

Auxiliaries are one of the most complex areas of English syntax. Disagreement over both the principles and details of their grammar has been substantial. Anthony Warner here offers a new and detailed account of both their synchronic and diachronic properties. He first argues that lexical properties are central to their grammar, which is relatively nonabstract. He then traces in detail the history of processes of grammaticalization in their development, and claims most notably that we can identify a group of auxiliaries in English from an early period on formal, not just semantic, grounds.

This book meets the dual challenge of accounting for both the grammar and the history of the English auxiliary. It will be essential reading for all those interested in English syntax and its history.



#### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors: J. BRESNAN, B. COMRIE, W. DRESSLER, R. HUDDLESTON, R. LASS, D. LIGHTFOOT, J. LYONS, P. H. MATTHEWS, R. POSNER, S. ROMAINE, N. V. SMITH, N. VINCENT

English auxiliaries



#### In this series

40	KENNETH J. SAFIR: Syntactic chains
41	J. MILLER: Semantics and syntax
42	H. C. BUNT: Mass terms and model theoretic semantics
43	HEINZ J. GIEGERICH: Metrical phonology and phonological structure
44	JOHN HAIMAN: Natural syntax
45 46	BARBARA M. HORVATH: Variation in Australian English: the sociolects of Sydney GRANT GOODALL: Parallel structures in syntax; coordination, causatives, and restructuring
47	JOHN M. ANDERSON and COLIN J. EWEN: Principles of dependency phonology
48	BARBARA A. FOX: Discourse structure and anaphora
49	LAUREL J. BRINTON: The development of English aspectual systems
50	DONNA 10 NAPOLI: Predication theory
51	NOEL BURTON-ROBERTS: The limits to debate: a revised theory of semantic pre- supposition
52	MICHAEL S. ROCHEMONT and PETER W. CULICOVER: English focus constructions and the theory of grammar
53	PHILIP CARR: Linguistic realities: an autonomist metatheory for the generative enterprise
54	EVE SWEETSER: From etymology to pragmatics: metaphorical and cultural aspects of semantic structure
55	REGINA BLASS: Relevance relations in discourse: a study with special reference to Sissala
56	ANDREW CHESTERMAN: On definiteness: a study with special reference to English and Finnish
57	ALESSANDRA GIORGI and GIUSEPPE LONGOBARDI: The syntax of Noun Phrases: configuration, parameters and empty categories
58	MONIK CHARETTE: Conditions on phonological government
59	M. H. KLAIMAN: Grammatical voice
60	SARAH M. B. FAGAN: The syntax and semantics of middle constructions: a study with special reference to German
61	ANJUM P. SALEEMI: Universal Grammar and language learnability
62	STEPHEN R. ANDERSON: A-Morphous Morphology
63	LESLEY STIRLING: Switch reference and discourse representation
64	HENK J. VERKUYL: A theory of aspectuality: the interaction between temporal and atemporal structure
65	EVE V. CLARK: The lexicon in acquisition
66	ANTHONY R. WARNER: English auxiliaries: structure and history

#### Supplementary volumes

RUDOLF P. BOTHA: Form and meaning in word formation: a study of Afrikaans reduplication

AYHAN AKSU-KOC: The acquisition of aspect and modality: the case of past reference in Turkish

MICHEAL O SIADHAIL: Modern Irish: grammatical structure and dialectal variation

ANNICK DE HOUWER: The acquisition of two languages from birth: a case study LILIANE HAEGEMAN: Theory and description in generative syntax: a case study in West Flemish

Earlier issues not listed are also available



## ENGLISH AUXILIARIES

Structure and history

### ANTHONY R. WARNER

Lecturer in English Language and Linguistics, Department of Language and Linguistic Science, University of York





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521103213

© Cambridge University Press 1993

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1993 Reprinted 1995 This digitally printed version 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Warner, Anthony.

English auxiliaries: structure and history / Anthony R. Warner.

p. cm. - (Cambridge studies in linguistics; 66)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-30284-6

1. English language – Auxiliary verbs. 2. English language – Syntax. I. Title. II. Series

PE1315.A8W37 1993

425-dc20 92-41068 CIP

ISBN 978-0-521-30284-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-10321-3 paperback



## **Contents**

	Preface	<i>page</i> xi
	List of abbreviations and references to primary texts	xiii
1	Basic properties of English auxiliaries	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Traditional criteria for auxiliaries	3
1.3	Auxiliaries as a word class	9
1.4	The semantics of modals	13
1.5	Problems and historical context of analysis	19
2	The morphosyntactic independence of auxiliaries	33
2.1	Ordering and categorial availability	33
2.2	Morphosyntactic independence of auxiliaries	39
2.3	Inflected auxiliaries as 'anaphoric islands'	49
2.4	Implications for modals of this account	58
2.5	Recent history	62
3	A formal interlude: the grammar of English auxiliaries	69
3.1	Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar	69
3.2	Morphosyntactic features	73
3.3	The lexical structuring of auxiliaries	78
3.4	Auxiliary constructions	82
3.5	Conclusion	91
4	Distinguishing auxiliaries and verbs in early English	92
4.1	Introduction	92
4.2	The ancestors of present-day auxiliaries	94
4.3	Verblike characteristics	97
4.4	Word classes	103

vii



viii	Contents	
5	Identifying an 'auxiliary group' before Modern English:	
	sentence-level syntax	110
5.1	Elliptical constructions	111
5.2	Transparency to impersonal constructions	122
5.3	Significance	132
6	Identifying an 'auxiliary group' before Modern English:	
	further properties of 'modals'	135
6.1	Subcategorization for the plain infinitive	136
6.2	Preterite-present morphology	140
6.3	Restriction to finite forms	144
6.4	The developing independence of preterite forms	148
6.5	Negative forms, and occurrence with do	150
6.6	Conclusion	152
7	The developing modal semantics of early English 'modals'	156
7.1	Introduction	156
7.2	Verbs of group A and typically modal meanings in	
	Old English	158
7.3	The modal group and typically modal meanings in	
	Middle English	174
7.4	Modern English developments	180
7.5	Conclusion	182
8	The status of modals and auxiliaries before Modern English	184
8.1	The full range of properties	184
8.2	Notional correspondences: identifying the group	185
8.3	The special position of finiteness	189
8.4	A speculative historical sketch to the fifteenth century	192
8.5	Comments on grammaticalization	195
9	Auxiliaries in early Modern English and the rise of do	198
9.1	Apparent generalizations of earlier properties	198
9.2	New developments	206
9.3	A category-based account of early Modern English	209
9.4	Lightfoot revisited	218
9.5	Periphrastic do	219
9.6	Conclusions	234



		Contents	ix
10	Conclusions	2	236
	Notes	2	240
	References		268
	Index of scholars cited		284
	General index		287



For Trish, Kate, David and Philip



## Preface

Auxiliaries (words such as *must, shall, is*) are central to English grammar. They are also puzzlingly complex in their behaviour. So much so that disagreement about their nature has been radical, and they remain a major area of difficulty. The interest of this area is compounded by the possibility of working out its history in some detail, given the abundant data available for earlier periods of English. It is clear that the area was much less well defined in earlier times; indeed it has even been claimed that modals were not to be distinguished grammatically from straightforward verbs at the earliest periods for which we have substantial records. Thus change has apparently been considerable. This means that the process of change will itself be important and interesting, and that we may achieve some insights into the nature of the modern category from an understanding of its development. So there is a major twofold challenge: to provide an appropriate synchronic account of English auxiliaries, and to show how this area of grammar developed historically. These are the twin challenges taken up in this book.

First, then, I have established a new account of the working of the modern auxiliary system. Its distinctive claim is that although auxiliaries carry apparently verbal categories such as 'finite' or 'infinitive' these are not inflectional in auxiliaries but are lexically specified, so that forms such as should or been behave in some respects like independent items. This leads to a simple, new and nonabstract account of a range of the properties of auxiliaries in terms of their distinctness from verbs, and to a fundamentally 'lexical' account of major properties of this 'grammatical' area. Secondly, I have investigated the historical development of this system. This has meant both justifying appropriate analyses for earlier stages of the language, and providing a historical interpretation. So I give a detailed review (and reinterpretation) of relevant data in the history of auxiliaries, and add to that some more speculative comments on changes in their categoriality and the rationale for such changes. My most striking historical claim is that we can identify a group of 'auxiliaries' in English from an early period on formal (not just semantic) grounds. So I disagree with Lightfoot's (1979) seminal claim that the category of modals was



#### xii Preface

established *de novo* rather suddenly in the sixteenth century, while finding more evidence for a shift in the nature of their categoryhood at this period. My account reconciles two puzzling aspects of the development of this class: that it is apparently a gradual, long-term matter, but that there is a clustering of changes focussed on the sixteenth century. And *en route* there is something to say on such matters as the development of 'periphrastic' *do* and of the double-ing constraint.

I am grateful to those who have helped to improve the book: to David Lightfoot and Frank Palmer, who read the whole book and gave me very useful comments; to Roger Lass, who gave me some specific and valuable advice; to Bob Borsley, Gerald Gazdar, Carl Pollard for their very helpful comments on particular sections of the book; to my colleagues Patrick Griffiths and Steve Harlow for a variety of discussions over the years; and to Robert E. Lewis, editor-in-chief of the *Middle English Dictionary*, for kindly supplying me with information from the *MED*'s files. Needless to say I have not taken all the advice offered me, and whatever you think is cranky, muddle-headed or plain wrong is my fault.

I am grateful to my colleagues at York for teaching-free terms in 1986 (Chapters 5 and much of 6), 1989 (Chapters 2 and much of 9) and 1991 (when I finally finished the first draft of the whole). Thank you also to Suzie Roberts (who typed Chapter 7), to Elizabeth McKeown, who helped with the tedious task of transferring the manuscript from one word-processing system to another, and to David Newton for preparing the indexes. Much of Chapter 5 appeared in print as Warner (1992a): I am grateful to John Donald of Edinburgh for permission to republish this material. Finally, thank you to Cambridge University Press for their willingness to tolerate an author who took so much longer than he said he would. I can only plead the novelist's commonplace, that the characters are prone to highjack the plot. The modals, be and have were simply intolerant of my initial attempts at a swiftly written history. They insisted on having things their way, and our developing relationship took time.

This book is for Trish, who shares my life, which has included more auxiliaries than one human should ask of another, and for Kate, David and Philip, who have allowed me to steal time that should really have been theirs, and who have tolerated an abstracted dad who seemed to care more about *can't* and *won't* than about building a tree house. But the tree house was, after all, completed before the book.



# Abbreviations and references to primary texts

#### **General abbreviations**

ASW Akmajian, Steele and Wasow (1979)

BT An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary Based on the Manuscript Collections of the Late Joseph Bosworth, edited and enlarged by T.

Northcote Toller. London: Oxford University Press. 1898

BTS An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary Based on the Manuscript Collections of the Late Joseph Bosworth. Supplement, edited by T.

Northcote Toller. London: Oxford University Press. 1921, and Enlarged Addenda and Corrigenda to the Supplement, edited by A. Campbell, Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1972

e early (as in eME: early Middle English)

EDD The English Dialect Dictionary edited by Joseph Wright. 6 vols.

Oxford University Press, 1896–1905

EETS (OS) Publications of the Early English Texts Society, Ordinary Series
EETS ES Publications of the Early English Texts Society, Extra Series
EETS SS Publications of the Early English Texts Society, Supplementary

Series

GB Government-Binding

GPS Gazdar, Pullum and Sag (1982)

GPSG Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar
HPSG Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar
late (as in lME: late Middle English)

M§234 Mitchell (1985: §234)

ME Middle English (twelfth to fifteenth century)

MED Middle English Dictionary, ed. by Hans Kurath, Sherman M.

Kuhn, Robert E. Lewis and John Reidy. Ann Arbor: University

of Michigan Press (1954-)

ModE Modern English (sixteenth century to the present)

NE New English = Modern English (sixteenth century to the pre-

sent)

xiii



xiv List of abbreviations

OE Old English (records mainly from the ninth to eleventh century)
OED The Oxford English Dictionary, ed. by J. A. H. Murray, H.

Bradley, W. A. Craigie, and C. T. Onions. Oxford: Clarendon

Press. 1933

PE Present-day English V§345 Visser (1963–73: §345)

#### References to primary texts

I have often used abbreviations in references to Old English texts: for the major prose texts those of Mitchell (1985 vol. I: xxxiv ff., vol. II: xliv ff.); for minor prose texts those of Healey and Venezky (1980). These are expanded below, as are abbreviated references to the poetry. Citations from later texts are preceded by their date. For Middle English texts I give the dates assigned by the *Middle English Dictionary*. This gives the date of the manuscript without parentheses or the date of composition in parentheses or both. If the presumed or conjectured date of composition is at least twenty-five years earlier than the date of the manuscript, then (for the dictionary's preferred manuscripts) the date of the manuscript is followed in parentheses by the date of composition. But where the date of composition is well established and is less than twenty-five years earlier than the date of the manuscript, only the date of composition is given (in parentheses). Citations from Chaucer and Shakespeare are from the following editions:

The Works of Geoffrey Chaucer, ed. F. N. Robinson, 2nd edn, London: Oxford University Press. 1957

William Shakespeare: the Complete Works, ed. Peter Alexander, London and Glasgow: Collins. 1951

For other citations I have given bibliographical information, or have referred the reader to a source such as Visser (1963–73) or *The Oxford English Dictionary*, which contains the citation.

#### Abbreviations of Old English texts

ÆAdmon 1 Admonitio ad filium spiritualem. The Anglo-Saxon

Version of the Hexameron of St. Basil ..., ed. H. W.

Norman, London 1848, 32-56

ÆCHom i The Sermones Catholici or Homilies of Ælfric, vol I,

ed. B. Thorpe, London: Ælfric Society. 1844



#### List of abbreviations x

ÆCHom ii Ælfric's Catholic Homilies: the Second Series, ed. M. Godden, EETS SS 5, London 1979 ÆColl Ælfric's Colloquy, ed. G. N. Garmonsway (Methuen Old English Library) 2nd edn. London: Methuen. 1947 ÆGram Ælfrics Grammatik und Glossar, ed. J. Zupitza (Sammlung englischer Denkmäler I) Berlin 1880 Ælfric's Lives of Saints, ed. W. W. Skeat, EETS 76, ÆLS 82, 94, 114, London 1881-1900 ÆTemp. Ælfric's De Temporibus Anni, ed. H. Henel, EETS 213, London 1942 The Junius Manuscript (The Anglo-Saxon Poetic ASPR I Records I), ed. G. P. Krapp, New York: Columbia University Press. 1931 ASPR III The Exeter Book (The Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records III), ed. G. P. Krapp and E. V. K. Dobbie, New York: Columbia University Press. 1936 ASPR IV Beowulf and Judith (The Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records IV), ed. E. V. K. Dobbie, New York: Columbia University Press. 1953 The Paris Psalter and The Meters of Boethius (The ASPR V Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records V), ed. G. P. Krapp, New York: Columbia University Press. 1932 ASPR VI The Anglo-Saxon Minor Poems (The Anglo-Saxon Poetic Records VI), ed. E. V. K. Dobbie, New York: Columbia University Press. 1942 Bede The Old English Version of Bede's Ecclesiastical History of the English People, ed. T. Miller, EETS 95, 96, 110, 111. London 1890-8 **BlHom** The Blickling Homilies, ed R. Morris, EETS 58, 63, 73. London 1874–80 Bo King Ælfred's Old English Version of Boethius' De Consolatione Philosophiae, ed. W. J. Sedgefield, Oxford 1899 ChronA Two of the Saxon Chronicles Parallel, ed. C. Plummer, Oxford, Clarendon Press 1892-9. The Parker MS: Corpus Christi College, Cambridge 173

Two of the Saxon Chronicles Parallel, ed. C. Plummer, Oxford, Clarendon Press 1892–9. The

Laud MS: Bodley Laud Misc. 636

ChronE



#### xvi List of abbreviations

CP King Ælfred's West-Saxon Version of Gregory's

Pastoral Care, ed. H. Sweet, EETS 45, 50, London

1871

GD Bischof Waeferths von Worcester Uebersetzung der

Dialoge Gregors des Grossen (Bibliothek der angelsächsischen Prosa 5), ed. H. Hecht, Leipzig and

Hamburg 1900-7

HomS 34 (Peterson Sermon for Monday in Rogationtide. The Unpub-

VercHom 19) lished Homilies of the Vercelli Book, ed.

P. W. Peterson, New York dissertation 1951, 43-51

LawGer Die Gesetze der Angelsachsen, ed. F. Liebermann,

Halle. 1903-16, 453-5

LawICn Die Gesetze der Angelsachsen, ed. F. Liebermann,

Halle. 1903-16, 278-306

Or King Ælfred's Orosius, ed. H. Sweet, EETS 79.

London 1883

WHom The Homilies of Wulfstan, ed. D. Bethurum, Oxford:

Clarendon Press. 1957