BNC (spoken)	77
		vii



viii List of figures

4.I	Analytic comparatives of fifty-four mono- and disyllabic adjectives according to position in the British	
4.2	corpus (without the BNC) and the American corpus Analytic comparatives of non-attributive	91
4.2	monosyllabic adjectives in the British corpus	
	(without BNC)	IOI
4.3	Analytic comparatives of non-attributive	
1.5	monosyllabic adjectives in the American corpus	102
5.I	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and	
	lighted in a series of British prose corpora	II3
5.2	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and	
	lighted according to syntactic function in a series of	
	British and American prose corpora	115
5.3	The distribution of the participial variants <i>lit</i> and	ŕ
, ,	lighted according to syntactic function in a British	
	and American newspaper corpus	II7
5.4	The distribution of the participial variants knit and	
	knitted according to syntactic function in a British	
	and American newspaper corpus	118
5.5	The distribution of the participial variants knit	
	and knitted in a series of British prose corpora	119
5.6	The distribution of a quite and quite $a(n)$ before	
	attributive adjectives in a series of British prose	
	corpora	122
5.7	The distribution of a quite and quite $a(n)$ before	
	attributive adjectives in a series of British and	
	American prose corpora	123
5.8	The distribution of a quite and quite $a(n)$ before	
	attributive adjectives according to mode in	
	present-day BrE and AmE	125
6.I	Postpositional not included, excepted, aside and apart	
	in a set of present-day British and American	
	newspapers	132
6.2	Postpositional notwithstanding in a set of	
	present-day British and American newspapers	134
6.3	Postpositional notwithstanding from the late	
	fourteenth to eighteenth centuries	136
6.4	Postpositional notwithstanding during the nineteenth	
	and early twentieth centuries	136
6.5	Postpositional notwithstanding associated with NPs	
	of up to ten words excluding NPs with dependent	
	clauses in a set of American historical newspapers	
	from 1895 to 1955	137



List of figures ix

6.6	The average number of words associated with simple and complex NPs that occur before or after	
	notwithstanding in a set of present-day British and	
	American newspapers	141
6.7	Prepositional notwithstanding associated with simple	
	vs. complex NPs in a set of present-day British and	
	American newspapers	141
6.8	Prepositional notwithstanding in relation to word	
	counts with simple NPs in a set of present-day	
	British and American newspapers	143
6.9	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> in relation to word	.,
	counts with complex NPs in a set of present-day	
	British and American newspapers	144
6.10	Prepositional <i>notwithstanding</i> associated with NPs of	-11
0.10	different structural types in a set of present-day	
	British and American newspapers	146
7.I	Development of reversed <i>substitute</i>	154
9.I	Pre-modifiers across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	187
9.1	Post-modifiers across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	189
9.2	Relative clause types across historical periods:	109
9.3	AmE vs. BrE	190
0.4	Copula BE across historical periods: AmE vs. BrE	
9.4	The development of non-finite complements	191
II.I	dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>have</i> (<i>got</i>) <i>no</i>	
	business in various historical and present-day corpora	215
II.2	The development of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb-noun collocation have (got) no	
	business in various British historical and present-day	,
	corpora	216
11.3	The development of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb-noun collocation have (got) no	
	business in various American historical and	
	present-day corpora	216
II.4	The development of non-finite complements of the	
	verb decline in various historical and present-day	
	corpora	217
11.5	The development of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb-noun collocation lay claim in	
	various historical and present-day corpora	220
11.6	The distribution of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>lay claim(s)</i>	
	in various British present-day newspapers for	
	1990–2004	22I



x List of figures

11.7	The distribution of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb-noun collocation <i>lay claim(s)</i>	
	in various British present-day newspapers	223
11.8	The development of non-finite complements	-
	dependent on the verb cannot/could not stand in	
	various historical and present-day corpora	224
I2.I	The present perfect (with HAVE, active/passive)	
	as percentage of all past-referring verb forms	231
12.2	The present perfect (with HAVE, active/passive)	-)-
12.2	as percentage of all past-referring verb forms	
	when science category is disregarded in Present-	
	Day English	232
12.3	The present perfect as percentage of all	252
12.5	past-referring verb forms in some text categories in	
	the history of British English	224
12.4	The present perfect of twenty high-frequency verbs	234
12.4	in the Brown, LOB, Frown and FLOB corpora	2.42
T 4 T	Mandates and non-mandates in British and	242
14.1	American news writing	262
T 4 0	ē	263
14.2	Mandates and non-mandates in verbal triggers in	26-
	British and American news writing	265
14.3	Mandates and non-mandates in noun triggers in	-((
	British and American news writing	266
14.4	Mandates and non-mandates in adjective triggers in	- (-
	British and American news writing	267
14.5	Distribution of verb trigger mandates in British and	
	American news writing	269
14.6	Distribution of noun trigger mandates in British and	
	American news writing	270
14.7	Distribution of adjective trigger mandates in British	
	and American news writing	272
15.1	The shape of the complex conjunction <i>on (the)</i>	
	condition (that)	280
15.2	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate	
	clauses dependent on (up)on (the) condition (that)	288
15.3	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate	
	clauses dependent on (up)on (the) condition (that)	289
15.4	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in subordinate	
	clauses dependent on on condition that	290
15.5	Textual frequencies of the semi-formula (up)on (the)	
	condition (that) NP not be Ved and of the semantically	
	equivalent formula (up)on (the) condition of anonymity	294
15.6	Realizations of the verbal syntagm for be and other	
	verbs in subordinate clauses dependent on (up)on	



List of figures xi

	(the) condition (that), excluding semi-formulaic	
	instances of the type (up)on (the) condition (that)	
	NP not be Ved	297
15.7	Realizations of the verbal syntagm in negated and	
	non-negated subordinate clauses dependent on (up)	
	on (the) condition (that), excluding semi-formulaic	
	instances of the type (up)on (the) condition (that)	
	NP not be Ved	300
15.8	Choice of modal auxiliaries in subordinate clauses	
	dependent on (up)on (the) condition (that)	303
16.1	Types of appendage	309
16.2	From question to statement	320
18.1	The frequency of tag questions in British and	
	American English, calculated per million words	355
18.2	Distribution of polarity in two subsets of BNC-S and	
	LSAC (1,000 instances each)	356
18.3	Proportions of auxiliary use in question tags in	
	BNC-S and LSAC	357
18.4	Proportions of pronouns in question tags in	
	BNC-S and LSAC	357
18.5	Proportions of types of pragmatic meanings of	
	question tags in BNC-S and LSAC	360
18.6	Speaker change after tag questions in BNC-S and	
	LSAC	361
19.1	The rivalry between <i>really</i> and <i>real</i> intensifying	,
	adjectives (and the occasional adverb) in two	
	matching British and American corpora	367
19.2	The rivalry between <i>wholly</i> , <i>whole</i> and <i>a whole lot</i> as	,
	intensifiers preceding different in selected British and	
	American newspapers	368
19.3	The rivalry between suffixed and suffixless manner	•
, ,	adverbs (and the <i>way</i> -periphrasis) in selected British	
	and American newspapers	369
19.4	Adverbial uses of <i>likely</i> in four matching British	
	and American English corpora	370
19.5	The distribution of <i>-ward</i> and <i>-wards</i> with adverbs	57
, ,	and adjectives in selected British and American	
	newspapers	371
19.6	The use of <i>plenty</i> and <i>overly</i> as premodifiers of	37
	adjectives and adverbs in selected British and	
	American newspapers	372
19.7	The rivalry between <i>many</i> and <i>much</i> in the type	<i>J</i> / –
, ,	many/much fewer (books) in selected British and	
	American newspapers	372



xii List of figures

19.8	The use of kind of/kinda and sort of/sorta modifying	
	elements other than nouns/noun phrases in four	
	matching British and American corpora	373
19.9	The rivalry between <i>twice</i> and <i>two times</i> in three	
	major syntactic environments in selected British and	
	American newspapers	374
19.10a	The distribution of <i>for longer</i> in selected British and	
	American newspapers	375
19.10b	Comparative sequences of the type fresher (for)	
	longer in selected British and American newspapers	375
19.11	The occurrence of <i>nary</i> 'not/never/neither' in	
	selected British and American newspapers	376
19.12a	Negated infinitives governed by and immediately	
	following the verbs <i>begin</i> and <i>start</i> in selected British	
	and American newspapers	377
19.12b	The use of infinitives split by single adverbs ending in	
	-ly in four matching British and American corpora	377
19.13	Verb-based attitudinal disjuncts like admittedly and	
	allegedly in four matching British and American	
	corpora	378
19.14a	The distribution of selected sentence adverbs across	
	different positions in British and American	
	newspapers	379
19.14b	The distribution of six evaluative sentence adverbs	
	(amazingly, astonishingly, curiously, interestingly,	
	oddly, strangely) in four matching British and	
	American corpora	380
19.15	The rivalry between the prepositions <i>into</i> and <i>in</i> in	
	four frequent collocations in selected British and	
	American newspapers	382
19.16	The distribution of the preposition to in near (to)	
	tears/death in selected British and American	
	newspapers	383
19.17	Causal/instrumental prepositional phrases involving	
	courtesy in selected British and American newspapers	384
19.18	The use of the novel preposition <i>absent</i> and the	
	prepositional phrase in (the) absence of in selected	
	British and American newspapers	385
19.19	The use of prepositions (immediately) preceding	
	interrogative if-clauses dependent on it depends in	
	selected British and American corpora	386
19.20	The use of prepositions introducing interrogative	
-	whether-clauses dependent on the question in selected	
	British and American newspapers	386



	List of figures	xiii
19.2Ia	The expression of dispreferred alternatives with the	
	verb <i>prefer</i> by means of the prepositions <i>over</i> and <i>to</i> in	
	selected British and American newspapers	387
19.21b	The use of the preposition <i>over</i> to indicate	5-7
-,	dispreferred alternatives with the verbs <i>prefer</i> , <i>select</i> ,	
	recommend and choose in selected British and	
	American newspapers	388
19.22	The distribution of the participial variants <i>dread</i> and	,00
19.22	dreaded in attributive function in British and American	
	newspapers	390
19.23	The distribution of the phrases the next/past/last/	390
19.25	first Ø/few/several/couple of years/months/weeks/	
	days/hours/minutes/seconds in British and American	
	•	201
TO 2 12	newspapers The rivalry between both these/those and both of	391
19.24a	·	
	these/those in (predominantly) narrative historical	
1.	corpora of British and American English	392
19.24b	The rivalry between both these/those and both of these/	
	those in spoken corpora of British and American English	393
19.24C	The rivalry between all these/those and all of these/	
	those in selected British and American newspapers	393
19.25	The variable use of the preposition of after sequences	
	of the predeterminers as/so/how/this/that/too, the	
	adjectives big/high/good and following nouns in	
	selected British and American newspapers	394
19.26	The use of <i>sufficient</i> in constructions like <i>sufficient of his</i>	
	energies/talent in British and American newspapers	395
19.27	The use of <i>all</i> postmodifying interrogative <i>who</i> and	
	what in selected British and American corpora	396
19.28	The use of ain't/aint representing negated forms of	
	be and have in four matching British and American	
	corpora	398
19.29	The rivalry between the types <i>X has/have to do with</i>	
	Y and X is/are to do with Y in selected British and	
	American newspapers	398
19.30	The rivalry between X comes down to Y and X is down	
	to Y in selected British and American newspapers	399
19.31	The rivalry between the verbs <i>have</i> and <i>take</i> in complex	
	verbal structures involving the sequence <i>a look</i>	400
19.32	The use of the way -construction with different verbs	•
, ,	in selected British and American newspapers	401
19.33	Prepositional particle verbs involving the	•
, ,,	combinations <i>up on</i> or <i>in on</i> in four matching British	
	and American corpora	402



xiv List of figures

19.34a	The use of the pseudo-passive constructions <i>be sat</i> and <i>be stood</i> in selected British and American	
	newspapers	403
19.34b	The rivalry between <i>X</i> is heading and <i>X</i> is headed + directional phrase in selected British and American	
	newspapers	403
19.34c	The rivalry between non-attributive and intransitive	
	uses of sprawling and sprawled	404
19.35	The variable use of as with nominal predicatives	
	containing the definite and indefinite articles	
	immediately following the sequence was named in	
	selected American and British newspapers	405
19.36	The use of <i>being</i> to introduce different predicative	
	expressions associated with the negator far from in	
	selected British and American newspapers	406
19.37a	The use of the adverbial conjunctions being (that/as	
	(how)), given (that), on the basis (that) and for fear	
	(that) in selected British and American newspapers	408
19.37b	The use or omission of the subordination signal <i>that</i>	
	with the conjunction for fear in selected British and	
	American newspapers	409
19.38	The use of subjunctives in adverbial clauses	
	introduced by <i>lest</i> in selected British and American	
	newspapers	410
19.39	The use of that-, if- and whether-clauses associated	
	with and following no matter in selected British and	
	American newspapers	411
19.40	The omission of the verbal coda in	
	topic-restricting as far as-constructions in written	
	and spoken British and American corpora	412
19.41	The relativization of the standard of comparison by	
	means of than which/whom in selected British and	
	American newspapers	413
19.42a	The use of the complex interrogative <i>how come</i> in	
	four matching British and American corpora	414
19.42b	The use of the interrogative <i>how come</i> in selected	
	British and American newspapers	4I4
19.43	The rivalry between the reversed pseudo-cleft	
	construction this is $how + S$ and the upcoming type	
	here is how/here's how + S in selected British and	
	American newspapers	415
19.44	Marked and unmarked infinitives with pseudo-cleft	
	constructions involving what, all, thing(s) or the	



	List of figures	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}$
	least/most/best/worst + pro-verb do in four matching British and American corpora	416
19.45a	Subjectless gerunds associated with as well as and in addition to in selected British and American	410
19.45b	newspapers Subjectless gerunds associated with apart from/as well as/besides/aside from/in addition to in four	417
19.46	matching corpora The rivalry between possessive and objective case	418
19.40	pronouns as logical subjects of the gerund being	419



Tables

I.I	Got vs. gotten (participles) in ARCHER-2	page 21
1.2	Got vs. gotten in Early American Fiction (EAF)	22
1.3	Got vs. gotten (participles) in twentieth-century AmE	22
1.4	Proved (participle) and proven in twentieth-century	
	English	23
1.5	Proved vs. proven (participles) in ARCHER-2	23
1.6	Proved vs. proven in Early American Fiction (EAF)	24
1.7	Regularization of irregular past tense and past	•
•	participle forms of the verbs burn, dwell, learn, smell,	
	spell, dream, kneel, lean, leap, spill and spoil in	
	ARCHER-2 (regular:irregular forms)	25
1.8	Regularization of irregular past tense and past	
	participle forms of the verbs burn, dwell, learn, smell,	
	spell, dream, kneel, lean, leap, spill and spoil in	
	ARCHER-2 – adjectival use of participle removed	
	(regular:irregular forms)	25
1.9	Ranking by earliest occurrence (OED) and	
	frequency of irregular form (evidence from BrE	
	eighteenth-century fiction – ECF)	28
I.IO	Concord with collective nouns in ARCHER-2	
	(singular:plural)	29
I.II	Concord with army, committee and government in	
	ARCHER-2 (singular:plural)	29
1.12	Concord with collective nouns (all except army,	
	committee, government and family) in ARCHER-2	
	(singular:plural)	30
1.13	Mandative subjunctives vs. should/shall-periphrasis	
	in ARCHER-2	31
1.14	Mandative subjunctives vs. should/shall-periphrasis	
	in Early American Fiction (eighteenth-century-born	
	authors only)	31
1.15	ARCHER-1	35
1.16	ARCHER-2	36

xvi



	List of tables	xvii
1.17	The British component of ARCHER-2 (number of	
/	texts per register)	36
1.18	The American component of ARCHER-2 (number	
	of texts per register)	36
1.19	The get-passive in BrE and AmE in ARCHER-I	37
1.20	Past tense and past participle forms of the verbs <i>burn</i> ,	
	dwell, learn, smell, spell, dream, kneel, lean, leap, spill	
	and spoil in fiction databases – adjectival uses	
	included	37
1.21	Past tense and past participle forms of the verbs burn,	
	dwell, learn, smell, spell, dream, kneel, lean, leap, spill	
	and spoil in fiction databases – adjectival uses	
	excluded	37
2.I	One-word, hyphenated and two-word forms of	
	compound verbs in three dictionaries of AmE	4 I
2.2	One-word, hyphenated and two-word forms of	
	compound verbs in three dictionaries of BrE	41
2.3	Number of compound verbs in two dictionaries of	
	AmE and BrE	47
2.4	Compound verbs with <i>hand</i> as pattern-forming first	
	element as documented in the OED 2	56
2.5	Compound verbs with <i>hop</i> as pattern-forming second	
	element as documented in the OED 2	58
2.6	Compound verbs with <i>talk</i> as pattern-forming	
	second element as documented in the OED 2	58
3.I	Percentage use of irregular vs. regular forms.	
	Comparison with the results in Biber <i>et al.</i> (1999: 397)	62
3.2	The correlation between aspect and verb inflections	0
	in Ind 2000	82
3.3	The use of regular and irregular verb forms in NYT	0
	1995 and <i>Ind</i> 2000	83
3.4	The use of regular and irregular verb forms in LSAC	0.
2.5	and BNC (spoken)	84
3.5	The use of regular and irregular verb forms in <i>The Times</i> 2000	84
26	The use of regular and irregular verb forms in actives	04
3.6	and passives in <i>Ind</i> 2000	84
2.7	Participial adjectives in NYT 1995, <i>Ind</i> 2000 and <i>The</i>	04
3.7	Times 2000	85
4 T	British and American English databases	87
4.I 4.2	Synthetic vs. analytic comparative forms of four	0/
4.4	formal types of adjectives in non-attributive	
	position in the British corpus and the American	
	corpus	93
	- v - p v	,,



xviii List of tables

4.3	Analytic comparatives of monosyllabic adjectives in relation to the positive form in the British corpus and	
	the American corpus	0.4
	1	94
4.4	Analytic comparatives of monosyllabic adjectives in	
	relation to attested gradability in the British corpus	- (
	and the American corpus	96
4.5	Frequency of comparatives (synthetic + analytic) of	
	four formal types of adjectives in the British corpus	
	and the American corpus	97
4.6	Comparatives of monosyllabic adjectives in relation	
	to the frequency of the positive in the British corpus	
	and the American corpus	99
4.7	Comparative forms of twenty-one monosyllabic	
	adjectives in the British corpus (excluding the BNC)	
	and the American corpus	103
4.8	Comparative forms of thirty-six frequent monosyllabic	
	adjectives in six selected British and American English	
	corpora	104
5.I	Summary of the evidence with regard to the three	
	generalizations about British-American differences	129
7 . I	Informal survey	151
7.2	Direct object of <i>substitute</i> in the BNC	157
7.3	Reference of direct object in the BNC according to	
	domain	158
7.4	Direct object of <i>substitute</i> in the ANC	160
7.5	Comparison of unambiguous examples in the BNC	
	and ANC	161
7.6	Factors in choice of argument order	162
8.1	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	empty immediately preceding the preposition into in	
	selected British and American newspapers	168
8.2	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the	
	verb <i>empty</i> immediately preceding the preposition	
	into in a selection of historical British and American	
	corpora	168
8.3	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	oversleep in British and American historical corpora	169
8.4	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
•	overeat in British and American corpora of the	
	nineteenth and early twentieth centuries	169
8.5	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
,	keep immediately preceding from + verbal -ing form	
	in historical and present-day British and American	
	databases	170
		, -



	List of tables	xix
8.6	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the type <i>keep</i>	
0.0	(o.s.) to $o.s.$ in British and American historical corpora	170
8.7	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the type	-, -
/	keep (o.s.) to o.s. in selected British and American	
	newspapers	171
8.8	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of <i>he</i>	-/-
	committed (himself) 'he bound himself' associated	
	with following complements introduced by the	
	preposition/infinitive marker to in selected British	
	and American newspapers	172
8.9	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of <i>he</i>	,
	committed (himself) 'he bound himself' associated	
	with following complements introduced by the	
	preposition/infinitive marker to in selected years of	
	the Los Angeles Times	172
8.10	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	,
	brace (o.s.) immediately preceding phrases	
	introduced by to (preposition or infinitive marker),	
	for or against in historical British and American	
	corpora	173
8.11	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	brace (o.s.) immediately preceding to (preposition or	
	infinitive marker), for or against in selected British	
	and American newspapers	173
8.12	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	disport in selected British and American newspapers	174
8.13	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the type	
	get(o.s.) in(to) () trouble in selected British and	
	American newspapers	175
8.14	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	forms pledging/to pledge immediately preceding the	
	preposition/infinitive marker to in selected British	
	and American newspapers	175
8.15	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	forms organize (organise)/organizes (organises)/	
	organizing (organising) immediately preceding	
	infinitival purpose clauses in selected British and	
0 (American newspapers	176
8.16	Reflexive and non-reflexive (active) uses of the verb	
	forms organise/organises/organising (including any	
	spelling variants) immediately preceding infinitival purpose clauses in selected years of <i>The Times</i> and	
	· ·	
	The Sunday Times and The Guardian (including The Observer for 1994–2004)	
	Ouserver 101 1994–2004)	177



xx List of tables

8.17	Selected reflexive verbs in four matching one-million- word corpora of written British and American English	179
9.1	Diachronic newspaper corpus	185
9.2	Present-day newspaper corpus	185
IO.I	Prepositional and directly linked nominal complements	10)
10.1	associated with <i>unbecoming</i> in selected British and	
	American newspapers	196
10.2	The use of prepositional and directly linked	190
10.2	complements dependent on <i>(be) due</i> 'owed as a debt	
	or as a right' immediately preceding the personal	
	pronouns me, you, him, her, us, them, or the possessive	
	pronouns my, your, his, her, our, their, in selected	
	eighteenth- and nineteenth-century corpora	197
10.3	The use of prepositional and directly linked	19/
10.5	complements dependent on <i>(be)</i> due 'owed as a debt	
	or as a right' immediately preceding the personal	
	pronouns me, you, him, her, us, them, in selected	
	British and American newspapers	198
10.4	Prepositional and direct objects associated with selected	196
10.4	antagonistic verbs in British and American newspapers	100
TO 6	Prepositional and direct objects associated with	199
10.5	selected verbs of leaving in British and American	
	newspapers	201
10.6	The realization of the domain expression in passive	201
10.0	equivalents of the double object construction with	
	dismiss in selected British and American newspapers	202
10.7	Double object constructions involving <i>excuse</i> 'allow	203
10.7	sb. not to fulfil some duty, obligation' in selected	
	British and American newspapers	20.4
TO 0	Double objects and sequences of the type object +	204
10.8	with-phrase associated with the verb present in	
	historical British and American corpora	205
	Double objects and sequences of the type object +	205
10.9	with-phrase associated with the verb present in	
	selected British and American newspapers	206
10.10	Double objects and sequences of the type object +	200
10.10	with-phrase associated with the verb issue in selected	
		206
	British and American newspapers	206
IO.II	Double objects and sequences of the type object +	
	of-phrase associated with the reflexively used verb	•
	assure in selected British and American newspapers	207
10.12	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
	associated with <i>mas/mere sent</i> in historical and	0
	present-day corpora of BrE and AmE	208



	List of tables	xxi
10.13	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
	associated with is/are/was/were owed in British and	
	American newspapers	208
IO.I4	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
•	associated with the verb owe in British and American	
	authors born in the nineteenth century	209
10.15	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
	of the verb <i>accord</i> associated with two classes of full	
	NPs in the recipient slot in selected British and	
	American newspapers	209
10.16	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
	of the verb <i>accord</i> associated with personal pronouns	
	(excluding <i>it</i>) in the recipient slot in selected British	
	and American newspapers	210
10.17	The realization of the recipient in primary passives	
	of the verb accord in historical British and American	
	corpora	210
II.I	The distribution of non-finite complements of the	
	verb decline in various historical British corpora	218
11.2	The distribution of non-finite complements of the	
	verb decline in the EAF corpus	219
11.3	The distribution of non-finite complements	
	dependent on the verb cannot/could not stand (incl.	
	contractions and non-affirmative adverbs) in various	
	British newspapers for 1996–2004	225
II.4	Summary of the findings	226
12.1	The present perfect (with auxiliary HAVE) and the	
	preterite as percentages of all past-referring verb	
	forms in the history of English	230
12.2	The present perfect (with HAVE) and the preterite	
	as percentages of all past-referring verb forms in	
	some text categories in the history of English	233
12.3	Distribution of all present perfect/preterite	
	constructions from elicitation test with a statistically	
	significant difference between British and American	
	English	238
12.4	*	
	parallel corpora	240
12.5		
	verbs with personal pronoun subjects (<i>I</i> , you, he, she,	
	it, we, they) in the four parallel corpora: SAY,	
	MAKE, GO, TAKE, SEE, KNOW, COME, GIVE,	
	USE, THINK, LOOK, FIND, BECOME, WANT,	
	TELL, LEAVE, SHOW, FEEL, WORK, ASK	242



xxii List of tables

13.1	Normalized frequencies of negated subjunctives in	
	AmE, BrE and AusE	248
I4.I	The Longman corpus of news writing: British and	
	American	258
14.2	Complement types following selected triggers in	
	British and American news writing	262
14.3	Verbal triggers of the subjunctive in British (BrE)	
	and American (AmE) news writing	275
14.4	Noun triggers of the subjunctive in British and	
	American news writing	275
14.5	Adjective triggers of the subjunctive in British and	
	American news writing	276
I5.I	Composition of the database: diachronic part	284
15.2	Composition of the database: synchronic part	285
17.1	Frequencies of sure, surely and certainly in the spoken	
	parts of the BNC and the LCSAE	325
17.2	Two-word lexical bundles in the LCSAE	333
18.1	The distribution of try and $+$ verb and try to $+$ verb	
	in spoken and written British and American English	345
18.2	The distribution of <i>try</i> occurring in the infinitive,	
	the imperative, the present tense and the past tense	
	in the British material	346
18.3	The distribution of try occurring in the infinitive,	
	the imperative, the present tense and the past tense	
	in the American material	346
18.4	The frequency of <i>try</i> -constructions in spoken and	
	written BrE, expressed as number of instances per	
	million words	347
18.5	The frequency of <i>try</i> -constructions in spoken and	
	written AmE, expressed as number of instances per	
	million words	347
18.6	The distribution of try and and try to occurring in the	
	infinitive, the imperative and the present tense in	
	spoken BrE and spoken AmE	348
18.7	Present tense <i>try</i> used with or without <i>do</i> -periphrasis	
,	in spoken BrE and spoken AmE. Proportions of try	
	and as row percentages of totals	348
18.8	Relativizers after same in BrE	351
18.9	Relativizers after same in AmE	351
18.10	Auxiliary frequency in question tags in BNC-S and	
	LSAC	356
18.11	The fifteen most common question tags in	
	BNC-S and LSAC	358
18.12	The distribution of pragmatic types of tags	359



	List of tables	s xxiii
19.1	Synopsis of British–American contrasts in the	
	domain of adverbs and adverbials	381
19.2	Synopsis of British-American contrasts in the	
	domain of prepositions	389
19.3	Synopsis of British–American contrasts in the	
	domain of noun phrases	397
19.4	Synopsis of British-American contrasts in the	
	domain of predicates and predicatives	407
19.5	Synopsis of British-American contrasts in the	
	domain of sentential structures	420
19.6	Synopsis of British-American contrasts across all	
	domains surveyed in the present chapter	42I



List of contributors

KARIN AIJMER, University of Gothenburg D. J. ALLERTON, University of Basle EVA BERLAGE, University of Paderborn DOUGLAS BIBER, Northern Arizona University WILLIAM J. CRAWFORD, Northern Arizona University DAVID DENISON, University of Manchester JOHAN ELSNESS, University of Oslo PETER ERDMANN, Technical University of Berlin JACK GRIEVE, Northern Arizona University MARIANNE HUNDT, University of Zurich GINA IBERRI-SHEA, Northern Arizona University GÖRAN KJELLMER, University of Gothenburg MAGNUS LEVIN, Växjö University BRITTA MONDORF, University of Mainz GÜNTER ROHDENBURG, University of Paderborn JULIA SCHLÜTER, University of Bamberg GUNNEL TOTTIE, University of Zurich UWE VOSBERG, University of Kiel

xxiv