

Index

```
act adequacy premise
                                           conflicts, see practical reasoning
   stated, 24-5
                                           conscience: person of, 157-60
   see also priority of acts to rules;
                                           conscience utilitarianism, 92
      rules, surplus causal effect of
                                           conscience worship, 92
act consequentialism
                                           consequentialism
                                               defined, 1
   and blaming, see praise and blame
   defined, 1
                                               indirect, 3, 218-23
   and MLM on conflicts, 161-3
                                               rule, 3
                                            Constant, B., 88, 104
   simplicity of, 143-4
                                            constraints, see restrictions, agent-
   see also primary principle
act utilitarianism, see act
                                                  centered
      consequentialism
Adams, R., 21, 92
                                            Darwall, S., xii, 21
                                            deontology, 3
Aiken, H., 132
Anscombe, E., xi
                                               see also intuitions, deontological;
Aquinas, xi-xii, 109
                                                  Kantian deontology; moral
Audubon Society, 183-4
                                                  emotions, deontological
                                            discretion, see primary principle
autonomy
   definition, 101.
                                            duty, see obligation
   good of, 6, 13-15, 222-3
                                            Dworkin, R.,. 119, 127
                                            Dyson, E., 173
   meanings of, 13-14
   see also publicity and the value of
      autonomy
                                            equality
                                               instrumental value of, 17-19, 65-6
Bales, R., 41, 89, 99
                                               intrinsic to the good, 64
Baron, M., 105
                                               see also rules, equal applicability of
Bayles, M., 5, 50, 78-9, 155, 160, 170,
                                            excuses, see justifications and excuses
       188 - 9
Bell, J., 119
                                            fairness, deontological intuitions
Bentham, J., vi
                                                   about, 64
Berger, F., 5, 22, 110-11, 219
                                            Feinberg, J., 11, 130-2, 139-40
blame, see praise and blame
                                            Fletcher, G., 57, 96
Brandt, R., xii, 25, 35-7, 41, 44, 46,
                                            Fontana, B., 88
       89, 96, 118, 121, 157, 170, 198-
                                            Friedman, L., 174-5
       208
                                            Fuller, L., 34
Brandt's concept of morally wrong,
       201 - 2
                                            good
Brandt's definition of morally right,
                                               distribution-sensitive dimensions
       36, 199
                                                   of, 13, 64
   proposed revision of, 204-5
                                               pluralistic concept of, 10
                                               species ideal and, 10
compliance with rules: argument for,
       45-6, 221
                                            good-doing, see primary principle
```



good-doing projects, argument for obligation of, 45–7	McCloskey, H., 155, 160, 189 marital fidelity
goods	as individual versus collective strat-
indispensable, 4-6, 46-7, 149-50	egy, 210-13
optional, 46-7, 149-50	see also Hare's concept of prima
grading of wrongs, 161-2	facie principles
Griffin, J., 10-11	maximization of good
group membership	doctrine of, 2-7, 67-9, 75-6, 219-
circumstances of, 146–51	22
concept of, 39	reconciliation of agent-centered re-
see also good-doing projects	strictions with, 51–8
see wise good doing projects	Mercer, H., 182, 184–5
Hare, R.M., xi, 15, 35, 208-18	Miami Herald, 177-8, 181
Hare's concept of prima facie princi-	Mill, J.S., xi-xii, 16, 110, 134
ples, 208–14	mistrust
Hart, G., 176–8	collective license as source of, 54–5,
	79
Hart, H.L.A., xi, 129, 134, 223	
Hayek, F., 168–9	discretion as source of, 22, 32, 34–
Hopi familial obligations, 199, 202–3	5, 42–3, 49, 79, 81–2, 85–7, 152
Hume, D., xii, 26–9, 45, 60, 132, 165	and indefinite public boundaries, 34
Humean convention, 6, 43, 45, 82	see also strategies, collective; trust
	Moore, G.E., 102
indignation	moral emotions: deontological, 59-64
personal repugnance contrasted,	moral imperialism, 146
211–13	moral and legal obligation
see also Hare's concept of prima	circumstances of, 136-42
facie principles	see also priority of rules
infallible optimizer, 53–6	moral legislation, concept of, 7–8,
interests	168–73, 183–5, 205–6
comparison of, 11–13	moral relativism, 113-14, 129-30, 146,
concept of, 11	170
universal, 11–13	
see also goods, indispensable	Nagel, T., 51-2, 55, 67
intuitions	Nozick, R., 129
deontological, 59–62	
see also fairness	objective rightness
	contrasted with character traits,
Johnson, C., 19	91–5
justice (individual virtue of), 6, 27-9,	contrasted with decision proce-
34	dures, 90-1
justifications and excuses, 95-8	magnetism of, 108-9
,	obligation: analysis of, 134
Kagan, S., 67, 76-7	, ,
Kant, I., xii	Parfit, D., 24, 38, 68
Kantian deontology, 17, 58-61, 99-102	Piest, O., 134
Kavka, G., 46	Postow, B., 48
Keynes, J., vi, 82	practical reasoning
110/1105, 3., 11, 02	in the absence of generally accepted
levels of thinking, 208-17	rules, 165–7
see also Hare, R.M.	and agent's relation to group's
Lewis, D., 207	code, 146–51, 163–5
Luban, D., 70, 73	
Lyons, D., 25, 193–4	and conflicting rules, 160–5 and nonideal rules, 151–6
Lyons, D., 23, 175-4	and nomucal fules, 131-0



```
exclusionary, 40-1, 69-75
practices
   consensus model of, 119-23
                                               protected, 40-1, 110, 136, 155
   deeper rationale (DR-) model of,
                                            Regan, D., 38
                                            restrictions
   see also rules
                                               agent-centered (ACR), 21-3, 51-8
praise and blame: role of rules in, 30-2
                                               see also maximization of good
                                            Ross, W.D., 83-4
primary principle
   act consequentialism compared,
                                            rules
       20 - 1
                                               as bases of mutual trust, 27-9
   defined, 20
                                               as collective expressions, 54-8, 62
   and discretion, 19-23
                                               coordination as function of, 27-8,
   and killing, 129-30
                                                   190 - 2
   motive utilitarian interpretation of,
                                               equal applicability of, 17-19, 64-6
       20 - 1
                                               general acceptance of:
   as scalar principle, 20
                                                   defined, 37
                                                   potential: defined, 39
   see also utilitarian state of nature
                                               hypothetical ideal, 36, 49, 151-2
priority of acts to rules, 25, 66-7
   see also act adequacy premise
                                               justified: concept of, 38, 145-6
priority of rules
                                               moral and legal distinguished, 132-
   circumstances, 83-7
   and general acceptance, 36, 44, 49,
                                               praising and blaming role, 30-2
       196 - 7
                                                preemptive status of justified, 39-
   see also Rawls's concept of the logi-
       cal priority of rules
                                                rationale of, 68-9, 79-83
privacy of candidates for public office,
                                                right-defining function of, 5, 30-2,
       176-82
                                                   35, 49, 77-8, 88, 190-2, 206-8,
private society: social union contrasted
                                                   218-19
       with, 15-17
                                                strategy conception of, 5-6, 110-7
public accumulative harms
                                               surplus causal effect of, 6, 26-30,
   defined, 130-2
   Snowy Egret, example of, 182-7
                                                of thumb, 35, 91, 111-12, 212, 214
                                                universality and scope of, 65, 169-
   characterizes the right, 98-100
                                                   73
   for circumstances of blameworthi-
                                                worship of, 78-83, 200
                                                see also strategies, collective; moral
       ness, 32
   and traits, 107-9
                                                   and legal obligation; practices;
   and the value of autonomy, 100-2,
                                                   priority of rules
       104, 222-3
Quinn, W., 61
                                            Safer, M., 177-8
                                            Scanlon, T., 12, 34, 46, 53, 55, 129
                                            Scheffler, S., 2, 21, 52-4, 89, 99
Railton, P., 22, 89-96, 99, 102
rationalist constructivism, 168-9
                                            Schelling, T., 34
rationality: points of view of collective
                                            Schoeck, H., 19
                                            Selby-Bigge, L. A., 26
       and individual, 48-51, 67-9
Rawls, J., 5, 11, 15-6, 46, 50, 188-98,
                                            self-defense, 56-7
       218
                                            Sen, A., 128
Rawls's concept of the logical priority
                                            Sidgwick, H., 30, 92, 106
       of rules, 188-90
                                            Simmons, J., 64, 151
Rawls's legislator/judge distinction, 5,
                                            Slote, M., xii, 2, 20, 58, 75, 94-5, 97,
       50, 192-7
                                                   165
Raz, J., 36, 40, 136
                                            Smart, J., 24, 30-2, 41, 44, 78-83,
reasons
                                                   103, 152
```



```
Smart's mixed strategy proposal on
                                                rules of thumb contrasted, 91
       rule compliance, 44-5, 82-3,
                                               see also utilitarianism
       152
                                            trust
Sobel, J., 49
                                                bases of, 27-30
social union
                                                kinds of, 28-9
   defined, 15-6
                                               see also mistrust
   good of, 15-7
software property rights, 173-6
                                            Urmson, J., 159
Stocker, M., 105
                                            utilitarian state of nature, 38, 43, 49-
                                                   50, 144, 199, 220
strategies
   collective:
                                            utilitarianism
       and individual contrasted, 32-8,
                                                conscience: defined, 92
          112-17, 210-14
                                                motive: defined, 20-1
       as public boundaries, 34-5
                                                see also consequentialism
       supervision and control, role in,
                                            utility
          33 - 4
                                                acceptance, 25, 194
       see also rules
                                               and blaming, 30-2
   individual
                                                conformity, 25, 194-5
       relative to average individual,
                                                as reducible to that of acts, 6,
           113-14
                                                   24 - 5
       relative to particular individual,
                                               see also act adequacy premise; rules,
          113
                                                   surplus causal effect
       and universality, 114-15
   see also Hare's concept of prima
                                            Victoria, Queen, 183-5
           facie principles
                                            Williams, B., 18, 24-6, 30, 41, 44, 73,
traits and motives
                                                   103, 215
   as instrumental, 92
                                            Winston, K., 34
   as right defining, 92
                                            Wong, D., 170
```