

### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors. W.SIDNEY ALLEN. B.COMRIE. C.J.FILLMORE E.J.A.HENDERSON. F.W.HOUSEHOLDER. R.LASS. J.LYONS R.B.LE PAGE. F.R.PALMER. R.POSNER. J.L.M.TRIM

Psychological reality in phonology A theoretical study



### In this series

- I DAVID CRYSTAL: Prosodic systems and intonation in English\*
- 2 PIETER A.M. SEUREN: Operators and nucleus
- 3 RODNEY D. HUDDLESTON: The sentence in written English
- 4 JOHN M. ANDERSON: The grammar of case\*
- M. L. SAMUELS: Linguistic evolution\*
- 6 P.H.MATTHEWS: Inflectional morphology\*
- 7 GILLIAN BROWN: Phonological rules and dialect variation\*
- 8 BRIAN NEWTON: The generative interpretation of dialect\*
- 9 R.M.W.DIXON: The Dyirbal language of North Queensland\*
- 10 BRUCE L.DERWING: Transformational grammar as theory of language acquisition\*
- II MELISSA BOWERMAN: Early syntactic development\*
- 12 W.SIDNEY ALLEN: Accent and rhythm
- 13 PETER TRUDGILL: The social differentiation of English in Norwich
- 14 ROGER LASS and JOHN M. ANDERSON: Old English phonology
- 15 RUTH M.KEMPSON: Presupposition and the delimitation of semantics\*
- 16 JAMES R. HURFORD: The linguistic theory of numerals
- 17 ROGER LASS: English phonology and phonological theory
- 18 G.M.AWBERY: The syntax of Welsh
- 19 R.M.W.DIXON: A grammar of Yidin
- 20 JAMES FOLEY: Foundations of theoretical phonology
- 21 A. RADFORD: Italian syntax: transformational and relational grammar
- 22 DIETER WUNDERLICH: Foundations of linguistics\*
- 23 DAVID W. LIGHTFOOT: Principles of diachronic syntax\*
- 24 ANNETTE KARMILOFF-SMITH: A functional approach to child language
- 25 PER LINELL: Psychological reality in phonology
  - \* Issued in hard covers and as a paperback



# PSYCHOLOGICAL REALITY IN PHONOLOGY

A theoretical study

PER LINELL

Assistant Professor of Linguistics, University of Uppsala

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

**CAMBRIDGE** 

LONDON · NEW YORK · MELBOURNE



#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521104777

© Cambridge University Press 1979

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1979
This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Linell, Per, 1944-

Psychological reality in phonology.

(Cambridge studies in linguistics; 25)

Bibliography: p.

- 1. Grammar, Comparative and general Phonology.
- 2. Psycholinguistics. 3. Generative grammar.
- 4. Morphophonemics. I. Title. II. Series.

P217.L53 414 78-67429

ISBN 978-0-521-22234-1 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-10477-7 paperback



# Contents

	Prologue	xiii
I	ON PSYCHOLOGICAL REALITY	
1.1	Language as a social and psychological entity	1
1.2	Attitudes to the goal of psychological reality	3
1.3	Reasons to adopt the goal of psychological reality	8
1.4	The concept of psychological reality	8
	1.4.1 Degree of representationalism	9
	1.4.2 Accessibility	12
	1.4.3 Teleology and causality: acts, rules, derivations and causal	
	processes	15
1.5	Knowledge and behavior	17
	1.5.1 Introduction	17
	1.5.2 Competence vs. performance: justification for a distinction	17
	1.5.3 Competence: ability, habituality and normativity	18
	1.5.4 Grammatical competence and communicative competence	20
	1.5.5 Knowledge and rules: conventionality and consciousness	22
	1.5.6 Competence and indeterminacy	25
1.6	Methodological pluralism	27
2	PHONOLOGY IN A MODEL OF COMMUNICATIVE	
	COMPETENCE	
2. I	Phonology and phonetics	30
2.2	General framework: communicative competence	32
2.3	Speakers' phonological capacities	37
2.4	Notes on speaker's competence and listener's competence	39
	2.4.1 One or two competences?	39
	2.4.2 The primacy of perception	40
	2.4.3 Speakers know more	42
	2.4.4 Different modes of listening	43
	Listening to sounds and listening to words	43
	Full comprehension of an utterance	45
3	PHONOLOGICAL FORMS AS PLANS FOR PHONETIC ACTS	
3.1	Justification for the notion of 'phonetic plan'	47
3.2	Phonetic plans of words vs. complete articulatory plans of	
	utterances	48



vi	Contents	
3.3	The nature of phonetic plans	51
	3.3.1 Word-form invariance	51
	3.3.2 Phoneticity	51
	Non-phonological features	52
	Boundaries	52
	3.3.3 Concreteness	53
	3.3.4 Reference to careful pronunciations	54
	Careful pronunciations vs. elaborated pronunciations	54
	Arguments for the primacy of careful pronunciations	56
	3.3.5 Categoricalness	57
3.4	The existence of alternative plans	60
3.5	The role of phonetic plans in speech production	62
3.6	The role of phonetic plans in speech perception	63
3.7	Appendix: the status of the segment	65
4	PHONETIC PLANS AND LEXICAL ENTRIES	
4.1	Introduction: phonetic plans vs. lexical representations	70
4.2	On lexical economy	71
	4.2.1 Economy as a metatheoretical evaluation criterion	72
	4.2.2 Memory storage and economy considerations	73
4.3	The phonological properties of lexical items	76
	4.3.1 Alternatives	76
	4.3.2 Vennemann's theory of the lexicon	76
	4.3.3. Stem and base form theory	78
	Methodological aspects	78
	Polymorphemic structures in the lexicon	78
	Input of morphological operations 1: structural arguments	80
	Input of morphological operations 2: substantive evidence	81
4.4	Non-phonological information in phonology	84
5	PHONEMIC CONTRASTS	
5.1	Introduction	88
5.2	Arguments in favor of surface phonemic contrasts	89
	5.2.1 Identity and similarity of phonological strings	89
	5.2.2 Correctness of phonological strings	94
	5.2.3 Perceptual equivalence and the reinterpretation of deviant	
	sounds	94
	5.2.4 Phonetic distinctness and surface symmetry	98
	5.2.5 Sharpening of minor allophones in lexical pronunciation	99
	5.2.6 Adaptation to secondary dialects	101
	5.2.7 Submorphemic conspiracies	102
	5.2.8 Inputs of morphological operations	103



	Contents	vii
	5.2.9 Indeterminacy of morphophonemic representations	103
	5.2.10 Historical change	104
	Preservation of contrasts	104
	The loss of non-distinctive features	105
	Transition of forms to new paradigms	105
	Allomorphy reduction	106
	5.2.11 The transfer of allophones to new positions	106
	5.2.12 Child language	100
	5.2.13 Pathological speech behavior	110
	Speech errors	110
	Aphasia	112
	Misperceptions	113
	5.2.14 Divergent properties of rule types	114
	5.2.15 Linguists' practice	114
5.3	Can one recognize the significance of surface contrasts without	·
	having surface forms?	115
6	PHONOTACTICS AND PHONOLOGICAL CORRECTNESS	
	Introduction	
	Phonological correctness	117
0.2	6.2.1 Independence of morphophonology	118
	6.2.2 Reference to careful pronunciations	
6 2	Behavioral evidence	120
0.3	6.3.1 The adaptation of deviant forms	120
	6.3.2 Reduction in fast speech	121
6.4	On capturing regularities	121
0.4	6.4.1 Conspiracies	121
	6.4.2 Conditions on syllable structure	
6 =	On some properties of phonotactic rules	123
0.3	6.5.1 Active filter function	124
	6.5.2 Domain of application	126
	o.j.z zomani oi application	120
7	MORPHOLOGICAL OPERATIONS AND	
	MORPHOPHONOLOGY	
•	Introduction	127
	Morphological operations: general properties	128
	Morphological operations: examples	130
7.4	The unity of morphological operations	136
	7.4.1 Function in speech act theory	137
	7.4.2 Behavioral unity	137



V111	Contents

	7.4.3 Applicability of morphophonological rules proper	139
	Derivation-specific ordering	139
	Applicational dependence	140
	Exceptionality of derivations with respect to rules	140
	The place of morphophonology	142
	Complex morphological operations	143
7.7	Morpheme identity outside morphological operations	149
8	WORD FORMS AS PRIMES	
	A brief recapitulation	151
	Further arguments for word forms as primary units	152
	8.2.1 Syntactically 'free' forms	152
	8.2.2 Semantically 'free' forms	152
	8.2.3 Non-predictable features of meaning	153
	8.2.4 The dependence of morphs on the word form context	153
	8.2.5 Synchronic and diachronic variation and change	154
	8.2.6 Phonetic gestalts	155
	8.2.7 Intuitive plausibility	156
8.3	Refuting some counter-arguments	156
- 3	8.3.1 The definition of word forms	156
	8.3.2 The selection of lexical forms	157
	8.3.3 The internal structure of word forms	158
	8.3.4 The loss of generalizations	158
	8.3.5 Redundancy	158
	8.3.6 What the theory does not mean	158
o	MORPHEMES AND MORPHEME IDENTITY	
-	The nature of morphemes	160
•	The establishment of morpheme identity	161
-	Morpheme identity as a basis for reinterpretation and construction	
7.3	of forms	162
0.4	Conditions on morpheme identity	163
- •	More on morpheme identity: inter- and intra-individual variation	164
	Further consequences	165
10	TYPOLOGY OF PHONOLOGICAL RULES	
	Introduction	167
10.2	Functions of rules	167
	Basic rule types	168
	10.3.1 Phonotactic rules	168
	10.3.2 Sharpening and elaboration rules	169
	10.2.2 Percentual redundancy rules	170



	Contents	ix
	10.3.4 Articulatory reduction rules	172
	10.3.5 Morphophonological rules proper	172
	10.3.6 Summary: rule types and grammatical functions	174
10.4	Properties of rules: introduction	175
10.5	Invariance and variation: obligatoriness/optionality	176
10.6	Use and validity of rules in normal regular speech	177
	10.6.1 Validity as a function of speech tempo	177
	10.6.2 Invocation in speech performance	178
10.7	Formal properties	179
	10.7.1 Generality of rules with respect to the phonological	• •
	constitution of strings	179
	Context-sensitivity	179
	Conditioning	180
	Generality (freedom from exceptions)	182
	Transparency	183
	10.7.2 Relations between inputs and outputs	185
	Recoverability of inputs	185
	Segment inventories of inputs and outputs	186
	Requirement on feature specification changes	187
	Discreteness/gradualness of change	188
	10.7.3 Application within derivations	190
	Stage of application within generative derivations	190
	Ordering within blocks of rules	190
	Applicational dependence between rules	191
	10.7.4 Domain of application	193
10.8	Extensions of rule applicability beyond normal use and standard	
	norms	194
	10.8.1 Productivity	195
	10.8.2 Nativization of loan words	195
	10.8.3 Transfer in foreign-language learning	197
	10.8.4 Overgeneralization in child language	200
	10.8.5 Linguistic games	201
	10.8.6 Psycholinguistic experiments	201
	10.8.7 Speech errors	202
	10.8.8 Aphasia	203
	10.8.9 Misperceptions	203
	10.8.10 Spelling mistakes	203
	10.8.11 Summary	204
	Degree of consciousness	204
10.10	Relations to universal tendencies	205
	10.10.1 Universality	205
	10.10.2 Naturalness	206



X	Contents

0.11	Diachronic properties	208
0.12	Summary	210
10.13	Appendix 1: survey of properties of phonological rules	212
10.14	Appendix 2: classification of some specific rules	214
11	THE CHILD'S ACQUISITION OF PHONOLOGY	
	Introduction	215
	Levels of representation in adult phonology	215
	Levels of representation in child phonology	216
3	11.3.1 The relationship between adult norms and the forms to	
	which the child is actually exposed	217
	11.3.2 The relationship between the adult's spoken forms and the	,
	child's perceived forms	217
	11.3.3 The relationship between the child's perceived forms and the	,
	child's phonetic plans	218
	11.3.4 The relationship between the child's phonetic intentions and	
	his actually produced forms	219
	11.3.5 Representations and rules in young children's phonological	~19
	competence	219
	The development into adult competence	220
	On children's perceptual accuracy	222
11.5	on emidien's perceptual accuracy	
12	ON THE FALLACY OF REGARDING MORPHEMES AS	
	PHONOLOGICAL INVARIANTS	
12.1	Morphemes as phonological invariants	223
	The abstractness controversy in generative phonology	226
	12.2.1 Naturalness conditions	227
	12.2.2 The alternation condition	228
	12.2.3 The revised alternation condition	229
	12.2.4 The surface allomorphy condition	229
	12.2.5 'Homing in' from concrete allomorphs	230
	12.2.6 The surface phonotactics condition	232
	12.2.7 Conclusion	233
12.3	Arguments against morphemes as phonological forms	234
3	12.3.1 Arguments for the primary significance of word forms and	-37
	phonemic contrasts	234
	12.3.2 Unsupported implications for language ontogenesis	235
	Change of strategy	235
	The representation of marginal changes as basic	238
	12.3.3 Demand for excessive computing	_
	12.3.4 Morphemes as grammatical non-phonological units	240
	12.3.4 Morphemes as grammatical non-phonological units 12.3.5 Category mistake: relations represented as 'things'	241
	12.3.5 Category mistake, relations represented as things	241



	Contents	хi
	12.3.6 Ontological eliminability	244
	12.3.7 Introspective inaccessibility	244
	12.3.8 Practical inapplicability	247
	12.3.9 General lack of plausible and intelligible interpretations	247
12.4	Some abstract interpretations of the notion of 'morpheme-invariant	
	form'	249
	12.4.1 Morphemes as values	249
	12.4.2 Morphemes as functional information	250
	12.4.3 Morphemes as 'as-if' representations	251
12.5	Can the generative 'morpheme theory' be justified by reference to	
	elegance, simplicity, coherence, etc.?	253
	12.5.1 Pragmatic success	253
	12.5.2 Coherence and explicitness	254
	12.5.3 Simplicity and elegance	254
12.6	Conclusion	255
13	THE CONCRETENESS AND NON-AUTONOMY OF	
	PHONOLOGY	
13.1	On the insufficiency of structuralist phonology	257
	13.1.1 Relationship between phonology and phonetics	257
	13.1.2 The concept of rule	258
	13.1.3 Autonomous phonology	258
13.2	The concreteness and non-autonomy of phonology	259
13.3	On some classical arguments against structuralist phonology	260
	13.3.1 The superfluousness of a phonemic level in a maximally	
	general phonology	260
	13.3.2 The impossibility of a phonemic level in a significant	
	phonology	261
	13.3.3 The non-transitivity of free variation	264
	13.3.4 Conclusion	266
	EPILOGUE	268
	Bibliography (and citation index)	270



# Prologue

The fundamental insights of the pioneers of modern phonology have largely been lost. (Chomsky 1964:110)

The present study is the result of work in the theory of phonology pursued by myself from about 1971 through 1977. My intention during the last few years has been to produce a revised version of my doctoral dissertation (Linell 1974a). However, this work has stretched out for more than three years, mainly because other duties have prevented me from indulging in it. The book that now appears is in fact almost entirely a new work, though it is of course based upon my thesis (as well as on later works: Linell 1974b, 1976a,b, 1977a,b).

To place this study in a proper perspective I would like to point out a few things about the scholarly tradition to which it belongs. My first linguistic training was in structuralist linguistics. In the late 1960s I became heavily influenced by generative transformational grammar, one reason being that this kind of process-based structuralism seemed descriptively superior to most variants of earlier item-and-arrangement grammars. But much more important was the fact that Chomskyan grammar promised to be more than merely an elegant systematization of linguistic data; it also aimed at providing a theory of covert psychological realities, i.e. the fluent speaker-listener's actual mental organization of his linguistic knowledge. This goal increased the power and relevance of linguistic theory immensely; linguistics would become much more important - in fact indispensable - for some branches of psychology, anthropology, sociology, language teaching, phonetics, aphasiology etc. However, over the years it became increasingly obvious that orthodox Chomskyan grammar was an almost complete failure in this respect. Though the theory has changed over time, most people in the field have

The typescript of this book was completed in June 1977. A few corrections were made in April 1978.



#### xiv Prologue

become convinced that all variants of Chomskyan generative grammar are psychologically invalid, for a number of reasons. Steinberg (1975) has characterized the history of Chomskyan theory (up to the beginning of the 1970s) as that of a movement from formalism to claims of mentalism which actually turned out to be psychologically invalid (for quite fundamental reasons). Thus, the original impetus for me to inquire into the causes why Chomskyan theory failed to provide a psychologically plausible theory was my constantly growing dissatisfaction with the overly formalistic and 'autonomous-linguistic' approach practised by generativists. This experience has apparently been shared with many other scholars. Particularly Derwing's (1973) work has a close affinity with mine. Both Derwing (1973) and Linell (1974a) deal with generative phonology, and both argue that the inadequacies of this theory should be sought among its most fundamental assumptions.<sup>3</sup> Thus, I cannot agree with those numerous generativists who have argued that many specific analyses made in works such as Chomsky & Halle (1968) may be misguided or even absurd but that the generative (meta) theory is basically sound.

The generative goal of striving for psychological validity is a laudable one. Thus, I would maintain that this goal defines one out of several other important lines of linguistic research but that the generative means applied in the attempts to solve the problems involved are extremely unfruitful and misguided. On the other hand, one must be very modest in advancing alternative theories in this area. For one thing, very little can be said about psychological reality with a reasonable degree of certainty and confidence. One reason is the serious lack of relevant and reliable data bearing on the problems. Therefore, any proposals or claims made in this work have to be regarded as preliminary. Basically, I can only argue that they seem at least psychologically and/or behaviorally interpretable and may stand a chance of being true.

Furthermore, very little of what is said in this work derives primarily from original work by myself. Rather, I rely very heavily on insights achieved in traditional and common-sense approaches to phonology as well as in structuralist phonology and variants of generative phonology. The fundamental ideas are quite traditional and

I myself made some generative studies of Swedish phonology and morphology (Linell 1972, 1973a,b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. also Botha 1971, 1973; Itkonen 1974; Ringen 1975 among other works.



Prologue xv

have, in some cases, also been argued by recent 'natural' (generative) phonologists. My contribution mainly consists in trying to provide a reasonable synthesis of some of these ideas.

The title of this book is perhaps too wide, since there are many fundamental problems of phonology that I will not deal with at all. Basically, I will focus on the nature of phonological forms and phonological rules. Thus, nothing will be said on possible inventories of phonological units (segments or prosodemes) (cf. for example, such works as Hockett 1955; Trubetzkoy 1958), or on phonetic features (e.g. Jakobson, Fant & Halle 1952; Ladefoged 1971a) and their hierarchies (e.g. Drachman 1977). Also, in dealing with phonological forms and rules I will concentrate almost entirely on segmental phonology.

Relative to Linell (1974a) this work represents a shift of emphasis from a critique of generative phonology to somewhat more constructive proposals for a more adequate phonological theory. However, I will frequently contrast these latter proposals with those of *orthodox generative phonology* (henceforth OGPh), i.e. the kind of theory and practice represented by works such as Chomsky & Halle (1968), Schane (1968) and others, and a few chapters (12, 13) will deal almost entirely with OGPh argumentation. It should also be pointed out that criticisms of course also apply to other (generative or structuralist) phonological theories in so far as they share features with OGPh. I have, however, made no attempt to determine the extent to which OGPh overlaps with other theories. It is also beyond the scope of this study to trace the often rich history of the various proposals to be discussed. Interested readers may consult the excellent survey by Fischer-Jørgensen (1975).

As I have already pointed out, this work builds on the insights of an unusually great number of scholars. I hope that my references make at least partly clear the extent to which I build on the written works of others. It is more difficult to do justice to those people whose insights I have profited from in many direct discussions. Plainly, it is impossible to mention all these people here. Some, however, deserve special thanks.

Sven Öhman is beyond any doubt the one who has influenced me most during my graduate studies and also afterwards. He has been greatly inspiring and generous in letting me profit from literally countless ideas and insights propounded in teaching as well as private discussions. Some of the most fundamental points of this book originally derive from his suggestions. Obviously, I have not been able to develop all these ideas in the ways Sven would have liked. Neither he



#### xvi Prologue

nor anyone else should be blamed for the errors I have made.

Secondly, I would like to thank Jens Allwood and Jan Anward for a great many valuable discussions which have considerably promoted my understanding of many linguistic problems. Among many others who have helped and influenced me, Raimo Anttila, W. U. Dressler, Håkan Eriksson, Greg Iverson and Fred Karlsson deserve special mention. Professor John Trim read my manuscript and made many valuable suggestions for revision. I also thank Annika Axelson for typing several manuscripts of mine.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge the permissions by North-Holland Publishing Company (Amsterdam), Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft (Wiesbaden) and Professor D. L. Goyvaerts to use material from Linell (1976a), Linell (1976b) and Linell (1977b), respectively.