THE LISBON TREATY

Given the controversies and difficulties which preceded the coming into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is easy to forget that the Treaty is a complex legal document in need of detailed analysis for its impact to be fully understood. Jean-Claude Piris, the Director-General of the Legal Service of the Council of the European Union (EU), provides such an analysis, looking at the historical and political contexts of the Treaty, its impact on the democratic framework of the EU and its provisions in relation to substantive law. Impartial legal analysis of the EU's functions, its powers and the treaties which govern it make this the seminal text on the most significant recent development in EU law.

SINCE 1988, JEAN-CLAUDE PIRIS has served as the Legal Counsel of the Council of the EU and Director-General of its Legal Service. He is an Honorary Counsellor of State of France, a former diplomat at the UN and the former Director of Legal Affairs of the OECD. He was the Legal Advisor of the successive Intergovernmental Conferences which negotiated and adopted the treaties of Maastricht in 1992, Amsterdam in 1997 and Nice in 2001, the Constitutional Treaty signed in Rome in 2004 and, finally, the Lisbon Treaty in 2007.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN EUROPEAN LAW AND POLICY

This series aims to produce original works which contain a critical analysis of the state of the law in particular areas of European law and set out different perspectives and suggestions for its future development. It also aims to encourage a range of work on law, legal institutions and legal phenomena in Europe, including 'law in context' approaches. The titles in the series will be of interest to academics; policymakers; policy formers who are interested in European legal, commercial and political affairs; practising lawyers including the judiciary; and advanced law students and researchers.

Joint Editors

Professor Dr Laurence Gormley, University of Groningen Professor Jo Shaw, University of Edinburgh

Editorial advisory board Professor Richard Bellamy, University of Reading

Professor Catherine Barnard, University of Cambridge Professor Marise Cremona, European University Institute, Florence Professor Alan Dashwood, University of Cambridge Professor Dr Jacqueline Dutheil de la Rochère, Université de Paris II, Director of the Centre de Droit Européen, Paris Dr Andrew Drzemczewski, Council of Europe, Strasbourg Sir David Edward, KCMG, QC, former Judge, Court of Justice of the European Communities, Luxembourg Professor Dr Walter Baron van Gerven, Emeritus Professor, Leuven and Maastricht, and former Advocate General, Court of Justice of the European *Communities* Professor Daniel Halberstam, University of Michigan Professor Dr Ingolf Pernice, Director of the Walter Hallstein Institut, Humboldt University Michel Petite, Former Director-General of the Legal Service, Commission of the European Communities, Brussels Professor Dr Sinisa Rodin, University of Zagreb Professor Neil Walker, University of Aberdeen and European University Institute, Fiesole

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
78-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis	
ean-Claude Piris	
'rontmatter	
Aore information	

Book in the series

EU Enlargement and the Constitutions of Central and Eastern Europe Anneli Albi Social Rights and Market Freedom in the European Constitution: A Labour Law Perspective Stefano Giubboni The Constitution for Europe: A Legal Analysis Jean-Claude Piris The European Convention on Human Rights: Achievements, Problems and Prospects Steven Greer European Broadcasting Law and Policy Jackie Jarrison and Lorna Woods The Transformation of Citizenship in the European Union: Electoral Rights and the Restructuring of Political Space Jo Shaw Implementing EU Pollution Control: Law and Integration Bettina Lange The Evolving European Union: Migration Law and Policy Dora Kostakopoulou Ethical Dimensions of the Foreign Policy of the European Union: A Legal Appraisal Urfan Khaliq The European Civil Code: The Way Forward Hugh Collins State and Market in European Union Law Wolf Sauter and Harm Schepel The Ethos of Europe: Values, Law and Justice in the EU Andrew Williams The European Union's Fight against Corruption: The Evolving Policy towards Member States and Candidate Countries Patrycja Szarek-Mason The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter More information

THE LISBON TREATY

A Legal and Political Analysis

JEAN-CLAUDE PIRIS



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

> > Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521197922

© Jean-Claude Piris 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Piris, Jean-Claude. The Lisbon Treaty : a legal and political analysis / Jean-Claude Piris. p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in European law and policy) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-19792-2 (hardback) 1. Treaty on European Union (1992). Protocols, etc., 2007 Dec. 13. 2. Constitutional law – European Union countries. I. Title. II. Series. KJE4443.32007.P57 2010 341.242'2 – dc22 2010011465

> ISBN 978-0-521-19792-2 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-14234-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

DISCLAIMER

All opinions expressed in this book are purely personal. They do not represent the views of the Council of the European Union.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter More information

> To Margrét Thoroddsdottir-Piris and Anne-Sophie Sunna Piris, my wife and daughter, for their constant support and patience during the time of writing this book.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter More information

> I do absolutely believe in the European project. I think it is the most noble political ideal in European history in a thousand years.*

^{*} Peter Sutherland, chairman of British Petroleum, chairman of Goldman Sachs International, chairman of London School of Economics, former EU Commissioner and former Director-General of the World Trade Organization. Interview by Harry Eyres, *Financial Times*, 3–4 January 2009.

CONTENTS

List of boxes page xii Foreword by Angela Merkel, Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany xiv Acknowledgements xvi *Table of cases* xvii List of abbreviations xxi Introduction 1 The origins and birth of the Lisbon Treaty Ι 7 The process that led to the establishment of the European Union 1 The 2002–2003 European Convention and the 2004 Constitutional 2 Treaty and its failed ratification 15 From the Constitutional Treaty to the Lisbon Treaty 25 3 4 The difficult ratification of the Lisbon Treaty 49 5 The structure of the Lisbon Treaty 63 Π General provisions 71 6 Values and objectives 71 7 Delimitation and clarification of the EU competences 74 8 Basic principles 78 9 The legal personality of the EU 86 10 Variable geometry 89 11 Legislative and non-legislative procedures and Acts 92 12 Procedures for the revision of the Treaties 104 13 Withdrawal of a Member State from the EU 109 III Democracy 112 14 The European Parliament 114 15 The national parliaments 122 16 The citizens' initiative and other possibilities for citizens to influence decisions of the EU 133

7

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political An	alysis
Jean-Claude Piris	
Frontmatter	
Moreinformation	

х	CONTENTS
	17 The judgment of 30 June 2009 of the German Constitutional Court and the issue of the democratic legitimacy of the EU 141
IV	 Fundamental Rights 146 The origins of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights 146 The Charter of Fundamental Rights as referred to in the Lisbon Treaty 148 The Protocol on the application of the Charter to Poland and the United Kingdom 160 The accession of the EU to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms 163
V	Freedom, Security and Justice16722A short history of Justice and Home Affairs in the EU16723The abolition of the third pillar and the other changes made by the Lisbon Treaty17724Variable geometry in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice192
VI	Institutions20425The European Council20526The Council20927The Commission22528The Court of Justice23029Changes to other institutions and bodies23430Relations between institutions and the interinstitutional balance235
VII	 External affairs 238 31 External affairs before the Lisbon Treaty 238 32 The High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy 243 33 The European External Action Service 249 34 The Common Foreign and Security Policy 256 35 Security and Defence 265 36 Other sectors of external affairs, including trade policy 279
VIII	Financial, economic, social and other internal affairs28837The EU budget28838European monetary union and the euro zone30139The internal market and free competition30740Social policy309

41 Services of general interest 313

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis	
Jean-Claude Piris	
Frontmatter	
More information	

CONTENTS	xi
42 Agriculture, energy, health and other internal affairs 316	
Conclusion: the Lisbon Treaty and beyond 324	
Appendixes	
1 The judgment of 30 June 2009 of the Federal Constitutional	
Court of Germany on the Lisbon Treaty 341	,
2 The judgment of 26 November 2008 of the Czech Constitution	onal
Court on the Lisbon Treaty 359	
3 List of provisions on a simplified revision procedure and of	
passerelles 361	
4 Existing legal bases switched to the ordinary legislative proce	dure
(codecision) 365	
5 New legal bases 369	
6 List of Articles in the TEU and in the TFEU which enable th	е
European Council to take decisions having legal effects	379
7 Existing legal bases switched from unanimity to qualified	
majority voting 383	
8 Pre-existing legal bases where unanimity, common accord or	•
consensus continues to apply 386	

References398Index404

BOXES

1	The successive enlargements of the EU 3	
2	Protocol on the institutions with the prospect of enlargement of the	
	European Union (Amsterdam 1997) 10	
3	The four questions put by the Declaration on the Future of the Union	
	(Nice 2000) 11	
4	Declaration on the Future of the European Union (Laeken, December 2001) 12	
5	Turnout for the elections to the European Parliament 14	
6	Declaration on the ratification of the Treaty establishing a Constitution for	
	Europe, European Council, 15–16 June 2005 24	
7	European Council, 15–16 June 2006, Presidency Conclusions 25	
8	Berlin Declaration, 25 March 2007 29	
9	European Council, 19–20 June 2008, Presidency Conclusions 52	
10	European Council, 11–12 December 2008, Presidency Conclusions 54	
11	Statement of the Concerns of the Irish People on the Lisbon Treaty as set	
	out by the Taoiseach, 11–12 December 2008 55	
12	European Council, 18–19 June 2009, Presidency Conclusions 57	
13	Decision of the Heads of State or Government of the 27 Member States of	
	the EU, meeting within the European Council, on the concerns of the Irish	
	people on the Lisbon Treaty, 18–19 June 2009 58	
14	European Council, 29–30 October 2009, Presidency Conclusions 62	
15	The Union's values (Article 2 TEU) 71	
16	The objectives of the EU (Article 3 TEU) 72	
17	The division of competences between the EU and its Member States 75	
18	Declaration no. 17 concerning primacy 81	
19	The 'comitology' system before the Lisbon Treaty 101	
20	The Declaration on the Future of the European Union (Laeken, December	
	2001) 113	
21	Article 10 TEU 114	
22	Article 12 TEU 126	
	Europol and Eurojust 182	
24	The 'brake–accelerator' mechanism 185	
25	The 'accelerator' mechanism 187	

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis
Jean-Claude Piris
Frontmatter
More information

BOXES

26	The rotation of Counci	Presidencies from 2007 to 2020 210	
27	Article 16 TEU (definition of QMV within the Council) and Article		icle
	235(1), 2nd subparagra	ph, TFEU (definition of QMV within the	:
	European Council)	214	
28	Evolution of the weight	of Council members' votes since 1957	218

- 29 The 'Ioannina Decision' of 1994 223
- 30 The 2009 'Ioannina-bis' mechanism 224
- 31 ESDP operations and missions of the EU (2003–9) 269
- 32 Payment appropriations as a percentage of GNI, 2000–13 290
- 33 The UK rebate 290
- 34 EU expenditure 2007–13 291
- 35 Interinstitutional Agreement, Financial Framework 2007–13 293
- 36 A particular example of the extensive use by the European Parliament of its budgetary powers300
- 37 Protocol no. 27 on the internal market and competition 308
- 38 Protocol no. 26 on services of general interest 315
- 39 Article 194(1) TFEU on energy 319

FOREWORD

Mit dem Vertrag von Lissabon wurde ein neues Kapitel der europäischen Geschichte aufgeschlagen. Einen entscheidenden Impuls für diesen neuen Vertrag gaben die Feierlichkeiten zum 50. Jahrestag der Unterzeichnung der Römischen Verträge am 25. März 2007. Denn hier bekannten sich die europäischen Staats- und Regierungschefs in der "Berliner Erklärung" zu den gemeinsamen Werten, Aufgaben und Strukturen der Europäischen Union – und dazu, die Europäische Union auf eine erneuerte gemeinsame Grundlage zu stellen.

Diesen Willen in ein Mandat für eine Regierungskonferenz umzusetzen, war sicherlich nicht einfach. Dass dieses Ziel schließlich noch während der deutschen Ratspräsidentschaft auf dem Europäischen Rat vom 21. bis 23. Juni 2007 erreicht wurde, ist auch ein Verdienst von Jean-Claude Piris als Generaldirektor des Juristischen Dienstes des Rates. Die Mühen haben sich gelohnt. Denn der Vertrag von Lissabon macht die Europäische Union nach außen stärker und selbständiger und nach innen demokratischer. Die Union ist damit für die neuen Herausforderungen der zunehmend globalisierten Welt besser gerüstet.

Mit Inkrafttreten des Vertrags von Lissabon am 1. Dezember 2009 wurde ein vielschichtiger Reformzyklus erfolgreich abgeschlossen, der bereits mit den Verhandlungen zum Vertrag von Maastricht begann. Das vorliegende Buch zeichnet die historischen Linien nach. Dadurch werden die Wurzeln und Bezüge der zentralen Regelungen klar herausgearbeitet. Daneben stellt das Werk auch die wichtigsten Urteile zum Vertrag von Lissabon dar – beispielsweise das Urteil des deutschen Bundesverfassungsgerichts. Das Buch leistet somit eine umfassende Einordnung des geltenden Primärrechts der Europäischen Union aus einer berufenen Hand.

> Angela Merkel Bundeskanzlerin Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

FOREWORD (TRANSLATION)

The Lisbon Treaty opened a new chapter in European history. The celebrations on 25 March 2007 to mark the fiftieth anniversary of the signing of the Treaties of Rome were a significant driver of this new Treaty, for it was on this occasion that the European heads of state or government, in signing the Berlin Declaration, committed themselves not only to a set of shared values, tasks and structures within the European Union but also to placing the European Union on a renewed common basis.

Converting this commitment into a mandate for an Intergovernmental Conference was by no means easy. The fact that this goal was indeed accomplished at the European Council meeting held on 21–23 June 2007 – and still within the period of the German EU Council Presidency – was thanks in no small part to Jean-Claude Piris in his role as Director-General of the Council Legal Service. It was worth the effort. For the Lisbon Treaty makes the European Union stronger and more independent in foreign policy but internally more democratic, which means it is better equipped to face the new challenges of an increasingly globalised world.

With the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on 1 December 2009, a complex cycle of reform that had in fact begun with the negotiations for the Maastricht Treaty was successfully completed. This book traces the outline of the historical process and in so doing clearly elucidates the roots of the core provisions and also the relationships between them. At the same time the work illuminates the most significant judgments relating to the Lisbon Treaty – for instance the judgment by the German Federal Constitutional Court. The book achieves in effect a comprehensive assessment of existing primary law in the European Union, written by an authoritative hand.

Angela Merkel Chancellor Federal Republic of Germany (Berlin, November 2009)

XV

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Thérèse Blanchet for her invaluable assistance in the writing of this book.

He also thanks Patricia Hancq for her constant help, as well as Vendula Kuncová.

He thanks all his friends and colleagues, in particular Michael Bishop, who agreed to read the manuscript, for the many improvements they brought to it. Any mistakes are those of the author.

TABLE OF CASES

Court of Justice of the European Union

Case 6/641, <i>Costa</i> v. <i>ENEL</i> , Judgment of 15 July 1964, [1964] ECR 585 22n. 24, 79, 82, 144
Cases 90/63 and 91/63, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>Luxembourg and Belgium</i> , Judgment of 13 November 1964, [1964] ECR 625 22n. 24
Case 29/69, <i>Stauder</i> , Judgment of 12 November 1969, [1969] ECR 419 146n. 1, 159n. 31
Case 11/70, <i>International Handelsgesellschaft</i> , Judgment of 17 December 1970, [1970] ECR 1125 79n. 14, 146n. 1, 348n. 9
Case 22/70, AETR, Judgment of 31 March 1971, [1971] ECR 263 238n. 1
Case 39/72, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>Italy</i> (premiums for slaughtering cows), Judgment of 7 February 1973, [1973] ECR 101 86n. 24
Case 36/74, <i>Walrave</i> , Judgment of 12 December 1974, [1974] ECR 1405 322n. 61
Case 41/76, Donckerwolcke, Judgment of 15 December 1976, [1976] ECR 1921
74n. 13
Case 106/77, Simmenthal, Judgment of 9 March 1978, [1978] ECR 629 79n. 14, 80
Case 128/78, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>UK</i> (tachographs), Judgment of 7 February 1979, [1979] ECR 419 86n. 24
Case 231/78, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>UK</i> (potatoes), Judgment of 29 March 1979, [1979] ECR 447 86n. 24
Case 804/79, Commission v. UK, Judgment of 5 May 1981, [1981] ECR 1045 74n. 13
Case 294/83, <i>Les Verts</i> v. <i>Parliament</i> , Judgment of 23 April 1986, [1986] ECR 1339 22n. 25, 152n. 17
Case C-159/90, <i>SPUC</i> v. <i>Grogan</i> , Judgment of 4 October 1991, [1991] ECR I-4685 156n. 26
Opinion 1/91 of 14 December 1991, EEA Agreement, [1991] ECR 6079 22nn.25-6
Opinion 2/91 of 19 March 1993, ILO, [1993] ECR I-1061 74n. 12
Case C-2/92, <i>Bostock</i> , Judgment of 24 March 1994, [1994] ECR I-955 159n. 29
Case 65/93, <i>European Parliament</i> v. <i>Council</i> , Judgment of 30 March 1995, [1995] ECR I-643 85n. 21
Case C-415/93, <i>Bosman</i> , Judgment of 15 December 1995, [1995] ECR, I-4921 322n. 61

xvii

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis
Jean-Claude Piris
Frontmatter
More information

xviii

TABLE OF CASES

Case C-25/94, Commission v. Council (FAO fishery agreement), Judgment of 19 March
1996, [1996] ECR, I-01469 294n. 7
Opinion 2/94 of 28 March 1996, Accession of the EC to the ECHR, [1996] ECR I-1759
146n. 2, 159n. 32, 164n. 38
Case C-285/98, Kreil, Judgment of 11 January 2000, [2000] ECR I-69 348n. 9
Case C-107/97, Judgment of 18 March 2000, Rombi and Arkopharma, [2000] ECR
I-3367 159n. 29
Case 50/00 P, Union de Pequeños agricultores, Judgment of 25 July 2002, [2002] ECR
I-6677 231–2n. 29
Case C-11/00, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>ECB</i> (OLAF Regulation), Judgment of 10 July 2003, [2003] ECR I-7147 235n. 36
Case C-378/00, <i>Commission</i> v. <i>Council</i> (LIFE), Judgment of 21 January 2003, [2003]
ECR I-937 102
Case C-105/03, <i>Pupino</i> , Judgment of 16 June 2005, [2005] ECR I-5285 92n. 33,
175-6n. 28, 200n. 73
Case C-176/03, Commission v. Council, Judgment of 13 September 2005, [2005] ECR
I-7879 183n. 45
Cases C-77/05 and 137/05, <i>UK</i> v. <i>Council</i> , Judgments of 18 December 2007, [2007]
ECR I-11459 and I-11593 197n. 68, 199n. 72
Case C-91/05, Commission v. Council (small arms-ECOWAS), Judgment of 20 May
2008, [2008] ECR I-3651 68, 231n. 27, 280n. 58
Case C-341/05, <i>Laval</i> , Judgment of 18 December 2007, [2007] ECR I-11767 158n. 28, 334
Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05 P, Kadi v. Council and Commission, Judgment
of 3 September 2008, [2008] ECR I-6351 347
Case C-438/05, <i>Viking Line</i> , [2007] Judgment of 11 December 2007, ECR I-10779
158n. 28, 334
Case C-440/05, Commission v. Council, Judgment of 23 October 2007, [2007] ECR
I-9097 183–94n. 45
Case C-13/07, Commission v. Council (accession of Vietnam to the WTO), Conclusions
of Advocate General Juliane Kokott, 26 March 2009 [not yet published] 239n. 2
Case C-127/08, <i>Metock</i> , Judgment of 25 July 2008, [2008] ECR I-6241 333n. 13
Other international courts

European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) and European Human Rights Commission

Decision of 13 May 1980 of the European Human Rights Commission, Case X v. UK, no. 8416/79, DR 19, 244 155n. 24

Judgment of 7 July 1989 of the ECHR, Case 1/1989/161/217, Soering v. United Kingdom 153n. 22

TABLE OF CASES

xix

Judgment of 17 December 1996 of the ECHR, Case 71/1995/577/663, *Ahmed* v. *Austria* 153n. 22

Judgment of 18 February 1999 of the ECHR, Case 24833/94, *Matthews* v. UK 166n. 41

Judgment of 29 June 2004 of the ECHR, Case 44774/98, Leyla Sahin v. Turkey 156

Judgment of 30 June 2005 of the ECHR, Case 45036/98, *Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm* v. *Ireland* 146n. 2, 147n. 7, 165n. 39

International Court of Justice

Advisory Opinion of 11 April 1949, *Reparation for injuries suffered in the service of the United Nations* ('Bernadotte Case'), [1949] ICJ Rep. 174 87n. 26

National Constitutional Courts

Czech Republic

 Judgment of 8 March 2006, Sugar quotas, Pl. US 50/04
 360n. 3

 Judgment of 3 May 2006, Arrest-warrant, Pl. US 66/04
 360n. 3

 Judgment of 26 November 2008, Treaty of Lisbon (I), Pl. US 19/08
 359–60

 Judgment of 3 November 2009, Treaty of Lisbon (II), Pl. US 29/09
 114n. 3, 359n. 1

France

Decision of 19 November 2004, *Traité établissant une Constitution pour l'Europe*, no. 2004–505 DC 81n. 18

Decision of 20 December 2007, *Traité de Lisbonne*, no. 2007–560 DC 49n. 80, 128n. 29

Germany, Federal Republic of

Judgment of 29 May 1974, Solange I, BVerfGE 37, 271 115n. 4, 144, 146, 164
Judgment of 22 October 1986, Solange II, BVerfGE 73, 339 147
Judgment of 18 December 1993, Treaty of Maastricht, BVerfGE 89, 155
Judgment of 7 June 2000, Bananenmarktordnung, BVerfGE 102, 147 147n. 5
Judgment of 30 June 2009, Treaty of Lisbon, Joint Cases 2 BvE 2/08, 2 BvE 5/08, 2 BvR 1010/08, 2 BvR 1022/08, 2 BvR 1259/08 and 2 BvR 182/09 83n. 20, 86n. 23, 107n. 57, 111n. 62, 112n. 1, 114n. 3, 116n. 8, 121n. 17, 122n. 18, 128n. 26, 130n. 35, 132 nn. 38–9, 134n. 40, 139n. 50, 140 nn. 53–4, 141–5, 152n. 19, 180n. 37, 181n. 41, 183n. 42, 184n. 46, 186n. 48, 217n. 14, 233n. 33, 236n. 38, 309n. 28, 313n. 42, 335n. 16, 341–58

xх

TABLE OF CASES

Ireland

Judgment of 9 April 1987, Crotty v. Taoiseach, 1986 No. 12036P 51

Italy

Judgment of 27 December 1973, *Frontini*, no. 183/73, I, 1974, 314 147n. 6 Judgment of 21 April 1989, *Fragd*, no. 232/89, I, 1990, 1855 147n. 6

Spain

Decision of 13 December 2004, *Traité établissant une Constitution pour l'Europe*, no. DTC 1/2004 81n. 18

ABBREVIATIONS

CAP	common agricultural policy	
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy	
Coreper	Committee of Permanent Representatives ¹	
COSAC	Conference of European Affairs Committee of national parliaments ²	
COSI	Standing Committee on operational co-operation on internal security ³	
CSDP	Common Security and Defence Policy	
Cst	Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe	
EAEC	European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom)	
EC	European Community/Communities	
ECB	European Central Bank	
ECHR	European Court of Human Rights	
ECJ	European Court of Justice	
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community	
EEAS	European External Action Service	
EEC	European Economic Community ('EC' after the 1992 Maastricht Treaty)	
EIB	European Investment Bank	
EMU	Economic and Monetary Union	
EP	European Parliament	
ESDP	European Security and Defence Policy	
EU	European Union	
Euratom	European Atomic Energy Community (EAEC)	
Eurojust	European Union's Judicial Co-operation Unit	
Europol	European Police Office	
FP	Financial Perspectives	
FSJ	Freedom, Security and Justice	
FYROM	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	
GNI	Gross National Income	

1 French acronym for 'Comité des Représentants Permanents'.

2 French acronym for 'Conférence des Organes Spécialisés dans les Affaires Communautaires et européennes des parlements de l'Union européenne'.

3 French acronym for 'Comité permanent de coopération opérationnelle en matière de Sécurité Intérieure'.

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-14234-2 - The Lisbon Treaty: A Legal and Political Analysis Jean-Claude Piris Frontmatter More information

xxii	ABBREVIATIONS
HR	High Representative (of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security
	Policy) (after the Lisbon Treaty)
HSG	heads of state or government
IGC	Intergovernmental Conference
IIA	Interinstitutional Agreement
JHA	Justice and Home Affairs
MEP	member of the European Parliament
MFF	Multiannual Financial Framework
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OJ	Official Journal of the European Union (before 1 January 2003: Official
	Journal of the European Communities)
OLAF	European Anti-Fraud Office ⁴
QMV	qualified majority voting
SG/HR	Secretary General/High Representative for CFSP (before the Lisbon
	Treaty)
SIS	Schengen Information System
TEC	Treaty establishing the European Community
TEU	Treaty on European Union ('former TEU': refers to the Treaty on
	European Union in force before 1 December 2009)
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
VIS	Visa Information System
WEU	Western European Union

4 French acronym for 'Office européen de Lutte Anti-Fraude'.