

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

- additional commitments
 - GATS provision for 595–6
 - suspension of 599–600
- adjudication *see* arbitration
- ‘agreements’, definition of 591–2
- agricultural chemical regulatory data,
 - suspension of protection of 575–7, 582
- alternatives to retaliation
 - behavioural remedies 644–5
 - cease and desist orders 644
 - compatibility with dispute settlement 90–1
 - compensation 364
 - finances 643–4
 - structural remedies 644–5
 - use of 83, 90
- ‘amount of monetary cost imposed’
 - metric for NoI 107
- ‘amount-of-subsidy’ approach
 - see* appropriateness standard of level of retaliation
- antidumping penalties, US use of 236
- Antigua and Barbuda
 - ability to retaliate against United States 321
 - concern over self-damage from imposing sanctions 322–3
 - consideration of relevant factors in cross-retaliation request 123
 - cross-retaliation in *US–Internet Gambling* 81–2, 310–13
 - arbitrators’ decision on cross-retaliation request 520–2
 - GATS, implications of 314–16
 - overview of jurisprudence 542–4
 - quantifying IP rights 528
 - reasons for not imposing sanctions 334–5
 - request for cross-retaliation 516–17
 - scope of chapter 310
 - use to improve negotiating position 525–6
 - retaliation under TRIPS, application of 313–14
 - statement on applying sanctions 15
 - use of sanctions 76–8, 81
- appellate review of decisions, need for 260–1
- appropriateness standard of level of retaliation
 - ‘amount-of-subsidy’ approach
 - description 114–16
 - reasons for 116–18
 - ‘appropriate’
 - arbitrators’ approaches to defining 118
 - interpretation of 137–40
 - meaning of 117
 - ‘appropriate countermeasures’, full amount of subsidy as basis for calculating 139–40
 - ‘equality-of-harm’ approach, use of 118
 - rules for 114–18
- arbitration *see also* decisions
 - appellate review of decisions, need for 260–1
 - authorization request for retaliation following 97–8
 - causation issues in 369–71
 - de Vattel on 27
 - deciding level of 31–3

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

649

- distinction between legal and non-legal disputes 26–31
- ‘final offer’ 443–4
- improved interpretation as reform agenda 449
- precedential effect of prior awards 97
- process 95–7, 344
- provision for 25
- reasons for introducing 344–5
- role of 25–6
- third-party adjudication 107–8
- use of 25–6
- arbitration reports
 - identifying goal of retaliation, as basis for 49
 - list 2
 - page length of (table) 421
- arbitrators 20
 - ability to calculate authorized level of retaliation
 - Byrd Amendment* dispute, in 20
 - investment disputes, in 20
 - statement in *US–Gambling* 15–16
- approach to export subsidies, need for consistent 5
- ‘appropriate’, approaches to defining 118
- assessment of harm caused by trade rule violation 1
- assessment of practicability and effectiveness of cross-retaliation 120–2
- assessment of sanctions, scope for 136
- authority to review cross-retaliation requests 518
- burden of proof in cross-retaliation, decision on 519–20
- calculation of NoI 100–11
 - assessment of 479
- Canada–Aircraft* dispute, collection of evidence in 20
- chilling effect of violations, consideration of 369–70
- choice of benchmarks and counterfactuals 1
 - choice of own calculation of level of sanctions 136–7
- compensation, critique of approach to 635–6
- compliance claim following retaliation in *EC–Hormones*, decision on 371–2
- conformity of measure, decision on 29–30
- conformity with Bagwell and Staiger theory 17
- cross-retaliation under TRIPS
 - general remarks on 523–4
 - jurisprudence 538–9
- data and evidence used by
 - collection of 19–20
 - improving quality of 133–4
- decision-making process, economic modelling of 152
- decision on cross-retaliation 1
- decision on initial request for authorization 96–7
- decision on level of retaliation 31–2
- decision on purpose of retaliation 123–6
- direct-trade-blocked metric, use of 447
- discretion
 - consider non-legal issues, to 18
 - degree of 31
- disputes, in (table) 406
- economic analysis and modelling
 - from WTO Secretariat, use of *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
- economists as 404–5
- ‘effectiveness’ of retaliation, interpretation of 40
- entitlement to use different metrics for NoI 106–7
- ‘equality-of-harm’ approach, use of 99–113
- equivalence standard, interpretation of 478–9
 - arguments against flawed interpretation thesis 494
 - improved practice, suggestions for 500–2

- arbitrators (*cont.*)
 estimating future damages, role in 32
 'final offer arbitration', role in 18
 final word in decisions 29
 influence on members' economic policies, examples of 391–2
 instructions on level of SCOO 16
 interpretation of equivalence standard 138
 interpretation of preconditions for authorizing cross-retaliation 15
 justification for recalculation of level of sanctions 137
 legal and technical support from Secretariat 392
 limitation on power to examine proposed retaliation 95–6
 mandate 95–7
 NoI calculation baseline used by 481
 reasonable period of time for compliance, decision on 30–1
 reciprocity model, use of 4
 review of cross-retaliation request 119
- SCOO
 consideration of 447, 448, 486–9
 restriction on 495
 opinion on calculation of level of 489–92
 statements by, as basis for identifying goal of retaliation 42, 48–9 *see also specific disputes*
 tasks of 446
 terms of reference 96
US–Internet Gambling, award in 310–13
- Argentina, disputes involving valuing of compensation 630–1
- Asociación Latinoamericana de Integración (ALADI), *Peru–Computers* dispute 288–9
- authorization procedure for retaliation 1
- arbitrators' decision on initial request 96–7
 burden of proof 97
 grants of authorization 329
 initial request 94–5
 post-award request 97–8
 precedential effect of prior awards 97
 role of economics in 1
 rules on 94–9
ultra vires, ensuring that measures are not 98
- authorized level of retaliation
 identifying goal of retaliation, as basis for 41–2
- law of
 conclusions of chapter 126–7
 scope of chapter 89–90
 limits on 282–4
 'efficient breach' argument for 346
 reasons for 345–7
- auxiliary entitlements 455–6
- Bagwell and Staiger reciprocity model *see* reciprocity model of retaliation
- 'banana waiver' 26
- benchmark
 arbitrators' choice of 1
 export subsidy amount as 5
 impact of reciprocity versus welfare debate on choice of 6–7
 importance of goal of retaliation in choosing 39
 trade loss as, for NoI 142–3
 undercompensatory 17
- Berne Convention, interaction with TRIPS 553
- bilateral investment treaties (BITS)
 compensation provisions 626–7
 relation to TRIPS 557–60
- bilateral trade agreements, relation to TRIPS 557–60
- Brazil
 Canadian retaliation against, effectiveness of 279–80
 cross-retaliation request 516–17, 545
 MERCOSUR obligations 301

- procedures for retaliation
 conclusions of chapter 308–9
 design of sanctions 299–300
 implementing 301–3
 scope of chapter 297–9
 reasons for not imposing sanctions
 in *Canada–Aircraft* 333–4
 suspension of IP rights, threat of 82
 TRIPS Agreement, retaliation under
 302
 legislation for 303–8
US–Cotton Subsidies
 legislation in response to 297, 300,
 302
US–Cotton Subsidies dispute
 retaliation request in 118
 use of sanctions 76–8, 81, 82
Brazil–Aircraft dispute
 ‘amount-of-subsidy’ approach, use
 of 114–15
 ‘appropriate’, meaning of 117
 arbitrators’ authority to review 519
 Canadian retaliation in 279–80
 economic modelling 180–2
 effective compliance aim of
 retaliation, statement on 138
 full amount of subsidy, effect of 139
 statement on goal of retaliation in
 52–3
 use of economic models 141
 ‘broad list’ approach to sanctions
see sanctions
 broadcasts, compulsory licensing of
 581
 burden of proof
 authorization of retaliation, for 97
 compliance claim following
 retaliation 371–2
 cross-retaliation 519–20
 level of retaliation exceeding
 authorized 530
 reducing compensation, for 632
 CAFTA (as, e.g., of FTA)
 definition of ‘investment’ 558
 relation to TRIPS 558
 calculation of authorized level of
 retaliation
- ‘about right’ aim 18
 ‘accuracy impossible’ argument,
 summary of 15–20
 appropriateness standard
 historical development of 43
 rules on 92
 arbitration relating to, features of
 31–3
 arbitrators’ discretion to consider
 non-legal issues 18
 arbitrators’ role in 31–2
 arbitrators’ statement in
US–Gambling dispute 15–16
 art rather than science, as 17–18
 causation as factor in 370
 commensurateness standard 92
 counterfactual as basis for 5–6
 data and evidence, use of 19–20
EC–Hormones dispute 16
 economics, role of 19
 equivalence standard
see equivalence standard for
 level of retaliation
 ‘exactly right’, need to be 17
 expectation damages as basis for
 5–6
 importance of goal of retaliation for
 38–9
 investment disputes, in 20
 present stage of development, scope
 of chapter 135–6
 process of 16
 rules on 92–3
 scientificness of 15
 Canada
 disputes involving 277
 effectiveness of retaliation
 Brazil, against 279–80
 conclusions of chapter 280
 EC, against 279
 US, against 278
 preparation of target list 277–8
 retaliation by 277–80
 retaliation in *Byrd Amendment*
 dispute 10, 81
 retaliation request in *Brazil–Aircraft*
 114–15
 trade with US 278

- Canada (*cont.*)
 US-CDSOA dispute, retaliation in 278
 use of sanctions 76–8
Canada–Aircraft dispute
 adjustment of level of sanctions 139
 ‘amount-of-subsidy’ approach, use of 115–16
 arbitrators’ collection of evidence 20
 Brazil’s reasons for not imposing sanctions 333–4
 Brazil’s retaliation 82
 causal link between violation and loss, whether 108–9
 decision on purpose of retaliation 124, 126
 economic modelling 180–2
 ‘equality-of-harm’ approach, use of 116
 full amount of subsidy, effect of 139
Canada–Export Credits and Guarantees dispute, statement on goal of retaliation in 53
 carousel approach to ‘smart sanctions’ *see* United States
 causation
 establishing effect of retaliation 370–1
 harm by trade rule violation, of 6, 108–10
 assessment of 1
Canada–Aircraft 108–9
EC–Bananas 109–10
EC–Hormones 109
 NoI, as 6
US–Internet Gambling 109
 welfare model focus on 7
 indirect or intangible effects, treatment of 369–70
 issues in arbitration 369–71
 NoI by ‘marketing effort driven’ additional exports, of 110
 retaliation by optimal tariffs 5
 setting retaliation level, as factor in 370
 central banks, use of economic analysis 418–22
- Centre for Trade and Economic Integration, Workshop on WTO trade sanctions 2
 ‘chocolate cake’ scenario *see* Mexico
CME v. Czech Republic, reduced compensation in 631–2
CMS v. Argentina dispute, valuing of compensation 630–1
 collective retaliation
 collective retaliation, rather than cross-retaliation 535
 UN Charter, under 91–2
 use of 91–2
 ‘commensurate’, retaliation to be 118
 commercial presence commitments, suspension of 531–2, 606–11
 compensation
 alternative to sanctions, as 350–4, 364
 arbitrators’ approach, critique of 635–6
 BITS provisions 626–7
 burden of proof for reducing 632
 cooperation from defaulting state, need for 358
 cost of, compared with cost of retaliation 339–40
 DCF analysis for quantifying level of 628
 EC firms’ right to claim 270–4
 enforceability of 364
 enforcement objective, as 496–7
 failure to mitigate damages, reduction for 632–3
Fedon claim for 356–8
 focus of 37
 goal of retaliation, as 37, 74–5
 historical development 43–9
 government procurement, in 358
 importance of goal of retaliation in awarding 40
 increasing NoI levels 364–5
 insights on compensation *see* compensation
 instead of retaliation 510
 investment law and practice, in *see* investment
 investment law insights on

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

653

- conclusions of chapter 640
- scope of chapter 623–5
- investor misjudgement reducing 632
- issues for operation of system
 - cost of measuring losses from violations 351–2
 - cost of taxation to fund compensation 352
- developing countries, effect on
 - see* developing countries
- ‘liquidated’ damages, use of 351–2
- litigation cost and volume 352–3
- over-reliance on expectation damages 350–1
- lawful expropriation, for 626–9
- losses from imposing sanctions, for 12
- methods of 355
- non-expropriatory breaches of agreements, for 629–31
- principle of 627–8
- private parties’ right to claim 355–6, 364
- proposal for 364–5
- quantifying, DCF analysis for 628
- reduction factors 631–3
- reform proposals, critique of 638–40
- reparation, as 365
- respondent’s ability to pay reducing 633
- retaliation as 497–9
- system in dispute resolution, case for
 - conclusions of chapter 354
 - contract law insights 345–6
 - Fedon* judgment 356–8
 - issues for operation of system 340
 - need for reform 339
 - scope of chapter 339–41
- terminology in investment law and practice 625–6
- tribunals’ practice 628
- unlawful expropriation, for 629–31
- US-Byrd Amendment* negotiation on 283
- US-Copyright*, agreement in 355
- valuation methods 628
- competition authorities, use of
 - economic analysis 424–5
- competition law insights
 - behavioural remedies, use of 644–5
 - cease and desist orders instead of retaliation 644
 - conclusions of chapter 646–7
 - finances instead of retaliation 643–4
 - scope of chapter 641–3
 - state subsidies and state aids, measures against 645–6
 - structural remedies, use of 644–5
- complainants
 - assessment of effectiveness of retaliation 284
 - responsibility to set correct level of suspension 529–30
- compliance with trade rules
 - see also* violations of trade rules
 - aim of dispute settlement, as 24
 - Brazil’s TRIPS retaliation bill as inducing 303–8
 - capacity for retaliation, importance for inducing 325–7
 - choice to face retaliation instead of 5
 - counterfactual, as 7
 - developing countries’ aim of inducing 84–5
 - effective compliance aim of retaliation, statement on 138
 - effectiveness of retaliation in inducing 58–60, 255
 - exporters’ support for measures inducing 76
 - following retaliation 371–2
 - burden of proof 371–2
 - GATT success rate 328
 - disputes involving developing countries, in 328
 - goal of retaliation, as 49, 74–5
 - EC, by *see* European Communities (EC)
 - ‘inducing compliance’ concept 137–40
 - informal remedies as drivers for 9
 - partial retaliation to achieve 83–4
 - ‘plausible or reasonable compliance scenario’ as counterfactual 103

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

654

INDEX

- compliance with trade rules (*cont.*)
 primary purpose of retaliation, as 82
 'quality' of 360–1
 rate of 326–7, 360
 disputes involving developing countries, in 327
 reasonable period of time for, arbitrators' decision on 30–1
 retaliation as key factor in 320
 factors other than 328–9
 retaliation as sole remedy for non-compliance 90–1
 shift of goal of retaliation to sanctions from 44
 threat of sanctions achieving 81, 83
 time until
 US-Brooms Safeguard 291
 US-Byrd Amendment 284, 291
 timeliness of 361–2
 countries failing in timely compliance 362
 compulsory licensing in IP legislation 580–2
 'concessions', definition of 593
 consensus statement, use in dispute settlement 442–3
 consultations prior to imposing sanctions 11
 consumer goods, Ecuador required to suspend concessions on 122
 contract law insights for operation of compensation 345–6
 copyright, suspension of 565–9
 copyrighted works in digital format, opportunities and challenges for cross-retaliation 538
 cost of retaliation
 compared with cost of compensation 339–40
 cost of, success factor, as 375
 Costa Rica, ability to impose sanctions 202–3
 counterfactual
 accuracy of, dependence on trade data 140–2
 bases for calculation of retaliation, as 5–6
 choice of approaches to 7–8
 arbitrators' 1, 101–6
 definition of 7
 EC-Bananas dispute as example 102
 EC-Hormones as example 8, 101–2
 US-Gambling as example 7–8, 102–4
 WTO-consistency requirement 131–3
 consistency with WTO rules 103–6
 constructing appropriate 457–67
 defendant's compliance with rules as 7
 impact of reciprocity versus welfare debate on choice of 6–7
 inappropriate, use of 447
 non-existence of violation as 7–8
 'plausible or reasonable compliance scenario' as 103
 reliance damages as 17, 480–3
 scenarios 457
 comparison 461–7
 expectation measure 461
 reliance measure 458–9
 restitution measure 459–61
 use of 367–8
 countermeasures *see* sanctions
 cross-retaliation 510
 arbitrators' authority to review 518
 arbitrators' decision on 1
 arbitrators' interpretation of preconditions for authorizing 15
 arbitrators' review of request for 119
 assessment of practicability and effectiveness of 120–2
 authorization to developing countries 15
 burden of proof 519–20
 level of retaliation exceeding authorized 530
 circumstances serious enough for, whether 122–3
 collective retaliation rather than 535
 comparison with international law standards on countermeasures 516

- developing countries' use of
 - see* developing countries
- factors for practical and effective
 - 121
- factors to take into account 123
- GATS, under *see* General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS)
- GATT, under 119
- goods by sectors in WTO, TRIPS and GATS 518–19
- importance of goal of retaliation for 40
- potential effectiveness of 335–6
- preconditions for 40–1
- proposals to improve developing countries' access to 522–3
- reasons for, requirement to state 95
- requests for 335, 516–17
 - provisions for 517–18
- right to object to request for 95
- rules 118–23
- services sector, in *see* services sector
- suspension of IP rights 1, 14
- TRIPS Agreement, under *see* TRIPS Agreement
- welfare enhancing, as 14, 336
- withholding of royalties 14
- Czech Republic, *CME v. Czech Republic*, reduced compensation in 631–2
- damages
 - arbitrators' role in estimating future 32
 - assessment in investment arbitration *see* investment
 - comparison as baselines for NoI calculation (figure) 462
 - direct-trade damages as NoI measurement 483–6
 - expectation
 - analogy with sanctions 341–2, 347
 - baseline for calculation of NoI, as (figure) 462
 - bases for calculation of retaliation, as 5–6
 - DCF analysis, in 628
 - over-reliance on 350–1
 - private contract theory, in 17
 - reciprocity model of retaliation applied to 349–50
 - sanctions equivalent to 347–50
 - failure to mitigate, reduced compensation for 632–3
 - 'liquidated' 351–2
 - reliance
 - baseline for calculation of NoI, as (figure) 458
 - counterfactual, as 17, 480–3
 - DCF analysis, in 628
 - restitution, baseline for calculation of NoI, as (figure) 460
- data and evidence
 - dependency of accurate counterfactuals on availability of 140–2
 - improving quality of 133–4
 - quality of 205
 - importance for selecting target products 295–6
 - quantifying deterrent effect of retaliation, analysis for 205–29
 - use of 19–20
- decision-making process
 - arbitrators' *see* arbitrators
 - economic modelling of *see* economic modelling
 - WTO members' domestic approach to regulation of 378–86
 - conclusions of chapter 386–7
 - consensus for regulation, need for 378–9
 - current DSU regulation of 377–8
 - importance of 373–8
 - need for regulation of 378
 - 'notice-and-comment' procedure, use of 379
 - priority for regulation of 378
 - proposed DSU amendments 387–90
 - scope of chapter 373
 - SPS and TBT agreements as models for regulation of 379
- decision on retaliation 289–94
 - Mexico's in *Peru–Computers* 288–9
 - type of retaliation, responsibility for 35

- decisions
 - 'banana waiver' 26
 - elements of system for implementing 23–4
 - defendant's compliance with rules as counterfactual 7
 - design of retaliation
 - importance of 377
 - regulation of domestic processes for *see* decision-making process
 - deterrent effect of retaliation, quantifying
 - 'actionable' exports
 - computing amount of 206–16
 - computing growth of 210–16
 - conclusions of chapter 229–30
 - data analysis 205–29
 - developing countries' contribution to value of deterrence 201, 227–8
 - degree of incentive 202
 - factors 202–3
 - differences in countries' potential for enforcement role 201
 - enforcers
 - criteria for potential 216
 - exports from potential, computing percentage of 220–3
 - identifying potential 216–23
 - specific countries, for 216–19
 - ranking of 219–20
 - exports from potential enforcers 201
 - factors for value of deterrence 199
 - findings of study 200–1
 - 'homogeneous' goods, identifying 207–10
 - imports from potential enforcers, computing percentage of 224–9
 - literature survey 202–5
 - methodology 200, 203
 - 'non-actionable' exports, identifying 207–10
 - outsourcing, effect of 204
 - parts and components, identifying 207–10
 - potential enforcers, number of 201
 - relative pressure to conform on industrialized and developing economies 228
 - scope of chapter 198–201
 - symmetry between WTO protection and enforcement potential 224
- developing countries
 - see also* 'retaliation does not work when developing countries win a case' argument
 - ability to retaliate 202–3, 353–4
 - effectiveness of sanctions 321–2
 - problem of imbalance with developed countries 319, 321–2
 - authorization for cross-retaliation 15
 - authorization requests by 330–1
 - commercial presence (Mode 3)
 - suspension, utility of 608–11
 - compensation, economic effects
 - defendant, as 353
 - plaintiff, as 353
 - compliance rate in disputes involving 327
 - GATT disputes 328
 - contribution to value of deterrence 201
 - computing 227–8
 - degree of incentive 202
 - factors 202–3
 - cross-retaliation
 - services sector 619–22
 - benefits from 620–2
 - financial services 621
 - MFN status suspension 620
 - 'specific commitments' suspension 620
 - utility of dispute settlement for 620
 - cross-retaliation by 14–15
 - authorizations granted 336–7
 - constitutional and legislative issues 580–2, 619–20
 - intellectual property rights, suspension of 335–7

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

657

- challenge of political pressure
 - against 538
 - use to improve negotiating position 525–6
- legal issues 579
- potential effectiveness of 335–6, 587–8
- proposals to improve access to 522–3
- requests for 335
- size of services market as factor in suspending GATS or TRIPS commitments 534–5, 619
- welfare enhancing, as 336
- disadvantage under dispute settlement 536
- exports from potential enforcers 201
- implementation of retaliation 10
 - contrast to developed countries 331
 - reasons for not imposing 331, 335
- US–Byrd Amendment*, in 334
- inducing compliance, aim of 84–5
- inequalities with developed countries, problem of 9
- membership of ‘WTO enforcement club’ 11
- potential effectiveness of sanctions by 15
- ranking of 205–6
- relative pressure to conform on industrialized and 228
- variation in 228–9
- retaliation by 10, 81–2, 330–5
- self-damage from suspending concessions 547, 619
- services sector retaliation,
 - opportunities for 620
- ‘shooting yourself in the foot’ argument applied to 322
- utility of dispute settlement for 9–10, 329–30
 - arguments that retaliation rules undermine 320
 - conclusions of chapter 337–8
 - effect of retaliation as sole remedy 363
 - retaliation rules do not undermine 325
 - retaliation rules skewed against developing countries 323–4
 - retaliation rules undermine 324–5
 - sanctions lead to self-damage 322–3
 - scope of chapter 319–20
 - strength of arguments 325
 - welfare reduction, fear of 203
- digital formats, copyrighted works in,
 - opportunities and challenges for cross-retaliation 538
- direct-trade-blocked metric,
 - arbitrators’ use of 447
- discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis 628
- dispute settlement *see also* arbitration; *see also specific disputes*
 - advantage for developed countries 536
 - categories of disputes 149–50
 - clarification of WTO provisions as by-product of 441
 - compatibility of non-retaliatory measures with 90–1
 - compensation, case for system of *see* compensation
 - consensus statement, use of 442–3
 - decisions *see* decisions
 - disadvantage for developing countries 536
 - economic effect of, evidence for 396–8
 - economists’ role in *see* economists’ role in dispute settlement
 - effectiveness of
 - developing countries, for *see* developing countries
 - importance of goal of retaliation for 41
 - GATT and WTO compared 327–8
 - guidelines
 - calculation of NoI 443
 - usefulness of 443
 - improvements, proposals for 508–10
 - law, role of 29

- dispute settlement (*cont.*)
- legal and non-legal disputes
 - criteria for distinguishing 27
 - importance of distinction 28
 - overlap 28
 - legal profession, role of 29
 - penalties under 343–4
 - present stage of development 129–30
 - proposed amendments for
 - regulation of domestic decision making 387–90
 - reasons for not using 362
 - reform of, case for system of compensation
 - see* compensation
 - role of economic analysis in 396–404
 - sanctions, role of *see* sanctions
 - services sector retaliation, opportunities for 619
 - symmetry between WTO protection and enforcement potential 224
 - system
 - compliance aim of 24
 - expansion of 28–9
 - features 23–4
 - historical development of goal of retaliation in 43–9
 - importance of 23
 - UN Charter provisions 27
 - utility for developing countries
 - see* developing countries
- Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) *see* dispute settlement
- disputes *see also specific countries and specific disputes*
- categories of 149–50, 589–90
 - compliance rate 326–7
 - developing countries 327
 - distinction between legal and non-legal 26–31
 - criteria for distinguishing 27
 - importance of distinction 28
 - overlap 28
 - economic modelling of
 - see* reciprocity model of retaliation
 - effects of 505–8
 - GATT compliance success rate for developing countries 328
 - general equilibrium interpretation of, scope of chapter 503
 - investment disputes, calculation of authorized level of retaliation in 20
 - ‘mini-trade wars’, as 505–6
 - parties’ responsibility for economic input 440
 - retaliation against import restrictions
 - non-tariff measures 172
 - quotas 160, 163–4
 - retaliation against subsidies 158–80
 - retaliation not imposed, where 331
 - SCOO calculation in 486
 - three-country model 179–80
 - two-country model 185–6
- EC–Agricultural Biotech* dispute, US threat of sanctions 83
- EC–Bananas* dispute
- arbitrators’ authority to review 519
 - arbitrators’ general remarks on cross-retaliation under TRIPS 523–4
 - assessment of practicability and effectiveness of cross-retaliation 120–1
 - ‘carousel’ sanctions by US 79, 237
 - causal link between violation and loss, whether 109–10
 - choice of counterfactual 102
 - commercial presence commitments, suspension of 531–2
 - commercial presence (Mode 3), suspension in 608–11
 - complainants 2
 - consumption abroad (Mode 2), suspension in 606
 - cross-border supply (Mode 1), suspension in 603
 - decision on Ecuador’s cross-retaliation request 120–1, 520
 - economic modelling 164–5

- Ecuador's consideration of relevant factors in cross-retaliation request 123
- Ecuador's reasons for not imposing sanctions 332–3
- Ecuador's retaliation 10, 527–8
- 'equality-of-harm' approach, use of 99–100
- exporters' support for retaliation 78
- GE model of 505–6
- indirect or intangible effects of violation, treatment of 369
- input by US interest groups 79
- issue of case 142
- metric for NoI, choice of 106
- Mexico's negotiation approach 287–8, 293
- MFN status, suspension of 613–14
- NoI arising from retaliation, calculation of 112–14
- overview of jurisprudence 539–42
- reliance damages as counterfactual, use of 480–3
- SCOO, calculation of 489–90
- US claim of indirect effect of violation 469
- US retaliation in 238–9, 356–7
- use of 'smart sanctions' 80
- EC–Bananas (Ecuador)* report, statement on goal of retaliation in 51
- EC–Bananas (US)* report, statement on goal of retaliation in 50–1
- EC–Beef Hormones* dispute
- arbitrators' influence on economic policies, as example of 392
- arbitrators' justification for recalculation of level of sanctions 137
- calculation of authorized level of retaliation 16
- Canadian retaliation in 279
- carousel sanctions by US 13–14, 79, 237
- causal link between violation and loss, whether 109
- choice of counterfactual 8, 101–2
- complainants 2
- compliance following retaliation, issue of 371–2
- economic modelling 165–8
- example of choosing goal of retaliation 36–8, 58
- exporters' support for retaliation 78
- GE model of 505–6
- input by US interest groups 79
- issue of case 142
- lost trade claim in 369–70
- 'marketing-effort driven' additional exports cause of NoI, whether 110
- requirement to identify products to be subject to suspension 94–5
- SCOO, consideration of 486–9
- statement on responsibility for deciding type of retaliation 35
- US retaliation in 239–41
- use of 'smart sanctions' 80–1
- EC–Biotech* dispute, proposed US retaliation in 241
- EC–Commercial Vessels* dispute, non-retaliatory measures consistent with dispute settlement, whether 90–1
- EC–Geographical Indications* dispute, identifying rights holders' nationality 563
- economic analysis and modelling
- appropriate use of 441–2
- arbitrators' decision-making process, of 152
- central banks' use of 418–22
- competition authorities' use of 424–5
- conclusions of chapter 190–1
- DCF analysis for quantifying level of compensation 628
- general equilibrium model
- see* general equilibrium (GE) model of disputes
- investigating agencies' use of 422–4
- limitations of 141–2, 441
- NoI level, of 156–7

- economic analysis and modelling (*cont.*)
 - parties' responsibility for input in disputes 440
 - provision by WTO Secretariat *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - reciprocity model *see* reciprocity model of retaliation
 - role of 444–5
 - scope of chapter 149–53
 - use of 140–2
- economic effects of dispute settlement *see* dispute settlement
- economic policies, arbitrators' influence on 391–2
- economics
 - role in calculation of level of retaliation 19
 - role in retaliation authorization process 1
- economists' role in dispute settlement arbitrations, in
 - arbitrators and panellists, as 404–5, 435–6
 - 'called in' experts, as 417–18
 - parties' behalf, on 440
 - possible involvements 404–18
 - Secretariat support staff, as 410–17
 - 'economic clarity', providing 441
 - equal partners in arbitration process 400–3
 - expert witness and interpretative 398–400
 - limitations to 403–4
- Ecuador
 - ability to retaliate against EC 321
 - arbitrators' decision on cross-retaliation request 120–1, 520, 523–4
 - consideration of relevant factors in cross-retaliation request 123
 - cross-retaliation in *EC–Bananas* 10, 81–2, 527–8
 - overview of jurisprudence 539–42
 - use to improve negotiating position 525–6
 - reasons for not imposing sanctions in *EC–Bananas* 332–3
 - request for cross-retaliation 516–17
 - requirement to suspend concessions on consumer goods 122
 - use of sanctions 76–8, 81
- effect of retaliation 145–6
 - causation as factor in establishing 370–1
 - effect of 508
 - trade volumes balanced with violation, on 4
- effectiveness of retaliation 40, 58–60, 255
 - complainants' assessment of, *US–Byrd Amendment* 284
 - critique of 507
 - factors for 374–5
- 'efficient breach'
 - argument for limited retaliation 346
 - cost of 363
 - private contract theory, in 17
 - theory of 17
- Egypt, *Middle East Cement v. Egypt* dispute, reduced compensation for failure to mitigate damages 632–3
- enforcement of trade rules
 - compensation as objective of 496–7
 - cross-retaliation under TRIPS 577–8
- enforcers
 - criteria for potential 216
 - exports from potential, computing percentage of 220–3
 - identifying potential 216–23
 - specific countries, for 216–19
 - ranking of 219–20
- equivalence standard, role of 449
- factors determining capacity for 10–11
- features of system 90–3
- imports from potential enforcers, computing percentage of 224–9
- relative pressure to conform on industrialized and developing economies 228

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

661

- variation in 228–9
- symmetry between WTO protection and enforcement potential 224
- US success in 241–2
- ‘WTO enforcement club’
 - developing countries’ membership 11
 - existence of 11
- ‘equality-of-harm’ approach
 - appropriateness standard, to 118
 - equivalence standard, to 99–113
 - alternative approaches 113–14
- equivalence standard 16, 35
- annual level of NoI approach to 114
- arbitrators’ interpretation of 138, 478–9
 - flawed interpretation thesis, arguments against 494
 - improved practice, suggestions for 500–2
- attainment of 447
- components of 450
- conclusions of chapter 492–4
- enforcement role of 449
- ‘equality-of-harm’ approach 99–113
 - alternatives to 113–14
- establishing 448
- general standard 99–114
- historical development of 43
- interpretation of, origin of problems with 494
- law and economic aspects
 - introduction 449–51
 - NoI calculation *see* nullification or impairment (NoI)
- MFN status suspension, applied to 617
- policy improvements 499–502
- rules on 92
- safeguarding equivalence 477–8, 492
- scope of chapter 446–9
- European Communities (EC)
 - Canadian retaliation against, effectiveness of 279
 - claim of chilling effect of violation in *US–Antidumping Act 1916* 369–70
 - compensation for losses from imposing sanctions 270–4
 - compliance aim of retaliation 244–5, 246, 267–8
 - sole purpose, as 245
 - Ecuador’s ability to retaliate against 321
 - Florida orange juice retaliation 13
 - ‘long list’ approach 274–6
 - partial retaliation, use of 83–4
 - procedures for designing sanctions 246–7
 - punitive sanctions, avoidance of 245–6
 - sanctions applied by 255–6
 - sanctions used against 256–8
 - selecting sanctions, political and legal issues in
 - avoidance of substituting products in *US–Foreign Sales Corporations* dispute 269
 - member states’ share of burden of imposing sanctions 268
 - member states’ support for sanctions 267–70
 - scope of chapter 267
 - substitution of products on proposed target list 268–70
 - Swedish objections to sanctions 268
 - threat of sanctions by
 - effectiveness of 81
 - inducing compliance, as means of 83
 - US–1916 Act*, retaliation in 252–3
 - US–Byrd Amendment*
 - claim of indirect effect of violation 469
 - retaliation in 250–2
 - US–Foreign Sales Corporations (FSC)*, retaliation in 248–50
 - US–Section 110(5) US Copyright Act*, retaliation in 253–4
 - US–Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act*, retaliation in 254
 - US–Steel Safeguards*, retaliation in 247–8

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

662

INDEX

- European Communities (*cont.*)
US-Wheat Gluten Safeguards,
 retaliation in 247–8
 USA's success in enforcement
 against 242
 use of sanctions 76–8
 recent commencement of 244
 scope of chapter 244–6
- evidence
Canada-Aircraft dispute, collection
 in 20
 collection of 19–20
 'excess antidumping duties collected'
 metric, use of 107
- expectation damages *see* damages
- expectation measure as counterfactual
 461
- exporters' support for retaliation 76, 78
- exports
 'actionable'
 computing amount of 206–16
 computing growth of 210–16
 effect of sanctions on 204
 'homogeneous' goods
 identifying 207–10
 reasons for not targeting 206
 'marketing-effort driven' additional
 exports as cause of NoI 110
 'non-actionable' exports, identifying
 207–10
 parts and components, identifying
 207–10
 percentage from potential enforcers,
 computing 220–3
 promotion measures, retaliation
 against
 economic modelling of 177–86
 Singapore, exports actionable by
 potential enforcers 222–3
 subsidies *see* subsidies
- expropriation, compensation for
 lawful 626–9
 non-expropriatory breaches of
 agreements 629–31
 unlawful 629–31
- Fedon* compensation claim 356–8
 'final offer arbitration' 18, 443–4
- Florida orange juice, EC retaliation 13
- France, Roquefort cheese retaliation
 by US 14
- free trade agreements (FTAs), relation
 to TRIPS 557–60
- 'full commitments', scheduling under
 GATS 596
- General Agreement on Tariffs and
 Trade (GATT)
 compliance success rate 328
 disputes involving developing
 countries, in 328
 concessions compared with IPRs
 549–52
 consensus for retaliation, need
 for 46
 cross-retaliation under 119
 dispute settlement compared with
 WTO 327–8
 historical development of goal of
 retaliation in 43–9
 incidence of authorized retaliation
 compared with WTO 2,
 373–4
 Netherlands/US case 45
 provisions for authorized retaliation
 43
 sanctions under 342–3
 compared with WTO 343–7
- General Agreement on Trade in
 Services (GATS)
 additional commitments, provision
 for 595–6
 concessions compared with IPRs
 549–52
 cross-retaliation under 530–5
 commercial presence
 commitments 531–2
 estimate of service supply
 forgone 534
 safeguard actions 532–4
 scope of chapter 515–23
 sectors with binding
 commitments 531
 size of developing countries'
 services market 534–5
 steps for analysing 530–5

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

663

- use of multiple channels of supply to circumvent 532–4
- division of goods by sectors in 518–19
- ‘full commitments’, scheduling of 596
- ‘limited commitments’, scheduling of 596
- market access limitations, provision for 595
- modes of supply 593–4
- national treatment limitations, provision for 595
- obligations list 613
- ‘specific commitments’
 - difference to ‘other obligations’ 612
 - forms of 595–6
 - suspension of 596
- terminology compared with WTO 591–6
- ‘UNBOUND’ or ‘UNBOUND*’ commitments, scheduling of 596
- US defence in *US–Internet Gambling* under 311–12, 314–16
- general equilibrium (GE) model of disputes *see* disputes
 - effect of retaliation 508
 - SCOO, calculation of 506
- geographical indications, suspension of protection by 524, 573–4, 582
- goal of retaliation
 - choices of 36–8
 - compensation as 37
 - compliance as 49
 - conclusion of chapter 36, 64
 - differing views on 60–4
 - historical development in GATT and WTO provisions 43–9
- identifying
 - historical development, as basis for identifying goal of retaliation 42–3
 - methods of 41
 - reasons for retaliation, from 42–3
 - use of retaliation, from
 - compliance or compensation, for 74–5
 - exporters’ support for compliance-inducing measures 76
 - full or partial retaliation 75
 - scope of chapter 73–4
 - ‘smart sanctions’, use of 75–6
- importance of 38–41
- multiple purposes 70–2
- optimal protection as 60–4
- reasons for lack of formal statement of 43
- ‘rebalancing’ 504–5
- sanctions as 37
- scope of chapter 34–5
- shift from compliance to sanction 44
- statements on *see specific disputes*
- variation 56–8
- goods subject to retaliation
 - factors in selection of 294–5
 - goods subject to, data quality, importance of 295–6
- government procurement, compensation applied to 358
- guidelines for dispute settlement
 - calculation of Nol 443
 - usefulness of 443
- Havana Charter, provisions on retaliation 44–5
- ‘Havana Club’ case *see US–Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act* dispute
- ‘hostage taking’ analogy for imposing sanctions *see* sanctions
- human rights agreements, relation to TRIPS 556–7
- impairment *see* nullification or impairment
- imperfectly competitive markets, economic modelling of 186–7
- imports
 - measures restricting, economic modelling of retaliation against 157–77

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

664

INDEX

- imports (*cont.*)
 - non-tariff measures, retaliation
 - against
 - disputes 172
 - economic modelling of 170–2
 - potential enforcers, from,
 - computing percentage of 224–9
 - quotas, retaliation against 160–3
 - disputes 163–4
 - economic modelling of 160–3
 - tariffs
 - retaliation against
 - disputes 160
 - economic modelling of 158–60
 - theoretical compliance
 - effect 321
- imposition of retaliation 329
 - developing and developed countries
 - contrasted 331
 - imposition of, disputes where not
 - imposed 331
 - reasons for not imposing 331
- indirect or intangible effects of
 - violation, treatment of 369–70
- industrial designs, suspension of
 - protection of 574–5, 582
- informal remedies, drivers for
 - compliance with trade rules,
 - as 9
- integrated circuits layout protection,
 - suspension of 575, 582
- intellectual property rights (IPRs)
 - acquisition and maintenance of,
 - TRIPS provisions for 578–9
 - categories of 564–5
 - differences to GATT/GATS
 - concessions 549–52
 - economic impact of IP legislation
 - changes 560–1
 - identifying rights holders'
 - nationality 563–4
 - operation of 547
 - suspension of 527
 - agricultural chemical regulatory
 - data, protection of 575–7, 582
 - Brazil's legislation 302
 - broadcasts 581
 - compulsory licensing, use of
 - 580–2
 - constitutional and legislative
 - issues 580–2
 - copyright 565–9
 - cross-retaliation, as 1
 - developing countries, by 81–2
 - use to improve negotiating
 - position 525–6
 - difference between 'takings' and
 - 'regulation' of IP 583–4
 - differing levels of protection
 - and enforcement between
 - countries, allowance for 529
 - effect of 548
 - effectiveness as cross-retaliation
 - 14
 - enforcement of 577–8
 - export of IP by Internet, issues of
 - 584–6
 - geographical indications,
 - protection by 524, 573–4, 582
 - industrial designs 574–5, 582
 - integrated circuits layout
 - protection 575, 582
 - patent rights 569–71
 - pharmaceutical regulatory data,
 - protection of 575–7, 582
 - quantifying, approaches to 528–9
 - 'royalty income forgone' metric,
 - use of 107
 - social welfare issues 586–7
 - taking of property, as 537, 583–4
 - trade secret protection 575–7, 582
 - trademark rights 571–3, 581
 - TRIPS, under *see* TRIPS
 - Agreement
 - United States, by 236
 - WIPO, under *see* WIPO
 - conventions
 - valuing IP assets 561–3
- International Law Commission,
 - drivers for compliance with
 - trade rules, as 48–9
- countermeasures provisions
 - compared with cross-retaliation 516

- international tribunals, goals of 66–9
- Internet export of IP, issues for rights suspension 584–6
- investigating agencies, use of economic analysis 422–4
- investment
- BITS *see* bilateral investment treaties (BITS)
 - CAFTA definition 558
 - damages assessment in arbitration
 - complexity 634–5
 - tribunals' discretion 634
 - disputes, arbitrators' ability to calculate authorized level of retaliation in 20
 - law, comparison with trade law 623–5
 - terminology applied to
 - compensation 625–6
 - treaty provisions for compensation 626–7
- investors' reduced compensation
- failure to mitigate damages, because of 632–3
 - misjudgement, because of 632
- Irish music case *US–Section 110(5) US Copyright Act* dispute
- Japan, use of sanctions 76–8
- 'juridical institutions', goals of 66–9
- legal profession's role in dispute settlement 29
- level of retaliation *see* authorized level of retaliation
- LG&E v. Argentina* dispute, valuing of compensation 631
- liberalization of trade
- retaliation's role in 4
 - WTO aim, as 4
- 'limited commitments', scheduling under GATS 596
- lists
- arbitration reports 2
 - authorized retaliations 2
 - main arguments of title 3
 - products to be targeted *see* target list of products
- litigation over compensation, cost and volume of 352–3
- 'long list' approach to sanctions *see* sanctions
- losses from rule violations *see* violations of trade rules
- 'lost profits' method for measuring NoI 468
- main arguments of title
- list 3
 - 'shooting yourself in the foot', retaliation as *see* 'shooting yourself in the foot'
 - argument, retaliation as market access limitations
 - GATS provision for 595
 - suspension of 596–7
 - 'marketing-effort driven' additional exports as cause of NoI 110
- measurement and metrics *see* nullification or impairment (NoI)
- members of WTO *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
- MERCOSUR, Brazil's obligations 301
- Mexico
- 'chocolate cake' scenario 283, 289–90
 - decision to retaliate 289–90
 - Peru–Computers* 288–9
 - disputes involving 281
 - goods subject to retaliation
 - data quality, importance of 295–6
 - factors in selection of 294–5
 - negotiation, preference for 293–4
 - EC–Bananas* dispute 287–8, 293
 - US–Trucking* dispute 288, 293–4
- retaliation by
- conclusions of chapter 296
 - duration of 291
 - scope of chapter 281–2
 - 'smart sanctions' in *Byrd Amendment* dispute 13
 - selection of targeted goods in
 - US–Byrd* and *US–Brooms* 285–7
 - 'smart sanctions' in *US–Brooms* 13

Mexico (*cont.*)

- US-Brooms Safeguard*, retaliation in 284–7, 286
- US-Byrd Amendment* dispute, retaliation in 10, 282–4
- US-Byrd Amendment*, retaliation in 286
- use of sanctions 76–8
- Middle East Cement v. Egypt* dispute, reduced compensation for failure to mitigate damages 632–3
- minimum standard entitlements 453–5
- modes of supply (GATS) 593–4, 616–18
 - commercial presence (Mode 3), suspension in 606–11
 - taxation and regulatory measures applied to 618
 - consumption abroad (Mode 2), suspension in 604–6
 - taxation and regulatory measures applied to 617–18
 - cross-border supply (Mode 1), suspension in 602–4
 - taxation and regulatory measures applied to 617
 - effectiveness of retaliation in 621
 - MFN status suspension in 616–18
 - presence of natural persons (Mode 4), suspension in 611–12
 - taxation and regulatory measures applied to 618
 - table 594
- most-favoured-nation (MFN) status, services sector suspension 598–9, 612–18
 - equivalence standard applied to 617
- modes of supply, in 616–18
 - taxation and regulatory measures applied to 616–18
- utility for developing countries 620
- mutual rights and obligations of members
 - auxiliary entitlements 455–6
 - minimum standard entitlements 453–5
 - reciprocal market access entitlement 452–3

- national treatment limitations
 - GATS provision for 595
 - suspension of 597–9
 - types of 597
- Netherlands, GATT dispute with United States 45
- non-compliance *see* compliance with trade rules
- non-tariff measures on imports
 - economic modelling 170–2
 - US use of 236
- North American Free Trade Area (NAFTA)
 - US-Brooms Safeguard* dispute *see US-Brooms Safeguard* dispute
 - US-Trucking* dispute *see US-Trucking* dispute
- ‘notice-and-comment’ procedure, use for domestic decision making 379
- nullification or impairment (NoI)
 - ‘amount of monetary cost imposed’ metric 107
 - annual level of, approach to equivalence based on 114
 - arbitrators’ calculation baseline (figure) 481
 - arbitrators’ entitlement to use different metrics for 106–7
 - calculation of 100–11, 369–70
 - assessment of arbitrators 479
 - direct trade effects, in terms of 447–8
 - gains and losses resulting from violation as measure 469
 - guidelines for 443
 - law and economic aspects 451
 - intensity of injury 457
 - nature and form of injury 452–6
 - ‘lost profits’ method 468
 - measurement standards 471
 - choice of metric 471
 - comparison of 469–74
 - consideration of direct or indirect effects of violation 469
 - matrix of (figure) 469

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

667

- restitution measure, using (figure) 460
- retroactive 495–6
- standards for, issues of 467–74
 - unit of measurement of loss 468–9
- ‘trade-effects test’ 468, 471
- causal link between violation and loss, whether 108–10
- changes in effect over time, assessment of 111
- comparison of damages as baselines for calculation (figure) 462
- compensation increasing levels of 364–5
- definition under reciprocity and welfare models 6
- economic modelling of level of 156–7
- empirical issues in determining 110–11
- ‘equality-of-harm’ approach to deciding level of 100
- equivalence to SCOO
 - see* equivalence standard
- expectation damages as baseline for calculation of (figure) 462
- experienced by third countries 107–8
- intensity of, standards for measuring (figure) 470
- loss quantifiable, whether 110
- ‘marketing-effort driven’ additional exports as cause of 110
- measurement of, choice of metric 106–7, 130–1
- measurement standards
 - direct trade damages, use of 483–6
- net economic loss caused by violation as 6
- reliance damages as baseline for calculation of (figure) 458
- restitution damages as baseline for calculation of (figure) 460
- retaliation, arising from, calculation of 112–13
- time period for calculation of 366–7
- trade effects of violation as 6
- trade loss as benchmark for 142–3
 - US-Antidumping Act 1916* calculation 448, 484–5
 - US-Section 110(5) US Copyright Act* calculation 448, 485–6
- ‘value-of-trade-blocked’ metric 106–7
- optimal tariffs *see* tariffs
- ‘other obligations’
 - definition of 593
 - difference to specific commitments 612
 - GATS, list of 613
- outsourcing, effect on deterrent value of retaliation 204
- over-retaliation risk 144
- Paris Convention, interaction with TRIPS 553
- patent rights, suspension of 569–71
- Peru-Computers* dispute, Mexico’s decision to retaliate 288–9
- pharmaceutical regulatory data, suspension of protection of 575–7, 582
- ‘plausible or reasonable compliance scenario’ as counterfactual 103
- political influences on retaliation 187–90
 - examples 1–2
- punitive sanctions, avoidance of 138–9
- purpose of retaliation 82
 - arbitrators’ decision on 123–6
 - compliance as, statement on 135
 - compliance as primary purpose 82
- quotas, import, retaliation against, economic modelling of 160–3
- Rauch, James, classification of SITC categories 207
- reasonable period of time
 - see* compliance with trade rules
- rebalancing *see* compensation

- reciprocal market access entitlement
452–3
- reciprocity model of retaliation 4–5,
194–7
arbitrators' use of 4
Bagwell–Staiger theory 153–7
conclusions of chapter 190–1
contrast with welfare model 5–6
definition of nullification and
impairment 6
domestic production subsidies 173–5
expectation damages applied to
349–50
export-promoting measures 177–86
three-country model
disputes 179–80
economic modelling 177–9
two-country model
disputes 185–6
economic modelling 183–5
focus on trade volume effects 7
imperfectly competitive markets
186–7
import quotas 160–3
import restricting measures 157–77
import tariffs 158–60
non-political impacts of retaliation
187–90
non-tariff measures on imports
170–2
reciprocity versus welfare debate
economic perspectives 5–6
impact on choice of benchmarks
and counterfactuals 6–7
legal perspectives 6
scope of chapter 149–53
theory 4, 17
use of 150–2
- regional trade agreements, relation to
TRIPS 557–60
- reliance damages *see* damages
- reliance measure as counterfactual
458–9
- reparations, compensation as 365
- restitution measure as counterfactual
459–61
- retaliation 78, 363, 373–8, 497–8,
see also cross-retaliation;
sanctions; suspension
of concessions or other
obligations (SCOO)
alternatives to *see* alternatives to
retaliation
appropriateness standard
see appropriateness standard
of level of retaliation
arbitrators' power to examine
proposed, limitation on 95–6
authorization procedure
see authorization procedure
for retaliation
authorization requests 329, 330–1
authorized level of *see* authorized
level of retaliation
behavioural remedies instead of
644–5
calculation of level of *see* calculation
of authorized level of
retaliation
capacity for, importance for
inducing compliance 325–6,
327
cease and desist orders instead of
644
collective retaliation *see* collective
retaliation
'commensurate', requirement to be
118
comparison of GATT and WTO
provisions 43
compensation, as 497–9
compensation instead of 510
compliance following
see compliance following
retaliation
consensus for, need for 46
control of, responsibility for 508
cost of *see* cost of retaliation
decision on *see* decision on
retaliation
design of *see* design of retaliation
deterrent effect of, quantifying
see deterrent effect of
retaliation, quantifying
developing countries, by
see developing countries

- duration of 291
- early, use of 284–7
- economic modelling *see* economic modelling
- effect of *see* effect of retaliation
- effect of rules on developing countries' use of dispute settlement *see* developing countries
- effective compliance aim of, statement on 138
- effectiveness of *see* effectiveness of retaliation
- export subsidies, against 5
- exporters' support for 76, 78
- fairness of, as success factor 375–7
- 'final offer arbitration' 18
- finances instead of 643–4
- form of, rules on 92
- goal of *see* goal of retaliation
- goods subject to *see* goods subject to retaliation
- Havana Charter provisions 44–5
- imposition of *see* imposition of retaliation
- increasing welfare 4–5
- incremental, use of 75
- interest groups commenting on proposed 79
- key factor in compliance, as 320
 - other factors 328–9
- liberalization of trade, role in 4
- likelihood of optimal tariffs causing 5
- list of authorizations 2
- multiple purposes of 70–2
- NoI arising from, calculation of 112–13
- over-retaliation risk 144
- partial, use of 75, 83–4
- political impact of 10
- political influences 1–2
- purpose of *see* purpose of retaliation
- 'rebalancing', capacity for 504–5
- reciprocity model *see* reciprocity model of retaliation
- reform of, competition law insights *see* competition law insights
- reluctance generally to use 242–3
- request for *see* authorization procedure *above*
- same 'agreement' requirement 92
- same 'sector' requirement 92
- sensitivity level of products to 291
- services sector, in *see* General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS); services sector
- 'shooting yourself in the foot' argument, as *see* 'shooting yourself in the foot' argument, retaliation as
- sole remedy for non-compliance, as 90–1
 - effect on developing countries' use of dispute resolution 363
- specific countries, by *see specific countries*
- structural remedies instead of 644–5
- success factors 374–7
- symmetrical, requirement to be 92
- system as undercompensatory 17–18
- target list, requirement to provide 94–5
- temporary, requirement to be 93
- termination of 98–9
- terminology describing 258–9
- timing of *see* timing of retaliation
- Tokyo Code provisions 46
- tradable rights to 510
- transfers instead of tariffs as 509
- TRIPS, under *see* TRIPS Agreement
- unilateral, need to control 344–5
- US rules on lists 13
- use of *see* use of retaliation
- value of authorized 145
- violator's choice to face 5
- WIPO, under *see* WIPO conventions
- 'retaliation does not work when developing countries win a case' argument, summary of 9–15
- Roquefort cheese retaliation by United States 14

- royalties, withholding of, effectiveness
 - as cross-retaliation 14
- 'royalty income forgone' metric, use of 107
- safeguard actions under GATS cross-retaliation 532–4
- sanctions *see also* retaliation; suspension of concessions or other obligations (SCOO)
 - adjustment of level of 139
 - arbitrators' choice of own calculation of level of 136–7
 - arbitrators' justification for recalculation of level of 137
 - arbitrators' scope for assessing 136
 - 'broad list' approach *see* 'long list' approach *below*
 - calculation of, specific issues relating to 365
 - counterfactuals, use of 367–8
 - time period for calculation of NOI 366–7
- Centre for Trade and Economic Integration Workshop 2
- compensation as alternative to 350–4
- consistency with WTO's trade liberalization aim 4
- 'decoupled' nature of 340–1
- difference between legal status and imposition of 259–60
- differing impact of 203–4
- EC, use by *see* European Communities (EC)
- effectiveness for developing countries 321–2
- effectiveness of threat or authorization of 10
- equivalent to expectation damages 347–50
- estimation of impact of 10–11
- expectation damages analogy 341–2, 347
- export industries, effect on 204
- focus of 37–8
- form of 143–6
- GATT and WTO compared 343–7
- GATT, under 342–3
- goal of retaliation, as 37
 - historical development 43–9
- goods that are likely targets for, 206
- implementing, specific issues relating to
 - 'carousel' provisions 368–9
 - causation issues in arbitration 369–71
- importance of goal of retaliation in choosing 39–40
- imposition of 1
 - difference to suspension of obligations 259–60
 - 'hostage taking' analogy 13, 14, 59
- last resort, as 1
- 'long list' approach
 - EC, by 274–6
 - functions of 79–80
 - replacement of 'short list' 12
 - use of 83
- potential effectiveness for developing countries 15
- process for selecting and implementing 78–9
- punitive, avoidance of 138–9
- reputational impact 12
- role in dispute settlement
 - conclusions of chapter 372
 - scope of chapter 360
- self-damage to developing countries imposing 322–3
 - Antigua's concern over 322–3
- shift of goal of retaliation from compliance to 44
- 'short list' approach
 - operation of 80–1
 - replacement by 'long list' 12
- 'smart sanctions'
 - Byrd Amendment* dispute, use in 13
 - 'carousel' approach by United States 13–14, 78, 79, 83–4, 236–7, 368–9
 - guidelines 11
 - low duties' effectiveness 13
 - maximizing impact on target 13–14, 255

- minimizing harm to self
 - government compensation for victims 12
 - 'long list' approach 12
 - prior consultations with stakeholders 11–12, 255
 - political impact of 13–14
 - Roquefort cheese retaliation by United States 14
 - US–Brooms* dispute, use in 13
 - US–FSC* dispute, use in 11, 12–13
 - use of 11–15, 75–6, 80–1, 82–3, 84, 255–6
 - targeted *see* 'smart sanctions' *above*
 - threat of, as means of inducing compliance 83
 - threat of, effectiveness of 81
 - WTO and GATT compared 343–7
- scope of title 2–3
 - main arguments listed 3
- 'sectors', definition of 591–2
- services sector
 - additional commitments, suspension of 599–600
 - commercial presence (Mode 3), suspension in 606–11
 - conclusions of chapter 619–22
 - consumption abroad (Mode 2), suspension in 604–6
 - cross-border supply (Mode 1), suspension in 602–4
 - current experience of retaliation 590
 - developing countries' retaliation in *see* developing countries
 - disputes 589–90
 - financial services, effectiveness of retaliation in 621
 - GATS retaliation *see* General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS)
 - legal framework for retaliation 590–1
 - limitations on suspending specific commitments 596–612
 - market access limitations, suspension of 596–7
 - MFN status, suspension of 598–9, 612–18
 - modes of supply, in 616–18
 - national treatment limitations, suspension of 597–9
 - presence of natural persons (Mode 4), suspension in 611–12
 - scope of chapter 589–90
 - 'specific commitments', suspension in modes of supply 600–2
 - terminology compared with GATS
 - 'agreements' 591–2
 - 'concessions' 593
 - 'other obligations' 593
 - 'sectors' 591–2
 - 'shooting yourself in the foot', retaliation as 497–8
 - developing countries, applied to 322
 - summary 4–9, 8
 - 'short list' approach to sanctions *see* sanctions
 - Singapore, exports actionable by potential enforcers 222–3
 - SITC product categories, Rauch classification of 207
 - 'smart sanctions' *see* sanctions
 - 'specific commitments'
 - GATS provisions *see* General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS)
 - suspension in modes of supply *see* services sector
 - SPS agreements as models for regulation of domestic decision making on retaliation 379
 - state subsidies and state aids, measures against 645–6
 - subsidies
 - domestic production, retaliation against
 - disputes 175–7
 - economic modelling of 173–5
 - export
 - amount as benchmark 5
 - arbitrators' need for consistent approach to 5
 - retaliation against 5
 - full amount

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

672

INDEX

- subsidies (*cont.*)
 - calculation of 'appropriate countermeasures', as basis for 139–40
 - effect of 139,
 - state subsidies and state aids, measures against 645–6
- suspension of concessions or other obligations (SCOO)
 - arbitrators' consideration of 447
 - restriction on 495
 - arbitrators' instructions on level of 16
 - calculation of 474–7, 486
 - arbitrators' approach to 486–9, 489–92
 - GE model of 506
 - definition of 'concessions' and 'other obligations' 593
 - difference to imposition of sanctions 259–60
 - equivalence to NoI *see* equivalence standard
 - provisions for prior authorization 515–16
 - quantifying 448
 - use of term 258–9
- suspension of trade *see* retaliation
- suspension of treaties
 - ILC Articles provisions 48–9
 - Vienna Convention provisions 48
- Sweden, opposition to proposed EC sanctions 268
- taking of property, cross-retaliation
 - seen as 537
- target list of products
 - 'broad list' *see* sanctions
 - 'long list' approach *see* sanctions
 - preliminary list, US consultation on 235
 - preparation of
 - Canadian procedure 277–8
 - Mexico's in *US–Byrd* and *US–Brooms* 285–7
 - substitution of products on proposed EC target list 268–70
 - US rules 13
 - requirement to provide 94–5
 - 'short list' *see* sanctions
 - targeted sanctions *see* sanctions
- tariffs
 - import
 - economic modelling of 158–60
 - theoretical compliance effect 321
 - optimal tariffs
 - level of 4
 - likelihood of causing retaliation 5
 - transfers instead of, as retaliation 509
 - US use of 236
- taxation
 - fund compensation, to 352
 - MFN status suspension, applied to 616–18
- TBT agreements as models for
 - regulation of domestic decision making on retaliation 379
- termination of retaliation 98–9
- terminology 258–9
 - compensation in investment law, of 625–6
 - GATS and WTO compared 591–6
- third-party adjudication 107–8
 - economic modelling of disputes
 - disputes 179–83
 - model 177–9
- timeliness of compliance
 - see* compliance with trade rules
- timing of retaliation
 - importance of goal of retaliation for 40–1
 - reasonable period of time (RPT) for compliance
 - arbitrators' decision on 30–1
 - rules on 93
- Tokyo Code on Technical Barriers to Trade (Tokyo Code),
 - provisions on retaliation 46
- tradable retaliation rights 510
- trade
 - agreements, relation to TRIPS 557–60
 - direct trade damages as NoI measurement 447, 483–6

- imbalance between developed and developing countries 319, 321–2
- law, comparison with investment law 623–5
- liberalization *see* liberalization of trade
- loss
 - benchmark for NoI, as 142–3
 - claim in *EC–Hormones* 369–70
- rules *see* compliance with trade rules; enforcement of trade rules; violations of trade rules
- sectors with binding commitments, suspension under GATS 531
- suspension of *see* retaliation
- volumes
 - balanced effect of violation and retaliation on 4
 - reciprocity model focus on 7
 - wars, disputes as 505–6
- ‘trade-effects test’ for measuring NoI 468, 471
- trade secret protection, suspension of 575–7, 582
- trademark rights, suspension of 571–3, 581
- transfers instead of tariffs as retaliation 509
- tribunals
 - compensation practice 628
 - damages assessment in investment arbitration 634
 - goals of 66–9
- TRIPS Agreement
 - acquisition and maintenance of IPRs, provisions for 578–9
 - cross-retaliation under 15, 118–19, 264–6, 335–7, 523–30
 - Antigua, by 313–14
 - authorizations granted 336–7, 536–7
 - Brazil, by 302
 - Brazil’s legislation 303–8
 - burden of proof 530
 - challenges from other conventions 537
 - complainants’ responsibility to set correct level of suspension 529–30
 - developing countries, by *see* developing countries
 - digital formats, opportunities and challenges of 538
 - EC–Bananas*, arbitrators’ general remarks in 523–4
 - economic rationale for differences between concessions under TRIPS and GATT/GATS 549–52
 - economic distinction of TRIPS concessions 547–9
 - imbalance in trade flows between developed and developing countries 545–7
 - self-damage to developing countries from suspending concessions 547
 - effect on rights of private individuals 527
 - interaction with
 - BITS 557–60
 - CAFTA (as, e.g., of FTA) 558
 - FTAs 557–60
 - human rights agreements 556–7
 - Paris and Berne conventions 553
 - regional and bilateral agreements 557–60
 - ‘TRIPS-plus’ agreements 558
 - WIPO conventions 555
 - jurisprudence 538–9
 - legal issues for implementing 537
 - need to quantify IP rights 527
 - political pressure against 538
 - scope of chapter 515–23, 536–8
 - selective application of 538
 - size of services market as factor in suspending GATS or TRIPS commitments 534–5
 - suspension of obligations under other agreements 552–7
 - taking of property, as 537, 583–4
 - use of national legislation to implement 537–8

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-11997-9 - The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

Index

[More information](#)

674

INDEX

- TRIPS Agreement (*cont.*)
 valuing IP rights as barrier to
 537
 division of goods by sectors in
 518–19
 relation to WIPO conventions
 526–7
 standards for protection and
 enforcement 529
 ‘TRIPS-plus’ agreements, relation to
 TRIPS 558
- ultra vires*, ensuring that retaliatory
 measures are not 98
- ‘UNBOUND’ or ‘UNBOUND*’
 commitments, scheduling
 under GATS 596
- United Nations (UN) Charter
 collective retaliation under 91–2
 dispute settlement provisions 27
- United States
 antidumping penalties, use of 236
 Antigua’s ability to retaliate against
 321
 Canada, trade with 278
 Canadian retaliation against,
 effectiveness of 278
 ‘carousel’ provision 13–14, 78, 79,
 83–4, 236–7, 368–9
 consultation on preliminary list of
 targeted products 235
- EC–Bananas*
 claim of indirect effect of
 violation 469
 retaliation in 238–9, 356–7
- EC–Biotech*, proposed retaliation
 in 241
- EC–Hormones* dispute, retaliation in
 239–41
- EC–Hormones*, retaliation in 237
- enforcement success 241–2
 EC, against 242
- Florida orange juice, EC retaliation
 13
- GATS defence in *US–Internet
 Gambling* 311–12, 314–16
- GATT dispute with Netherlands 45
- intellectual property rights,
 suspension of 236
- interest groups’ input into disputes
 79
- ‘long list’ approach to sanctions 79
- non-tariff measures, use of 236
- objection to third-party
 adjudication 107–8
- procedures for use of retaliation
 235–7
- Roquefort cheese retaliation 14
- rules on target lists 13
- ‘smart sanctions’ by 80–1
- tariffs, use of
 100 per cent 236
 graduated 236
- threat of sanctions by 83
- US–Internet Gambling*
 GATS defence 311–12, 314–16
 withdrawal of cross-border
 gambling and betting
 commitment 314–16
- US–Upland Cotton*, failure to
 implement decision 545
- use of sanctions 76–8, 235
 reasons for limited 242–3
 scope of chapter 241–3
- US–Antidumping Act 1916* dispute
 ‘amount of monetary cost imposed’
 metric 107
- changes in effect of NoI over time,
 assessment of 111
- choice of counterfactual 102
- direct trade damages as NoI
 measurement 484–5
- EC retaliation in 252–3
- economic modelling 172
- EC’s claim of chilling effect of
 violation 369–70
- issue of case 170
- loss quantifiable, whether 110
- NoI calculation 448
- ‘qualitative’ approach to
 equivalence, use of 113
- statement on goal of retaliation in 54
- trade loss, effect of 142
- US–Brooms Safeguard* dispute
 compliance, time until 291
 Mexico’s retaliation 284–7
 Mexico’s selection of targeted goods
 286

- Mexico's use of 'smart sanctions' 13
- US-Byrd Amendment* dispute *see*
- US-(Offset Act) Byrd Amendment* dispute
- US-CDSOA* dispute, Canadian retaliation in 278
- US-Cotton Subsidies* dispute
- Brazilian legislation in response to 297, 300
- Brazil's threat to suspend IP rights 82, 302
- 'commensurate' requirement for retaliation 118
- US-Foreign Sales Corporations (FSC)* dispute
- 'amount-of-subsidy' approach, use of 115-16
- 'appropriate', meaning of 117
- avoidance of substituting products by EC 269
- consultations prior to imposing sanctions, example of 11
- EC retaliation in 248-50
- economic modelling 179-80, 182-3
- EC's threat of sanctions in 83
- EC's use of incremental tariffs 76-8
- EC's use of partial retaliation 83-4
- effect of retaliation 145-6
- full amount of subsidy, effect of 139-40
- GE model of 505-6
- trade loss, effect of 142, 143
- use of economic models 140-1
- use of 'smart sanctions' 12-13
- US-Internet Gambling* dispute
- Antigua's reasons for not imposing sanctions 334-5
- Antigua's retaliation in *see* Antigua and Barbuda
- Antigua's statement on applying sanctions 15
- appellate review, need for 260
- arbitrators' authority to review 519
- arbitrators' power to examine proposed retaliation 95-6
- arbitrators' statement on ability to calculate authorized level of retaliation 15-16
- assessment of practicability and effectiveness of cross-retaliation 121
- burden of proof 530
- causal link between violation and loss, whether 109
- choice of counterfactual 7-8, 102-4
- circumstances serious enough for cross-retaliation, whether 122
- consideration of relevant factors in cross-retaliation request 123
- critique of 261-4
- decision on Antigua's cross-retaliation request 520-2
- economic modelling 168-9
- 'effectiveness' of retaliation, arbitrators' interpretation of 40
- loss quantifiable, whether 110
- MFN status, suspension of 614-16
- NoI assessment method 111
- overview of jurisprudence 542-4
- political approach to award 18
- SCOO, consideration of 487-8
- statement on goal of retaliation in 55-6, 63-4
- third-party adjudication 108
- US's GATS defence 311-12
- US-(Offset Act) Byrd Amendment* dispute 469
- arbitrators' ability to calculate authorized level of retaliation in 20
- authorization request for retaliation following arbitration 97-8
- Canada's retaliation 10
- Canadian 'smart sanctions' 81
- changes in effect of NoI over time, assessment of 111
- choice of counterfactual 102
- complainants 2
- compliance as aim of retaliation, statement on 135
- compliance, time until 284, 291
- decision on purpose of retaliation 124

- US–(Offset Act) Byrd Amendment* dispute (*cont.*)
 EC claim of indirect effect of violation 469
 EC retaliation in 250–2
 economic modelling 175–7
 effectiveness of retaliation, complainants' assessment of 284
 form of sanctions 144
 imposition of sanctions 334
 limits on authorized retaliation 282–4
 Mexico's retaliation 10, 76–8, 282–4
 use of 'smart sanctions' 13
 Mexico's selection of targeted goods 286
 negotiation on compensation 283
 NoI assessment method 111
 'qualitative' approach to equivalence, use of 113–14
 statement on goal of retaliation in 54–5
 statement on need for clear goal of retaliation 34
 third-party adjudication 107–8
 trade loss, effect of 143
 use of economic models 141
 'value-of-trade-blocked' metric, use of 106–7
- US–Section 110(5) US Copyright Act* dispute
 annual level of NoI approach to equivalence standard, use of 114
 compensation agreement 355
 EC retaliation in 253–4
 identifying rights holders' nationality 563
 NoI calculation 448, 485–6
 quantifying IP rights 527
 'royalty income forgone' metric, use of 107
 valuing IP assets 563
- US–Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act* dispute, EC retaliation in 254
- US–Softwood Lumber* dispute, 'excess antidumping duties collected' metric, use of 107
- US–Steel Safeguards* dispute
 arbitrators' influence on economic policies, as example of 391–2
 EC retaliation in 247–8
 EC's threat of sanctions in 76–8
 effectiveness of EC's sanctions threat 81
 GE model of 505–6
- US–Tax Treatment for Foreign Sales Corporations* dispute, EC's retaliation in 78–9
- US–Trucking* dispute, Mexico's negotiation approach 288, 293–4
- US–Upland Cotton* dispute, Brazil's cross-retaliation request 545
- US–Wheat Gluten Safeguards* dispute
 EC retaliation in 247–8
 EC's use of sanctions in 76–8
 use of retaliation *see also specific countries*
 identifying goal from 73
 incidence of authorized 76–8, 82–3, 129
 incidence of GATT and WTO authorized retaliation compared 2, 373–4
- 'value-of-trade-blocked' metric for NoI 106–7
- Vattel, Emerich de, arbitration, on 27
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (Vienna Convention), provisions on treaty suspension 48, 552
- violation of trade rules
see also compliance with trade rules; 'efficient breach'
 arbitrators' assessment 1
 chilling effect of arbitrators' consideration of 369–70
 intangible effect, as 369–70
 choice to face retaliation rather than comply 5
 consideration of direct or indirect effects of 469
 cost of measuring losses from 351–2
 cost to violator 17

- effect on trade volumes balanced
 - with retaliation 4
- gains and losses resulting from
 - violation as measure of NoI 469
- indirect or intangible effects of,
 - treatment of 369–70
- net economic loss caused by
 - nullification or impairment, as 6
 - welfare model focus on 7
- non-existence as counterfactual 7–8
- trade effects as nullification or
 - impairment 6
- welfare
 - cross-retaliation enhancing 14, 336
 - IP rights suspension impacts on 586–7
- model
 - contrast with reciprocity model
 - economic perspectives 5–6
 - impact on choice of benchmarks and counterfactuals 6–7
 - legal perspectives 6
 - focus of 7
 - reduction, developing countries' fear of 203
 - retaliation increasing 4–5
- WIPO conventions
 - relation to TRIPS 526–7, 555
 - retaliation under 264–6
- Workshop on WTO trade sanctions 2
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) *see* dispute settlement
 - division of goods by sectors in 518–19
 - economic analysis provided by Secretariat, use of 19
 - 'called in' experts, use of 417–18, 436–40
 - comparisons with similar institutions 418
 - central banks 418–22
 - competition authorities 424–5
 - investigating agencies 422–4
 - conclusions of chapter 430–1
 - divisions providing support, data on 412–17
 - economists' role *see* economists' role in dispute settlement
 - importance of analysis 391, 393
 - improving communications
 - between economic and legal divisions 427–30
 - need for 434
 - policy improvements 430–1
 - process of providing support 410–12
 - provision of more economic support 425–30, 436
 - role in dispute settlement 396–404
 - scope of chapter 391–6
 - selection of economic staff 426–7
- members' decision-making
 - processes *see* decision-making process
- members' economic policies,
 - arbitrators' influence on 391–2
- members' mutual commitments
 - see* mutual rights and obligations of members
- mercantilist institution, as 4
- rules *see* compliance with trade rules; enforcement of trade rules; violations of trade rules
- Secretariat staff numbers by division (table) 414
- Secretariat's mandate to provide legal and technical support to arbitrators 392
- trade liberalization aim, consistency of sanctions with 4
- treaty as multi-objective and multi-entitlement 456
- 'WTO enforcement club'
 - see* enforcement of trade rules