

PROBIT ANALYSIS



PROBIT ANALYSIS

A STATISTICAL TREATMENT OF THE SIGMOID RESPONSE CURVE

 \mathbf{BY}

D. J. FINNEY, M.A.

Lecturer in the Design and Analysis of Scientific Experiment, University of Oxford; Formerly of Rothamsted Experimental Station

WITH A FOREWORD BY

F. TATTERSFIELD, D.Sc., F.R.I.C.

Head of the Department of Insecticides and Fungicides, Rothamsted Experimental Station

CAMBRIDGE
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1947



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521135900

© Cambridge University Press 1947

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1947
This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-13590-0 paperback



CONTENTS

List of Examples pa	ge vii
Foreword	ix
Preface	xi
Chapter 1. Introductory 1. Biological Assay, p . 1; 2. Variability of Responses, p . 3; 3. Statistical Methods, p . 5; 4. Summary of Contents, p . 6	1
Chapter 2. QUANTAL RESPONSES AND THE DOSAGE-RESPONSE CURVE 5. The Frequency Distribution of Tolerance, p. 8; 6. Direct Measurement of Tolerance, p. 12; 7. The Binomial Distribution, p. 14; 8. The Median Effective Dose, p. 17	8
Chapter 3. The Estimation of the Median Effective Dose 9. The Probit Transformation, p. 20; 10. The Probit Regression Line, p. 22; 11. Precision of the Estimates, p. 31; 12. Fiducial Probability, p. 36; 13. Approximate Methods of Estimation, p. 37; 14. History of the Probit Method, p. 42; 15. Alternative Response Curves, p. 46	20
Chapter 4. The Maximum Likelihood Solution 16. Working Probits, p. 48; 17. The Regression Equation, p. 51; 18. Heterogeneity, p. 55; 19. Fiducial Limits, p. 61	48
Chapter 5. The Comparison of Effectiveness 20. Relative Potency, p. 65; 21. Combination and Comparison of Relative Potencies, p. 73; 22. Design of Experiments, p. 81; 23. Precision of Assays, p. 83; 24. Mean Probit Difference, p. 85; 25. Unequal Tolerance Variances, p. 86	65
Chapter 6. Adjustments for Natural Mortality 26. Abbott's Formula, $p.~88$; 27. Approximate Estimation of the Parameters, $p.~89$; 28. The Maximum Likelihood Estimates, $p.~91$	88
Chapter 7. Factorial Experiments 29. Reasons for Factorial Design, p. 100; 30. Qualitative Factors, p. 103; 31. The Probit Plane, p. 103; 32. Ostwald's Equation, p. 114; 33. The Interaction of Two Factors, p. 116; 34. Extensions to Several Factors, p. 120	100



vi	CONTENTS				
35. T 37. A Action of Sy	page by Poison page b	122			
Chapt	ter 9. Miscellaneous Problems	160			
Recor	ariation between Batches, p . 160; 43. Individual Mortality rds. p . 165; 44. The Average Kill, p . 170; 45. The Parkerles Equation, p . 172				
Chapt	ter 10. Graded Responses	183			
Respo	he Linear Dosage-Response Curve, p. 183; 47. Quantitative onses and the Probit Transformation, p. 185; 48. Semital Responses, p. 197				
Appe	ndix I. The Computing of Probit Analyses	199			
Appe	ndix II. MATHEMATICAL BASIS OF THE PROBIT METHOD	209			
Refere	References				
Table	8				
I.	Transformation of Percentages to Probits	222			
II.	The Weighting Coefficient and Q/Z	226			
III.	Maximum and Minimum Working Probits and Range	238			
IV.	Working Probits	239			
v.	The Ordinate, Z , and Z^2	249			
VI.	Distribution of χ^2	25 0			
VII.	Distribution of t	251			
Index	x of Authors	252			
Index	x of Subjects	253			



LIST OF EXAMPLES

Ex.	1.	Fitting by eye of a probit regression line to the results of an insecticidal test page	25
Ex.	2.	The standard error of a median lethal dose	34
Ex.	3.	Fiducial limits to the LD 50 in the rotenone- $Macrosiphoniella\ sanborni$ test	37
Ex.	4.	Estimation of the median lethal dose by Behrens's and Kärber's methods	42
Ex.	5.	Calculation of working probits	5 0
Ex.	6.	Arithmetical procedure in the fitting of a probit regression line	52
Ex.	7.	Application of probit analysis to heterogeneous data	57
Ex.	8.	Fiducial bands for a probit regression line	62
Ex.	9.	Fiducial limits of the median lethal dose	64
Ex.	10.	Relative potency of rotenone, deguelin concentrate, and a mixture of the two	67
Ex.	11.	Combination of relative potencies	73
Ex.	12.	Comparison of relative potencies	75
Ex.	13.	The relative toxicities of seven derris roots	75
Ex.	14.	Combination of relative potencies by the method of least squares	76
Ex.	15.	Mean probit difference of rotenone and deguelin concentrate	86
Ex.	16.	Calculation of weighting coefficients	90
Ex.	17.	Numerical example of the maximum likelihood solution	93
Ex.	18.	The effect of variation in concentration and deposit on the toxicity of a pyrethrum preparation to <i>Tribolium</i> castaneum	106
Ex.	19.	The effect of variations in concentration of hydrocyanic acid and exposure time on the mortality of <i>Calandra granaria</i>	117
Ex.	20.	Similar joint action of rotenone and a deguelin concentrate	126
Ex.	21.	Standard errors of discrepancies between observation and similar action predictions	128
Ex.	22.	Expression of one mixture in terms of two others	13 0
Ex.	23.	Similar action between the toxic constituents of derris	132



viii		LIST OF EXAMPLES	
Ex.	24.	Independent action between constituents with parallel probit regression lines $page$	138
Ex.	2 5.	Independent action between constituents with intersecting probit lines	142
Ex.	26.	Correlated independent action	144
Ex.	27.	The toxicity of rotenone-pyrethrins mixtures to the house-fly	148
Ex.	2 8.	The use of Table 26 in planning toxicity tests	151
Ex.	2 9.	Response curves given by equation (8.26)	156
Ex.	3 0.	The toxicity of ammonia to Tribolium confusum	163
Ex.	31.	Variability of <i>Macrosporium sarcinaeforme</i> to hydrogen sulphide, sodium dithionite, and sodium tetrathionate	176
Ex.	32.	Maximum likelihood estimation of index of variation of Macrosporium sarcinaeforme relative to sodium tetra- thionate	180
Ti	99		
IJĂ.	υ υ .	The repellent effect of lime sulphur on the honeybee	188



FOREWORD

In our work at Rothamsted on insecticides, their action and relative potency, we have been so dependent and have made so many calls on the skill and patience of the Statistical Department and in particular, in recent years, of Mr Finney, that it is a pleasure to learn that the statistical methods and techniques which he has placed so willingly at our disposal for the solution of our various problems are now to be expressed in a more permanent form and to be given to a wider field of workers. If this book receives its due, the investigators of toxicological problems throughout the world will find it a standby.

To many of us, engaged on the practical issues of devising the experimental material and methods to be employed in our laboratory tests, there will be much in these pages that has to be taken upon trust. They are meant to act primarily as an aid in computation, but there is a profound thread of reasoning running through them all, giving a coherence to the several chapters. One cannot but feel, therefore, that the more mathematical readers will find the book suggestive and stimulating.

Twenty-five or more years ago, when I entered the field of research from which Mr Finney takes so many examples for detailed computational study, the very whisper of the need for statistical analysis, falling upon the ears of the biological expert, was enough to bring down a storm of denial upon one's head. Although there may be some small residue of such a reaction still in existence, it now only persists in obscure nooks and crannies of the world of biological research. Much of this change is due to the school of statisticians founded by R. A. Fisher at Rothamsted, of which Mr Finney has been a distinguished member. They always showed a willingness to enter into one's experimental difficulties and an understanding of the limitations imposed by time and space upon the amount of work it was possible to do. The measure of the thought given to these matters can be gauged by the fact that some of the most important tables, given by Mr Finney in the following



X FOREWORD

pages, were in process of computation in actual anticipation of problems which we later carried to him. This brings up the now rather hackneyed, but none-the-less important, matter of the prior consultation with a competent statistician before designing experiments. Repeatedly is such a course justified by events, in that the plan can not only be often simplified, but so designed as to yield more information with little, if any, more labour.

Another issue, raised by such text-books as this, concerns the extent to which a training in statistics should enter into the curriculum of the biological and biochemical student. I have found, relatively late in life, how hard a task it is to pick up, during busy years, the requisite amount of basic statistical knowledge to follow the arguments set out in recent text-books written for one's own benefit. I therefore feel that more attention might be given to such a matter by the academic powersthat-be. The engineer may not need to know all there is to be scientifically known about the composition and manufacture of his tools and materials, but at least he should know enough to use them rightly. So too the quantitative biological investigator.

Mr Finney's text-book has a very long and dignified ancestry ranging back to early Egyptian and Mesopotamian times, when texts of mathematics were used for the training of scribes, the professional computers of those distant days. But this is a forward-looking book and is primarily meant for experimentalists. Enlarged editions may well follow this, the first, as the subject grows and new problems arise; and that there are many just round the corner anyone who has discussed these matters with the author is very well aware. Having had the benefit of his personal advice and help throughout the last six years I cannot but wish this book Godspeed on its helpful mission to others.

F. TATTERSFIELD



PREFACE

FROM the theory of probability, originally investigated in order to explain nothing more important than the results of games of chance, has developed the science of applied statistics. Over one hundred years ago Laplace wrote that

... la théorie des probabilités n'est au fond, que le bon sens réduit au calcul: elle fait apprécier avec exactitude, ce que les esprits justes sentent par une sorte d'instinct, sans qu'ils puissent souvent s'en rendre compte,

and these words might equally well be written of statistics to-day. In many fields of scientific research, and especially in the biological sciences, numerical studies are complicated by the inherent variability of the material under investigation, and conclusions must be based on averages derived from series of observations. The estimation of these averages and the assessment of their reliability are statistical operations, in the performance of which the experimenter inevitably employs a statistical technique even though he himself may not always recognize this fact. The operations may be simple or complex, depending upon the circumstances; if, however, they cease to be 'le bon sens réduit au calcul', they can no longer be expected to contribute to the understanding of the problem under investigation.

The recent rapid advances in the application of rigorous statistical methods to biological data began with the publication, in 1925, of R. A. Fisher's Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Not only did Fisher develop exact methods for the analysis of data from small samples to replace the older approximations from large-sample theory, but he also introduced new and powerful techniques for making the most efficient use of experimental results. Of equal importance to the growth of the present-day philosophy of experimentation was Fisher's suggestion that the statistician should be consulted during the planning of an experiment and not only when statistical analysis of the results is required, as his advice on experimental design



xii PREFACE

may greatly increase the value of the results eventually obtained. Since Fisher's book first appeared, the principles of experimental design and the methods of statistical analysis have been extended so rapidly as to make it increasingly difficult for any but the professional statistician to be familiar with the variety of methods needed in biological problems.

Many books since Fisher's have been written with the aim of surveying a wide field of biological statistics, but these can give only an outline of some important topics. There is to-day a need for books in which the specialized statistical methods appropriate to certain branches of science will be discussed in sufficient detail to enable biologists to appreciate them and apply them to their own problems.

One subject requiring fuller discussion than can reasonably be expected in any general text-book of statistics is the method of probit analysis, for the development of which J. H. Gaddum and C. I. Bliss are largely responsible. This method is widely used for the analysis of data from toxicity tests for the assay of insecticides and fungicides, and also of data from other types of assay dependent upon a quantal response. In this book I have tried to give a systematic account of the theory and practice of probit analysis, including as much as possible of the most recent extensions and refinements, in such a form that it may be understood by biologists, chemists, and others who have some knowledge of elementary statistical procedure; at the same time, I have endeavoured to satisfy the mathematical statistician by showing the theoretical background of the method. The less mathematically minded reader will no doubt be content to omit, or at most to read cursorily, Appendix II and other sections concerned with the mathematical basis of the technique. Full understanding and appreciation of statistical methods can be gained only by experience in their use, but careful study of the numerical examples should enable many who were previously unfamiliar with probit analysis to apply it satisfactorily to their own data.

This book has been written as a result of several years of close collaboration with members of the Insecticides Department



PREFACE

xiii

at Rothamsted Experimental Station, especially with Dr F. Tattersfield, Dr C. Potter, and, until he left Rothamsted, Dr J. T. Martin. I wish to express my gratitude to them for discussing with me a wide variety of their problems, for advising me on the experimental aspects of their results, and for the generosity with which they have permitted me to use their data both in this book and in earlier publications. I am also very grateful to my colleagues in the Statistical Department at Rothamsted for much helpful discussion, and particularly to Dr F. Yates for his detailed and constructive criticism in the preparation of my book. Others to whom my thanks are due include Miss G. M. Ellinger for assistance in German translation, Dr C. G. Butler for permission to use the numerical data of Ex. 33, Dr A. E. Dimond and Dr J. G. Horsfall for giving me very full information on the results discussed in § 41 and for permission to use their data, Professor G. H. Thomson for assistance in tracing the history of the probit method, the Editors of the Annals of Applied Biology for permission to reproduce the first half of Table II, Professor R. A. Fisher, Dr F. Yates, and Messrs Oliver and Boyd, Ltd. for permission to reproduce Tables I, VI and VII from their book Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medical Research, and my father, Robt. G. S. Finney, for very considerable help in the correction of proofs.

D. J. FINNEY

ROTHAMSTED EXPERIMENTAL STATION

August 1945



ERRATA

p. 43.

† Fundamentable Table

should be

† Fundamental Table