

INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DATA MODELING

Conceptual and Philosophical Foundations



INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DATA MODELING

Conceptual and Philosophical Foundations

Rudy Hirschheim University of Houston

Heinz K. Klein
State University of New York, Binghamton

Kalle Lyytinen University of Jyväskylä





Published by the Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

©Cambridge University Press 1995

First published 1995

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data available British Library cataloguing in publication data available

ISBN 0 521 37369 7 hardback

Transferred to digital printing 2003



Table of Contents

	Preface				
	Acl	knowled	gements	xiii	
1	Int	Introduction			
	1.1	Backgro	und	1	
	1.2	Purpose	of the Book	3	
	1.3	Goals ar	nd Organization of the Book	5	
2			and Evolution of Information Systems Develop- nodologies and Data Modeling	10	
		Introduc		10	
	2.2	Basic Te	erminology	12	
		2.2.1	Introductory Concepts and Terms	12	
		2.2.1.1	Codes and Data	12	
		2.2.1.2	Meaning vs. Invariances	13	
		2.2.1.3	Data vs. Information and Knowledge	14	
		2.2.1.4	Knowledge vs. Wisdom	15	
		2.2.2	Basic Terminology for IS	15	
		2.2.2.1	Definition of Information Systems Development as Object System Change	15	
		2.2.2.2	Definition of Information Systems Development Methodology	21	
		2.2.3	Definition of Data Modeling	26	
	2.3	Seven G	enerations of ISD Methodologies	28	
		2.3.1	Background	28	
		2.3.2	Pre-Methodology Era	29	
		2.3.3	First Generation: The Emergence of Formal Life-cycle Approaches	30	
		2.3.4	Second Generation: The Emergence of the Structured Approaches	33	
		2.3.5	Third Generation: The Emergence of Prototyping and Evolutionary Approaches	34	



vi			Table of Cont	ents
		2.3.6	Fourth Generation: The Emergence of Socio-technical, Participative Approaches	36
		2.3.7	Fifth Generation: The Emergence of Sense-making and Problem Formulation Approaches	37
		2.3.8	Sixth Generation: The Emergence of the Trade-union led Approaches	38
		2.3.9	Seventh Generation: The Emergence of Emancipatory Approaches	39
	2.4	Evolutio	n of Data Modeling	40
		2.4.1	Pre-database Architecture: From the Phoenicians to File Organization	40
		2.4.2	The Emergence and Evolution of Advanced Database Architectures	42
		2.4.2.1	Two Level Database Architectures	42
		2.4.2.2	The ANSI/X3/SPARC Three Level Architecture	42
		2.4.3	Proliferation of High Level Data Models	44
	2.5	Summar	y and Conclusions	45
3	Philosophical Foundations			46
	3.1	Introduc	tion	46
	3.2	Definitio	n of Paradigms	46
		3.2.1	Epistemological and Ontological Assumptions of Paradigms	47
		3.2.2	Classification of Four Paradigms	48
	3.3	Paradigms in ISD		49
		3.3.1	Differences Relating to Human Interests	51
		3.3.2	Differences in Ontology	54
		3.3.3	Differences Related to User Control and the Kind of System Produced	54
	3.4	Data Mo	odeling Paradigms	57
		3.4.1	Ontology and Epistemology of Data Modeling	58
		3.4.2	Philosophical Origins of Data Modeling Paradigms	60
		3.4.3	Objectivism-Subjectivism in Data Modeling	61
	3.5	Paradign	natic Implications for Object Systems Definition	63
		3.5.1	Object Systems in Functionalism	63
		3.5.2	Object Systems in Radical Structuralism	65
		3.5.3	Object Systems in Social Relativism	66
		3.5.4	Object Systems in Neohumanism	66



More information

Table of Contents					
	3.6 Summary and Conclusions				
4	Co	nceptual	l and Paradigmatic Foundations of ISD	68	
	4.1	Introduc	tion	68	
	4.2	Paradigms of Information Systems Development			
		4.2.1	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Functionalist ISD	69	
		4.2.1.1	General Description	69	
		4.2.1.2	Interpretation	70	
		4.2.1.3	Analysis and Discussion	71	
		4.2.2	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Social Relativist ISD	73	
		4.2.2.1	General Description	73	
		4.2.2.2	Interpretation	74	
		4.2.2.3	Analysis and Discussion	75	
		4.2.3	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Radical Structuralist ISD	76	
		4.2.3.1	General Description	76	
		4.2.3.2	Interpretation	78	
		4.2.3.3	Analysis and Discussion	79	
		4.2.4	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Neohumanist ISD	80	
		4.2.4.1	General Description	80	
		4.2.4.2	Interpretation	88	
		4.2.4.3	Analysis and Discussion	89	
	4.3	Paradigms and the Evolution of Methodologies		92	
		4.3.1	An Approach to Paradigmatic Placement of Methodologies	92	
		4.3.2	The Relationship between Paradigms and Generations of ISD Methodologies	95	
	4.4	Summar	y and Conclusions	98	
5	Par	Paradigmatic Analysis of ISD Methodologies			
	5.1	i.1 Introduction			
	5.2	Selection	n of Approaches and Plan of Analysis	99	
	5.3	5.3 Information Systems Planning and Structured Approaches			
		5.3.1	Problem Focus and Overview	103	
		5.3.2	Paradigmatic Analysis of Strengths	107	
		5.3.3	Paradigmatic Analysis of Weaknesses	107	
		5.3.4	Suggested Directions for Future Improvements	110	



Frontmatter More information

vi	ii		Table of Cor	ntents
	5.4	Prototy	ping and Evolutionary Systems Development	115
		5.4.1	Problem Focus and Overview	115
		5.4.2	Paradigmatic Analysis of Strengths	119
		5.4.3	Paradigmatic Analysis of Weaknesses	122
		5.4.4	Suggested Directions for Future Improvements	123
	5.5	Soft Sys	stems Methodology	124
		5.5.1	Problem Focus and Overview	124
		5.5.2	Paradigmatic Analysis of Strengths	125
		5.5.3	Paradigmatic Analysis of Weaknesses	126
		5.5.4	Suggested Directions for Future Improvements	127
	5.6	Professi	onal Work Practices Approach	128
		5.6.1	Problem Focus and Overview	129
		5.6.2	Paradigmatic Analysis of Strengths	132
		5.6.3	Paradigmatic Analysis of Weaknesses	134
		5.6.4	Suggestions Directions for Future Improvements	137
	5.7	Summa	ry of Methodology Analysis	138
		5.7.1	Methodology Summary	138
		5.7.2	Comparative Methodology Review	139
6			d and Paradigmatic Foundations of Data	
		deling		144
	6.1	Introdu	ction	144
	6.2	Philosop	phical Background	145
		6.2.1	Positivism and Analytical Philosophy	145
		6.2.2	The Later Wittgenstein and the Concept of the Language Game	147
		6.2.3	Philosophical Hermeneutics	150
	6.3	Paradig	ms of Data Modeling	154
		6.3.1	Philosophical Perspective on Data Modeling	154
		6.3.2	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Functionalist Data Modeling	157
		6.3.3	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Social Relativist Data Modeling	160
		6.3.4	Paradigmatic Assumptions of Neohumanist Data Modeling	165
	64	Summa	ry and Conclusions	170



T_{i}	Table of Contents				
7	Pa	radigma	tic Analysis of Data Modeling Approaches	171	
	7.1	Introduc	ction	171	
	7.2	2 Fact-based School		172	
		7.2.1	Historical Roots	173	
		7.2.1.1	Database Management	173	
		7.2.1.2	Systems Design Methods	174	
		7.2.2	Theoretical Roots	175	
		7.2.2.1	Linguistics	176	
		7.2.2.2	Artificial Intelligence	176	
		7.2.2.3	Programming Languages	177	
		7.2.3	Basic View of IS and Data Modeling	177	
		7.2.3.1	Definition of Information System	178	
		7.2.3.2	Universe of Discourse	179	
		7.2.3.3	Entities	180	
		7.2.3.4	Problems in Distinguishing Entities in the UoD	180	
		7.2.3.5	Nature and Type of Entities	181	
		7.2.3.6	Facts	182	
		7.2.3.7	Conceptual Schema	183	
		7.2.3.8	Information Base	184	
		7.2.3.9	Changes in the UoDD – the IS Operations	184	
		7.2.3.10	Summary	185	
		7.2.4	Three Beliefs Underlying the Fact-based Approaches	186	
		7.2.4.1	The Objective Belief	186	
		7.2.4.2	The Descriptive Belief	189	
		7.2.4.3	The Consensus Belief	189	
		7.2.5	Classification of Fact-based Approaches	190	
		7.2.6	Possible Directions for Improvements	194	
	7.3	Rule-bas	sed School	198	
		7.3.1	Historical Roots	200	
		7.3.1.1	Concept of Information	200	
		7.3.1.2	High Level Organization Models	200	
		7.3.1.3	Legal Informatics	201	
		7.3.2	Theoretical Roots	202	
		7.3.2.1	Linguistics	202	
		7.3.2.2	Organizational and Sociological Theory	202	



x			Table of Co	
	7.8	3.2.3	Law	203
	7.3	3.3	Basic View of IS and Data Modeling	203
	7.5	3.3.1	Definition of Information System	203
	7.3	3.3.2	Implications	204
	7.3	3.4	Three Beliefs Underlying the Rule-based Approaches	207
	7.3	3.4.1	The Action Belief	208
	7.5	3.4.2	The Institutional Belief	208
	7.3	3.4.3	The Change Belief	209
	7.3	3.5	The LEGOL/NORMA Approach	210
	7.5	3.5.1	Background and Basic Goals	210
	7.5	3.5.2	Basic LEGOL/NORMA Constructs	213
	7.5	3.5.3	Summary of LEGAL/NORMA Achievements	218
	7.3	3.6	The Language Action View	220
		3.6.1 3.6.2	Background and Basic Goals Basic LA Constructs: Speech Act and Discourse Theories	220 225
	7.5	3.6.3	Summary of LA View Achievements	230
	7.3	3.7	Possible Directions for Improvements	231
	7.4 Su	mmar	y and Conclusions	232
8	Conclusions			234
	8.1 Introduction			
	8.2 Co	nflict	about the Nature of IS Research	234
	8.3 Co	nflict	about the Nature of IS Practice	236
	Appendix A: Summaries of Selected Methodologies			239
	Bibliography			252
	Index			



Preface

Though the fields of information system development, in general, and data modeling in particular — the topics of this book — have amassed an impressive amount of research knowledge during the past two decades, they currently lack a global perspective and interpretation. In this context we define information systems development as the application of information technologies (computers and telecommunications) to solve and address problems in managing and coordinating modern organizations. Data modeling is concerned with describing, organizing and analyzing the properties of the 'rawware' of information systems — data. A wealth of research in these fields has produced an astonishing array of empirical results and practical insights, conceptual and terminological diversity and confusion, and a large suite of tools and methods. But as many researchers and practioners alike feel, these form an isolated, disjoint, and often contradictory amalgam of knowledge. In such a situation, the synthesis of the existing knowledge is at least as valuable as the addition of more detail in the form of further empirical results, new methods and tools, and refinements in vocabulary, etc. The need for synthesis to decrease the confusion in the area has motivated us to write this book: we seek out the principal, contradictory lines of research in information systems; describe and interpret them and their results in a way which does not deny or hide their differences, but in fact highlights the differences; and thereby hope to make these lines of research understandable. At the same time we strive to shed light on similarities where they exist and to discuss possible directions for improvement.

To accomplish our task, we need an intellectual tool to penetrate beneath the 'surface structure' of individual pieces of IS research and to organize them in some intelligible manner. We believe we have found such a tool in the form of a philosophical framework for analyzing the assumptions which guide different lines of research on IS and which points out the ways in which each line of research is somehow limited but at the same time brings order into chaos by making visible which assumptions make the approaches so different and what the implictions for adhereing to alternative assumptions are. We point out that all systems development methodologies make implicit assumptions which we feel may be problematic. Let us take a concrete example. Most (but not necessarily all) modeling techniques focus on functions, data or objects as elementary building blocks. The implict and/or explicit underlying assumptions are that:

(1) these building blocks exist in the world (realism) and



More information

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-06335-7 - Information Systems Development and Data Modeling: Conceptual and Philosophical Foundations Rudy Hirschheim, Heinz K. Klein and Kalle Lyytinen Frontmatter

xii Preface

(2) there is an objectively definable set of things whose definition is independent of the perceptions of the developer (objectivism).

The implication of the first assumption is that it is the developer's job to 'find' those objects as though they were the treasures of a sunken ship washed up on shore just waiting to be picked up by the first one to come along. The implication of the second assumption is that any two developers should come up with the same model (because they will find the same treasures) and if there are differences they are resolvable. If two developers do see things differently, assumption (2) suggests that one developer is not seeing the application as clearly as the other, or that one developer is simply not as good as the other.

As our analysis reveals alternative standpoints are possible as well. What if the objects are not given, but are to be constructed out of the pieces on the shore with the flotsam and jetsam of many cultures (the different user languages and views)? What if there are no universals, e.g. what are shells to one culture is money to another? Thinking along such lines, in this book we shall show:

- (1) that most studies on information systems development rely on a specific standpoint which we call here a functionalist world view,
- (2) that this view amounts to a distinct philosophical position, and
- (3) this position is problematic in understanding and engaging in many facets of systems development.

If this point is accepted, then it is logical to ask what alternative philosophical positions are possible and what their implications for systems development and data modeling could be.

It will turn out that at least four philosophical positions can be discerned in the literature and these can account for many of the contradictory results and insights in the field. Researchers or practitioners adhering to different philosophical positions simply see different objects on the beaches (or should we say in the trenches) while developing information systems. This observation sets the principal agenda for this book: to define the fundamental philosophical positions, and to explain how they apply to systems development in general and data modeling in particular. The refinement of these issues form the core of our argument in this book. This will be a long and involved argument, like a long and winding road with many paths, but we have found it rewarding though difficult at times to navigate. We hope that many readers will enjoy the same experience, and that they will never see the same beach again after closing this book.

Rudy Hirschheim, Heinz Klein, Kalle Lyytinen Houston, Owego and Hong Kong



Acknowledgements

This book has been both a physical and metaphorical journey for us. It has been an intellectual journey as well. Physically, it started out over 7 years ago in London and then Oxford, but has wound its way through places such as San Francisco, Owego, Jyväskylä, Lovanger, Houston, Ehringerfeld, Aalborg, Boston, Copenhagen, New York, Buffalo, Enschede, Orlando and Hong Kong. Over the years we have had many deep philosophical discussions, some of which have led to considerable disagreement, others which have resulted in the three of us uttering in unison 'ah ha'. No matter what the outcome, these philosophical discussions were always intellectually inspiring.

We learned a lot in writing this book. But it wasn't easy. Not much attention has been given in the literature to the kind of philosophical analysis of an applied field such as Information Systems. We felt like a voice in the wilderness when we first started with this book. Metaphorically, we had to find a path through the wilderness which would lead us to furtile grounds to satisfy our intellectual hunger. In this way, we came to know many interesting places which are not commonly visited by IS researchers. In our search for intellectual sustenance, we were delighted to find a few kindred spirits (or travel companions so to speak) who also tried to articulate the most fundamental assumptions on which the discipline of IS in general or ISD in particular rests. Among these kindred spirits we include Boland's (1979): 'Control, Causality and Information System Requirements,' Winograd and Flores' (1986) 'Understanding Computers and Cognition', Iivari's (1991) 'Paradigmatic Analysis of ISD', Dahlbom and Mathiassen's (1993) 'Computers in Context', and Ehn's (1988) 'Work-Oriented Design of Computer Artifacts'.

Behind every significant journey is a large cast of supporting characters helping with the journeys' organization and execution. These individuals give advice, warn of dangers, point to fruitful avenues and offer the weary travellers physical and emotional sustenance. We owe a great deal of thanks to many people who supported us in our endeavor; who sacrificed much while we spent many days sequestered away writing the manuscript. First, we would like to thank our respective families; their support and understanding during the seven years is gratefully appreciated. Next, Cambridge University Press and especially David Tranah must be thanked for their extreme patience and unwavering support. It would have been so easy for them to say: 'look guys, enough is enough'. But they didn't, and we sin-



xiv

Acknowledgements

cerely appreciate their understanding. Next, Jaana Porra, provided a most penetrating and thorough critique of the entire manuscript. In doing so, she highlighted numerous oversights and misconceptions. Juhani Iivari, Duane Truex and John Haynes helped in commenting on selected sections of earlier versions of the book. Their comments helped make the book more coherent and readable. Ronald Stamper graciously helped make material available on LEGOL/NORMA. This allowed us to have a much better understanding of this interesting rule-based data modeling approach. Similarly, Jan Stage provided missing information on the Professional Work Practices approach. Winnie White at the University of Houston was most helpful in compiling the bibliography, tables and figures for the book. We would also like to acknowledge the financial support from the Academy of Finland, Danish Natural Science Research Council, and the Information Systems Research Center of the University of Houston. Lastly, our respective University departments both directly and indirectly supported our intellectual and physical travels. We gratefully acknowledge the financial and collegial support of the School of Management (SUNY-Binghamton), Department of Computer Science and Information Systems (University of Jyväskylä) and College of Business Administration (University of Houston). Thanks to all of you.