

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ENGLISH LEGAL HISTORY

Edited by

D. E. C. YALE

Fellow of Christ's College

and Reader in English Legal History at the University of Cambridge



THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL 1833-1876

ITS ORIGINS, STRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT

P. A. HOWELL

Reader in History in the Flinders University of South Australia



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge
London · New York · Melbourne



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521221467

© Cambridge University Press 1979

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1979
This digitally printed version (with corrections) 2009

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Howell, Peter Anthony, 1938—
The Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, 1833–1876.

(Cambridge studies in English legal history)
Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Great Britain. Privy Council. Judicial Committee.

1. Title. II. Series.

KD7134.H6 347'.42'01 78-54326

ISBN 978-0-521-22146-7 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-08559-5 paperback



CONTENTS

List of plates		page vi	
P_i	reface	ix	
A	bbreviations and usages	xiii	
I	Introduction	I	
	The growth of Conciliar appellate jurisdiction The degeneration of the old Appeals Committee	,	
	1763-1828	8	
	Brougham's campaign for reform	14	
2	The creation of the Judicial Committee and the early	7	
	development of its constitution	23	
	The Judicial Committee Act, 1833	23	
	Supplementary legislation 1834–1876	48	
3	The regulation of overseas appeals, 1833-1876	72	
	The regulation of appeals by right of grant	73	
	Appeals by special leave	94	
4	The Judicial Committee in operation	109	
	The provenance of the Judicial Committee's business	109	
	The personnel of the Committee	120	
	The locale of the Committee	168	
	Procedure in the Council Office	180	
5	Appraisals and conclusions	218	
	Appendix: A list of the members of the Judicial Committee who attended its sittings, 1833–1876, showing their		
	qualifications, periods of membership and attendances	234	
$S\epsilon$	elect bibliography	238	
Table of imperial statutes		248	
Index of cases		250	
General index		256	



PLATES

		page
I	The Council Chamber, Downing Street, as it ap-	
	peared 1827-45, looking east. Reproduced from one	
	of Soane's 'lecture drawings', by courtesy of the	
	Trustees of Sir John Soane's Museum, London	170
Η	The Council Chamber, as it appeared after altera-	
	tion by Sir Charles Barry; looking south-west, and	
	showing the appellant in Wilson v. Fendall arguing	
	his case in person, 19 June 1863. Reproduced, by	
	courtesy of the Registrar, from a print discovered	
	in the Privy Council Office's Judicial Department	178
	in the I my Council Office's Judicial Department	1/0



TO MY FATHER Alan Thomas Howell AND IN MEMORY OF MY MOTHER Mary Alice Howell



PREFACE

The idea of writing this book was a by-product of research I undertook in the early 1960s, when preparing some entries for the Australian Dictionary of Biography. The importance of the work of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in hearing appeals from Britain's overseas empire and from English ecclesiastical courts has long been recognized by historians and legal writers, and many of the Committee's reported decisions have been subjected to critical analysis. However, it appears that no scholarly examination of the founding of the Judicial Committee, the development of its constitution and jurisdiction, the provenance of its business. or the early changes in its personnel, accommodation and procedure, has previously been published. The present work attempts to fill these gaps. The year 1876 has been chosen as the terminal date for the study because all who shaped the early development of the Committee had retired, died or completed their main tasks by then, leaving the essentials of its practice settled on lines which are followed today. Even within the limits of the period 1833-76, the volume and range of the Committee's work has made drastic selection necessary. In discussing the various aspects of its operation. particular attention has been paid to the handling of colonial and Indian appeals, for these constituted the largest categories of its business.

It is hoped that this book will be of service to legal practitioners as well as to historians. In the course of my research in Downing Street, I have had the good fortune to meet present-day members of the Judicial Committee and a great many solicitors and counsel. Their questions about the background to current Privy Council practice are, I trust, fully answered below. In addition, I have set forth and



x PREFACE

corrected those errors about the Judicial Committee which have appeared in textbooks and articles widely used in law and history schools.

A previous draft of the book was accepted by the University of Cambridge in 1972 for the award of a doctorate in history. I am indebted to the University of Tasmania and the Flinders University of South Australia for the grants of financial assistance and leave from my teaching duties which enabled me to undertake and, by fits and starts, complete fulfilment of the minimum residence requirements for a Cambridge degree. I must thank the Cambridge University Board of Graduate Studies for matching the grants generously made by my college, St Edmund's House, towards the cost of commuting to the Privy Council Office and other London repositories while keeping terms in Cambridge. I am grateful to my supervisor, Dr Derek Beales, and his family, who did so much to make me feel at home in a country my ancestors quit over a century ago.

My greatest debt is acknowledged in the dedication. I am also grateful to Mr E. R. Mills, the Registrar of the Privy Council, for permitting me to use and quote the materials in the Judicial Committee's archives. He and the Chief Clerk of the Judicial Department, Mr J. K. Dixon, have read and made valuable comments on most of the following chapters; and together with other members of the Privy Council Office staff, notably Messrs L. S. Shaw and G. Glenwright and Mrs V. Dunton, they did much to assist my examination of original sources. The staff of the Public Record Office, the British Library, the Mitchell Library, the Greater London Council, Sir John Soane's Museum, and the libraries of the House of Lords, Lincoln's Inn, University College, London, and the Flinders University of South Australia were also most helpful. Many others have generously supplied advice and encouragement. I am especially indebted to Lord Wilberforce, Tun Mohamed Suffian, Ralph Hague, Roger Jennings, Ronald Wilson, Robert Heuston, Oliver MacDonagh, Daniel O'Connell, Duncan Derrett, Geoffrey Sawer, David Yale, John Bennett, W. A. F. P. Steiner and the late Sir Owen Dixon. I would also like to thank Jean Lange, Joan



PREFACE

хi

Stephenson and Dorothy Tynski, who shared the typing of the manuscript, and the staff of Cambridge University Press. Any errors of fact or interpretation are my own responsibility.

Since my manuscript was accepted for publication, some aspects of Brougham's reforms of Conciliar appellate jurisdiction and the implementation of section 4 of the Judicial Committee Act, 1833 have been canvassed by Dr D. B. Swinfen of Dundee, in articles published in the Law Quarterly Review and the Juridical Review. I regret that these articles did not come to my notice until the proofs of my book had been corrected. Dr Swinfen's work refers to my doctoral thesis, but in those areas where he relies on his own research there are passages, particularly those based on Lord Campbell's memoirs, which seem irreconcilable with the public records, and there is nothing in Dr Swinfen's articles which I consider requires revision of the findings presented in my book.

P. A. HOWELL



ABBREVIATIONS AND USAGES

A.D.B.	Australian Dictionary of Biography, ed. D. H. Pike,
4.0.0	6 vols. in progress, Melbourne, 1966-
A.P.C.C.	Acts of the Privy Council, Colonial Series, 6 vols., ed.
4 D D D O	W. L. Grant and J. Munro, London, 1908–12.
A.P.R.R.O.	Appeals, Petitions, References, Reports and Orders,
D 1:14	15 vols. (Judicial Committee's Archives).
Brodrick &	A collection of the judgments of the Judicial Committee
Fremantle	of the Privy Council in ecclesiastical cases relating
	to doctrine and discipline, ed. G. C. Brodrick and
C1 1	W. H. Fremantle, London, 1865.
Clark	C. Clark, A summary of colonial law, London, 1834.
C.O.	Colonial Office Records (P.R.O.).
Del.	Records of the High Court of Delegates (P.R.O.).
D.N.B.	Dictionary of National Biography, ed. L. Stephen,
~	S. Lee et al., in progress, London, 1885-
Greville	The Greville memoirs, ed. L. Strachey and R.
	Fulford, 8 vols., London, 1938.
Hansard 2	Hansard's Parliamentary debates, 2nd series,
	1820-30.
Hansard 3	Hansard's Parliamentary debates, 3rd series,
Hansard 5	1830-91. The Parliamentary debates (Hansard), 5th series,
Transaru 5	1909— . House of Lords.
H.E.L.	W. S. Holdsworth, A History of English law, 16 vols.,
	London, 1903–66.
H.R.A.	Historical Records of Australia, ed. J. F. Watson, 33
	vols., Sydney, 1914–25.
J.C.	Judicial Committee.
$\mathcal{J}.H.C.$	Journals of the House of Commons.
J.H.L.	Journals of the House of Lords.
Labaree	Royal instructions to British colonial governors,
	1670-1776, ed. L. W. Labaree, 2 vols., New York,
	1935.
L.P.	House of Lords Papers.
Macpherson	W. Macpherson, The practice of the Judicial Com-
•	mittee of Her Majesty's Most Honourable Privy
	Council, London, 1860.
MacQueen	J. F. MacQueen, A practical treatise on the appellate
-	



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-08559-5 - The Judicial Committee of the Privy Council 1833-1876: Its Origins, Structure and Development

P. A. Howell Frontmatter More information

xiv

ABBREVIATIONS AND USAGES

jurisdiction of the House of Lords and Privy Council,

London, 1842.

London, 1852.

The Judicial Committee's Minutes, vols. 1-8. Minutes E. F. Moore, The case of the Rev. G. C. Gorham, Moore, Special against the Bishop of Exeter, as heard and determined report

by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council,

Records of the Privy Council Office (P.R.O.). P.C.

Records of the Judicial Committee of the Privy P.C. Appeals Council in appeals from the Ecclesiastical and

Admiralty Courts (P.R.O.).

Printed Papers in Ecclesiastical and Admiralty Ap-P.E.A.A.

peals, 36 vols. (Judicial Committee's Archives). Printed Papers in Indian and Colonial Appeals,

P.I.C.A. folio series, vols. 19-147 (Judicial Committee's Archives).

House of Commons and Command Papers. P.P.

Public Record Office. P.R.O.

Memoirs of Henry Reeve, ed. J. K. Laughton, 2 vols., Reeve

London, 1898.

F. Safford and G. Wheeler, The practice of the Privy Safford & Wheeler Council in judicial matters, London, 1901.

J. H. Smith, Appeals to the Privy Council from the Smith, Appeals

American Plantations, New York, 1950.

The Statutory Rules and Orders Revised, ed. A. S.R. & O.R. Pulling, 2nd edn, London, 1904. As each section of this collection has its own pagination, the location of

any Order is identified by the volume, the section

heading and the page(s).

English and colonial law reports are cited by the abbreviations given in Sweet & Maxwell Ltd, Guide to Law Reports and Statutes, 4th edn, London, 1962.

Page references in citations to House of Commons and Command Papers relate to the official MS. pagination of the relevant volumes. In the case of House of Lords Papers, page references relate to the printed page numbers of the papers cited, because most collections of these documents lack the official MS. pagination.

Dates from 1 January to 24 March until 1752 are given in the form '20 February 1627/8' at the first mention and '20 February 1627' thereafter.

The spelling, capitalization, punctuation etc. employed in the sources are reproduced in all quotations. The names of the parties to appeals are given as in their printed cases.

The style 'Privy Counsellors' has recently become fashionable in Whitehall. I hope my friends there will pardon my adherence to the form 'Privy Councillors', which was standard in the nineteenth century, and which has been defended in the present one by H. W. Fowler and other distinguished lexicographers.