Epistemetrics

Epistemetrics is a scholarly discipline waiting to be born. With regard to scientific information there is the discipline of scientometrics, represented by a journal of that name. Science, however, does not have a monopoly on knowledge; although it is one of our most important cognitive resources, it is not our only one. While scientometrics is a centerpiece of epistemetrics, it is far from being the whole of it. Nicholas Rescher's endeavor to quantify knowledge is not only of interest in itself, but it is also instructive in bringing into sharper relief the nature of and the explanatory rationale for the limits that unavoidably confront our efforts to advance the frontiers of knowledge. In pursuing this objective, Rescher's book takes the measure of both the vast extent and the ultimate limitations of human knowledge.

Nicholas Rescher is University Professor of Philosophy at the University of Pittsburgh, where he is also Chairman of the Center for Philosophy of Science. He has received fellowships from the Ford, Guggenheim, and National Science Foundations. Author of more than one hundred books ranging over many areas of philosophy, he is the recipient of six honorary degrees from universities on three continents and was awarded the Alexander von Humboldt Prize for Humanistic Scholarship in 1984.

Epistemetrics

NICHOLAS RESCHER

University of Pittsburgh



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-17850-1 - Epistemetrics Nicholas Rescher Frontmatter More information

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521178501

© Nicholas Rescher 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

> First published 2006 First paperback edition 2011

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Rescher, Nicholas. Epistemetrics / Nicholas Rescher. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0-521-86120-9 (hardback) I. Knowledge, Theory of. 2. Verification (Empiricism) I. Title. BD212.5.R47 2006 I21-dc22 2005019557

> ISBN 978-0-521-86120-5 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-17850-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

To James R. Wible

Contents

Preface		<i>page</i> ix
1	Asking for More Than Truth: Duhem's Law of Cognitive Complementarity	1
	Science and Common Sense	1
	Science versus Common Sense	3
	Further Ramifications	5
	Knowledge in Perspective	6
2	Kant's Conception of Knowledge as Systematized	
	Information	9
	Distinguishing Knowledge and Information	9
	Kant on the Systematicity of Knowledge	10
	The Hierarchical Textualization of Knowledge	13
3	Spencer's Law of Cognitive Development	15
-	Spencer's Law: The Dynamics of Cognitive	-
	Complexity	15
	How Cognitive Taxonomy Has Grown More	
	Complex	18
	Evolving Complexity	2 4
	A Quantitative Perspective	26
4	Gibbon's Law of Logarithmic Returns	29
	Kant's Principle of Questions Propagation	29
	Edward Gibbon and Logarithmic Returns	32
	Diminishing Returns and Logarithmic Retardation	39
	Planck's Principle	42

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-17850-1 - Epistemetrics Nicholas Rescher Frontmatter More information

viii	Contents	
2	Adams's Thesis on Exponential Crowth	
5	Scientific Prograss	45
	Adams's Thesis	45
	Dimensions of the Phenomenon	40
	The Linear Growth of Knowledge	40 51
	The Lesson	54
	Bibliographic Appendix	54
6	Quality Retardation	51
0	The Centrality of Importance	57 57
	Levels of Cognitive Importance	50
	Ouality Retardation	55 62
	Elites	64
	Cognitive Importance as Reflected in Space Allocation	66
	Cognitive Importance as Reflected in Citation	68
7	How Much Can Be Known? A Leibnizian Perspective	
1	on the Quantitative Discrepancy Between Linguistic	
	Truth and Objective Fact	73
	How Much Can a Person Know? Leibniz on Language	10
	Combinatorics	73
	The Leibnizian Perspective	77
	Statements Are Enumerable, As Are Truths	80
	Truths versus Facts	81
	The Inexhaustibility of Fact	81
	Facts Are Transdenumerable	83
	More Facts Than Truths	86
	Noninstantiable Properties and Vagrant Predicates	87
	Musical Chairs Once More	90
	Coda: Against Cognitive Nominalism	92
	Appendix: Further Implications	93
8	On the Limits of Knowledge: A Kantian Perspective	
	on Cognitive Finitude	95
	Limits of Knowledge	95
	Cognitive Finitude	97
	Surd Facts and Unknowability	99
	Larger Lessons: Isaiah's Law	101
	Conclusion	105
Bibliography		107
Index of Names		111

Preface

When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meager and unsatisfactory kind: it may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely, in your thoughts, advanced to the stage of *science*.

- William Thomson, Lord Kelvin (1824-1907), English Physicist

This book develops the theory of knowledge from a quantitative perspective that serves to throw light on the scope and limits of human knowledge. It seeks to provide theorists of knowledge in philosophy, information theory, cognitive studies, communication theory, and cognate disciplines with the conceptual tools required for a quantitative treatment of the products of inquiry.

Kelvin's dictum is an exaggeration that takes things too far. I have never thought for a moment that if you cannot say it with numbers that it just is not worth saying. But all the same, I do firmly believe that where you cannot put numbers to work you will understand the matter better and more clearly for being able to explain why. So it seems well worthwhile to see what a qualitative approach to knowledge can do for us.

The discipline represented by the domain of inquiry to which the present book is addressed does not as yet exist. *Epistemetrics* is not yet a scholarly specialty. To be sure, as regards scientific information in specific there is the discipline of scientometrics, represented by a journal of that name. But while this book too will keep scientific knowledge in

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-17850-1 - Epistemetrics Nicholas Rescher Frontmatter More information

х

Preface

the foreground, various of its key principles – for example Duhem's Law of Chapter 1, or the Principle of Quality Retardation of Chapter 6 – hold every bit as much for our knowledge of everyday-life matters as they do for the natural and human sciences. After all, science does not have a monopoly on knowledge: while it is doubtless our most important cognitive resource it is not our *only* one. And so while scientometrics is a centerpiece of epistemetrics, it is not the whole of it.¹

Again the measurement of *intelligence* is a large and flourishing industry. But intelligence is no more than the *capacity* for producing and handling knowledge and does not as such address the product itself. Then too there are all sorts of tests and quiz shows and even games (on the order of Trivial Pursuit) that compare the knowledge of different individuals. But of course such comparisons do not address the epistemetric issue of cognitive measurement at large. And so, seeing that epistemetrics is not as yet an established field of inquiry, the present discussion can do no more than offer a preliminary glimpse into the nature of such a discipline.

Autobiographically speaking, I now believe that my brief time of service at the RAND Corporation some 50 years ago convinced me of the power and utility of the quantitative point of view. And I am persuaded that it affords us the basis for a deeper understanding of the nature and prospects of the processes at issue with the accession and development of knowledge.

To be sure, many factors conspire to make the measurement of knowledge into a vexed and complicated enterprise. A head count of particular items of information is of course pointless because one item can informatively encompass many others. Thus someone who knows a general truth is in a position to infer all of its potentially innumerable instances. One generalization can encompass a zillion particularities. And this points to the further issue that information is not created equal – some items deal with large and important matters, some with trivialities.

Given such complications it may seem unexpected that anything instructive can be accomplished in the epistemetric project of quantifying knowledge. But something can indeed be done, and the

¹ My personal effort in the domain of scientometrics is represented by my *Scientific Progress* (Oxford: Blackwell, 1978). It will be obvious to anyone who knows that book that the present work is heavily indebted to it.

Preface

present discussion will endeavor to synthesize and coordinate some of the useful suggestions that have been offered along these lines over the years.

Rather surprisingly, epistemology, that is, the theory of *knowledge*, has generally not made much of the distinction between various modes of cognitively available material – between reasonable conjecture and plausible supposition, for example, or between reliable information and actual knowledge. But it is this latter distinction – between mere information and genuine knowledge – that is pivotal for the present deliberations, which will seek to address the qualitative issue of knowledge versus information in a quantitative perspective.

The book's venture into the quantification of knowledge will proceed by a series of principles which, for reasons of mnemonic vividness can be indicated by association with various scientists and philosophers. Sometimes their work suggests rather than formulates what is at issue. But no matter! For what matters more than historical piety is that the pieces all fit together to make up a coherent and cohesive story regarding the limitations of inquiry and the limits of knowledge.

Ironically, the business of cognitive quantification is so problematic that one could say with some justice that the present treatment of quantities is qualitative in nature, and that rather than providing a properly quantitative assessment of our knowledge it only offers a qualitative perspective on it. But even if that were so, such an exercise is instructive in bringing into sharper relief the nature of and the explanatory rationale for the limits that unavoidably confront our ongoing efforts to advance the frontiers of knowledge. And the quantitative perspective developed here – in however rough and sketchy a way – affords some instructive insights into the nature of cognition that no merely qualitative deliberations would make available. In particular, the project manages to throw some vivid light on the unavoidable limitations of human knowledge.

Erik Angner and C. J. Thomas read my manuscript and spotted various corrigenda. And I am grateful to Estelle Burris for her highly competent assistance in seeing the book through the process of getting into print.

> Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania May 2005

xi