

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

Realism and the progress of science



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

General editor D. H. MELLOR

Advisory editors J. E. J. ALTHAM, SIMON BLACKBURN, DANIEL DENNETT, MARTIN HOLLIS, FRANK JACKSON, JONATHAN LEAR, T. J. SMILEY, BARRY STROUD

JAMES CARGILE Paradoxes: a study in form and predication
PAUL M. CHURCHLAND Scientific realism and the plasticity of mind
N. M. L. NATHAN Evidence and assurance
WILLIAM LYONS Emotion



Realism and the progress of science

Peter Smith

Cambridge University Press

CAMBRIDGE
LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE
MELBOURNE SYDNEY



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521110341

© Cambridge University Press 1981

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1981 This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 81-6151

ISBN 978-0-521-23937-0 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-11034-1 paperback



Contents

	Preface Introduction	vii 1
1	A realist account of scientific progress 1 The challenge of relativism 2 Four questions for realism	4 4 10
2	The scrutability of reference 1 Two doctrines of Quine's 2 The inscrutability of reference of terms 3 Identity and predication 4 How to catch gavagai	18 18 21 27 33
3	A theory of interpretation 1 The indeterminacy of translation of sentences 2 Radical translation versus radical interpretation 3 How determinate is interpretation?	46 46 51 58
4	Cluster theories of reference 1 Natural kind predicates and proper names 2 Kripke's remarks on naming 3 A theory of reference for natural kind predicates	70 70 80 91
5	The account in perspective 1 The story so far 2 Atoms and molecules 3 Phlogiston 4 Mass	104 104 106 112 119
	Conclusion	125
	Bibliography Index	130 133



Preface

This book is concerned with the philosophical foundations of a realist explanation of the progress of science. I shall maintain that central to this explanation is the claim that there are many cases where competing or successive scientific theories are about the same things. I believe such a claim to be intuitively plausible. My principal aim, however, is not so much to champion this belief as to set down in a methodical way what the realist's explanation entails. As the reader will presently see, it raises some of the main problems in contemporary philosophy of language. In particular, the realist has to reply to several powerful *a priori* arguments directed against his position. Whether he can do so, and whether, therefore, he can fully substantiate his explanation of how science progresses, is, I believe, one of the most interesting questions in modern philosophy.

My approach in writing this book has been to try to give some background to the arguments I discuss rather than to assume the reader is fully conversant with them. One reason for this is that any one person's understanding of a complex argument tends to be different from any other person's. The result is that what appears to some to be germane criticism is regarded by others as beside the point. Another reason harks back to my own early years of studying philosophy. I recall finding it difficult sometimes to relate the abstruse argument of the moment to more mundane problems. What did it matter if we could not fully determine the intentions of speakers? Why should we have a theory of what it is for a name to refer? This puzzlement does not happen quite so often now, but for my fellow journeyers the occasional signpost or map reference seemed in order. I have thus tried to explain precisely how the resolution of a real and, I hope, fairly tangible problem - that of explaining the progress of science - depends on a host of complex arguments.

The basis of this book was a Ph.D. thesis submitted to the University of St. Andrews in 1978. During the intervening period it has seen



substantial revision. I remain grateful, however, to St. Andrews for the assistance, financial and otherwise, which I earlier received. Perhaps like most authors I find it difficult in a preface to acknowledge fully the help and support of many teachers and friends. I hope that it is not invidious for me to take pleasure in mentioning in particular Leslie Stevenson and Nicholas Jardine; both gave most generously of their time. Between writing Chapter 5 of my thesis and the conclusion of this book, my wife Christine gave birth to two delightful children. In addition, she has even found time to encourage me and generally to make it possible for me to write. I shall always be thankful to her. As for Adam and Robert, they seem to concentrate more on making it *impossible* for me to write! But somehow they make me appreciate why I keep trying, and it is therefore to them that I dedicate this book.

P.J.S. Surrey
December 1980