

NEW CAMBRIDGE SHAKESPEARE STUDIES AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEXTS SERIES

General editor: Philip Brockbank

The Texts of King Lear and their Origins

Volume I



NEW CAMBRIDGE SHAKESPEARE STUDIES AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEXTS SERIES

Titles in this series

Peter W. M. Blayney, The Texts of King Lear and their Origins
Volume I: Nicholas Okes and the First Quarto
Volume II: The Quarto and Folio Texts



The Texts of King Lear and their Origins

Volume I
Nicholas Okes
and the First Quarto

PETER W. M. BLAYNEY

Assistant Professor of English University of Chicago

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE
LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE
MELBOURNE SYDNEY



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521226349

© Cambridge University Press 1982

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1982
This digitally printed version (with corrections) 2007

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Blayney, Peter W M 1944-

The texts of King Lear and their origins.

(New Cambridge Shakespeare studies and supplementary texts series)

Bibliography: v. 1, p.

Includes index.

CONTENTS: v. 1. Nicholas Okes and the first quarto.

- 1. Shakespeare, William, 1564-1616. King Lear.
- Shakespeare, William, 1564-1616 Criticism, Textual.
 Okes, Nicholas.
 Printing -History - Great Britain.
 Title.
 Series. PR2819.B56
 22.3'3
 77-82485

ISBN 978-0-521-22634-9 hardback (vol. 1) ISBN 978-0-521-04431-8 paperback (vol. 1)



To the shades of
NICHOLAS OKES and LEWIS THEOBALD
who made it necessary

To
PHILIP GASKELL and KATHARINE F. PANTZER
who made it possible

And to the memory of
WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE
who made it worthwhile

this book is gratefully dedicated



Contents

List of illustrations	page x
List of tables and diagrams	xii
Preface	xiii
Acknowledgements	xvi
Conventions and abbreviations	xviii
Errata	xxii
INTRODUCTION The textual problem of <i>Lear</i> – Bibliography and textual	I
criticism – Greg's arguments in 'The function of bibliography' – Bibliographical and textual evidence – The need for a bibliographical context	
THE PRINTING HOUSE AND ITS OWNERS The business founded by Thomas Judson – John Harison III George and Lionel Snowdon – The Snowdons' later careers Okes takes over his brother's apprenticeship – His two-stage takeover of the Snowdon business – Character-sketch	
2 PRINTING-HOUSE METHODS The lack of information concerning the organization of work in Jacobean printing houses – McKenzie's arguments in 'Printers of the mind' – Identifying Okes's work –Limitation of the surviving evidence – Production rates – Parallels between the Snowdons and the CUP – Concurrent printing by the Snowdons – Differences between the Snowdons and Okes – Shared printing – Okes's need for special methods – Suggested organization of work	
OKES AT WORK, 1607-8 Okes's annual output - The second press of 1609 - The gap in the evidence from 1607 - Chronological reconstruction, January to September 1607 - April to December 1608 - The Lear period: October 1607 to March 1608 - The relative priority of Pelling and Lear - Summary	60
4 THE PRINTING OF King Lear Previous reconstructions – The study of recurring types in folio printing – The need for modified methods in quarto	89
vii	



CONTENTS

studies – The use of pica roman before Lear – The priority of the titlepage – The paper supplied by Nathaniel Butter – Sheets C and D – The damage to outer D – Sheets E and F – Bowers's reconstruction and the 'delay' in printing sheet E – Evidence of proof-correction in outer F – The partial distribution of outer E – Sheet G – Evidence of proof-correction in outer H – Anomalies of type-recurrence in sheets H and I – The difference between headlines and skeleton formes – Simultaneous setting by two compositors – The method of dividing the type – Type-shortages in sheet K – The increased rate of presswork – Sheet L – The replenishment of the 's' box – The possible effect of type-shortage on speech prefixes – The use of pica roman after Lear – Okes's stock of type – Summary of the printing

THE COMPOSITORS

151

Limitations of the existing methods of compositor-analysis – Previous compositorial studies of Lear – The division of sheets H–L – Spelling-preferences – Apparent changes in B's habits – The effect of type-supply on spellings – Centred stage directions – Spacing tests – Reasons for confining the analysis to Lear itself – Okes's apprentices

6 PROOF-READING, REVISING, AND

PRESS-CORRECTING

188

The 'postulate of normality' and proof-correction before presswork – The range of variation in proofing practice – The survival of pre-Restoration foul proofs – The trial of John Twyn – 'Obvious' errors and prior miscorrection – Miscorrection before presswork in the Folio – The forme-order of *Lear* sheets B–G – Correction with and without the copy – Sheets H–L – Summary

7 PROOFSHEETS AND MISCORRECTIONS

219

Additions to Greg's list of variants – Snowdon proofsheets of 1606 – Okes proofsheets of 1609, 1613, 1618, 1621, 1632, and 1636 – Correction by page and by forme – Jaggard proofsheets of 1610, 1615, and 1621 – The two marked pages of Folio Lear – Incomplete corrections – Misinterpreting the corrector's marks – 'Memorially contaminated' corrections – Accidental marks on a proofsheet – A possible miscorrection in outer K – Errata – Cancels – Pen-corrections – Excision – A possible printing-house expurgation in a copy of Lear

8 PRINTERS' COPY

258

The rarity of copy used by printers of Shakespeare – A titlepage layout used by Okes in 1611 – The manuscript of Sir Anthony Sherley's Relation of his Travels – The absence of printers' marks – A transcript perhaps used as copy –

viii



CONTENTS

Evidence for the use of the extant manuscript during press-correction – The seven hands of the manuscript – 'Copy-editing' by Hand 7 – Proper names – Substantive differences between the manuscript and the printed book – Press-corrections in three of Okes's formes – The cautionary lesson of H_Ir – Conclusions

9		OGUE: OKES'S LATER CAREER	292
	Re	cords and allusions, 1609-13 - The wills of Okes's father	
	an	d stepmother - Records and allusions, 1615-27 - The illicit	
	pa	rtnership with John Norton - Sir John Lambe's	
	inv	vestigations - The burning of An Introduction to a Devout	
		fe, and later repercussions - The quarrel between Okes and	
		orton - Retirement and death	
Δħ	pendi	Mac	
		ocuments	
			317
Ι.		necklist of books, 1604–9	334
II		maments, initials, and type	432
	A	Illustrations	432
	В	Locations and references	457
		Ornament-damage	486
	D	Borrowings and lendings	491
	E	Cast type	497
IV		current types in Lear	504
	A	List of types	504
	В	List of appearances	518
	С	Quantities of standing type	528
	D	Supplement to Greg's list of misprints	537
7		current headlines in Okes's quartos, 1607-8	540
V	ı Pr	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	A	Press-variants in Okes's quartos, 1607-8	559
	В	Variants in re-imposed and reset type	602
	С	Errata, 1604–9	612
VI	Si	: Anthony Sherley's Relation of his Travels	630
	A	The printed book	631
	В	Select collation of proper names	643
	С	Substantive collation	648
Aa	ldende	1	666
Bibi	liogra	bhy	680
	0 . I	Works cited	680
	II	Manuscripts cited	689
		and the state of t	009
Inde	ex of	STC numbers	692
Gen	eral	index	699



Illustrations

(Excluding Appendix IIIA)

1	The altered apprenticeship entry	page	2 I
2,	Set-off from frisket-patch below the Covell errata		44
3	Bucanus Sl5r19-33		45
4	Accidental overprinting in Covell inner X		46
5	Reappearing types in headlines of Pelling and Lear		85
6	Standing type in Lear A2r and B1r		97
7	The watermarks of Lear		98
8	Type-damage in outer D		106
9	The anomalous reappearance of type a12		113
10	The anomalous reappearance of type)3		119
11	Pages I1 ^r -3 ^v arranged for imposition		124
12	Hypothetical arrangement of I1r-3v and inner G		126
13	Suggested arrangement of inner and outer I before distribution	n	138
14	Displaced types		140
15	Lear G2 ^r 32-5		216
16	Proof-corrections in Bucanus (i)Mm(0), 1606		223
17	Proof-corrections in Bucanus (0)3F(0), 1606		224
18	Okes's signature, 1603		225
19	Proof-corrections in Tichborne outer I, 1609		226
20	Possible proof-correction in STC 13310 outer L, 1613		227
2 I	Proof-corrections in STC 6248 K2v:5r, 1618		228
22	Okes's signature, 1621		229
23	Proof-corrections in STC 11074 inner C, 1621		230
24	Proof-corrections in STC 13340 inner F, 1632		231
25	Proof-corrections in STC 18806 outer B, 1636		233
26	John Okes's signatures, 1636 and 1643		234
27	William Jaggard's signatures, 1602 and 1603		236
28	Jaggard proof-corrections, 1610		236
29	William Jaggard's signature, 1623		237
30	Isaac Jaggard's signature, 1627		237
31	Jaggard proof-corrections, 1610, 1615, and 1621		237
32	Proof-corrections in the First Folio, page qq6 ^v		240-1
33	Proof-corrections in the First Folio, page rr3r		242-3
34	The missing E1 ^r catchword		256
35	Manuscript copy for the titlepage of STC 605		260
36	The printed titlepage		261
37	The Sherley manuscript, Hands 1-3		268-9
38	Hands 4-6 and the imprimatur		270-1
39	Sequence of leaves in the Sherley manuscript		272
40	Hand 7 and the patch on fol. 139		274
41	Nathaniel Butter's signatures and Hand 7		275



ILLUSTRATIONS

42	Alterations by Hand 7	275
43	Passages consulted for the press-correction of outer H	288
44	Ornaments used by the second printer of Coke 1 and Coke 2	492



Tables and Diagrams

(Excluding Appendixes)

Production diagrams:		
January to March 1607 page		
April to June 1607		67
July to September 1607		69
April to June 1608		71
July to September 1608		74
October to December 1608		76
October to December 1607		79
January to March 1608		79
Watermarks in Pelling, Cohler, and Friendship		80
Production summaries:		
1607		86
1608		87
Distribution tables:		
The setting of sheet C		102
2 The setting of sheet D		104
3 The setting of sheet E		107
4 The setting of sheet F		III
5 The setting of sheet G		116
6 The setting of sheet H and I1 ^r 1-1 ^v 25		120
7 The setting of II v26-KI v2		123
8 The setting of K1v3-4v39		132
9 The setting of sheet L		136
Okes's supply of pica roman type		146
Compositorial spellings		161-
Variations in the use of -ie and -y		173
The influence of type-supply on compositor B's spellings		174
Centred stage directions		178



Preface

The research for this book began in 1972, after I had been awarded a Research Studentship at Trinity College, Cambridge. The investigation was intended to lead to the preparation, after three years' work, of an 80,000-word dissertation on the texts of King Lear to be submitted in application for a PhD. After some months, however, it became evident that the printing of the First Quarto presented problems which could not be investigated properly within those limits. In 1974 I had the good fortune to be elected to a four-year Research Fellowship at Trinity, and it thus became possible to concentrate more on the needs of the investigation itself than on the particular requirements of the eventual dissertation. In 1978 the College Council very generously extended the privileges of my Fellowship for an additional year, enabling me to complete the work of which the present book forms the first volume. Only those who have shared the rare privilege of being able to spend so long undertaking full-time research of their own choice and in their own way, and who have, moreover, enjoyed constant and privileged access to a research collection as magnificent as that housed in the Wren Library, can begin to appreciate the incalculable debt of gratitude which I owe to the generosity of the Master and Fellows of Trinity.

It is impossible to count, let alone to name, all those who have helped in the preparation of this volume. In the list of locations at the end of Appendix II I have indicated the libraries which I have visited. Even were I to thank only those whose names I know (thereby excluding many whose anonymous help has been no less deserving of mention), and to limit the list to those whose assistance has been 'above and beyond the call of duty', it would still be necessary to mention at least one member of the staff of most of those libraries. I have, of course, incurred the most numerous debts of gratitude in the libraries in which I have spent most time or in which I have examined the greatest numbers of books. Since it is necessary to be selective I hope that the many whose names I have omitted, and who know as well as I do that they have earned my sincere thanks, will not interpret the absence of individual mention as a sign of either forgetfulness or ingratitude.

My most particular thanks are due to David McKitterick of the Cambridge University Library. He has answered so many difficult questions, has drawn my attention to so many interesting bibliographical phenomena, and has volunteered so much useful information of all kinds, that I am tempted to describe him as my most frequently-consulted work of reference. Julian Roberts twice wrote to



PREFACE

inform me that the British Library had acquired books which 'might be of interest' (the unique copy of Greenwood in Appendix 11 and the 1618 proofsheet described in Chapter 7), and has helped in other ways both before and after his move from the British Library to Bodley. Paul Morgan of the Bodleian Library allowed me to consult his notes of proofsheets and fragments of printers' copy preserved in Oxford colleges, and later sent me more detailed information concerning a number of single-sided proofsheets of the late 1630s. I have received a great deal of valuable assistance from Trevor Kaye in the Wren Library; from J. C. T. Oates and Brian Jenkins at Cambridge University Library; from Ian Willison (British Library), Christina Mackwell (Lambeth Palace), Mr N. Buck (St John's College, Cambridge), and the late A. N. L. Munby (King's College). When visiting several libraries in the United States I had to make arrangements to examine a large number of books in a very limited time. For helping to make those brief visits so fruitful, and for answering subsequent requests for additional information, I should like to express my particular thanks to Virginia Renner at the Huntington Library; to John F. Andrews, Karen Grina, and Laetitia Yeandle at the Folger Shakespeare Library; and to Tim Crist, Stephen Parks and the late James M. Osborn at the Beinecke Library, Yale University. For answers to queries concerning books and manuscripts in libraries which I have been unable to visit, I am grateful to I. F. Grigorieva of the M. E. Saltykov-Shchedrin State Public Library, Leningrad; to M. Y. Ashcroft, M.A., County Archivist of North Yorkshire; to Miss M. Pollard at Trinity College, Dublin; and to David Carnegie, A. Chinnery-Haldane, Miss Robin Myers, D. B. Prentice, Gordon Willis, Margaret A. Witten-Hannah, and Stephenie Yearwood. My thanks are also due to a number of booksellers - most particularly to the entire staff of Deighton Bell (Cambridge) Ltd and to Paul Grinke of the Waveney Bookshop, Eye, Suffolk - for allowing me to use their stockrooms as research libraries.

A number of colleagues and friends have generously communicated findings from their own research, and others have allowed me to consult unpublished work. For their assistance in this respect I should like to thank David Carnegie, John Feather, Trevor Howard-Hill, D. F. McKenzie, David McKitterick, Keith Maslen, Ian Masters, John Sibbald, Gary Taylor, and Margaret A. Witten-Hannah. Separate acknowledgement of unpublished material more directly concerned with the text of *Lear* itself will be made in Volume 11.

This book has not been an easy one to prepare for the press, and it has helped considerably to have been able to anticipate problems of production and design by discussing them with those at the Cambridge University Press, both before and during the preparation of the final typescript. In particular, I owe much to the expert advice of Paul Chipchase and the late Alan Spilman. As regards the text itself, an early typescript of much of the material contained in this volume was read by Fredson Bowers, Philip Gaskell, and D. F. McKenzie. Their valuable criticisms and encouragement have played a great part in shaping the text as it now stands. Some of the chapters have been read in their near-final form by



PREFACE

D. F. McKenzie, most of them by David McKitterick, and all of them by Philip Gaskell. Without their suggestions for correction and improvement, both minor and major, this book would be considerably more imperfect than it must anyway inevitably be. That is not to say, however, that each or any of them shares all the views that are here put forward, and I have not always accepted their advice in every particular. For the mistaken opinions, factual errors, and faults of all kinds which I have no doubt remain despite their efforts and mine, I am alone responsible.

During the past four years my wife Eleanor has not only read every word I have written but has had to listen to all those which I have refrained from writing. She has helped to examine books, to collate the texts of Sherley's Relation, and to compile a card-file of all the spellings in Lear. For these and for numerous other tasks: for coping so often with the 1970s while I was away in the 1600s; for finding ways of extracting me from my study when necessary without actually setting fire to it; and for understanding without overt objection that dedication and obsession are the same side of the same coin, I owe her more than I can say.

Appendix II draws heavily on information from the revised STC, of which only one volume has yet been published, and for this information I am deeply indebted to Katharine F. Pantzer. Without Appendix II, Chapter 3 could not have been written. Without Chapter 3 it would have been impossible to draw some important conclusions about Lear as a book, and those conclusions prove to be of surprising importance to an evaluation of the Quarto as a text. For six years Miss Pantzer has patiently and cheerfully endured my frequent requests for (and has often volunteered) the latest available news about Okes and his books, and has spent far more of her already fully-occupied time in answering my queries than I could reasonably have expected. Mere acknowledgement cannot do justice to her generous and willing assistance, or to the example which her scrupulous standards of scholarship have constantly set me. I hope that she will not mind sharing a dedication with (amongst rather better company) the disreputable printer about whose work she has helped me learn so much.

The dedication also records my other major debt, which is to Philip Gaskell. As Trinity Librarian he has drawn my attention to numerous bibliographical rarities and valuable pieces of out-of-the-way information, and as my research supervisor he proved an unfailing source of advice and encouragement. I have already mentioned the valuable and perceptive comments which he made after reading this book in its successive stages of development, and I have often had cause to be grateful for his encyclopædic knowledge of the art of printing. A dedication is but small return for what I owe to Dr Gaskell and Miss Pantzer, mentors and friends.

Trinity College, Cambridge 1972–9



Acknowledgements

I should like to express my gratitude for the permission which has been granted for the reproduction of the illustrations listed below. Those few not listed have been reproduced from books in my possession.

Beinecke Rare Book and Manuscript Library, Yale University: Fig. 7d

Bodleian Library (by permission of the Curators): Figs. 7e, 8b, 9a,b, 10a, 20, 34a,b, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41e, 42, 43; Appendix IIIA Border 193, Device 319, Engraving 2, Woodcut 6, Initials P24, P26, Q30, Y31, Ornament 9 state 3, Ornament 13 state 3

Boston Public Library: Figs. 23, 24

British Library (by permission of the British Library Board): Figs. 7e, 17, 21, 31e,f; Appendix IIIA Initials G18, R18, Ornament 13 state 5

Bryn Mawr College Library, Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania: Appendix III A Initial R4

Cambridge University Library (by permission of the Syndics): Figs. 2, 3, 14, 28, 31a; Appendix IIIA Border 219, Devices 251.1, 269, 317, 318, 352, Woodcuts I, 2, Ornaments I, 2, 3, 4.1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 16, Factotums I, 1.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, Initials H1, W2, A4, B4, E4, N4 T4, B5 F5, G5, H5, I5, L5, M5, N5, P5, R5, S5, T5, W5, Y5, P6, E7, H8, B9, C9, F9, G9, L9, M9, O9, P9, B10, B11, R11, V11, A12, H12, I12, M12, N12, P12, Q12, H16, W17, A18, H19, W20, L21, B25, D25, E25, N25, R25, S25, Y25, Z25, T28, A29, A30, C30, E30, L30, O30, T30, V30, M31, W31, A32, I32, P32, R32, S32, Ornament 13 state 1, T4 state 2, Titling founts 1-4 (part), 5-9, Pica italic, 'Snowdon' pica roman

Emmanuel College, Cambridge (by permission of the Master and Fellows): Appendix IIIA Devices 215.1, 316, Ornaments 6, 15, Initials O23, O24, Q25, O26, N31, I33

Folger Shakespeare Library (by permission of the Trustees): Figs. 19, 32

Guildhall Library, London (by permission of the Diocesan Registrar to the Bishop of London): Figs. 18, 26, 29, 30, 41a

Houghton Library, Harvard University: Fig. 16; Appendix III A Initial S24

Huntington Library, San Marino, California: Fig. 33

Lambeth Palace Library (by permission of the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Trustees of Lambeth Palace Library): Appendix IIIA Woodcut 3, Astrological frames 1, 2, Initial K31

Peterborough Cathedral Library, on deposit in Cambridge University Library (by permission of the Dean and Chapter): Fig. 25, Appendix IIIA Border 100, Device 381.1, Ornaments 4, 8, 9, Initial S1, Ornament 9 state 0, Ornament 12 state 1, T4 state 1

Peterhouse, Cambridge (by permission of the Master and Fellows): Appendix IIIA Device 275, Woodcut 5, Ornament 13, Initial A3

Public Record Office (by permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office): Figs. 22, 35, 41b

St John's College, Cambridge (by permission of the Master and Fellows): Figs. 4a, 7a; Appendix IIIA Initials E5, E12, T14, L22, M23, I27, H30, S30, A31, F31

Stationers' Hall (by permission of the Master and Wardens of the Worshipful Company of Stationers and Newspaper Makers): Figs. 1, 27, 41d

xvi



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Trinity College, Cambridge (by permission of the Master and Fellows): Figs. 4b,c, 5c, 6, 7b, 8a, 9c-e, 10b,c, 15, 31b-d, 34c, 44; Appendix IIIA Device 334, Engraving 1, Woodcuts 7-14, Ornament 14, Factotum 7, Initials A5, C5, N13, C15, M17, B30, Device 334 state 1, Printers' flowers (part), Titling founts 1-4 (part), Guyot pica roman states 1, 2 Victoria and Albert Museum: Appendix IIIA Woodcut 4



Conventions and Abbreviations

All passages which are quoted from early printed books for their typographical form (as in discussions of press-variants and misprints) follow the original typography as closely as possible. Where exact fidelity is required, the passages have been reproduced. All passages quoted for their substantive content follow the originals in most typographical details including the use of long 'f', with the following exceptions.

Prose lineation has not been followed, and line-end hyphens which appear to serve no purpose other than word-division have been silently omitted. Swash capitals have been ignored, spacing has been normalized, and single wrong-fount types have been silently corrected. Black-letter quotations are printed in roman, with italic for emphasis replacing the original roman. Pointed brackets enclosing a word or phrase in a quotation from a printed source indicate an emendation, and the original reading is given in square brackets to the right of the quotation. Empty pointed brackets indicate lacunæ in unique and damaged originals.

All printed passages cited at second hand (such as quotations from Jonson which are footnoted as from the edition by Herford and Simpson, and the passages re-quoted from Simpson's Proof Reading in Chapter 6), except quotations within quotations, have been transcribed from the original sources. The one exception to this rule is Moxon's Mechanick Exercises, which has been quoted without alteration from the magisterial edition by Davis and Carter.

Passages quoted from manuscripts have been more extensively normalized. This is a book about printed books, and each retention of long 'ſ' records a compositor's choice between two pieces of metal. Print can never adequately record a scribe's choice between, for example, four different styles of minuscule 'a', or between a variety of initial or terminal flourishes on one or other of his versions of 'w'. I have therefore considered the purpose of transcription to be (in this book at least) the recording of letters rather than forms, and have ignored long 'ſ'.

In Chapter 8 and Appendix I manuscript passages have been reproduced as accurately as type will reasonably permit. Elsewhere, since the manuscripts are quoted for what may be called their 'paraphrasable content', I have attempted to communicate (rather than to reproduce) that content. Abbreviations and contractions which are unlikely to impede a fluent reading and which might have been retained by a contemporary printer (&, Mr, ye, fro) have been retained. All others

xviii



CONVENTIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

(except in Latin words) have been expanded, with the supplied letters printed in italic. Interlineations have been silently inserted at the indicated points, line-division has been ignored, and flourishes with no apparent meaning (such as those which fill space at the ends of lines) have been omitted. Pointed brackets indicate lacunæ, and letters inside them have either been inferred from the remaining traces or (where indicated by a footnote) supplied from another manuscript. Deletions are indicated by deletion.

Stationers' Company records have all been transcribed from microfilm of the originals, but have been cited as from standard published transcripts or editions where such exist. State Papers transcribed from the originals are cited as from *CSPD*, but with the manuscript source identified in parentheses. Manuscript quotations cited as from any other published source have been printed from that source and not from the originals.

The usual conventions are adopted in collational formulæ and bibliographical references with a few minor exceptions. Two-letter signatures are not contracted, since 'Aa' is no more cumbersome than '2A'. Inferred alphabetic signatures are printed in italic, but inferred symbols (such as ' \P ') are given in square brackets. Conjugacy is indicated by a colon, as in ' $K_2v:5^r$ '. Since there seems to be no logical reason for omitting it, and since its omission can and does cause ambiguity, superior 'r' for 'recto' has been used throughout. The use of (i) or (o) before a signature indicates the inner or outer sheet of a quarto quire in eights. 'A(o)' means, as usual, the outer forme of sheet A, while '(i)A(o)' means the outer forme of the inner sheet of quire A⁸ – pages A₃r, 4^v: 5^r, 6^v.

With the exception of those from Lear itself, quotations from Shakespeare are taken from what is in each case generally accepted as the most authoritative text. Line-references are to the Riverside edition, to which Marvin Spevack's The Harvard Concordance to Shakespeare (1973) is keyed. Reference to any Shakespearean reading recorded as a bibliographical phenomenon (such as a Folio press-variant), and to all readings from Lear, is by signature and line of type.

Each book listed in Appendix II has been given a checklist number and a short-title, the latter usually being either the author's surname (Bucanus, Sparke 2) or a single prominent word from the title (Cobler, Sum). Those short-titles are used for all references in the text. Cross-indexes of checklist numbers, short-titles, and STC numbers are given at the beginning of Appendix II.

Works cited only once are usually described in relatively full form in the footnotes, while those cited more than once are footnoted by the author's surname and an abbreviated title. A few works have been given abbreviated titles when cited only once within a footnote, in order to avoid too lengthy a parenthesis in mid-sentence. On a few occasions a surname has been omitted when two or more works by the



CONVENTIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

same author have been repeatedly cited in a sequence which prevents the use of 'ibid.' All works cited in abbreviated form are more fully described in the Bibliography, except those standard works listed below. In Appendixes II and III I have temporarily adopted a series of abbreviated references even shorter than those used in footnotes which cite the same works. A list of each group of special abbreviations is given in the Appendix concerned, and those works are also listed in the Bibliography.

The following standard abbreviations have been used:

Arber Edward Arber (editor), A Transcript of the Registers of the Company of Stationers of London: 1554-1640 A.D., 5 vols., London and Birmingham, 1875-94

BEPD W. W. Greg, A Bibliography of the English Printed Drama to the Restoration, 4 vols., London, 1939-59

Briquet C. M. Briquet, Les Filigranes, Paris, 1907. Reprinted with supplementary material edited by Allan Stevenson, 4 vols., Amsterdam, 1968

CSPD Calendar of State Papers, Domestic Series

CUP Cambridge University Press Guildhall Guildhall Library, London

Heawood Edward Heawood, Watermarks, Mainly of the 17th and 18th Centuries, Monumentæ Chartæ Papyraceæ Historiam Illustrantia, 1, Hilversum, 1950. Reprinted 1969

HMC Historical Manuscripts Commission

OED Oxford English Dictionary

PBSA Papers of the Bibliographical Society of America

PRO Public Record Office SB Studies in Bibliography

A. W. Pollard and G. R. Redgrave, A Short-Title Catalogue of Books Printed in England, Scotland, & Ireland, and of English Books Printed Abroad, 1475–1640, London, 1926. Second edition, revised by W. A. Jackson, F. S. Ferguson, and Katharine F. Pantzer, Volume 2 (I–Z), London, 1976

TCC Trinity College, Cambridge ULC University Library, Cambridge

Wing Donald Wing, Short-Title Catalogue of Books Printed in England, Scotland, Ireland, Wales, and British America, and of English Books Printed in Other Countries, 1641–1700, 3 vols., New York, 1945–51. Second edition, revised and enlarged, Volume 1 (A-England), New York, 1972

Abbreviated reference to works of (or attributed to) Shakespeare is made as follows:

Ado Much Ado About Nothing



CONVENTIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Ham. Hamlet $_{1}H_{4}$ The First Part of King Henry the Fourth The Second Part of King Henry the Fourth 2H4 H_5 King Henry the Fifth 2H6 The Second Part of King Henry the Sixth The Third Part of King Henry the Sixth 3H6 LLL Love's Labours Lost Lear King Lear Luc. The Rape of Lucrece MMMeasure for Measure MNDA Midsummer Night's Dream The Merchant of Venice MVPer. Pericles PbTThe Phoenix and Turtle PPThe Passionate Pilgrim R2 King Richard the Second Rз King Richard the Third Romeo and Juliet Rom. The Sonnets Son. Tit. Titus Andronicus Tro. Troilus and Cressida Ven. Venus and Adonis

The Merry Wives of Windsor

Wiv.



Peter W. M. Blayney, The Texts of King Lear and their Origins Volume 1: Nicholas Okes and the First Quarto

ERRATA. Certain readings should have been altered by hand at the final stage of typesetting:

Page 20 line 32: for Indent 'read Indent9' Page 43 line 26: for $(\pi A^4)^2$ read $(\pi A^4)^2$ Page 49 note 1 line 5: for four read five Page 83 line 13: for knight read knight Page 221 line 18: for I lest read It lest Page 223 line 3 of list: for calling read calling Page 229 line 10: for 1 berty read liberty Page 253 note 2 line 5: for '' read '¶' Page 282 line 41: for gen all ship read gen° all ship Page 297 line 2: for my best read my best Page 306 line 24: for they h read they h Page 307 line 4: for life wherein read life wherein Page 307 line 10: for ther said read ther said Page 307 line 11: for Printer read Printer Page 308 line 23: for such all read such all Page 310 line 14: for Course such read Course such Page 310 line 15: for like dismissed read like dismissed Page 310 line 19: for dto read dto

Marginal corrections in Fig. 21 (d and f) referred to on p. 229, and two small marks in Fig. 34a mentioned in the text and fn. 1 on p. 257, have failed to reproduce.

xxii