

# INTRODUCTION

I

TT is two hundred years since the birth of Sir William Jones (1746-1794), the father of Persian studies in the west; one L century and three-quarters since the publication of A Persian Song, his celebrated translation which introduced Hāfiz of Shīrāz to the literary world of London and Europe. The present is thus a peculiarly opportune time to review what his successors have done in furthering the study and interpretation of this, the greatest lyric poet of Persia; the more so since it has long been desirable to furnish students with a text-book appropriate to their needs as beginners in the appreciation of Persian lyrical poetry. The selection now presented has been made with the double object of exhibiting the various aspects of Hafiz' style and thought, and of representing how English scholars have attempted to render his poetry in their own language. Lest it should be supposed that the work of two centuries has exhausted every aspect of the study of Hafiz, and that the last word on his interpretation has been said, these introductory remarks will suggest fresh approaches to the subject, and propose a number of lines along which future research might with advantage be directed.

Hāfiz is by universal consent the supreme master of the art of the Persian ghazal—a literary form generally equated with the lyric; though perhaps the sonnet is in some respects a closer equivalent. When it is considered that literary critics of undoubted authority have estimated Persian poetry as an important contribution to the art of self-expression in metre and rhyme, and the Persian ghazal as a form unsurpassable of its kind, it may be readily conceded that Hāfiz is a poet eminently worth study; and it may without undue optimism be conjectured that as a master of a splendid art-form he can still teach useful lessons to all who are interested in the evolution of poetic expression. If it is added,



# INTRODUCTION

as a personal opinion, that Ḥāfiz' technique can by modified imitation inspire new developments in western poetry, perhaps a claim so extravagant will not be rejected so summarily as similar claims less solidly founded; for Ḥāfiz is as highly esteemed by his countrymen as Shakespeare by us, and deserves as serious consideration.

The Persians were not greatly interested in the lives of their poets, and consequently we have little reliable information on which to construct a biography of Hāfiz; though modern scholars have displayed great learning and ingenuity in attempting to recover the salient facts of his career. The student is recommended to consult the charming preface to Gertrude Bell's Poems from the Divan of Hafiz; the section on Hāfiz in E. G. Browne's Literary History of Persia; the introduction to Husain Pezhmān's edition of the Dīvān; and, above all, the voluminous and profound study of the poet by Dr Qāsim Ghanī (Baḥth dar āthār u afkār u ahvāl-i Hāfiz) which is now appearing in Teheran. Not to duplicate what is readily accessible elsewhere, we confine ourselves here to the barest outline of the poet's life.

Shams al-Din Hāfiz of Shīrāz was born at the capital of the province of Fars about the year 720/1320; some sixty years after the great catastrophe of Islamic history, Hūlāgū Khān's capture and sack of Baghdad; rather less than a century after the death of Muhyī al-Dīn Ibn 'Arabī (d. 638/1240), the greatest theosophist of the Arabs; and fifty years after the death of Jalal al-Din Rumi (d. 672/1273), Persia's most original mystical poet. He grew up in an age when the finest Arabic literature had already been written, and in the shadow of the reputation of his distinguished fellow-citizen, Shaikh Sa'dī (d. 690/1291 or 691/1292). Persian poetry had thus reached its consummation in the romantic epic (Nizāmī probably died in 599/1202), the mystical mathnavī, the rubā'ī, the gasīda (Anvarī died between 585/1189 and 587/1191), and gnomic verse; Hāfiz spent little time on the qaṣīda and rubā'ī, and none at all on the other classical forms, but elected to specialize in the ghazal, no doubt supposing—and not without



# INTRODUCTION

cause—that he had something to contribute to this most delicate of all poetic forms.

As a student, Hāfiz evidently learned the Qur'an by heart (for so his name implies), and his poetry proves that, like other Persian poets, he acquired a competence in all the Muslim sciences taught in his day; for the Persian poet must have learning as much as original genius. It seems likely that he was a man of no great substance, especially if we admit the evidence of a manuscript of the Khamsa of Amir Khusrau of Delhi (d. 725/1325) now preserved in the State Library of Tashkent which bears a colophon stating that it was written by "the humblest of God's creatures Muhammad nicknamed Shams al-Hāfiz al-Shīrāzī" and completed on 24 Şafar 756/9 February 1355 (see A. A. Semenov's note in Sukhan, vol. 11, pp. 95-6); for only a relatively poor man would seek his bread by transcribing other men's poems for pay. It remained for him therefore to develop and perfect his God-given genius for song, and by soliciting the favour of wealthy and powerful patrons to emulate in the fourteenth century those already legendary figures of the twelfth who had risen in the courts of princes to great eminence and abundant riches, and yet secured the highest prize of all, immortality in the hearts and on the lips of succeeding generations. Wealth, as it seems, was destined to elude Hafiz' grasp, for the age in which he lived was an age of insecurity and sudden catastrophe; but he achieved in full measure the ampler portion of eternal fame, even in lands whose very names were unknown in his day and among peoples speaking a language cognate with his own, yet never imagined in his mind.

Shīrāz, "a large and flourishing town with many riches and many inhabitants" (as the anonymous author of the Ḥudūd al-'ālam called it, writing towards the end of the tenth century), capital of the province of Fārs from which Persia obtained her name in the West, at the time of Ḥāfiz' birth formed part of the dominions of Sharaf al-Dīn Maḥmūdshāh of the Injū dynasty, a fief of the Mongol overlord Uljāitū and his successor Abū Sa'īd.



# INTRODUCTION

The territories about the city were infested with robber bands, to prevent whose depradations formed no small part of the cares of the ruler. The death of Abū Sa'id in 736/1335 provided the youthful Hafiz with his first personal experience of the transient nature of human glory; for his follower Arpa Khan had Mahmudshah immediately put to death. There followed a struggle for power between his four sons, Jalal al-Din Mas'üdshah, Ghiyath al-Dīn Kaikhusrau, Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad and Abū Isḥāq Jamāl al-Dīn; Kaikhusrau was the first to pay the supreme penalty of unwise ambition (739/1339), to be followed to his grave the next year by Muhammad. Meanwhile Shīrāz passed into the hands of Pir Husain, the Chupanid princeling with whom Muhammad had conspired and who requited his confidence by slaying him; but the intruder had little joy of his filched possession; the infuriated populace drove him out, and when he would have returned the following year he fell out with a confederate and met his end. Mas'ūdshāh, the eldest of Mahmūdshāh's sons, fell victim to an imprudent intrigue in 743/1343; and after a further bout of violence the youngest of the brothers, Abū Ishāq, at last succeeded in establishing his authority throughout Fars. We have a fragment of Hafiz (Brockhaus' edition of the Dīvān, no. 579), written many years after these events, in which the poet recalls the reign of "Shāh Shaikh Abū Ishāq when five wonderful persons inhabited the kingdom of Fars"—the Shah himself, the chief judge of Shīrāz Majd al-Dīn Ismā'īl b. Muhammad b. Khudadad (for whom see no. 50 of this selection), a certain Shaikh Amīn al-Dīn, the eminent theologian and philosopher 'Adud al-Din 'Abd al-Rahman b. Ahmad al-Iji (d. 756/1355), and Hājjī Qiwām al-Dīn Hasan, a favourite of the Shāh, whose death in 754/1353 Hāfiz celebrated with a necrology (Brockhaus no. 610).,

Abū Ishāq was an ambitious man; having secured the mastery of Shīrāz and Fārs he sought to extend his dominion to embrace Yazd and Kirmān, and so brought himself into conflict with the neighbouring dynasty of the Muzaffarids. This house, founded by



# INTRODUCTION

Sharaf al-Dīn Muẓaffar (d. 713/1314) the fief successively of the Mongol Ilkhāns Arghūn, Ghāzān, and Uljāitū, had its capital at Maibudh near Yazd. Muẓaffar was succeeded by his son Mubāriz al-Dīn Muḥammad, at that time a lad of thirteen; he grew into a resolute and ruthless ruler, taking Yazd in 718/1318 or 719/1319 and holding his petty empire in the face of bloody rebellion; profiting by the chaos that resulted from the death of Abū Sa'īd, in 740/1340 he annexed Kirmān. Twice Abū Ishāq essayed to wrest Kirmān from the grasp of its new master, and twice he failed; in 751/1350-1 he tried his hand against Yazd, but was speedily repulsed; a third attempt at Kirmān ended in a signal defeat (753/1352). Mubāriz al-Dīn, encouraged by this final verdict, now took the offensive into the enemy's camp, and in 754/1353 he captured Shīrāz; he pursued his triumph, took Iṣfahān, and put his stubborn foe to death in 757/1356 or 758/1357.

It appears that Shīrāz did not greatly enjoy its change of rulers, for Mubāriz al-Dīn was a Sunnī zealot; the story of the closing of the wine-taverns, and Hāfiz' supposed reference to the event, may be read in Browne (*Literary History of Persia*, vol. 111, pp. 277–5). However, the conqueror did not long prevail in his new empire; for in 759/1358, while on a military expedition that had won for him the temporary possession of Tabrīz, he was made prisoner by his own son Shāh Shujā' and, after the barbarous fashion of those days, blinded; he died in 765/1364. Hāfiz does not appear to have esteemed it profitable to solicit the favour of the austere Mubāriz al-Dīn, though he has two poems in praise of his chief minister Burhān al-Dīn Fath Allāh (Brockhaus, nos. 400, 571).

Shāh Shujā' enjoyed a relatively long reign, though he saw his share of fraternal envy and neighbourly rivalries. His brother Shāh Maḥmūd, who ruled over Abarqūh and Iṣfahān, in 764/1363 seized Yazd; to be in turn besieged in Iṣfahān until the two princes came to an understanding. The reconciliation was short-lived; the following year Maḥmūd allied himself to Uwais, the Jalā'irid ruler of Baghdād since 756/1355, and after laying siege to Shīrāz for eleven months captured the city, only to lose it again in



#### INTRODUCTION

767/1366. Shāh Maḥmūd died in 776/1375, and thereupon Shāh Shuja' possessed himself of Isfahan. Uwais succumbed suddenly in the same year; and the lord of Shiraz thought the moment opportune to enlarge himself towards Adharbaijan at the expense of Husain, the new sovereign of Baghdad. However, what success Shāh Shujā' achieved was soon undone when he found his nephew Shah Yahva conspiring against him; he renounced his spoils, made peace with Husain, and married his son Zain al-'Abidin to the Baghdadi's sister. This was far from the end of trouble between the two neighbours; and when Husain was murdered by his brother Ahmad in 783/1381 the latter, confronted by the inevitable succession of hopeful pretenders, was glad to solicit the friendly support of Shah Shuja', and to repudiate it as soon as his throne seemed secure. But meanwhile a cloud was gathering on the horizon that would presently grow into a storm sweeping all these petty conspiracies into ruin and oblivion. Tīmūr Lang, born at Kash in Transoxiana in 736/1336, had won his way through blood to the throne as "rightful heir" to Chaghatāi and true descendant of Chingiz; after ten years' wars of consolidation, he invaded Khurāsān in 782/1380-1, and within two years mastered Gurgan, Mazandaran and Seistan. Shah Shuja', recognizing the portents, bought the favour of the mighty conqueror with rich gifts and a daughter; death spared him further anxieties in 786/1384.

The reign of Shāh Shujā' saw the full blossoming of the flower of Ḥāfiz' genius. Being a man of more liberal views than his predecessor, he created the conditions indispensable to the free display of poetic talent; and though it is said that relations between the poet and his royal patron were at times lacking in cordiality (see Browne, op. cit. vol. III, pp. 280-2), Ḥāfiz immortalized him by name in four poems (cf. no. 28 of this collection and Brockhaus, nos. 327, 344, 346) and wrote a noble necrology for his epitaph (Brockhaus, no. 601); it is as certain as such conjectures can be that very many other poems in the Dīvān, though not naming Shāh Shujā' directly, were composed for him.



## INTRODUCTION

Future researchers may recover much from the obscure hints scattered up and down the poet's verses to shed new light on the dark history of these years in the chequered fortunes of Shīrāz.

Shāh Shujā' shortly before dying nominated his son Zain al-'Ābidīn 'Alī to rule over Shīrāz, and his brother 'Imād al-Dīn Aḥmad to govern Kirmān. 'Alī was immediately opposed by his cousin Shāh Yahyā b. Sharaf al-Dīn Muzaffar (Hāfiz courted him by name in five poems) who although subsequently reconciled lost his command of Isfahan and fled to Yazd. In 789/1387 'Ali, learning that his nominee at Isfahan, Muzaffar-i Kāshī, had yielded before the approach of Timur, abandoned Shiraz for Baghdad and left it to Shah Yahya to make what terms he could with the formidable invader. The people of Isfahan were so imprudent as to kill Timur's envoys, and expiated their rashness in a fearful massacre. Timur declared Sultan Ahmad the governor of Fars, as well as Kirman; then followed a bewildering series of events, characteristic of the kaleidoscopic nature of the destinies of those times. Zain al-'Ābidīn 'Alī on quitting Shīrāz had secured the friendship of his cousin Shah Mansur b. Sharaf al-Din Muzaffar at Shushtar, but was almost immediately attacked and imprisoned by him. Shah Mansur (whom Hafiz complimented in a number of poems, including, according to some manuscripts, no. 37 of this selection) now walked into undefended Shīrāz; and when 'Alī, released by his jailers, made common cause with Shāh Yahyā and Sultān Ahmad against him, Manşūr defeated the coalition and occupied all 'Iraq. 'Alī fled, but was captured by the governor of Raiy and handed over to Shah Mansur, who ordered him to be blinded. Flushed with these successes, Mansur thought to match his fortunes against the dread Timur's. It was an unlucky speculation. The mighty conqueror marched to the gates of Shīrāz, and there, after a desperate resistance, Mansūr fell. The rest of the Muzaffarids immediately declared their submission to Tīmūr; but their tardy realism secured them only a week's further lease of life, and in Rajab 795/March 1393 they were all executed.



## INTRODUCTION

Hāfiz had not lived to see the final ruin of the house that had patronized his genius and been immortalized in his songs. In the year 791/1389 (or, according to some authorities, 792/1390) he passed to the mercy of God, and discovered at last the solution to the baffling riddle of human life. His death took place in the beloved city that had given him birth; he lies buried in the rosebower of Muşallā, on the banks of the Ruknābād, so often celebrated in his poems; his grave is marked by a tablet inscribed with two of his songs.

Such, in brief outline, were the main events of fourteenth-century Fārs, so far as they affected Ḥāfiz' life. The legends of his relations with distant rulers, of his intended journey to India, of his debate with Tīmūr Lang, may be read in Gertrude Bell and the other biographers, for what they are worth; it is sufficient to say that we have no contemporary evidence for them, and that they rest in all likelihood upon no securer basis than the intelligent speculation of his readers in after times; modern criticism is perhaps entitled to make its own guesses with equal measure of certainty and uncertainty. What is indisputable is that these were the times in which the poet lived, and these the verses (or as much of them as are genuine, of which more hereafter) in which he expressed his reactions to the world about him. Being a near and interested witness of many transactions of great violence, and the incalculable destinies of kings and princes, he might well sing:

"Again the times are out of joint; and again
For wine and the loved one's languid glance I am fain.
The wheel of fortune's sphere is a marvellous thing:
What next proud head to the lowly dust will it bring?
Or if my Magian elder kindle the light,
Whose lantern, pray, will blaze aflame and be bright?
'Tis a famous tale, the deceitfulness of earth;
The night is pregnant: what will dawn bring to birth?
Tumult and bloody battle rage in the plain:
Bring blood-red wine, and fill the goblet again!"



#### INTRODUCTION

2

It is said that in the year 770/1368-9 Hāfiz prepared a definitive edition of his poems. What truth there is in this tradition it is impossible now to decide; in any case we possess no manuscripts based upon this archetype; for all our transcriptions—they must surely run into many thousands scattered all over the worldprobably go back ultimately to the edition put out after the poet's death by his friend Muhammad Gulandam with a florid but singularly uninformative preface. Unless therefore the unexpected should happen, and beyond all reasonable hopes a manuscript or manuscripts turn up representing a tradition anterior to Gulandām's edition, we cannot get any nearer to the poems as Hāfiz himself wrote them than the text authorized after his death by a friend whose piety is unquestionable, but concerning whose scholarship and accuracy we are not in a position to form any judgement. The only other slight chance of escaping from this impasse, a slender one indeed, is to examine all the commentaries on the Dīvān (four in Persian and three in Turkish are known), every takhmis or tasdis (poems incorporating an ode of Hāfiz) composed by later poets, and every jung (commonplace book) and tadhkira (biographies) in which Hafiz is quoted, as well as every poem written since his time in which his verses are introduced by the figure known as tadmin; and it might well be found, at the end of all these labours, that we had still not progressed far bevond Gulandām.

Certainly well over a hundred printed or lithographed texts of Hāfiz have appeared, since the *editio princeps* issued by Upjohn's Calcutta press in 1791. Of these all but a very few represent a completely uncritical approach to the task of editorship. The best

I A takhmīs by Jamāl-i Lubnānī, a contemporary of Ḥāfiz, containing Brockhaus no. 59, was published by M. Minovi in Rūzgār-i Nau, vol. 111, pt. i, pp. 43-4, using a British Museum manuscript dated 813-4/1410-1; the text there given has some remarkable variants not found in any copy of the Dīvān.



## INTRODUCTION

European edition is no doubt that of H. Brockhaus (Leipzig. 1854-63) which is based on the recension of the Turkish commentator Sūdī (d. 1006/1598) and includes a considerable part of his commentary. Several critical texts have been prepared in recent years by Persian scholars; of these the most reliable is that published at Teheran in 1320/1941 under the editorship of Mīrzā Muhammad Qazvini, E. G. Browne's friend and the doven of modern Persian studies, and Dr Qasim Ghani, whose valuable and comprehensive monograph on the life and times of Hafiz has already been mentioned. The most serious drawback to this otherwise admirable and beautiful text-it is a reproduction of an excellent original written in calligraphic nasta'līq—is its deficient critical apparatus. As this text—referred to hereafter as MQ—is based on a comparison of no fewer than seventeen manuscripts, several of them exceedingly old, and has been made by two of the most eminent Persian scholars now living, I have not hesitated to use it in editing these selections. At the same time I have mentioned in the notes such textual variants as are to be found in the editions of Brockhaus (B), V. R. von Rosenzweig-Schwannau (3 vols., Vienna, 1858-64), called hereafter RS, Husain Pezhmān (=P, Teheran, 1318/1939), and (for a few poems, all so far published by this editor), Mas'ūd Farzād (=F).

The first and most fundamental problem attending the task of editing Ḥāfiz is to decide which of the poems attributed to him in the various manuscripts are genuine products of his pen. An indication of the complexity of this problem is provided by the following figures. The Calcutta 1791 edition contains 725 poems; Brockhaus printed 692; Pezhmān has 994 items, many of them marked as doubtful or definitely spurious. The editors of MQ have admitted 495 ghazals as unquestionably genuine, beside 3 qaṣīdas, 2 mathnavīs, 34 occasional pieces (muqaṭṭaʿāt) and 42 rubāʿīs—a total of 573 poems. Their austere editorship causes a number of popular favourites (popular rather in India and Europe than in Persia) to disappear, perhaps the best known of them being the jingle tāza ba-tāza nau ba-nau which