

Revolutionary Syndicalism in France
the direct action of its time



To P.F.C.R.



Revolutionary Syndicalism in France the direct action of its time

F. F. RIDLEY

Professor of Political Theory and Institutions University of Liverpool



CAMBRIDGE
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1970



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521079075

© Cambridge University Press 1970

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1970 This digitally printed version 2008

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 73–123663

ISBN 978-0-521-07907-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-08906-7 paperback



Contents

Preface	<i>page</i> vii
Introduction	I
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	
National character and revolutionary tradition	11
From craft to industry	15
The repressive state	20
Proudhon and mutual aid	25
Blanqui and the barricades	33
Bakunin and anarchism	38
The socialist tower of babel	45
The betrayal of the politicians	53
The growth of an organised movement	63
Strength and organisation of the C.G.T.	72
PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE OF THE C.G.T.	
Reform and revolution: the twofold purpose	83
Political neutrality and the politics of syndicalism	88
The autonomy of labour and direct action	95
The theory of the strike	99
The strike in practice	107
The tactics of the strike	112
Subsidiary forms of direct action	120
Labour as a political pressure group	128
Antimilitarism and antipatriotism	135
Genealogy of the general strike	140
The changing picture of the general strike	147
The general strike as myth	156
Organised labour and the syndicalist utopia	165
A theory of syndicalism	170
Conflicting voices in the $C.G.T$.	176
Leaders and followers, theory and practice	182



Contents

IDEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

The revolt against reason	<i>page</i> 191
Nietzsche and the transvaluation of all values	197
Bergson and creative evolution	200
James and the pragmatic approach	204
The revolt against democracy	208
The discredit of French democracy	213
Nationalism, monarchism and the right	220
Fascism: the alternative path	230
Sorel: a moralist in search of action	239
The philosopher and the labour movement	249
The militants and the activist temper	256
Syndicalism as a philosophy of action	262
Conclusion	269
Bibliography	271
Index	277



Preface

France, May 1968. Students at the barricades, workers on general strike. Not quite a revolution, but something that might well have been a revolution had it occurred on the same scale sixty years earlier. Direct action was the slogan and direct action was what happened. More recently, a paperback by British students promising a renewal of revolutionary politics. Their struggle is against the social system as a whole; they refuse, therefore, to act according to its rules. Their revolutionary politics means the rejection of the politics of democracy as currently defined. They refuse to pin their hopes on the political parties, much less on parliament. They scorn the bourgeois passivity of a bourgeois system. Their aim is to conquer power from outside, by the extra-parliamentary opposition, a phrase already made popular by the students of Germany. The goal: power over their everyday lives exercised by the people themselves in all the particular institutions which comprise society.

Some sixty years earlier almost identical ideas had captured the French working class. Revolutionary syndicalism was the name of that movement. The syndicalists, too, rejected bourgeois parliamentary democracy and the capitalist system. Capitalism, however reformed, still meant exploitation and unfreedom. Democracy was a dead end—it could never lead to the emancipation of the proletariat. The system could not be destroyed from within, according to its own rules. They rejected political parties, election campaigns, reformist legislation, even the conquest of state power. They preached extra-parliamentary opposition, direct action and the general strike. They sought to replace the democratic state by a social order in which the workers would control their own lives through their own institutions.

Nothing is ever entirely new. Militant workers at the beginning of the century, militant students in the late sixties. There are links between what happened then and what has happened more recently, between ideas expressed then and ideas expressed more recently. They were in revolt against similar things; they advocated similar means to achieve similar ends. The syndicalist critique is echoed in later critiques, the syndicalist strategy in later strategies, the syndicalist goal in later goals. The parallels will strike the reader. It is not necessary to force them on his attention.

F.F.R.