

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page xi</i>
I INTRODUCTION: THE LINGUISTIC FRAMEWORK	I
1.1 General conditions on a semantic theory	I
1.2 The relation between syntax and semantics	3
1.3 On the nature of semantic features and the semantic component	7
1.3.1 On the form of semantic features	7
1.3.2 On the nature of the projection rules	10
1.3.3 Negation	11
1.3.4 The scope of negation	16
1.3.5 Rules of semantic interpretation operating on surface structures	17
1.4 Summary	28
2 THE BASIS OF SEMANTICS: A DEFINITION OF MEANING	30
2.1 On Katz' and Bierwisch's definition of semantic marker	30
2.2 Meaning and reference	31
2.3 Sentence meaning and truth	32
2.3.1 Component-based semantics and model-theoretic semantics: a linguist's defence	35
2.3.2 Reference and lexical entries	36
2.4 The limitation of a truth-based theory of semantics: a criticism and a defence	37
2.4.1 The relation between <i>sentence</i> and <i>statement</i>	37
2.4.2 Performative verbs, imperatives, and questions – a suggested solution	38

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-09938-7 - Presupposition and the Delimitation of Semantics

Ruth M. Kempson

Table of Contents

[More information](#)**viii Contents**

3	PRESUPPOSITION: TWO DEFINITIONS	47
3.1	Entailment <i>v.</i> presupposition	48
3.2	Speaker-presuppositions: presupposition <i>v.</i> assertion	49
3.3	Presuppositions of statements and sentences	51
3.4	Summary	53
4	PRESUPPOSITION: ITS USE BY LINGUISTS	54
4.1	A pragmatic concept of presupposition: the Lakoffs	55
4.2	Lexical presuppositions: Fillmore	62
4.3	Factive verbs: Kiparsky and Kiparsky, and Karttunen	66
4.3.1	The problem of pretence	71
4.3.2	Filtering: the problem of the connectives	72
4.4	Some remaining examples of logically defined presupposition: Keenan	76
4.5	Summary	79
5	THE PROBLEM OF REFERENCE AND THE SEMANTIC INTERPRETATION OF NOUN PHRASES	85
5.1	The referring properties of definite noun phrases: entailment or presupposition?	85
5.2	The problem of ambiguity	95
5.3	Assertion: Strawson <i>v.</i> Russell	100
5.4	Anaphora: the problem of coreference	103
5.5	Definite noun phrases and the implication of uniqueness	109
5.6	Summary	112
6	THE FORMALISATION OF THE SOLUTION	113
6.1	The syntactic relation between definite and indefinite noun phrases	113
6.2	Definite and indefinite noun phrases: a preliminary formulation of their syntactic and semantic properties	118
6.3	Inter-sentence relations – which solution?	122
6.4	The formulation of factive complements	125
6.5	Summary	135

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-09938-7 - Presupposition and the Delimitation of Semantics

Ruth M. Kempson

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

	<i>Contents</i>	ix
7 TOWARDS A PRAGMATIC THEORY		137
7.1 Grice's theory of meaning		138
7.1.1 A definition of speaker's meaning: 'meaning _{nn} '		138
7.1.2 The Co-operative Principle		141
7.2 On criticisms of Grice		146
7.2.1 Speaker's meaning and non-indicative utterances		147
7.2.2 The infinite regress problem		150
7.2.3 The vacuity of the maxims		152
8 THE APPLICATION OF GRICE'S THEORY		157
8.1 The concept of relevance		158
8.2 The maxim of quantity: some preliminaries		161
8.3 The maxim of quantity and the Pragmatic Universe of Discourse		166
8.3.1 The Pragmatic Universe of Discourse		167
8.3.2 The maxim of quantity II		169
8.3.3 Exclamations and the requirement of informativeness		171
8.4 The pragmatic interpretation of definite noun phrases		173
8.5 The pragmatic interpretation of factive complements		185
8.6 The assertion-presupposition contrast		188
8.6.1 Speaker-presupposition, stress assignment and the maxim of relation		191
8.7 <i>And</i> and the maxim of manner		198
8.8 <i>Even</i> remains		200
8.9 Illocutionary force: its status within pragmatics		202
8.10 Summary		205
9 PRAGMATICS AND THE COMPETENCE-PERFORMANCE DISTINCTION		206
<i>Bibliography</i>		222
<i>Index</i>		231