

Contents

Preface	xi
Editors' Introduction: Inference and Shoe Leather	xiii

Part I

Statistical Modeling: Foundations and Limitations

1. Issues in the Foundations of Statistics: Probability and Statistical Models	3
---	---

Bayesians and frequentists disagree on the meaning of probability and other foundational issues, but both schools face the problem of model validation. Statistical models have been used successfully in the physical and life sciences. However, they have not advanced the study of social phenomena. How do models connect with reality? When are they likely to deepen understanding? When are they likely to be sterile or misleading?

2. Statistical Assumptions as Empirical Commitments	23
---	----

Statistical inference with convenience samples is risky. Real progress depends on a deep understanding of how the data were generated. No amount of statistical maneuvering will get very far without recognizing that statistical issues and substantive issues overlap.

3. Statistical Models and Shoe Leather	45
--	----

Regression models are used to make causal arguments in a wide variety of applications, and it is time to evaluate the results. Snow's work on cholera is a success story for causal inference based on nonexperimental data, which was collected through great expenditure of effort and shoe leather. Failures are also discussed. Statistical technique is seldom an adequate substitute for substantive knowledge of the topic, good research design, relevant data, and empirical tests in diverse settings.

Part II Studies in Political Science, Public Policy, and Epidemiology

4. Methods for Census 2000 and Statistical Adjustments 65

The U.S. Census is a sophisticated, complex undertaking, carried out on a vast scale. It is remarkably accurate. Statistical adjustments are likely to introduce more error than they remove. This issue was litigated all the way to the Supreme Court, which in 1999 unanimously supported the Secretary of Commerce's decision not to adjust the 2000 Census.

5. On "Solutions" to the Ecological Inference Problem 83

Gary King's book, *A Solution to the Ecological Inference Problem*, claims to offer "realistic estimates of the uncertainty of ecological estimates." Applying King's method and three of his main diagnostics to data sets where the truth is known shows that his diagnostics cannot distinguish between cases where estimates are accurate and those where estimates are far off the mark. King's claim to have arrived at a solution to this problem is premature.

6. Rejoinder to King 97

King's method works with some data sets but not others. As a theoretical matter, inferring the behavior of subgroups from aggregate data is generally impossible: The relevant parameters are not identifiable. King's diagnostics do not discriminate between probable successes and probable failures.

7. Black Ravens, White Shoes, and Case Selection: Inference with Categorical Variables 105

Statistical ideas can clarify issues in qualitative analysis such as case selection. In political science, an important argument about case selection evokes Hempel's Paradox of the Ravens. This paradox can be resolved by distinguishing between population and sample inferences.

8. What is the Chance of an Earthquake? 115

Making sense of earthquake forecasts is surprisingly difficult. In part, this is because the forecasts are based on a complicated mixture of geological maps, rules of thumb, expert opinion, physical models, stochastic models, and numerical simulations, as well as geodetic, seismic, and paleoseismic data. Even the concept of probability is hard to define in this

CONTENTS

vii

context. Other models of risk for emergency preparedness, as well as models of economic risk, face similar difficulties.

9. Salt and Blood Pressure:

Conventional Wisdom Reconsidered 131

Experimental evidence suggests that the effect of a large reduction in salt intake on blood pressure is modest and that health consequences remain to be determined. Funding agencies and medical journals have taken a stronger position favoring the salt hypothesis than is warranted, demonstrating how misleading scientific findings can influence public policy.

10. The Swine Flu Vaccine and Guillain-Barré Syndrome:

A Case Study in Relative Risk and Specific Causation 151

Epidemiologic methods were developed to prove general causation: identifying exposures that increase the risk of particular diseases. Courts of law often are more interested in specific causation: On balance of probabilities, was the plaintiff's disease caused by exposure to the agent in question? There is a considerable gap between relative risks and proof of specific causation because individual differences affect the interpretation of relative risk for a given person. This makes specific causation especially hard to establish.

11. Survival Analysis: An Epidemiological Hazard? 169

Proportional-hazards models are frequently used to analyze data from randomized controlled trials. This is a mistake. Randomization does not justify the models, which are rarely informative. Simpler methods work better. This discussion matters because survival analysis has introduced a new hazard: It can lead to serious mistakes in medical treatment. Survival analysis is, unfortunately, thriving in other disciplines as well.

Part III

New Developments: Progress or Regress?

12. On Regression Adjustments in Experiments

with Several Treatments 195

Regression adjustments are often made to experimental data to address confounders that may not be balanced by randomization. Since randomization does not justify the models, bias is likely. Neither are the usual variance calculations to be trusted. Neyman's non-parametric model

serves to evaluate regression adjustments. A bias term is isolated, and conditions are given for unbiased estimation in finite samples.

13. Randomization Does Not Justify Logistic Regression 219

The logit model is often used to analyze experimental data. Theory and simulation show that randomization does not justify the model, so the usual estimators can be inconsistent. Neyman's non-parametric setup is used as a benchmark: Each subject has two potential responses, one if treated and the other if untreated; only one of the two responses can be observed. A consistent estimator is proposed.

14. The Grand Leap 243

A number of algorithms purport to discover causal structure from empirical data with no need for specific subject-matter knowledge. Advocates have no real success stories to report. These algorithms solve problems quite removed from the challenge of causal inference from imperfect data. Nor do they resolve long-standing philosophical questions about the meaning of causation.

15. On Specifying Graphical Models for Causation, and the Identification Problem 255

Causal relationships cannot be inferred from data by fitting graphical models without prior substantive knowledge of how the data were generated. Successful applications are rare because few causal pathways can be excluded a priori.

16. Weighting Regressions by Propensity Scores 279

The use of propensity scores to reduce bias in regression analysis is increasingly common in the social sciences. Yet weighting is likely to increase random error in the estimates and to bias the estimated standard errors downward, even when selection mechanisms are well understood. If investigators have a good causal model, it seems better just to fit the model without weights. If the causal model is improperly specified, weighting is unlikely to help.

17. On the So-Called "Huber Sandwich Estimator" and "Robust Standard Errors" 295

In applications where the statistical model is nearly correct, the Huber Sandwich Estimator makes little difference. On the other hand, if the model is seriously in error, the parameters being estimated are likely to be meaningless, except perhaps as descriptive statistics.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-12390-7 - Statistical Models and Causal Inference: A Dialogue with the Social Sciences

David A. Freedman

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS	ix
18. Endogeneity in Probit Response Models	305
<p>The usual Heckman two-step procedure should not be used for removing endogeneity bias in probit regression. From a theoretical perspective this procedure is unsatisfactory, and likelihood methods are superior. Unfortunately, standard software packages do a poor job of maximizing the biprobit likelihood function, even if the number of covariates is small.</p>	
19. Diagnostics Cannot Have Much Power Against General Alternatives	323
<p>Model diagnostics cannot have much power against omnibus alternatives. For instance, the hypothesis that observations are independent cannot be tested against the general alternative that they are dependent with power that exceeds the level of the test. Thus, the basic assumptions of regression cannot be validated from data.</p>	
<p>Part IV Shoe Leather Revisited</p>	
20. On Types of Scientific Inquiry: The Role of Qualitative Reasoning	337
<p>Causal inference can be strengthened in fields ranging from epidemiology to political science by linking statistical analysis to qualitative knowledge. Examples from epidemiology show that substantial progress can derive from informal reasoning, qualitative insights, and the creation of novel data sets that require deep substantive understanding and a great expenditure of effort and shoe leather. Scientific progress depends on refuting conventional ideas if they are wrong, developing new ideas that are better, and testing the new ideas as well as the old ones. Qualitative evidence can play a key role in all three tasks.</p>	
References and Further Reading	357
Index	393