

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-10352-7 - The Content of Social Explanation

Susan James

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

THE CONTENT OF
SOCIAL EXPLANATION


Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-10352-7 - The Content of Social Explanation
Susan James
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

THE CONTENT OF SOCIAL EXPLANATION



SUSAN JAMES

FELLOW OF GIRTON COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE

LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE

MELBOURNE SYDNEY

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-10352-7 - The Content of Social Explanation
Susan James
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521103527

© Cambridge University Press 1984

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1984
This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 84-9632

ISBN 978-0-521-26667-3 hardback
ISBN 978-0-521-10352-7 paperback

Contents

	<i>page</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
Introduction	i
Part I Theory: two views of holism	
I The legacy of analytical philosophy	13
II Holism and reduction	36
III Holism and causal explanation	55
Part II Practice: holism in social explanation	
IV Absolute holism and its framework: Althusser	79
V The framework applied: Poulantzas	118
VI Concessive holism and interests: the <i>Annales</i> school	146
Conclusion	176
Bibliography of works cited in the text	181
<i>Index</i>	188

Acknowledgements

This book has taken me a long time to write, and it is a pleasure to thank all those who have helped with it. During the past few years I have talked about holist explanation with many different people whose reactions, positive and negative, have doubtless contributed more than I know to the following argument. I am grateful to them all for their time and attention, and hope that I have succeeded in taking their insights to heart.

In addition to these informal discussions I have also had the advantage of several sustained sets of comments. Of the individuals whose assistance has been crucial, my thanks are due first of all to the philosophers who read the Ph.D. thesis from which parts of Chapters I, II and IV are drawn. My supervisor Mary Hesse, Richard Bernstein, Thomas Kuhn, Steven Lukes and Philip Pettit offered criticisms and suggestions which I have tried to accommodate. More recently, in writing the book itself, I have been helped by numerous friends and colleagues. The discussion of reduction in chapter I would have been more haphazard without the guidance of Nancy Maull, who shared with me her knowledge of the philosophy of biology. Chapter III owes a great deal to the members of the philosophy department at the University of Connecticut; their responses to an earlier draft, particularly those of Joel Kupperman and John Troyer, enabled me to formulate the problem of holism more clearly. Anthony Giddens gave me some extremely helpful bibliographical advice. And part of Chapter IV was shaped by the criticisms of the History and Philosophy of Science seminar at the University of Cambridge. In addition, I have benefited from the expert typing of Mrs Anne Robson who patiently decoded a chaotic manuscript, and from the calm efficiency of Jeremy Mynott of the Cambridge University Press.

Most of all, however, I am grateful to the four people who

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-10352-7 - The Content of Social Explanation
Susan James
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

viii

Acknowledgements

generously read the whole manuscript in its final stages of composition. The tenacious queries and suggestions raised by John Dunn, Raymond Geuss, Geoffrey Hawthorn and Quentin Skinner enabled me to revise several chapters, and I am deeply and contentedly indebted to them. Their thoughtful reflections on the problem of holism, as well as their steady encouragement, have been invaluable.

Finally, I should like to thank the Mistress and Fellows of Girton College, Cambridge for their support, and for the kindness and enthusiasm which make the college such a pleasant environment in which to work.