

Studies in modern capitalism · Etudes sur le capitalisme moderne

The definition of a peripheral economy: Turkey 1923-1929



Studies in modern capitalism · Etudes sur le capitalisme moderne

Editorial board. Comité de rédaction

Maurice Aymard, Maison des Sciences de l'Homme, Paris Jacques Revel, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Science Sociales, Paris Immanuel Wallerstein, Fernand Braudel Center for the Study of Economies, Historical Systems, and Civilizations, Binghamton, New York

This series is devoted to an attempt to comprehend capitalism as a world-system. It will include monographs, collections of essays and colloquia around specific themes, written by historians and social scientists united by a common concern for the study of large-scale long-term social structure and social change.

The series is a joint enterprise of the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme in Paris and the Fernand Braudel Center for the Study of Economies, Historical Systems, and Civilizations at the State University of New York at Binghamton.

Other books in the series

Immanuel Wallerstein: The capitalist world-economy

Pierre Bourdieu: Algeria 1960

Andre Gunder Frank: Mexican agriculture 1521-1630

Folker Fröbel, Jürgen Heinrichs, Otto Kreye: The new international division of labour

Henri H. Stahl: Traditional Romanian village communities

This book is published as part of the joint publishing agreement established in 1977 between the Fondation de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge. Titles published under this arrangement may appear in any European language or, in the case of volumes of collected essays, in several languages.

New books will appear either as individual titles or in one of the series which the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and the Cambridge University Press have jointly agreed to publish. All books published jointly by the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and the Cambridge University Press will be distributed by the Press throughout the world.



The definition of a peripheral economy: Turkey 1923–1929

CAGLAR KEYDER

Cambridge University Press

Cambridge London New York New Rochelle Melbourne Sydney

& Editions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme

Paris



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

With Editions de la Maison des Sciences de l'Homme 54 Boulevard Raspail, 75270 Paris Cedex 06, France

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521109024

© Maison des Sciences de l'Homme and Cambridge University Press 1981

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of the copyright holder.

First published 1981
This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-23699-7 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-10902-4 paperback



Contents

Prefa	ace and acknowledgements	vii
1 I	ntroductory remarks	1
1.1	Theoretical introduction	1
1.2	Remarks on the choice of the period	2
1.3	Methodological remarks	4
1.4	Historical background	7
2 I	ntegration into the world economy through agriculture	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Role of agriculture in the integration process	11
2.3	Land tenure	12
2.4	Land tenure and commercialisation	15
2.5	Distribution of output and commercialisation	17
2.6	Regional differences	19
2.7	Concentration of land ownership	20
2.8	State and agriculture	25
2.9	Growth of agricultural output	37
	Agriculture and trade	38
	Degree of integration of agriculture in the world market	42
2.12	Summary and conclusions	45
3 S	tructure of the manufacturing sector	46
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Sources of data	49
3.3	Overall character of manufacturing	50
3.4	The modern sector	56
3.5	Profitability and growth	62
3.6	Relationships between the traditional and modern manufacturing	
	sectors	64

© Cambridge University Press



vi	Contents	
3.7	Integration into the world economy through imported capital goods and raw materials	66
3.8	Summary	66
4 7	Trade relations with the world economy	68
4.1	Importance of trade in the national economy	68
4.2	The trade regime	69
4.3	Composition of imports	71
4.4	Composition of exports	74
4.5	Trade partners	74
4.6	Trade figures	75
4.7	Patterns in the balance-of-trade	78
	Balance-of-payments	79
	Terms-of-trade	82
	Exchange rate	84
	The organisation of trade	85
	Foreign capital in trade	88
	Competition against foreign capital	90
4.14	Summary	96
5 7	The importance of credit	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Money supply	98
5.3	Banks	101
5.4	Credit in general	108
5.5	Bank credit	109
5.6	Merchant credit	116
5.7	Usury	121
5.8	Conclusion	124
6 (Conclusion	127
		132
Notes		150
References		157
Index		137



Preface and acknowledgements

This book, as will be evident to the reader, was originally a dissertation. When I first started working on Turkey, I wanted to study the etatist period of the 1930s. This period with its autarkic economic policy seemed attractive especially from the third-worldist perspectives of the 1960s. As I worked my way up from the Ottoman period to the 1930s though, I was detracted both by ideological estrangement from 'non-capitalist', nationalist models of development, and by the concerns of a different paradigm leading to a new set of research objectives. The 1920s seemed propitious from the point of view of these interests: it was a period of full integration into the world economy despite the constitution of an independent nation-state, and it exhibited an almost exemplary structure of a dependent economy. By establishing that political independence in itself did not imply an 'independent' path of economic development, my interpretation of the 1920s would constitute a revision of the dominant view on a little studied period. On the other hand, it was important to demonstrate that dependence consisted of a set of hierarchical relations within the world economy and rather than stagnation it engendered a particular kind of growth. Thus, my attention shifted to capture the structure of this growth and the nature of the mechanisms through which it was conditioned. This emphasis allowed me to illustrate some debated propositions about peripheral economic structures while describing the Turkish case.

In adopting this emphasis the economic reductionist perspective was reluctantly accepted, and a discussion of both internal and inter-state political relations was omitted. Such a position is obviously inadequate even for a conjuncture where the dominant fraction of the bourgeoisie did not face any contenders. I felt, however, that it allowed me to focus on determinations acting upon the economic structure without falling into politicist—voluntarist perspectives common to studies on the periphery. The gains, I hope, will outweigh the losses.

I am indebted to colleagues who helped in various ways: V. Brown, S. Ilkin, A. Kudat, O. Kurmus, Y. Tezel and Z. Toprak all read parts of the draft and offered their comments. F. Birtek was the ideal friend with the encouragement and the critical eye. The institutional set-up within which a dissertation is



viii Preface and acknowledgements

written may often be constricting. In my case R. Roehl and S. Cohen proved to be the liberators. B. Ward made a very valuable suggestion which led to an important revision. I am grateful to I. Wallerstein in too many ways to mention. The usual disclaimer applies: all the remaining faults are my own.